1. We first check that  $f_*$  is well defined, by definition of being a strong deformation retract we have  $f_*:A\to A$  as the identity map on objects, furthermore if  $\gamma$  is a path, then  $f(\gamma,1)\subset Y$ , so that we only need check that  $f_*$  is well defined on equivalence classes of paths to see that  $f_*$  is a map from  $\Pi(X,A)$  to  $\Pi(Y,A)$ . Let  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be homotopic paths (with respect to A), then since  $f_*$  is a strong deformation retract onto Y, we have  $f_*(\gamma)\sim_A\gamma$  and  $f_*(\gamma')\sim_A\gamma'$  are homotopic. It follows that by transitivity

$$f_*(\gamma) \sim_A \gamma \sim_A \gamma' \sim_A f_*(\gamma')$$

are homotopic with respect to A.

To show  $f_*$  is an isomorphism of groupoids it will suffice to provide an inverse. Define g as the embedding of Y into X, it is clear that  $g_*$  is identity on objects and well defined on paths.  $f_*g_*=1_{\Pi(Y,A)}$  since both are identity on A, and if  $\gamma$  is a path in Y, then both g and f fix  $\gamma$ , hence  $f_*g_*([\gamma])=[\gamma]$ . Now considering  $g_*f_*$ , we once again have both being identity on A. Now if  $\gamma$  is a path in X, f being a strong deformation retract implies that  $\gamma$  is homotopic in X to  $f(\gamma,1)$  with respect to A, but then  $g(f(\gamma,1),1)=f(\gamma,1)$ , so that  $g(f(\gamma,1),1)\sim_A \gamma$ . This implies that  $g_*f_*([\gamma])=[\gamma]$ .

2.

**3.** (a) Consider  $F = \langle a, b \rangle$  to be the free group on 2 generators. Then we can take the group homomorphism defined on generators,  $\varphi : F \to G$ ,  $a \mapsto xy, b \mapsto yxy$ . To check that this is onto, we need only check  $x, y \in \varphi(F)$ , but this is straightforward, since

$$\varphi(ba^{-1}) = \varphi(b)\varphi(a)^{-1} = yxyy^{-1}x^{-1} = y \qquad \qquad \varphi(a^2b^{-1}) = \varphi(a)\varphi(ba^{-1})^{-1} = xyy^{-1} = xyy^{-1$$

By definition of G, we have  $\ker \varphi = \{\alpha \in F | \varphi(\alpha) \in \langle xyxy^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1} \rangle \}$ , where  $xyxy^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1} = \varphi(a^3b^{-2})$ , so that  $\varphi(\alpha) \in \langle \varphi(a^3b^{-2}) \rangle$  exactly when  $\alpha \in \langle a^3b^{-2} \rangle$ . Hence we have  $\ker \varphi = \langle a^3b^{-2} \rangle$ , so that by the first isomorphism theorem

$$H \simeq F/\langle a^3 b^{-2} \rangle = F/\ker \varphi \simeq G$$

(b) We have the relation  $xy^2x^{-1} = y^3$ , then writing conjugation by x as  $\phi$ , we have in general  $\phi(y^{2n}) = \phi(y^2)^n = y^{3n}$ . Applying two conjugations it is easy to see that  $x^2y^4x^{-2} = xy^6x^{-1} = y^9$ . A little harder is

$$x^3y^4x^{-3} = (x^3y)y^4(x^3y)^{-1} = (yx^2)y^4(yx^2)^{-1} = y(x^2y^4x^{-2})y^{-1} = y(y^9)y^{-1} = y^9 = x^2y^4x^{-2}$$

This implies that  $y^6 = xy^4x^{-1} = y^4$  and hence  $y^2 = 1$ . Our original relations then give us x = yx implying y = 1 which implies that  $x^2 = x^3$ , so that x = 1 as well.

4.