

**1. (Durrett 1.1.5)**  $\mathcal{A}$  is not an algebra, hence not a  $\sigma$ -algebra, as proof let  $A$  be the even numbers, and  $B$  be as defined below

$$B = \bigcup_{n \text{ even}} \{k \mid k \text{ odd and } 2^n \leq k < 2^{n+1}\} \bigcup_{n \text{ odd}} \{k \mid k \text{ even and } 2^n \leq k < 2^{n+1}\}$$

Then it is clear  $\theta(A) = \theta(B) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Now we want to consider  $\theta(A \cup B)$ , note that  $A \cup B$  contains  $\{2^n, 2^n + 1, \dots, 2^{n+1} - 1\}$  when  $n$  is even, but contains only  $\{2^n, 2^n + 1, \dots, 2^{n+1} - 1, 2^{n+1}\} \cap \{\text{even numbers}\}$  for odd  $n$ . Then denote  $\theta_n = \frac{\#((A \cup B) \cap \{1, \dots, 2^{n+1}\})}{2^{n+1}}$ , then the first few terms are  $\theta_1 = 1, \theta_2 = \frac{3}{4}, \theta_3 = \frac{7}{8}, \theta_4 = \frac{11}{16}$  and from the definition of  $A, B$  we get  $\theta_{2n+1} = \frac{\theta_{2n}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\theta_{2n+2} = \frac{\theta_{2n+1}}{4} + \frac{1}{4}$ , it follows that by induction the subsequences  $\theta_{2n}$  and  $\theta_{2n+1}$  are decreasing, then once again by induction using this recurrence we find that  $\frac{11}{16} \geq \theta_{2n} \geq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $1 \geq \theta_{2n+1} \geq \frac{3}{4}$ , but then  $\liminf \theta_{2n+1} \geq \frac{3}{4} > \frac{11}{16} \geq \limsup \theta_n$ , so these subsequences of  $\frac{\#((A \cup B) \cap \{1, \dots, n\})}{n}$  can't possibly converge to the same limit, and hence a limit for the sequence cannot exist and  $A \cup B$  does not have an asymptotic density.  $\square$

**2. (Durrett 1.2.3)** First note that the left limit of a distribution function is well defined,

$$F(x-) := \lim_{y_n \uparrow x} F(x) = \bigcup_1^\infty P(X \leq y_n) = P(X < x)$$

The last equality following from throwing out  $y_n$  such that for some  $k < n$ , there is  $y_k > y_n$  and applying continuity from below.

It follows that for each point of discontinuity of  $F$ , we must have  $F(x) > F(x-)$ , assuming there are uncountably many points of discontinuity for  $F$  and denote that set of points as  $A$ , we know that since  $0 \leq F(x) \leq 1$  is an increasing function that

$$1 = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) \geq \sup_{\alpha \in S} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in S} F(\alpha) - F(\alpha-) \mid A \supset S \text{ is finite} \right\}$$

Denote  $E_n = \{\alpha \in A \mid F(\alpha) - F(\alpha-) \geq \frac{1}{n}\}$ , then since  $\bigcup_1^\infty E_n = A$ , we must have atleast one  $E_n$  is uncountable. This implies that

$$\sup_{\alpha \in S} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in S} F(\alpha) - F(\alpha-) \mid A \supset S \text{ is finite} \right\} \geq \sup_{M \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{M}{n} = \infty$$

Which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**3. (Durrett 1.3.5)** If  $f$  is not LSC, then there is some  $x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow x$ , such that  $\lim f(y_n) < f(x)$  (this follows from the negation since we can take a subsequence which gives the  $\liminf$ ). But then let  $\epsilon = f(x) - \lim f(y_n)$ , if we remove the  $y_n$  terms such that  $f(y_n) > f(x) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  from the sequence then the sequence still converges to  $x$ , so we may assume the sequence is uniformly bounded by  $f(x) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . But then we have a sequence  $y_n \in \{t \mid f(t) \leq f(x) - \epsilon/2\}$  which converges to a value  $x$  not in the set, so in particular the set is not closed.

Conversely, if for some  $a$ , the set  $S_a := \{x \mid f(x) \leq a\}$  is not closed, then we get a sequence  $y_n \in S_a$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow x$ , but  $x \notin S_a$ , it follows that  $f(x) > a$ , but  $\liminf_{y \rightarrow x} f(y) \leq \lim f(y_n) \leq a < f(x)$  so that  $f$  is not LEC.  $\square$

**4. (Durrett 1.3.7)** First we note that all simple functions are measurable, and measurable functions are closed under pointwise limits, closure under pointwise limits follows from  $\limsup$  being measurable, and  $\lim f_n(x) = \limsup f_n(x)$  at all points  $x$  when the limit exists. Now let  $f$  be an arbitrary measurable function on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  mapping to  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ . Then we can write  $f = f_+ - f_-$  so it will suffice to show that an arbitrary positive function  $f$  is a pointwise limit of simple functions. Let  $A_1 = f^{-1}[1, \infty)$ , and  $\phi_1 = 1_{A_1}$ , now we can define the rest of the  $\phi_i$  recursively:

$$A_n = (f - \phi_{n-1})^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{n}, \infty\right) \quad \phi_n = n^{-1} 1_{A_n}$$

Now pointwise the sequences  $\phi_n(x)$  are bound above by  $f(x)$  and monotone increasing hence convergent, we want to see it converges to  $f(x)$ , since the harmonic series diverges, for any  $x$ , we have some  $N$  such that  $\sum_1^N \frac{1}{n} > f(x)$ , furthermore by construction of  $\phi_n$  we will have  $f(x) - \frac{1}{N} \leq \phi_n(x) \leq f(x)$ , and moreover for any  $k > N$  we also have that  $f(x) - \frac{1}{k} \leq \phi_k(x) \leq f(x)$  whence convergence follows immediately.  $\square$

**5. (Durrett 1.3.8)** If  $Y = f \circ X$  for  $f : (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ , then for any borel set  $B$ , we have  $Y^{-1}(B) = X^{-1}(f^{-1}(B))$ , since  $f$  is measurable we know that  $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , so that  $X^{-1}(f^{-1}(B)) \in \sigma(X)$  by definition of  $\sigma(X)$ , which suffices to show all functions of this form are measurable with respect to  $\sigma(X)$ . To show that all measurable functions on  $\sigma(X)$  are of this form, we can use (Durrett 1.3.7) to check that all pointwise limits of simple functions on  $\sigma(X)$  can be written in this form. Consider the simple functions  $\phi_k = \sum_1^{N_k} c_i^k 1_{X^{-1}(B_i^k)}$ , with  $\phi_k \rightarrow g : (X, \sigma(X)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$  pointwise. It is immediate that  $\phi_k = \varphi_k \circ X$  where  $\varphi_k = \sum_1^{N_k} c_i^k 1_{B_i^k}$ . It is straightforward to see that  $\varphi_k$  converges pointwise on  $\mathbb{R}$ , since if  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $x = X(y)$  for  $y \in \Omega$ , then sequence  $\phi_k \circ X(y)$  is equal to the sequence  $\varphi_k(x)$ , and hence  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_k \circ X(y) = g(y)$ , denoting the pointwise limit of  $\varphi_k$  as  $f$  we know that  $f$  is measurable by closure of measurable functions under pointwise limits, and moreover,  $f \circ X = g$  from construction.  $\square$