

**1. (Folland 1.3.6)** That  $\bar{\mu}$  is a measure is clear, since  $\bar{\mu}(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\text{Im } \bar{\mu} = \text{Im } \mu$  (this shows it is positive) and if  $\{A_i\}_1^\infty$  are disjoint sets in  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ , then each  $A_i$  can be written as  $E_i \cup F_i$  (for  $F_i$ ) contained in null sets  $N_i$  so that  $\bar{\mu}(\bigcup_1^\infty A_i) = \bar{\mu}(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i \cup \bigcup_1^\infty F_i)$ , then  $\bigcup_1^\infty F_i \subset \bigcup_1^\infty N_i$  is a null set, so

$$\bar{\mu}(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i \cup \bigcup_1^\infty F_i) \stackrel{\text{defn.}}{=} \mu(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i) = \sum_1^\infty \mu(E_i) = \sum_1^\infty \bar{\mu}(E_i \cup F_i)$$

Suppose  $N \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}$  with  $\bar{\mu}(N) = 0$  and  $F \subset N$ , then  $N = N_1 \cup N_2$  with  $N_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $N_2 \subset N_3 \in \mathcal{M}$ , so that  $N \subset N_1 \cup N_3$  which is a  $\mu$ -measurable set, hence  $F$  is contained in the null set  $N_1 \cup N_3$  and is  $\mu$ -measurable. Finally to see uniqueness of  $\bar{\mu}$ , suppose  $\mu'$  is another extension of  $\mu$  to  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ , then for some  $E \cup F \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}$  we have  $\mu(E) = \bar{\mu}(E \cup F) \neq \mu'(E \cup F)$ , hence  $\mu'(F) > 0$ , but then  $F \subset N \in \mathcal{M}$  where  $N$  is  $\mu$  null, so that  $0 < \mu'(F) \leq \mu(N) = 0$ .  $\square$

**2. (Folland 1.3.7)** Positivity follows from each  $\mu_j$  and  $a_j$  positive, suppose  $\{E_i\}_1^\infty$  are disjoint, if any of the  $\mu_j(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i) = \infty$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mu_j(E_i) \geq a_j \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(E_i) = a_j \mu_j(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i) = \infty$  and additivity is trivial, otherwise we can interchange sums since they converge in absolute value

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^n a_j \mu_j(\emptyset) &= \sum_1^n 0 = 0 \\ \sum_1^n a_j \mu_j(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i) &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_j(E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mu_j(E_i) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**3. (Folland 1.3.8)** for any  $N$ , we have  $\bigcup_{n=1}^N \bigcap_{j=n}^\infty E_j \subset E_k$  for all  $k \geq N$ , hence  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^N \bigcap_{j=n}^\infty E_j) \leq \liminf \mu(E_k)$ . By continuity from below we have  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^N \bigcap_{j=n}^\infty E_j) = \mu(\liminf E_k)$ , but the limit is bounded above by  $\liminf \mu(E_k)$ , so that  $\mu(\liminf E_k) \leq \liminf \mu(E_k)$ .

For all  $N$ , we have  $\bigcap_{n=1}^N \bigcup_{j=n}^\infty E_j \supset E_k$  for  $k \geq n$ , hence  $\mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^N \bigcup_{j=n}^\infty E_j) \geq \limsup \mu(E_k)$ , since  $\mu(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i) < \infty$  we can invoke continuity from above to conclude

$$\mu(\limsup(E_k)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^N \bigcup_{j=n}^\infty E_j) \geq \limsup \mu(E_k)$$

$\square$

**4. (Folland 1.3.9)** We can decompose the sets of interest as follows:

$$E = (E \setminus F) \sqcup (E \cap F), \quad F = (F \setminus E) \sqcup (F \cap E), \quad E \cup F = F \cap E \sqcup (E \setminus F) \sqcup (F \setminus E)$$

The result follows from additivity on disjoint sets,

$$\mu(E) + \mu(F) = \mu(E \setminus F) + \mu(E \cap F) + \mu(F \setminus E) + \mu(F \cap E) = \mu(E \cup F) + \mu(E \cap F)$$

$\square$

**5. (Folland 1.3.10)** That  $\mu_E$  is nonnegative follows from  $\mu$  nonnegative.  $= \cap E$  so  $\mu_E(\emptyset) = 0$ . Finally if  $\{A_i\}_1^\infty$  are disjoint sets, then so are  $\{A_i \cap E\}_1^\infty$ , hence

$$\mu_E(\bigcup_1^\infty A_i) = \mu(E \cap \bigcup_1^\infty A_i) = \mu(\bigcup_1^\infty E \cap A_i) = \sum_1^\infty \mu(E \cap A_i)$$

$\square$

**6. (Folland 1.3.11)** Suppose  $\{E_i\}_1^\infty$  are disjoint sets, then let  $F_n = \bigcup_1^n E_i$ , it follows that

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_1^\infty F_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(F_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^n \mu(E_i)$$

In the second case, let  $K_n = \bigcap_1^n E_n^c$ , it follows that  $\mu K_1 \leq \mu X$  so we can use continuity from above.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i\right) &= \mu(X) - \mu\left(\bigcap_1^\infty E_i^c\right) = \mu(X) - \mu\left(\bigcap_1^\infty K_n\right) = \mu(X) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(K_n) = \mu(X) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\left(\bigcup_1^n E_n\right)^c\right) \\ &= \mu(X) - \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(X) - \sum_1^n \mu(E_i)\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^n \mu(E_i) \end{aligned}$$

□

**7. (Folland 1.3.12)**

(a)  $E \Delta F = (E \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus E)$ , hence  $\mu(E \setminus F) = \mu(F \setminus E) = 0$ . It follows that

$$\mu(F) \leq \mu(E) + \mu(F \setminus E) = \mu(E) \text{ and } \mu(E) \leq \mu(F) + \mu(E \setminus F) = \mu(F)$$

□

(b) reflexivity follows from  $\mu(E \Delta E) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , symmetry follows from  $E \Delta F = F \Delta E$ , finally transitivity follows from the observation  $H \setminus F \subset (H \setminus E) \cup (E \setminus F)$ , hence  $\mu(H \Delta E) = \mu(E \Delta F) = 0$  implies  $\mu(H \setminus F) \leq \mu(H \setminus E) + \mu(E \setminus F) = 0$  and  $\mu(F \setminus H) \leq \mu(F \setminus E) + \mu(E \setminus H) = 0$  which gives us that  $\mu(H \Delta F) = \mu(H \setminus F) + \mu(F \setminus H) = 0$ , proving transitivity. □

(c)  $\rho(E, F) = 0 \iff E \sim F$ , and  $\rho$  is nonnegative, symmetry follows from symmetry of  $\Delta$ , so  $\rho$  will define a metric if it satisfies the triangle inequality. But as in the previous question  $H \setminus F \subset (H \setminus E) \cup (E \setminus F)$ , applying this inequality the other way this implies that  $\mu(H \Delta F) \leq \mu(H \Delta E) + \mu(E \Delta F)$ , this proves the triangle inequality for  $\rho$ . □

**8. (Folland 1.3.13)** Suppose that  $\mu$  is not semifinite, then there is some  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , such that for all  $F \subset E$  we have  $\mu(F) = \infty$ . Suppose  $X = \bigcup_1^\infty E_i$ , then  $E_i \cap E \neq \emptyset$  for some  $i$ , then  $\infty = \mu(E_i \cap E) \leq \mu(E_i)$ , so that  $X$  cannot be a countable union of sets having finite measure. □

**9. (Folland 1.3.14)** Let  $C = \sup\{\mu(F) \mid F \subset E \text{ and } \mu(F) < \infty\}$  and suppose for contradiction that  $C < \infty$ , then let  $F_n$  be a sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(F_n) = C$ , it follows that  $\mu(\bigcup_1^n F_j) \geq \mu(F_n)$ , hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\bigcup_1^n F_j) = C$ , using continuity from below we see that in fact  $\mu(\bigcup_1^\infty F_n) = C$ . Then  $\mu(E \setminus \bigcup_1^\infty F_n) = \infty$ , so  $E \setminus \bigcup_1^\infty F_n$  has some subset  $A$  with  $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$ , but then

$$C \geq \mu\left(A \bigcup_1^\infty F_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_1^\infty F_n\right) + \mu(A) > \mu\left(\bigcup_1^\infty F_n\right) = C$$

□

**10. (Folland 1.3.15)** (a)  $\mu_0 \geq 0$  and  $\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$  are obvious, Now let  $\{E_i\}_1^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}$  be disjoint sets, if  $\mu_0(E_j) = \infty$  for some  $j$ , then  $\mu_0(E_j) \leq \mu_0(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i)$  (since every finite measure subset of the former is also a finite measure subset of the latter) and we are done. So assuming each  $\mu_0(E_j) < \infty$ , let  $\epsilon > 0$ , then we can choose for each  $E_j$  some  $\mu$ -measurable  $F_j \subset E_j$  such that  $\mu_0(E_j) \geq \mu(F_j) \geq \mu_0(E_j) - \epsilon 2^{-j}$ , so that

$$\mu_0\left(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i\right) \geq \limsup \mu\left(\bigcup_1^n F_j\right) \geq \sum_1^\infty \mu_0(E_i) - \epsilon 2^{-i} = -\epsilon + \sum_1^\infty \mu_0(E_i)$$

since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary this concludes the inequality. To see the converse inequality, let  $F \subset \bigcup_1^\infty E_i$  such that  $\mu(F) < \infty$ , then  $\sum_1^\infty \mu(E_i \cap F) \leq \sum_1^\infty \mu_0(E_i)$ , taking a sequence  $(F_n)_1^\infty$ , such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(F_n) = \mu_0(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i)$  and  $F_n \subset \bigcup_1^\infty E_i$  we conclude that

$$\mu_0\left(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(F_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^\infty \mu(F_n \cap E_i) \leq \sum_1^\infty \mu_0(E_i)$$

so that  $\mu_0$  is a measure. To check that  $\mu_0$  is semifinite, let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu_0(E) = \infty$ , then by definition there is some  $F \subset E$  with  $\mu(F) > 0$ , it follows that  $\mu_0(F) = \mu(F) > 0$ .  $\square$

(b) Suppose  $\mu$  is semifinite, then it is clear for a set  $E$  of finite measure we have  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E)$  by monotonicity. If  $E$  has infinite measure, then by (Folland 1.3.14) we have

$$\mu_0(F) := \sup\{\mu(F) \mid F \subset E \text{ and } \mu(F) < \infty\} = \infty = \mu(F)$$

$\square$

(c) Define  $\nu$  as follows,

$$\nu(E) := \begin{cases} 0 & E \text{ is } \mu\text{-semi-finite} \\ \infty & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Once again positivity and  $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$  are obvious, to check countable additivity let  $\{E_i\}_1^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}$  be disjoint. If  $\nu(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i) = 0$ , then  $\bigcup_1^\infty E_i$  is  $\mu$ -semi-finite, hence so is every  $E_i$ , so that  $\sum_1^\infty \nu(E_i) = \sum_1^\infty 0$ . If  $\nu(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i) = \infty$ , then atleast one  $E_i$  is not semifinite because otherwise for any  $F \subset \bigcup_1^\infty E_i$  with  $\mu(F) = \infty$  and  $\mu_0(F) = 0$  we have  $\infty = \mu(F) = \sum_1^\infty \mu(F \cap E_i)$  and  $0 = \mu_0(F) = \sum_1^\infty \mu_0(F \cap E_i)$ , so that for some  $E_i$  we have  $\mu(F \cap E_i) = \infty$ , but  $E_i$  is semifinite by assumption, so that  $\mu_0(F \cap E_i) \neq 0$  a contradiction, this shows that atleast one  $E_j$  is not semifinite, so that  $\sum_1^\infty \nu(E_i) \geq \nu(E_j) = \infty = \nu(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i)$ . This suffices to show that  $\nu$  is a measure, to see that  $\mu = \mu_0 + \nu$ , let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , if  $E$  is semifinite then either it is finite and  $\mu(E) = \mu(E_0)$  by monotonicity, or it has infinite measure in which case we are done by (Folland 1.3.14). If  $E$  is not semifinite, then  $\infty = \mu(E) = \nu(E) \leq \nu(E) + \mu_0(E)$  and we are done.  $\square$

**11. (Folland 1.3.16)** (a) We can write  $X = \bigcup_1^\infty A_i$  with  $\mu(A_i) < \infty$  by the sigma finite hypothesis, hence if  $E$  is locally measurable, we have  $E = E \cap \bigcup_1^\infty A_i = \bigcup_1^\infty (E \cap A_i) \in \mathcal{M}$  by closure under countable unions.  $\square$

(b) Let  $E \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , then for any  $A$  with  $\mu(A) < \infty$  we have  $E^c \cap A = E \cup A^c = (E \cap A) \cup A^c \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $\{E_i\}_1^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}$ , then  $A \cap \bigcup_1^\infty E_i = \bigcup_1^\infty (A \cap E_i) \in \mathcal{M}$ .  $\square$

(c) positivity and  $\tilde{\mu}(\emptyset) = 0$  are clear. Now let  $\{E_i\}_1^\infty \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  be disjoint, if  $\bigcup_1^\infty E_i \notin \mathcal{M}$ , then atleast one  $E_j \notin \mathcal{M}$ , in which case  $\sum_1^\infty \tilde{\mu}(E_i) \geq \tilde{\mu}(E_j) = \infty = \tilde{\mu}(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i)$ . If  $\bigcup_1^\infty E_i \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $\mu(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i) = \infty$ , then  $\bigcup_1^\infty \tilde{\mu}(E_i)$  is infinity in either the case all  $E_i \in \mathcal{M}$  or the case some  $E_i \notin \mathcal{M}$ . Finally, if  $\bigcup_1^\infty E_i \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $\mu(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i) < \infty$ , then  $E_i = E_i \cap (\bigcup_1^\infty E_i) \in \mathcal{M}$ , so that

$$\tilde{\mu}\left(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i\right) = \sum_1^\infty \mu(E_i) = \sum_1^\infty \tilde{\mu}(E_i)$$

$\square$

(d) Suppose  $F \subset N$  where  $N$  is a  $\tilde{\mu}$ -null set, then  $\tilde{\mu}(N) = 0 \neq \infty \implies N \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mu(N) = \tilde{\mu}(N) = 0$ , it follows by completion of  $\mu$  that  $F \in \mathcal{M} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ .  $\square$

(e) It is clear that  $\underline{\mu}(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\underline{\mu} \geq 0$ . Now let  $\{E_i\}_1^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}$  be disjoint, If some  $\underline{\mu}(E_j) = \infty$ , then so does  $\underline{\mu}(\bigcup_1^\infty E_j)$ , since the former is a subset of the latter. If this is not the case, then let  $\epsilon > 0$  and take  $F_i \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\underline{\mu}(E_i) \geq \mu(F_i) \geq \underline{\mu}(E_i) - \epsilon 2^{-i}$ , so that

$$\underline{\mu}\left(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i\right) \geq \limsup \mu\left(\bigcup_1^n F_i\right) \geq \sum_1^\infty \underline{\mu}(E_i) - \epsilon 2^{-i} = -\epsilon + \sum_1^\infty \underline{\mu}(E_i)$$

since epsilon was arbitrary this gives the inequality. For the converse, if  $F \subset \bigcup_1^\infty E_i$  with  $\mu(F) < \infty$ , then  $\sum_1^\infty \mu(E_i \cap F) \leq \sum_1^\infty \underline{\mu}(E_i)$ , taking a sequence  $F_n$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(F_n) = \underline{\mu}(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i)$ , and  $F_n \subset \bigcup_1^\infty E_i$  (we can do this due to the semifinite assumption), we use the inequality to conclude that

$$\underline{\mu}(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(F_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^\infty \mu(F_n \cap E_i) \leq \sum_1^\infty \underline{\mu}(E_i)$$

So that indeed  $\underline{\mu}$  is a measure. The fact that  $\underline{\mu}|_{\mathcal{M}} = \mu$  is directly a consequence of monotonicity. Let  $E$  be a  $\underline{\mu}$  locally measurable set, and let  $A \in \mathcal{M} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  be such that  $\mu(A) < \infty$ , then  $\underline{\mu}(A) = \mu(A) < \infty$ , so that by locally measurable assumption we have  $E \cap A \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , hence  $E \cap A = (E \cap A) \cap A \in \mathcal{M}$  by definition of  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , this suffices to show that  $E$  is locally measurable with respect to  $\mu$ , so that  $E \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ , i.e.  $\underline{\mu}$  is saturated.  $\square$

(f) It is clear that  $\mu \geq 0$  and  $\mu(\emptyset) = \mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$ . Now let  $\{E_i\}_1^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}$  be disjoint. Then

$$\mu(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i) = \mu_0(X_1 \cap \bigcup_1^\infty E_i) = \mu_0(\bigcup_1^\infty (X_1 \cap E_i)) = \sum_1^\infty \mu_0(X \cap E_i) = \sum_1^\infty \mu(E_i)$$

so that  $\mu$  is a measure. Note that  $X_2$  (which is not countable or cocountable) is locally finite, since if  $A$  has finite measure, then  $A \cap X_1$  is finite, hence  $A^c$  is uncountable, so that  $A \cap X_2$  must be countable, so that  $A \cap X_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ . Now for any  $E \subset X_2$  such that  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have  $\mu(E) = \mu_0(E \cap X_1) = \mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$ , so that  $\underline{\mu}(X_2) = 0$  (note here that  $X_1 \cup X_2$  is obviously semifinite since any set containing infinitely members of  $X_1$  contains a set with finitely many which is also countable or cocountable respectively so that  $\underline{\mu}$  is a measure on our space), but  $X_2 \notin \mathcal{M}$  so that

$$\tilde{\mu}(X_2) = \infty \neq 0 = \underline{\mu}(X_2)$$

$\square$

## 12. (Folland 1.4.17)

$$\mu^*(E \cap \bigcup_1^n A_i) = \mu^*\left((E \cap \bigcup_1^n A_i) \cap A_n\right) + \mu^*\left((E \cap \bigcup_1^n A_i) \cap A_n^c\right) = \mu^*(A_n) + \mu^*\left(\bigcup_1^{n-1} A_i\right)$$

applying this process recursively we find that  $\mu^*(E \cap \bigcup_1^n A_i) = \sum_1^n \mu^*(E \cap A_i)$ , so that by monotonicity we have for any  $n$ ,

$$\mu^*(E \cap \bigcup_1^\infty A_i) \geq \mu^*(E \cap \bigcup_1^n A_i) = \sum_1^n \mu^*(E \cap A_i)$$

and hence  $\mu^*(E \cap \bigcup_1^\infty A_i) \geq \sum_1^\infty \mu^*(E \cap A_i)$ .  $\square$

## 13. (Folland 1.4.18)

(a) Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\{A_j\}_1^\infty \subset \mathcal{A}$ , such that  $\mu^*(E) \geq \sum_1^\infty \mu_0(A_j) - \epsilon$  and  $E \subset \bigcup_1^\infty A_j$  (existence of such a collection is guaranteed by the definition of outer measure). Take  $A = \bigcup_1^\infty A_j \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$ , then

$$\mu^*(A) = \inf\left\{\sum_1^\infty \mu_0(B_i) \mid A \subset \bigcup_1^\infty B_i \text{ and } B_i \in \mathcal{A}\right\} \leq \sum_1^\infty \mu_0(A_j) \leq \mu^*(E) + \epsilon$$

$\square$

(b) Suppose such a set exists, since  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}$  is a sigma algebra we know that  $B$  is measurable, it follows that for  $F \subset X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(F \cap E^c) &= \mu^*(F \cap E^c \cap B^c) + \mu^*(F \cap E^c \cap B) = \mu^*(F \cap (B \setminus E)) + \mu^*(F \cap B^c) \\ &\leq \mu^*(B \setminus E) + \mu^*(F \cap B^c) = \mu^*(F \cap B^c) \end{aligned}$$

so that they are equal, since the other equality follows from monotonicity. Applying this equality, we get

$$\mu^*(F) = \mu^*(F \cap B) + \mu^*(F \cap B^c) \geq \mu^*(F \cap E) + \mu^*(F \cap B^c) = \mu^*(F \cap E) + \mu^*(F \cap E^c)$$

so that  $E$  is measurable.

Conversely, assume that  $E$  is measurable, and  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ , then let  $(A_n^\sigma)$  be a sequence of  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$  sets, each containing  $E$ , such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu^*(A_n^\sigma) = \mu^*(E)$  which is possible by part (a), then  $\cap_1^\infty A_n^\sigma$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$ , and for any  $n$  we have (using measurability in the equality) that

$$0 \leq \mu^*(\cap_1^\infty A_n^\sigma \setminus E) \leq \mu^*(A_n^\sigma \setminus E) = \mu^*(A_n^\sigma) - \mu^*(E), \quad \forall n$$

So by the squeeze theorem  $\mu^*(\cap_1^\infty A_n^\sigma \setminus E) = 0$ . □

(c) The finiteness condition is only used in the converse, so assume that  $E$  is measurable, and we can write  $X = \bigcup_1^\infty A_i$  for  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $X = \bigsqcup_1^\infty B_i$  where  $B_i = A_i \cap_1^{n-1} A_i^c \in \mathcal{A}$ . By part (b), we can choose  $E_i$ , such that  $E_i$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $E \cap B_i \subset E_i$  and  $\mu^*(E_i \setminus (E \cap B_i)) = 0$  (we can also say  $E_i \subset B_i$  by taking intersection- intersections of  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  sets are  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$ ). Now since each  $E_i \subset B_i$  so that  $\{E_i\}_1^\infty$  are disjoint, it follows that (using here (Folland 1.4.17), because  $E_i$  measurable for all  $i$ , since  $E_i$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$ )

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i \setminus E\right) = \mu^*\left(\bigcup_1^\infty E_i \cap E^c\right) = \sum_1^\infty \mu^*(E_i \cap E^c) = \sum_1^\infty \mu^*(E_i \setminus (E \cap B_i)) = \sum_1^\infty 0 = 0$$

□

**14. (Folland 1.4.19)** First suppose that  $E$  is measurable, then

$$\mu_0(X) = \mu^*(X) = \mu^*(E \cap X) + \mu^*(E^c \cap X) = \mu^*(E) + \mu^*(E^c) \implies \mu^*(E) = \mu_0(X) - \mu^*(E^c)$$

Conversely, if  $\mu_*(E) = \mu^*(E)$ , then for any measurable  $A \supset E$ ,

$$\mu^*(E) = \mu_0(X) - \mu^*(E^c) = \mu^*(X) - \mu^*(E^c) = \mu^*(A) + \mu^*(A^c) - \mu^*(E^c)$$

and furthermore

$$\mu^*(E^c) = \mu^*(A \setminus E) + \mu^*(A^c \cap E^c) = \mu^*(A \setminus E) + \mu^*(A^c) \implies \mu^*(A \setminus E) = \mu^*(E^c) - \mu^*(A^c)$$

Combining these we get for any  $A \supset E$

$$\mu^*(A \setminus E) = \mu^*(E^c) - \mu^*(A^c) = (\mu^*(A) + \mu^*(A^c) - \mu^*(E)) - \mu^*(A^c) = \mu^*(A) - \mu^*(E)$$

Now by (Folland 1.4.18 (a)), we have some sequence  $(A_n^\sigma)_n$  of  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$  sets, such that  $E \subset A_n^\sigma$  for all  $n$ , and  $\mu(A_n^\sigma) \rightarrow \mu(E)$ . It follows that for all  $n$ , we have

$$0 \leq \mu^*\left(\left(\bigcap_1^\infty A_n^\sigma\right) \setminus E\right) \leq \mu^*(A_n^\sigma \setminus E) = \mu^*(A_n^\sigma) - \mu^*(E)$$

Since this holds for all  $n$  taking the limit on the right we get  $\mu^*((\cap_1^\infty A_n^\sigma) \setminus E) = 0$ , so  $E$  is contained in a  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  set, such that the measure of the difference set is zero. We are done by (Folland 1.4.18(b)). □

**15. (Folland 1.4.20)**

(a) If  $\{A_i\}_1^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}^*$ , and  $E \subset \bigcup_1^\infty A_i$ , then from monotonicity and subadditivity we have  $\mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(\bigcup_1^\infty A_i) \leq \sum_1^\infty \mu^*(A_i)$ , hence

$$\mu^+(E) = \inf\left\{\sum_1^\infty \mu^*(A_i) \mid E \subset \bigcup_1^\infty A_i \text{ and } A_i \in \mathcal{M}^*\right\} \geq \mu^*(E)$$

Suppose there exists  $E \subset A \in \mathcal{M}^*$  with  $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(E)$ , then  $\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(A) \geq \mu^+(E) \geq \mu^*(E)$ . Conversely, If  $\mu^+(E) = \mu^*(E)$ , then for any  $n$ , there are some  $A_i \in \mathcal{M}^*$  with  $E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_i) \leq \mu^*(E) + \frac{1}{n}$ , then for each  $n$  we define  $B_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ , then

$$\mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(B_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_i) \leq \mu^*(E) + \frac{1}{n}$$

It follows that  $\mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) \leq \mu^*(E) + \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n$ , and hence  $\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(B_n)$ .  $\square$

(b) If  $\mu^*$  is induced by a pre-measure, then by (Folland 1.4.18 (a)) we find that for any  $E \subset X$  we have some  $A_n \supset E$  with  $\mu^*(A_n) - n^{-1} \leq \mu^*(E)$ , hence for any  $n$ ,

$$\mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \leq \mu^*(A_n) \leq \mu^*(E) + n^{-1}$$

So that  $\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \in \mathcal{M}^*$ , and hence we are done by (a).  $\square$

(c) Define the outer measure as follows:

$$\mu^* : \begin{cases} X \mapsto 2 \\ \{1\} \mapsto 2 \\ \{0\} \mapsto 1 \end{cases}$$

Then since  $\mu^*(X) \neq \mu^*\{1\} + \mu^*\{0\}$  we find that  $\{X, \emptyset\}$  is the sigma algebra of measurable sets. It follows that  $\mu^+(\{0\}) = \mu^*(X) = 2 \neq 1 = \mu^*(\{0\})$ .  $\square$

**16. (Folland 1.4.21)**

**17. (Folland 1.4.22)**

**18. (Folland 1.4.23)**

**19. (Folland 1.4.24)**