

1. Let  $P \subset A$  be prime, then it will suffice to show that  $A/P$  is a field which is equivalent to maximality of  $P$  by the correspondence theorem. Consider  $0 \neq x \in A/P$ , then choose  $n \geq 2$  such that  $x^n = x$ , it follows that  $x(1 - x^{n-1}) = x - x = 0$ , and since  $P$  is prime  $A/P$  is a domain which implies that  $1 - x^{n-1} = 0$ , so that  $x^{n-1} = 1$  in  $A/P$ .  $\square$

2. Suppose that  $M$  is not flat, then we can fix modules  $A, B$ , such that

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$$

is exact, but

$$0 \longrightarrow A \otimes M \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1_M} B \otimes M$$

is not. It follows that there is some  $0 \neq \sum_1^n a_i \otimes x_i \in A \otimes M$ , such that  $f \otimes 1_M(\sum_1^n a_i \otimes x_i) = 0$ . I claim that  $M_0 = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is the desired submodule. To see this, note  $(f \times 1)|_{A \times M_0} = f \times 1_{M_0}$ , and if  $j$  is the map  $A \times M \rightarrow A \otimes M$  in the definition of the tensor, then  $j|_{A \times M_0}$  is equal the map  $A \times M_0 \rightarrow A \otimes M_0$  in the definition of the tensor. It follows that for any  $v \in A \otimes M_0$ ,  $v = j|_{A \times M_0}(u)$ ,  $u \in A \times M_0$ , so that

$$f \otimes 1_{M_0}(v) = f \otimes 1_{M_0}j|_{A \times M_0}(u) = f \times 1_{M_0}(u) = f \times 1_M(u) = f \otimes 1_M j(u)$$

and hence  $f \otimes 1_{M_0}j|_{M_0}(\sum_1^n (a_i, x_i)) = f \otimes 1_M j(\sum_1^n (a_i, x_i)) = f \otimes 1_M(\sum_1^n a_i \otimes x_i) = 0$ , where  $0 \neq \sum_1^n a_i \otimes x_i = j(\sum_1^n (a_i, x_i)) = j|_{A \times M_0}(\sum_1^n (a_i, x_i))$  which suffices to show that  $f \otimes 1_{M_0}$  is not injective, and hence  $M_0$  is not flat, with the following sequence as witness.

$$0 \longrightarrow A \otimes M_0 \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1_{M_0}} B \otimes M_0 \quad \square$$

3. Since  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  is a PID, it satisfies Bezout's identity. So assume  $f_1, f_2$  are coprime polynomials, it follows that there exist  $g, h \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ , such that  $f_1 h + f_2 g = 1$ . Now let  $m \otimes n \in M_1 \otimes M_2$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} m \otimes n &= (f_1 h + f_2 g)(m \otimes n) = f_1 h(m \otimes n) + f_2 g(m \otimes n) = h(f_1 m \otimes n) + g(m \otimes f_2 n) \\ &= h(0 \otimes n) + g(m \otimes 0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $f_1(a) = f_2(a) = 0$ . Let  $I = (X - a)$  and consider the map multiplication map

$$m : \mathbb{C}[X] \times \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]/(X - a), (f, g) \mapsto fg + I$$

To see that this defines a bilinear map  $M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]/I$  it will suffice to check that  $m$  is well defined on cosets so that we can take the induced bilinear map

$$\bar{m} : M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]/I, (f + (f_1), g + (f_2)) \mapsto fg + I$$

Let  $g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ , then

$$m(g_1 + h_1 f_1, g_2 + h_2 f_2) = g_1 g_2 + g_1 h_2 f_2 + g_2 h_1 f_1 + h_1 h_2 f_1 f_2 + I = g_1 g_2 + I$$

the last equality following since both  $f_i \in I$ . It follows that  $\bar{m} : M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]/I$  is a nonzero (since  $(1, 1) \mapsto 1$ ) bilinear map, so  $\bar{m} = \eta j$  where  $j$  is the map from the definition of the tensor product and  $\eta : M_1 \otimes M_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]/I$ . Since  $\bar{m}$  is non-zero, it follows that  $\eta$  is nonzero and hence  $M_1 \otimes M_2 \neq 0$  since  $\eta \notin \{0\} = \text{Hom}(0, \mathbb{C}[X]/I)$ .  $\square$

4. Consider the exact sequence of  $A$  modules

$$0 \longrightarrow (t) \xrightarrow{\iota} A$$

Where  $\iota : t \mapsto t$ , injectivity and therefore exactness is clear. To see  $N$  is not flat, tensor the above sequence to get

$$0 \longrightarrow (t) \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{\iota_*} A \otimes_A N$$

Here we have

$$\iota_*(t \otimes e_3) = t(1 \otimes e_3) = 1 \otimes te_3 = 1 \otimes 0 = 0$$

So it will suffice to show that  $0 \neq t \otimes e_3 \in (t) \otimes_A N$  to conclude that  $\iota_*$  is not injective. Consider  $\phi : N \rightarrow N$ ,  $\phi : e_i \mapsto \delta_{i3}e_2$  and extending linearly (here  $\delta_{i3}$  is the Kronecker delta). Define the map  $\varphi : (t) \times N \rightarrow N$  via  $\varphi : (x, y) \mapsto x\phi(y)$ , it is immediate that  $\varphi$  is  $A$ -bilinear, hence  $\varphi$  factors through  $j : (t) \times N \rightarrow (t) \otimes_A N$ . We have that  $\varphi(t, e_3) = te_2 = e_1 \neq 0$ , so that since  $\varphi$  factors through  $j$  we have  $t \otimes e_3 = j(t, e_3) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

5. Suppose that  $r \leq n$ , and  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r$  generate  $I$  as an  $A$  module. It is immediate that  $I^2$  is the ideal generated by all degree 2 monomials of  $A$ , it follows that by assumption each monomial in  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  is divisible by some element of  $I^2$ , and hence  $(f_i)_1^m / I^2 = 0$ . Furthermore,  $\{g_i\}_1^r$  generating  $I$  as an  $A$ -module implies that  $\{g_i + I^2\}_1^r$  generate  $I/I^2$  as an  $A/I$  module, since  $A/I \otimes_A I \cong I/I^2$  (here the bilinear map inducing isomorphism is multiplication). Applying the third isomorphism theorem,

$$A/I \cong \frac{\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I}{(f_1, \dots, f_m)/I} \cong \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I \cong \mathbb{R}$$

so that in fact  $\{g_i + I^2\}_1^r$  span  $I/I^2$  as an  $A/I$  vectorspace. Here

$$I/I^2 \cong \bigoplus_1^n X_i A/I$$

where both the spanning and zero intersection properties are obvious, implying that  $I/I^2$  has dimension  $n$  as an  $A/I$  vectorspace, since any spanning set must have atleast  $n$  elements, we conclude that that  $r = n$   $\square$

6.  $A[X] = \bigoplus_0^\infty AX^i$  as an  $A$ -module, assume for contradiction that  $\bigoplus_0^\infty AX^i \cong \bigoplus_0^\infty A$  is not flat, then applying problem 2, there is some finitely generated submodule  $M_0$ , such that  $M_0$  is not flat. Since submodules of free modules are free, we know that  $M_0 \cong \bigoplus_1^n A$ , implying that  $\bigoplus_1^n A$  is not flat, but this is a contradiction, since this is only the case if

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{f} L$$

is exact, but the following sequence is not

$$0 \longrightarrow K \otimes \bigoplus_1^n A \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1 \oplus 1 \otimes A} L \otimes \bigoplus_1^n A$$

but this is equivalent to the following sequence not being exact

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_1^n K \otimes A \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_1^n f \otimes 1_A} \bigoplus_1^n L \otimes A$$

which once again is equivalent to the following not being exact

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_1^n K \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_1^n f} \bigoplus_1^n L$$

where  $\bigoplus_1^n f$  is injective since  $f$  is.

□