I collaborated with Justin Wan on problem 2.

1. **(a)** Let $(x,y) \sim (w,z)$, then $w = \lambda x, z = \lambda^{-1}y$, so that $wz = \lambda\lambda^{-1}xy = xy$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}/(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})$ be the quotient. Then $g(x,y) = f\pi(x,y) = xy$, is a polynomial function hence continuous. To show f is continuous, let $U \in \mathbb{R}$ be open, then $f^{-1}(U)$ is open iff $\pi^{-1}f^{-1}(U)$ by definition of quotient, but this is exactly $g^{-1}(U)$ which is open since g is continuous.

(b) $\#\overline{f^{-1}(t)} = 1,\ t \neq 0$ and $\#f^{-1}(0) = 0$. Proof being $xy = 0 \iff x = 0$ or $y = 0$, so the preimages of 0 are $\overline{(1,0)}$ and $\overline{((0,1))}$. If $t \neq 0$, then $t = xy = zw$, we may write $z = \lambda x$, where $\lambda = \frac{z}{x} \neq 0$, then $xy = \lambda xw$, so that $w = \lambda^{-1}y$, proving that $\overline{(x,y)} = \overline{(z,w)}$.

(c) Let $\overline{(1,0)} \in U, \overline{(0,1)} \in V$, for open sets U, V. Then by definition of the quotient $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is open, hence by the local definition of open sets (from homework 1) we have some neighborhood of $(1,0)$ contained in $\pi^{-1}(U)$. This implies that for some $\epsilon_x > 0$, $\{(1,r)|r < \epsilon\} \subset \pi^{-1}(U)$. Similarly, there exists some $\epsilon_y > 0$, such that $\{(r,1)|r,\epsilon\} \subset \pi^{-1}(V)$. Now choose $r = \frac{\min(\epsilon_x,\epsilon_y)}{2}$, so that $\overline{(1,r)} \in \pi\pi^{-1}(U) = U$, and $\overline{(r,1)} \in \pi\pi^{-1}(V) = V$. Then $(r,1) \sim (r1, r^{-1}r) = (1,r)$ implies that $\overline{(r,1)} \in U \cap V$. This proves that X is not hausdorff, since $\overline{(1,0)}$ and $\overline{(0,1)}$ do not satisfy the Hausdorff condition.

(d) Consider the maps

$$\varphi : X \to Y$$
$$\overline{(x,y)} \mapsto \begin{cases} (xy,0) & y \neq 0 \\ (xy,1) & x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$
$$\tilde{\varphi} : Y \to X$$
$$(s,t) \mapsto \begin{cases} \overline{(s,1)} & s \neq 0 \\ \overline{(0,1)} & s = t = 0 \\ \overline{(1,0)} & s = 0, t = 1 \end{cases}$$

To check that this φ is injective (it is well defined by (a)), we only need check that $\overline{(1,0)}, \overline{(0,1)}$ map to seperate points in Y, since part (c) guarantees the other elements are 1-1, so since these points map to 0 in the first coordinate, away from all other points, and map to seperate points in Y the map is injective. To check surjectivity, $(0,0)$ and $(0,1)$ are mapped onto, so we can check the other points. $(x,1) \mapsto (x,0)$ shows surjectivity. Similarly, we check for φ^{-1}, it is well defined since it just extends to equivalence classes. It is onto since $\overline{(0,1)}, \overline{(1,0)}$ are in the image, and any $\overline{(x,y)} \sim (xy,1)$ (for $x,y \neq 0$) has its equivalence class in the image. Injectivity is also clear since $(x,1) \sim (y,1)$ iff $x = y$ and $\overline{(1,0)}$ is only mapped onto by one point. To see that these are inverse maps, it is immediate they are inverses in the case of $(0,1),(0,0) \in Y$ and $\overline{(0,1)}, \overline{(1,0)} \in X$. Checking this for $x,y,s \neq 0$ we have $\varphi^{-1}\varphi(\overline{(x,y)}) = \overline{(xy,1)} \sim (x,y)$ and $\varphi\varphi^{-1}(s,0) = (s,0)$. It remains to show continuity of φ and φ^{-1}.

Continuity of φ: Let U be open in Y, then U is of the form $\pi(V \times \{0\} \sqcup W \times \{1\})$, for $W, V \subset \mathbb{R}$ open. Hence we can write it in the form of $V \setminus \{0\} \cup W \setminus \{0\} \times \{0\} \cup \chi_V \cup \chi_W$

2. Take $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (0,0)$, and S^2 the unit sphere centered at the origin, then $H(x,t) = \frac{x}{1+t(|x|-1)}$ is a strong deformation retract of $\mathbb{R}^3$ onto S^2.

Let J be the filled Torus (i.e. $D^2 \times S^1$), and let $D_{\text{Lat}}, D_{\text{Long}}$ denote the latitudinal and longitudinal discs respectively. Then we may write $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\text{pt}\} = T^2 \sqcup (J^\circ \setminus \{\text{pt}\}) \sqcup (J^c)^\circ$. I will show that $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\text{pt}\}$ strong deformation retracts onto $(J \setminus \{\text{pt}\}) \cup D_{\text{Long}}$, then show that $(J \setminus \{\text{pt}\}) \cup D_{\text{Long}}$ strong deformation retracts onto $T \cup D_{\text{Lat}} \cup D_{\text{Long}}$, the proof follows by transitivity of homotopy equivalence.

For the first equivalence, we can let P be the x,y plane, with $J \setminus \{\text{pt}\}$ embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\text{pt}\}$ at height zero (wlog the point doesn't have height 0). Then we can strong deformation retract $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\text{pt}\}$ by projecting the z-axis onto $P \cup (J \setminus \{\text{pt}\})$. Now given a point (x,y,z), let the homotopy can be written as $H((x,y,z),t) = (x,y,z)$

Lemma. I will use the following lemma to streamline my proofs for problems 3 and 4.
If $\psi : X \to Y$ is a homeomorphism, and $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on X, and $\approx$ a equivalence relation on Y, such that $\psi(a) \approx \psi(b) \iff a \sim b$, then $X/_\sim \simeq Y/_\approx$, this says that homeomorphisms from $X \to Y$ induce homeomorphisms to the quotients when the points in the same equivalence classes induced by the quotient on Y are images of the points in the same equivalence classes induced by the quotient on X, see the diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "\overset{\psi}{\simeq}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_\sim"] & Y \arrow[d, "\pi_\approx"] \\ X/_\sim \arrow[r, "\overset{\overline{\psi}}{\simeq}"] & Y/_\approx \end{tikzcd}$$

proof. Define $\overline{\psi} : X/_{\sim} \to Y/_{\approx}$, by $\overline{\psi} : \overline{x} \mapsto \overline{\psi(x)}$, this is surjective since ψ is surjective and $\overline{\psi}$ is well defined/injective by definition of $\approx$. We can define $\overline{\psi^{-1}} : Y/_{\approx} \to X/_{\sim}$, in the same way. This is the inverse of $\overline{\psi}$, since $\overline{\psi}$ and $\overline{\psi^{-1}}$ are just restrictions to equivalence classes of ψ and ψ^{-1}. To show $\overline{\psi}$ is continuous, note that $\overline{\psi} = \pi_{\approx}\psi$. Let U be open in $Y/_{\approx}$, then the preimage of U under $\pi_{\approx}$ is open by definition, so continuity follows from continuity of ψ. The proof for continuity of $\overline{\psi^{-1}}$ is the same.

Additional Justification for problems 3 and 4. Once again, to streamline the proofs for 3 and 4, I will explain here why the following map is a homeomorphism.

$$C_{\mathbf{1}_{S^1}} \xrightarrow{\psi} D^2$$
$$(\theta, t) \mapsto (\theta, 1-t)$$

This map is clearly bijective, so that it will suffice to show continuity by the closed map lemma, since $C_{\mathbf{1}_{S^1}}$ is the quotient of a compact space hence compact (Heine Borel theorem on $S^1 \times I$) and D^2 is Hausdorff. To see that the map is continuous, let $U \subset D^2$ open. If U does not contain $(0,0)$, then we can just regard ψ as a continuous map between $S^1 \times I$ and D^2 since it is unaffected by the quotient. Now examining the case where U contains $(0,0)$, by the local definition of open it must contain some neighborhood around $(0,0)$, and hence $\pi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(U)$ contains $S^1 \times \{t\}$ for t sufficiently close to 1, so that by definition of the quotient $\overline{(x,1)}$ is contained in an open set in $\psi^{-1}(U)$. Then since D^2 is Hausdorff, each other point is contained in a neighborhood in U not containing $(0,0)$, so its preimage is contained in some neighborhood of $\pi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(U)$ as explained previously, this shows that $\psi^{-1}(U)$ is open by the local definition of open so we are done.

3. We use the equivalent definition of $\mathbb{RP}^2$ as $D^2/_{\sim}$, identifying $e^{ix} \sim e^{-ix}$. Now writing out the mapping cone,

$$C_f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S^1 \times I \sqcup S^1_Y/((e^{ix}, 0) \approx e^{2ix}_Y, (e^{ix}, 1) \approx (e^{iy}, 1))$$

Now consider $x, y \in [0, 2\pi)$ we can notice $(e^{ix}, 0) \approx (e^{iy}, 0) \iff e^{2ix} = e^{2iy}$. WLOG we can assume $x < y$, so that $y = x + r$, $0 < r < 2\pi$. Then with these restrictions $e^{2ix} = e^{2i(x+r)} \iff r = \pi$, so that the equivalence relation identifies $e^{ix} \approx e^{ix+\pi} = e^{-ix}$.

Now define consider the map $C_{\mathbf{1}_{S^1}} \xrightarrow{\psi} D^2$, $(\theta, t) \mapsto (\theta, 1-t)$, this map is a homeomorphism as explained previously. Additionally, the antipodal points on the boundaries of $S^1 \times \{0\}$ and ∂D^2 remain antipodal under this map. So the lemma gives us $D^2/_{\sim} \simeq C_{\mathbf{1}_{S^1}}/_{\approx} = C_f$

4. Note that the triangle is homeomorphic to the disc. We can insribe the triangle in a circle with radius R. Then for each point p, let q be the intersection of the ray through p and the origin with the boundary of the triangle. For each of these points we can map $p \mapsto \frac{Rp}{|q|}$ this is a homeomorphism since q varies smoothly with p and we have inverse $p \mapsto \frac{|q|p}{R}$, where q comes from inscribing the triangle in the circle, which is also continuous. It follows that the equivalence relation induced on D^2 is $e^{ix} \sim e^{ix}e^{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \sim e^{-ix}$, which can be seen by the picture and lemma. So that the dunce cap can be written as $D^2/_{\sim}$.

Include Images HERE

Now consider the maps $\mathbf{1}_{S^1}$ and

$$f : S^1 \to S^1$$
$$e^{ix} \mapsto \begin{cases} e^{3ix} & 0 \le x < \frac{4\pi}{3} \\ e^{-3ix} & \frac{4\pi}{3} \le x < 2\pi \end{cases}$$

Take the mapping cone

$$C_f = S^1 \times I/(x, 0) \sim f(x), (x, 1) \sim (y, 1)$$

For each x, we have $f^{-1}(x) = \{e^{ix/3}, e^{i(x+2\pi)/3}, e^{-ix/3}\}$. We can then take the map $C_{\mathbf{1}_{S^1}} \xrightarrow{\psi} D^2$, where $(x,t) \mapsto (x, 1-t)$, this is a homeomorphism as explained previously. Since C_f is a quotient of $C_{\mathbf{1}_{S^1}}$ by the image of quotients in $D^2/_{\sim}$ via $\psi^{-1}(D^2)$, the lemma implies that $C_f \simeq D^2/_{\sim}$ the dunce cap.

We have that $C_{\mathbf{1}_{S^1}}$ is contractible, using the homotopy $H((x,t), s) = (x, t(1-s))$, so it will suffice to show that $C_f \simeq C_{\mathbf{1}_{S^1}}$, and we have proven in class that homotopic maps have homotopic cones. I will show $f \sim \rho \sim \mathbf{1}_{S^1}$, where

$$\rho : e^{ix} \mapsto \begin{cases} e^{3ix} & 0 < x < 2\pi/3 \\ 1 & 2\pi/3 \le x < 2\pi \end{cases}$$

I will provide H_1 for the first equivalence $f \sim \rho$ and H_2 for the second $\rho \sim \mathbf{1}_{S^1}$.

$$H_1(x,t) : \begin{cases} x \mapsto f(x) & x < \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3}t \text{ or } x > \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3}t \\ x \mapsto f(\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3}t) & \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3}t \le x \le \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3}t \end{cases}$$
$$H_2(x,t) : \begin{cases} x \mapsto f(\frac{x}{1+2t}) \end{cases}$$