



JOINT INSTITUTE
交大密西根学院

UM–SJTU Joint Institute
VV557 Methods of Applied Math II

Assignment 1

Group 22

Sui, Zijian 515370910038
Wang, Tianze 515370910202
Xu, Yisu 118370910021

Exercise 1. 1

i).

For the intervals on

$$0 \leq x \leq \xi - \frac{1}{2n} \cup \xi + \frac{1}{2n} \leq x \leq 1$$

We have

$$u_n(x)'' = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} u_{n1}(x) = x^2 + a \cdot x + b & 0 \leq x \leq \xi - \frac{1}{2n} \\ u_{n2}(x) = x^2 + c \cdot x + d & \xi + \frac{1}{2n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

For the intervals on

$$\xi - \frac{1}{2n} \leq x \leq \xi + \frac{1}{2n}$$

The function is given as

$$u_{n3}(x)'' = -n \Rightarrow u_{n3}(x) = -\frac{n \cdot x^2}{2} + \alpha \cdot x + \beta$$

For u_{n1}, u_{n2}, u_{n3} , they should satisfy

$$\begin{cases} u_{n1}(\xi - \frac{1}{2n}) = u_{n3}(\xi - \frac{1}{2n}) \\ u_{n2}(\xi + \frac{1}{2n}) = u_{n3}(\xi + \frac{1}{2n}) \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \xi - \frac{1}{2n}^-} u'_{n1}(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \xi - \frac{1}{2n}^+} u'_{n3}(x) \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \xi + \frac{1}{2n}^-} u'_{n3}(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \xi + \frac{1}{2n}^+} u'_{n2}(x) \end{cases}$$

This will give a linear equation set (four equations) with four variables. ($a = 0, d = 0$) And the final result will be then the solution provided:

$$u_n(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - \xi) \cdot x & 0 \leq x \leq \xi - \frac{1}{2n} \\ (1 - \xi) \cdot x - \frac{n}{2}(x - \xi + 1/(2n))^2 & \xi - \frac{1}{2n} < x < \xi + \frac{1}{2n} \\ \xi \cdot (1 - x) & \xi + \frac{1}{2n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

ii).

As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\xi - \frac{1}{2n} = \xi = \xi + \frac{1}{2n}$$

And the term

$$(1 - \xi) \cdot x - \frac{n}{2}(x - \xi + 1/(2n))^2 x$$

is canceled as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - \xi)x & 0 \leq x \leq \xi \\ \xi(1 - x) & \xi \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) = g(x; \xi)$, it's sufficient to say

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n(x) - g(x, \xi)| = 0$$

□

iii).

No, it's not uniform convergence. The definition of uniform convergence is

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n > N_0, |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$

Obviously, the convergence is uniform on $0 \leq x \leq \xi - \frac{1}{2n}$ and $\xi + \frac{1}{2n} \leq x \leq 1$. Since $u_n(x) = g(x; \xi)$ on these two intervals.

Next we will prove on $\xi - \frac{1}{2n} < x < \xi + \frac{1}{2n}$, it's not uniform convergence. We choose $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 < 1/4$, and we consider the interval on $[\xi, \xi + \frac{1}{2n})$.

$$\begin{aligned} |u_n(x) - g(x; \xi)| &= |(1 - \xi) \cdot x - \frac{n}{2}(x - \xi + 1/(2n))^2 - (1 - x)\xi| \\ &= |t - \frac{n}{2}(t + \frac{1}{2n})^2|, t \leftarrow (x - \xi) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\forall x$ on the interval,

$$|u_n(x) - g(x; \xi)| < \varepsilon_0$$

However, we evaluate $x = \xi + \frac{1}{2n} - \varepsilon_1$

$$|u_n(x) - g(x; \xi)| = \left| \frac{1-n}{2n} \right| \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} > \varepsilon_0$$

which means it is not uniform convergence.

Exercise 1.2

i).

We first test whether T is a linear functional.

$$T(\lambda\varphi_1 + \mu\varphi_2) = \lambda\varphi_1(-10) + \lambda\varphi_2(-10) = \lambda T\varphi_1 + \mu T\varphi_2$$

It's linear. Then we test its continuity

$$\varphi_m \rightarrow 0, |T\varphi_m| = |\varphi_m(-10)| \leq |\sup \varphi_m(x)| = 0$$

So we can conclude **it's a distribution**.

ii).

We first test whether T is a linear functional.

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lambda\varphi_1 + \mu\varphi_2) &= [\lambda\varphi_1(0) + \mu\varphi_2(0)]^2 \\ &= \lambda^2\varphi_1^2(0) + \mu^2\varphi_2^2(0) + 2\lambda\mu\varphi_1(0)\varphi_2(0) \\ &\neq \lambda\varphi_1^2(0) + \mu\varphi_2^2(0) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$T(\lambda\varphi_1 + \mu\varphi_2) \neq \lambda T\varphi_1 + \mu T\varphi_2$$

which means **it's not a distribution**.

iii).

Obviously, T maps to \mathbb{C}^n instead of \mathbb{C} , so it's not a linear functional, thus **it's not a distribution**.

iv).

We first test whether T is a linear functional.

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lambda\varphi_1 + \mu\varphi_2) &= \lambda\varphi_1(0) + \mu\varphi_2(0) + \lambda\varphi_1(1) + \mu\varphi_2(1) + \lambda\varphi_1(2) + \mu\varphi_2(2) + \dots \\ &= \lambda(\varphi_1(0) + \varphi_1(1) + \varphi_1(2) + \dots) + \mu(\varphi_2(0) + \varphi_2(1) + \varphi_2(2) + \dots) \\ &= \lambda T\varphi_1 + \mu T\varphi_2 \end{aligned}$$

It's linear. Then we test its continuity

$$\varphi_m \rightarrow 0, \quad |T\varphi_m| = |\phi_m(0) + \phi_m(1) + \phi_m(2) + \dots| \leq 0 + 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 = 0$$

So we can conclude **it's a distribution**.

v).

We first test whether T is a linear functional.

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lambda\varphi_1 + \mu\varphi_2) &= \int_{S^{n-1}} (\lambda\varphi_1 + \mu\varphi_2) \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \lambda\varphi_1 + \int_{S^{n-1}} \mu\varphi_2 \\ &= \lambda T\varphi_1 + \mu T\varphi_2 \end{aligned}$$

It's linear. Then we test its continuity

$$\varphi_m \rightarrow 0, \quad |T\varphi_m| = \left| \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi_m \right| \leq \sup |\varphi_m| = 0$$

So we can conclude **it's a distribution**.

vi).

vi).a.

We first test whether T is a linear functional.

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lambda\varphi_1 + \mu\varphi_2) &= \int \frac{\lambda\varphi_1 + \mu\varphi_2}{x} \\ &= \int \frac{\lambda\varphi_1}{x} + \int \frac{\mu\varphi_2}{x} \\ &= \lambda T\varphi_1 + \mu T\varphi_2 \end{aligned}$$

It's linear. Then we test its continuity

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_m \rightarrow 0, \quad |T\varphi_m| &= \left| \int \frac{1}{x} \varphi_m dx \right| \\ &= \left| \ln(x) \varphi_m(x) \Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int \ln|x| \varphi'_m(x) dx \right| \\ &= \int \ln|x| \varphi'_m(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

As $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\varphi'_m(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \sup |D^\alpha| \varphi_m(x) \rightarrow 0$$

So we could conclude it's a distribution.

vi).b.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_m \rightarrow 0, \quad |T\varphi_m| &= \left| \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|}} \varphi_m(x) dx \right| \\
 &\leq \left(\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|}} dx \right) \cdot \sup |\varphi_m(x)| \\
 &= 2\sqrt{|x|} \cdot \sup |\varphi_m(x)|
 \end{aligned}$$

So we can conclude it's a distribution.

vi).c.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_m \rightarrow 0, \quad |T\varphi_m| &= \left| \int \frac{1}{x^2} \varphi_m(x) dx \right| \\
 &= -\frac{1}{x} \varphi(x) \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int \left(-\frac{1}{x}\right) \varphi'(x) dx \\
 &= \ln|x| \varphi'(x) \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int \ln|x| \varphi''(x) dx \\
 &= \int \ln|x| \varphi''(x) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

Sine

$$\sup |D^\alpha| \varphi_m(x) \rightarrow 0$$

So we can conclude it's a distribution.