**1.** From the notes if the roots of f are  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , then

$$\operatorname{Disc}(f) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} f'(\theta_i)$$

In this case, the roots are  $\{\zeta_n^i\}_{i=1}^n$ , so that

$$\operatorname{Disc}(x^{n} - 1) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{1}^{n} n \zeta_{n}^{-i} = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} n^{n} \prod_{1}^{n} \zeta_{n}^{-i}$$
$$= (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} n^{n} \prod_{1}^{n} \zeta_{n}^{i} = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} n^{n} (-1)^{n} \prod_{1}^{n} -\zeta_{n}^{i}$$

Then we can recognize  $\prod_{1}^{n} - \zeta_{n}^{i}$  as the constant term of  $x^{n} - 1$ , since  $x^{n} - 1 = \prod_{1}^{n} (x - \zeta_{n}^{i})$  implies that  $\prod_{1}^{n} - \zeta_{n}^{i} = -1$ , hence

$$(-1)^n \prod_{1}^{n} -\zeta_n^i = (-1)^n (-1) = (-1)^{n-1} = \overline{(-1)^{n-1}} = \overline{(-1)^n \prod_{1}^{n} -\zeta_n^i} = (-1)^n (-1)$$

Substituting this in to the original expression, we get

$$\operatorname{Disc}(x^{n}-1) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} n^{n} \overline{(-1)^{n} \prod_{1}^{n} -\zeta_{n}^{i}} = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}+n-1} n^{n}$$

As desired.

2. Denote f(t) as the polynomial in the question, then notice  $f(t) = (t+1)^3 - 5$ . I claim that the splitting field of f(t) is equal to the splitting field of  $f(t) = t^3 - 5 = f(t-1)$ . As proof, if  $\alpha$  is a root of f(t), then  $f(t) = t^3 - 5 = f(t-1)$ . As proof, if  $f(t) = t^3 - 5 = f(t-1)$ , then  $f(t) = t^3 - 5 = f(t-1)$ . As proof, if  $f(t) = t^3 - 5 = f(t-1)$ , then  $f(t) = t^3 - 5 = f(t-1)$ . As proof, if  $f(t) = t^3 - 5 = f(t-1)$ , then  $f(t) = t^3 - 5 = f(t-1)$ . As proof, if  $f(t) = t^3 - 5 = f(t-1)$ , then  $f(t) = t^3 - 5 = f(t-1)$ . As proof, if f(

$$\mathbb{Q}(5^{1/3}, 5^{1/3}\zeta_3, 5^{1/3}\zeta_3^{-1}) = \mathbb{Q}(5^{1/3}, \zeta_3)$$

3. We note that by Gauss' lemma, we can check for irreducibility in  $\mathbf{F}_p[X,Y][T]$ . Assume f=gh, then  $\deg_{X,Y}g+\deg_{X,Y}h=1$ , so we can assume wlog that  $\deg_{X,Y}g=1$ , and  $\deg_{X,Y}h=0$ , then  $g=Xg_1(T)+Yg_2(T)+g_3(T)$ , where  $g_i\in\mathbf{F}_p[T]$ , then  $hg_2=1$  implies that h is a unit, so f is irreducible. To see that  $[L:k]_s=p$ , we write  $f(T)=p(T^p)$ , where  $p(T)=T^p+XT+Y$  (seperable, and irreducible by irreducibility of f), so that each root of f has multiplicity p, and hence p conjugates. Taking an algebraically closed field  $K\supset L$ , and an embedding  $\sigma:\mathbf{F}_p(X,Y)\to K$ , the number of ways to extend  $\sigma$  to  $k(\alpha)$  is the number of conjuates of  $\alpha$ , which is equal to p, hence by definition  $[L:k]_s=p$ .

Consider the extension  $k(\alpha^p)$ , then we have  $k(\alpha)/k(\alpha^p)/k$ , then  $\alpha^p$  is a root of p(T), hence this is a degree p and therefore proper intermediate extension, since  $\min(\alpha^p, k)$  is separable, this is also a separable extension, equal to the separable closure of k in  $k(\alpha)$  since it has degree equal to  $[k(\alpha):k]_s$ . To show this extension is unique, suppose for contradiction there exists some other intermediate extension k'. Note that any proper intermediate extension must be such that  $[k(\alpha):k']=[k':k]=p$ , but then [k':k] must be purely inseparable, since if it were

seperable then it would have to be equal to  $k_{\text{sep}} = k(\alpha^p)$ , and  $[k':k]_s|p$ , implies that k'/k is purely inseperable. Consequently  $k(\alpha)/k'$  must be a seperable extension, let  $q(T) := \min(\alpha, k')$ , then  $\deg(q) = p$  and q|f, since  $f(\alpha) = 0$ , and  $f(T) \in k'[T]$ . Now, since f has p unique factors of multiplicity p and q has p distinct linear factors, it must be the case that  $q^p = f$ , from the binomial theorem we can see that q must be  $T^p + T\sqrt[p]{X} + \sqrt[p]{Y}$ . This implies that  $\sqrt[p]{X}, \sqrt[p]{Y} \in k'$ , so that  $k' = k(\sqrt[p]{X})(\sqrt[p]{Y})/k(\sqrt[p]{X})/k$  is a tower of degree p extensions, so that  $[k':k] = p^2$ , a contradiction.

Then if L/E/k is a tower, such that E/k is not seperable, it must be the case that E=L, and in this case, the extension L/k is not purely inseperable.

- 4. 1. No, although every finite extension is algebraic not every finite extension is seperable. As a counter example consider  $\mathbf{F}_p(t)(\alpha)/\mathbf{F}_p(t)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a root of the irreducible polynomial (irreducible by Gauss' Lemma, then Eisensteins criterion)  $X^p t$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p(t)[X]$ . By construction we have  $[\mathbf{F}_p(t)(\alpha) : \mathbf{F}_p(t)] = p$  so the extension is finite, but  $\min(\alpha, \mathbf{F}_p(t)(\alpha)) = X^p t$  has zero derivative so the extension is not seperable.
  - 2. No, the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$  is a counterexample. As proof, first note that the extension is seperable since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is perfect. However, we have the embedding (fixing  $\mathbb{Q}$ )  $\sigma: \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) \to \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\sigma: \sqrt[3]{2} \mapsto \zeta_3 \sqrt[3]{2}$ , then by the extension theorem this can be extended to an automorphism  $\overline{\sigma}: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ .  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$  is not fixed under this automorphism implying it is not normal.
  - 3. No, in fact every purely inseperable extension is normal. Existence of purely inseperable extensions is proven in the first counter example (for a more in depth proof of why this extension is purely inseperable see the lemma in problem 5). To see they are normal, let E/F be a purely inseperable extension, and L an algebraically closed field containing E. Then for any  $\alpha \in E$   $\alpha$  is the only root of its minimum polynomial over F. Hence if  $\sigma$  is an F-automorphism of E, then we have seen E0 is a root of E1, hence E2 is fixed. Since this holds for all elements of E3, we have that E4 is an automorphism of E5 so that E6 is normal.
- **5.** We have that  $a \in E$  is purely inseperable if and only if  $a^{p^n} \in F$  for some  $n \ge 0$ , denote this value of n for an element  $\alpha$  as  $n_{\alpha}$ . We also have that each element of F is purely inseperable. Now suppose that  $a, b \in E$  are purely inseperable, we have that

$$(a+b)^{p^{\max\{n_a,n_b\}}} = a^{p^{\max\{n_a,n_b\}}} + b^{p^{\max\{n_a,n_b\}}} \in F$$
$$(ab)^{p^{\max\{n_a,n_b\}}} = a^{p^{\max\{n_a,n_b\}}} b^{p^{\max\{n_a,n_b\}}} \in F$$
$$(a^{-1})^{n_a} = (a^{n_a})^{-1} \in F$$

Since purely inseperable extensions are normal and  $[P:F] \leq [E:F] < \infty$ , we have that P is the splitting field of a polynomial f over F. Each of the irreducible factors  $\{f_i\}_1^n$  of f must be purely inseperable, hence over P we have

$$f = \prod_{1}^{n} (x - \alpha_i)^{p^{k_i}}$$

So we can take the tower of purely inseperable simple extensions (it is easy to see the extensions are purely inseperable since  $[P:F]_s = 1$ )

$$P = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) / \dots / F(\alpha_1) / F$$

Where each simple extension has p-power order by inseperability of the elements, and hence P/F has p-power order by multiplicativity of degree.