# Econ 5713 Assignment One

Tie Ma

2025/03/02

## Question one

#### 0.1 Q1-a

We have a simple CAPM regression model as following

$$Y_t = \alpha_0 + \beta_0 X_t + \epsilon_t, \tag{1}$$

base on the figure one:

 $Y_t = \text{IBM Risk-Adjusted Return}, \quad X_t = \text{Market Risk-Adjusted Return}.$ 

- Dependent Variable: The variable we are trying to explain, it is IBM\_RISK\_ADJ\_RETURN
- Method (Least Squares): the method we using which is ordernery least squared
- Sample / Included Observations: The sample size which is 132 month here.
- HAC Standard Errors & Covariance: The method that use to adjust for possible autocorrelation and heteroskedasticity in the residuals. Due the possible OLS cannot processing time series data proporly.
- Coefficients (C(1) and C(2)):
  - $-\hat{\alpha}_0$  (labeled as C(1)) intercept.
  - $-\hat{\beta}_0$  (labeled as C(2)) is the estimated slope of market risk-adjusted return.
- Standard Error: The standard error which measure the statistical uncertainty.
- **t-statistic:** The ratio of each coefficient to its standard error, where  $\hat{\theta}$  is the estimated parameter (either  $\hat{\alpha}_0$  or  $\hat{\beta}_0$ ).
- p-value: describing the likelihood of obtaining the observed data under the null hypothesis of a statistical test.
- R-squared: How good the data fit the model
- Adjusted R-squared: improved version of  $R^2$  adjusted for the number of regressors relative to the sample size.
- Standard Error of Regression (SER): The standard deviation of residuals.
- Sum of Squared Residuals (SSR): The sum of squared residuals.
- Log Likelihood: The value of the log-likelihood function for the fitted model.
- Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Schwarz Criterion (BIC): how good the variable is in the model, it will punish the variable that is not important.
- **F-statistic:** Tests of significance of the regression.
- Prob(F-statistic): The p-value of F-test.
- Durbin-Watson Stat: A test for autocorrelation in the residuals.

#### Q1-b

Recall the ols model:

$$y_t = \alpha_0 + \beta_0 x_t + \epsilon_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, n, \tag{2}$$

With the assumption

$$\epsilon_t \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2).$$
 (3)

Otherwise you cannot do confdience interval

Step one: Drive the expression for the  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$ 

RSS = 
$$\sum_{t=1}^{n} (y_t - \alpha_0 - \beta_0 x_t)^2$$
. (4)

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n (x_t - \bar{x})(y_t - \bar{y})}{\sum_{t=1}^n (x_t - \bar{x})^2}$$
 (5)

We can rewrite it as following:

$$\frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} \tag{6}$$

$$S_{xy} = \sum_{t=1}^{n} (x_t - \bar{x})(y_t - \bar{y})$$
 (7)

$$S_{xx} = \sum_{t=1}^{n} (x_t - \bar{x})^2. \tag{8}$$

For the intercept

$$\hat{\alpha}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_0 \bar{x}. \tag{9}$$

step two: apply the law or the large number (LLN) and Central Limit Theorem (CLT)

because we assume the model is stationary and  $\epsilon_t \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$ , we will get the finite variance. by law the large number (LLN)

The sample mean  $\bar{x}$  converges to  $E(x_t)$ . scaled sum of squares converges to the variance of the  $x_t$ 

$$\frac{S_{xx}}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} (x_t - \bar{x})^2 \xrightarrow{p} \operatorname{Var}(x_t).$$
(10)

Therefore we can say we have a consistency of the estimatiors. simplifiy:

$$S_{xy} = \sum_{t=1}^{n} (x_t - \bar{x})(y_t - \bar{y})$$
(11)

$$y_t = \alpha_0 + \beta_0 x_t + \epsilon_t \tag{12}$$

$$\bar{y} = \alpha_0 + \beta_0 \bar{x}. \tag{13}$$

$$y_t - \bar{y} = (\alpha_0 + \beta_0 x_t + \epsilon_t) - (\alpha_0 + \beta_0 \bar{x}). \tag{14}$$

$$y_t - \bar{y} = \beta_0(x_t - \bar{x}) + \epsilon_t. \tag{15}$$

$$S_{xy} = \sum_{t=1}^{n} (x_t - \bar{x})\epsilon_t. \tag{16}$$

Recall the CLT

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0) \approx \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n (x_t - \bar{x})\epsilon_t}{S_{xx}/n} \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{\text{Var}(x_t)}\right). \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{S_{xx}}{n} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} (x_t - \bar{x})^2}{n} \tag{18}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n (x_t - \bar{x})^2}{n} \tag{19}$$

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n (x_t - \bar{x})\epsilon_t}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]} \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{\operatorname{Var}(x_t)}\right). \tag{20}$$

Then the distribution for the  $\beta_0$  is

$$\frac{\sqrt{T}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0)}{\sum_{\substack{t=1 \ (\mathbb{Z}_t = \bar{x}) \\ \mathbb{E}[X^2]}} (21)$$

The 95 confidence interval is:

$$-1.96 \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{T}(\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0)}{\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} (x_t - \bar{x})\epsilon_t}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}} \leqslant 1.96$$
 (22)

$$\frac{-1.96}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} (x_t - \bar{x})\epsilon_t}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]} \leqslant (\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0) \leqslant \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} (x_t - \bar{x})\epsilon_t}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}$$
(23)

$$\hat{\beta}_0 - \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n (x_t - \bar{x})\epsilon_t}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]} \leqslant \beta_0 \leqslant \hat{\beta}_0 + \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n (x_t - \bar{x})\epsilon_t}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]}$$
(24)

## 0.2 Q1-c

$$\hat{\alpha}_0 = 0.005851$$
, Std.  $\operatorname{Error}(\hat{\alpha}_0) = 0.006054$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_0 = 1.188280$ , Std.  $\operatorname{Error}(\hat{\beta}_0) = 0.146546$ .

The sample size is n = 132. For a 95% confidence interval, we use:

$$t_{0.025, 130} \approx 1.978$$

Confidence Interval for  $\alpha_0$ 

$$\hat{\alpha}_0 \pm t_{0.025,130} \times \text{Std. Error}(\hat{\alpha}_0) = 0.005851 \pm 1.978 \times 0.006054$$
  
=  $(0.005851 - 0.01197, 0.005851 + 0.01197)$   
=  $(-0.0061, 0.0178)$ .

Confidence Interval for  $\beta_0$ 

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \pm t_{0.025,130} \times \text{Std. Error}(\hat{\beta}_0) = 1.188280 \pm 1.978 \times 0.146546$$
  
=  $(1.188280 - 0.2899, 1.188280 + 0.2899)$   
=  $(0.8984, 1.4782).$ 

## **Question Two**

### 0.3 Q2-a

Recall the CLT Condition:

$$\bar{Z} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_t. \tag{25}$$

$$\sqrt{T}\bar{Z} \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \operatorname{Var}(\sqrt{T}\bar{Z})\right), \quad \text{as } T \to \infty.$$
(26)

Therefore, for CLT to hold, the long-run variance:

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\sqrt{T}\bar{Z}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sqrt{T}\bar{Z}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{27}$$

must be finite.

Then, we expanding the variance

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\sqrt{T}\bar{Z}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\sum_{t=1}^{T}Z_{t}\right)^{2}\right]$$
(28)

$$= \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_t \right)^2 \right] \tag{29}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T} \left[ T \mathbb{E}[Z_0^2] + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{T-1} (T-m) \mathbb{E}[Z_0 Z_m] \right]$$
 (30)

$$= \mathbb{E}[Z_0^2] + 2\sum_{m=1}^{T-1} \left(1 - \frac{m}{T}\right) \mathbb{E}[Z_0 Z_m]. \tag{31}$$

The second summation term,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{T-1} \left(1 - \frac{m}{T}\right) \mathbb{E}[Z_0 Z_m],\tag{32}$$

is Bartlett's kernel.

Recall, the AR(1) equation in the quesiton

$$Y_t = \alpha_0 + \beta Z_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim \text{i.i.d.}(0, \sigma^2). \tag{33}$$

assume  $\beta$  is a stationary and ergioic process

For this process, the autocovariance function is:

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_0 Z_m] = \gamma_m = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \beta^2} \beta^m. \tag{34}$$

Substituting this into Bartlett's kernel:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{T-1} \left( 1 - \frac{m}{T} \right) \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \beta^2} \beta^m. \tag{35}$$

Therefore  $\beta$  need to  $|\beta|<1$  to be stationary that the variance will not explore.  $|\beta|<1$  (Stationary)

• The term  $\beta^m$  decays exponentially.

- The infinite sum  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta^m$  converges to  $\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$ .
- Therefore, Bartlett's kernel sum remains finite, meaning  $\operatorname{Var}(\sqrt{T}\bar{Z})$  is well-defined.
- Futhermore, CLT holds.

#### 0.4 Q2-b

## **Question Three**

### 0.5 Q3-a

Recall the equation for the AR(2) are:

$$X_t = a_1 X_{t-1} + a_2 X_{t-2} + \epsilon_t \tag{36}$$

The first Yule-walker equation

$$E[X_t X_{t-1}] = a_1 E[X_{t-1}^2] + a_2 E[X_{t-1} X_{t-2}] + E[X_{t-1} \epsilon_t]$$
(37)

Since  $E[X_{t-1}\epsilon_t] = 0$ , we get:

$$\gamma(1) = a_1 \operatorname{Var}(X) + a_2 \gamma(1) \tag{38}$$

$$\gamma(1) = \frac{a_1}{1 - a_2} \operatorname{Var}(X) \tag{39}$$

The second Yule-walker equation

$$E[X_t X_{t-2}] = a_1 E[X_{t-1} X_{t-2}] + a_2 E[X_{t-2}^2] + E[X_{t-2}\epsilon_t]$$
(40)

Since  $E[X_{t-2}\epsilon_t] = 0$ , we get:

$$\gamma(2) = a_1 \gamma(1) + a_2 \operatorname{Var}(X) \tag{41}$$

The third Yule-walker equation

$$E[X_t X_{t-3}] = a_1 E[X_{t-1} X_{t-3}] + a_2 E[X_{t-2} X_{t-3}] + E[X_{t-3} \epsilon_t]$$
(42)

Since  $E[X_{t-3}\epsilon_t] = 0$ , we get:

$$\gamma(3) = a_1 \gamma(2) + a_2 \gamma(1) \tag{43}$$

#### 0.6 Q3-b

Recall the equation for the AR(5) are:

$$X_t = a_1 X_{t-1} + a_2 X_{t-2} + a_3 X_{t-3} + a_4 X_{t-4} + a_5 X_{t-5} + \epsilon_t$$

$$\tag{44}$$

The first Yule-Walker equation

$$E[X_{t}X_{t-1}] = a_{1}E[X_{t-1}^{2}] + a_{2}E[X_{t-1}X_{t-2}] + a_{3}E[X_{t-1}X_{t-3}] + a_{4}E[X_{t-1}X_{t-4}] + a_{5}E[X_{t-1}X_{t-5}] + E[X_{t-1}\epsilon_{t}]$$

$$(45)$$

Since  $E[X_{t-1}\epsilon_t] = 0$ , we obtain:

$$\gamma(1) = a_1 \text{Var}(X) + a_2 \gamma(1) + a_3 \gamma(2) + a_4 \gamma(3) + a_5 \gamma(4)$$
(46)

The Second Yule-Walker equation

 $E[X_{t}X_{t-2}] = a_{1}E[X_{t-1}X_{t-2}] + a_{2}E[X_{t-2}^{2}] + a_{3}E[X_{t-2}X_{t-3}] + a_{4}E[X_{t-2}X_{t-4}] + a_{5}E[X_{t-2}X_{t-5}] + E[X_{t-2}\epsilon_{t}]$  (47)

Since  $E[X_{t-2}\epsilon_t] = 0$ , we obtain:

$$\gamma(2) = a_1 \gamma(1) + a_2 \text{Var}(X) + a_3 \gamma(1) + a_4 \gamma(2) + a_5 \gamma(3)$$
(48)

The Third Yule-Walker equation

$$E[X_{t}X_{t-3}] = a_{1}E[X_{t-1}X_{t-3}] + a_{2}E[X_{t-2}X_{t-3}] + a_{3}E[X_{t-3}^{2}] + a_{4}E[X_{t-3}X_{t-4}] + a_{5}E[X_{t-3}X_{t-5}] + E[X_{t-3}\epsilon_{t}]$$

$$(49)$$

Since  $E[X_{t-3}\epsilon_t] = 0$ , we obtain:

$$\gamma(3) = a_1 \gamma(2) + a_2 \gamma(1) + a_3 \text{Var}(X) + a_4 \gamma(1) + a_5 \gamma(2)$$
(50)

The Fourth Yule-Walker equation

$$E[X_{t}X_{t-4}] = a_{1}E[X_{t-1}X_{t-4}] + a_{2}E[X_{t-2}X_{t-4}] + a_{3}E[X_{t-3}X_{t-4}] + a_{4}E[X_{t-4}^{2}] + a_{5}E[X_{t-4}X_{t-5}] + E[X_{t-4}\epsilon_{t}]$$
(51)

Since  $E[X_{t-4}\epsilon_t] = 0$ , we obtain:

$$\gamma(4) = a_1 \gamma(3) + a_2 \gamma(2) + a_3 \gamma(1) + a_4 \text{Var}(X) + a_5 \gamma(1)$$
(52)

The Fifth Yule-Walker equation

$$E[X_{t}X_{t-5}] = a_{1}E[X_{t-1}X_{t-5}] + a_{2}E[X_{t-2}X_{t-5}] + a_{3}E[X_{t-3}X_{t-5}] + a_{4}E[X_{t-4}X_{t-5}] + a_{5}E[X_{t-5}^{2}] + E[X_{t-5}\epsilon_{t}]$$

$$(53)$$

Since  $E[X_{t-5}\epsilon_t] = 0$ , we obtain:

$$\gamma(5) = a_1 \gamma(4) + a_2 \gamma(3) + a_3 \gamma(2) + a_4 \gamma(1) + a_5 \text{Var}(X)$$
(54)

## **Question Four**

## 0.7 Q4-a

# **Question Five**

#### 0.8 Q5-a

recall the FCLT

$$\frac{S_{\lfloor T\tau \rfloor}}{\sqrt{T}} \xrightarrow{d} \sigma_{\epsilon} B(\tau), \tag{55}$$

For large T, we approximate:

$$S_{1,t} \approx \sigma_{\epsilon} \sqrt{T} B\left(\frac{t}{T}\right).$$
 (56)

Substituting the approximation into the sum

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} S_{1,t}^2 \approx \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 T \sum_{t=1}^{T} B^2 \left(\frac{t}{T}\right). \tag{57}$$

As  $T \to \infty$ , the Riemann sum  $\sum_{t=1}^T B^2\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)$  converges to the integral  $\int_0^1 B^2(\tau) d\tau$ .

$$\int_0^1 B^2(\tau) \, d\tau \sim \frac{1}{3} \chi^2(1),\tag{58}$$

where  $\chi^2(1)$  denotes a chi-squared distribution with 1 degree of freedom.

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} S_{1,t}^{2} \approx \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} T \cdot \frac{1}{3} \chi^{2}(1). \tag{59}$$

The sampling distribution of  $\sum_{t=1}^{T} S_{1,t}^2$  is:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} S_{1,t}^2 \sim \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 T}{3} \chi^2(1). \tag{60}$$

#### 0.9 Q5-b

Recall the FCLT

$$\frac{S_{\lfloor T\tau \rfloor}}{\sqrt{T}} \xrightarrow{d} \sigma_{\epsilon} B(\tau), \tag{61}$$

For large T, approximate:

$$S_{1,t} \approx \sigma_{\epsilon} \sqrt{T} B\left(\frac{t}{T}\right).$$
 (62)

Substitute the approximation of  $S_{1,t}$ :

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} S_{1,t} \eta_t \approx \sigma_{\epsilon} \sqrt{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} B\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \eta_t.$$
 (63)

Since  $B\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)$  varies slowly over t, approximate it as constant over small intervals:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} B\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \eta_t \approx \sigma_\eta \int_0^1 B(\tau) dW(\tau), \tag{64}$$

where  $W(\tau)$  is another Brownian motion representing the cumulative effect of  $\eta_t$ .

It is known that

$$\int_0^1 B(\tau) dW(\tau) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[B(\tau)^2] d\tau\right). \tag{65}$$

Since  $\mathbb{E}[B(\tau)^2] = \tau$ :

$$\int_0^1 \tau \, d\tau = \frac{1}{2}.\tag{66}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{0}^{1} B(\tau) dW(\tau) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{67}$$

Combine the results:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} S_{1,t} \eta_t \approx \sigma_{\epsilon} \sqrt{T} \cdot \sigma_{\eta} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{68}$$

Therefore, we conclude:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} S_{1,t} \eta_t \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2 \sigma_\eta^2 T}{2}\right). \tag{69}$$