





**3. (a)** The proof of the case  $\alpha' = 0$  is identical to that of  $\alpha = 0$ , but we show that the rows rather than columns are linearly independent, so assume  $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_p = \alpha \neq 0$ . Now, it will suffice to show by induction that if  $k < p$ , then we can choose  $\omega_{k+1}$ , so that

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \langle v_1, \omega_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle v_p, \omega_1 \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \langle v_1, \omega_2 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle v_p, \omega_2 \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \langle v_1, \omega_{k+1} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle v_p, \omega_{k+1} \rangle \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^p$$

are linearly independent. Since  $\alpha = v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_p \neq 0$  we have necessarily that the  $v_j$  are linearly independent. Now since  $k < p$ , we can choose some  $(x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$  linearly independent from the first  $k$ -columns, then  $\omega = \omega_{k+1}$  can be constructed as follows, start with  $\omega = \frac{x_1 v_1}{\|v_1\|^2}$  this is the base case, now assume recursively we have  $\langle v_1, \omega \rangle = x_1, \dots, \langle v_j, \omega \rangle = x_j$ , then we can take  $u$  to be the projection of  $v_{j+1}$  to  $\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_j\}^\perp$ , this is nonzero since  $v_{j+1} \notin \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_j\}$ . Then we have  $\langle v_{j+1}, u \rangle = a \neq 0$  finally denote  $\langle v_{j+1}, \omega \rangle = b$ , and now take  $\omega' = \omega + \frac{x_{j+1} - b}{a} u$ , then since  $u$  is orthogonal to  $v_1, \dots, v_j$ , we still get  $\langle v_i, \omega' \rangle = x_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, j$ , but now we also get that

$$\langle v_{j+1}, \omega' \rangle = \langle v_{j+1}, \omega \rangle + \frac{x_{j+1} - b}{a} \langle v_{j+1}, u \rangle = b + \frac{x_{j+1} - b}{a} a = x_{j+1}$$

Continuing this process we get the desired  $\omega_{k+1}$ , since this holds for any  $k < p$ , we can always construct some  $\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p$  with the property that the columns of  $(\langle v_i, \omega_j \rangle)_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}$  are linearly independent, and hence  $\langle \alpha, \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_p \rangle_p = \det(\langle v_i, \omega_j \rangle)_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**(b)** Consider two positively oriented orthonormal bases  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  and  $d_1, \dots, d_k$ . Let  $T$  be the linear map defined by  $T(e_i) = d_i$ , and extending linearly, since both bases are positively oriented we get  $\det T > 0$ , moreover we have  $(T^T T)_{ij} = \langle d_i, d_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ , so that  $T^T T = 1_V$  is orthogonal, since  $\det T^T = \det T$ , this relation gives us  $(\det T)^2 = 1$ , so  $\det T = \pm 1$ , but since we have established  $\det T > 0$ , we get to conclude that  $\det T = 1$ . Now we are done since

$$d_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d_k = T(e_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge T(e_k) = (\det T)(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k) = e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k$$

$\square$

**(c)** We first consider an element of the form  $\beta = e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-p}}$  with  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{k-p}$ , now we can denote  $\{j_1, \dots, j_p\} = \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-p}\}$  with  $j_1 < \cdots < j_p$ . It follows that  $e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_p} \wedge \beta = (-1)^\ell \omega$  for some  $\ell$ . I claim that  $\star \beta = (-1)^\ell e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_p}$  satisfies  $\lambda_\beta(\alpha) = \langle \alpha, \star \beta \rangle_p$ . We first check this for  $\alpha$  of the form  $e_{r_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{r_p}$ , since if it holds for elements of this form we get general elements of  $\Lambda^p(V) = \sum a_i \alpha_i$  for  $a_i$  of this form, so that since  $\lambda_\beta$  is linear we get

$$\lambda_\beta(\sum a_i \alpha_i) = \sum a_i \lambda_\beta(\alpha_i) = \sum a_i \langle \alpha_i, \star \beta \rangle = \langle \sum a_i \alpha_i, \star \beta \rangle$$

so it suffices to check in this simplified case. Now if  $\{r_1, \dots, r_p\} \cap \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-p}\} = \{i_z\} \neq \emptyset$ , then we get  $\alpha \wedge \beta = 0$ , hence  $\lambda_\beta(\alpha) = 0$ , as well as the matrix with determinant  $\langle \alpha, \star \beta \rangle_p$  having a row corresponding to  $(\langle e_{i_z}, e_{j_1} \rangle, \dots, \langle e_{i_z}, e_{j_p} \rangle) = (0, \dots, 0)$ , so that  $\langle \alpha, \star \beta \rangle_p = 0$ , now in the case that  $\{r_1, \dots, r_p\} \cap \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-p}\} = \emptyset$ , we get that  $r_1, \dots, r_p = \sigma(j_1), \dots, \sigma(j_p)$  for  $\sigma \in S_p$ , then  $e_{r_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{r_p} = \text{sgn}(\sigma) e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_p}$ , so that  $\alpha \wedge \beta = \text{sgn}(\sigma) (-1)^\ell \omega$ , and  $\langle \alpha \wedge \beta, \omega \rangle_k = \text{sgn}(\sigma) (-1)^\ell$ , moreover  $\langle \alpha, \star \beta \rangle = (-1)^\ell \det P_\sigma$  where  $P_\sigma$  denotes the permutation matrix taking  $j_1 \mapsto \sigma(j_1)$ , of course this is also equal to  $(-1)^\ell \text{sgn}(\sigma)$ , so we have provided existence of  $\star \beta$  for  $\beta$  of the form  $e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-p}}$ , from this we can establish existence for all  $\beta$ , since any  $\beta \in \Lambda^{k-p}(V)$  can be written as  $\sum a_i \beta_i$  for  $\beta_i$  of this form, this allows us to define  $\star \beta = \sum a_i \star \beta_i$  then for any  $\alpha \in \Lambda^p(V)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\beta(\alpha) &= \langle \alpha \wedge \sum a_i \beta_i, \omega \rangle_k = \langle \sum a_i \alpha \wedge \beta_i, \omega \rangle_k = \sum a_i \langle \alpha \wedge \beta_i, \omega \rangle_k \\ &= \sum a_i \langle \alpha, \star \beta_i \rangle_p = \langle \alpha, \sum a_i \star \beta_i \rangle_p = \langle \alpha, \star \beta \rangle_p \end{aligned}$$

Which suffices to prove existence for any  $\beta \in \Lambda^{k-p}(V)$ . Now we need to check uniqueness Suppose  $\star \beta' = \star \beta$ , then  $\alpha \mapsto \langle \alpha \wedge (\beta - \beta'), \omega \rangle_k = 0$  for all  $\alpha$ . Suppose now that  $\beta \neq \beta'$ , we can write  $\beta = \sum a_i \beta_i$ ,

and  $\beta' = \sum b_i \beta'_i$  where  $\beta_i, \beta'_i$  are of the form  $e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-p}}$  for  $i_1 < \cdots < k-p$ , it follows that the multiplicity of one of these summands must differ between  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$ , otherwise the two will be equal. So assume without loss of generality that  $\beta_1 = \beta'_1$ , but  $a_1 \neq b_1$ , moreover since one of them must be nonzero we can assume  $a_1 \neq 0$ . Now denote  $\beta_1 = e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k-p}}$ , and once again define  $\{j_1, \dots, j_p\} = \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-p}\}$  with  $j_1 < \cdots < j_p$ , it follows that for  $\alpha = e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_p}$  we have  $\alpha \wedge \beta_\ell = 0$  for any  $\ell \neq 1$ , and same for  $\beta'_\ell$ , since some  $j_z$  must appear in the wedge terms of  $\beta_\ell$  (or respectively  $\beta'_\ell$ ) by virtue of  $\beta_\ell$  (resp.  $\beta'_\ell$ ) not being identical to  $\beta_1 = \beta'_1$ . Moreover, we get  $\alpha \wedge \beta_1 = (-1)^r \omega$  for some  $r$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha \wedge (\beta - \beta'), \omega \rangle_k &= \langle \alpha \wedge \beta, \omega \rangle_k - \langle \alpha \wedge \beta', \omega \rangle_k = \sum a_i \langle \alpha \wedge \beta_i, \omega \rangle_k - \sum b_i \langle \alpha \wedge \beta'_i, \omega \rangle_k \\ &= a_1 \langle \alpha \wedge \beta_1, \omega \rangle_k - b_1 \langle \alpha \wedge \beta'_1, \omega \rangle_k = (a_1 - b_1) \langle \alpha \wedge \beta_1, \omega \rangle_k \\ &= (-1)^r (a_1 - b_1) \langle \omega, \omega \rangle_k = (-1)^r (a_1 - b_1) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Which contradicts  $\star\beta = \star\beta'$ , so this suffices to show uniqueness.

Now that we have existence and uniqueness, linearity is quite easy. Let  $\beta, \gamma \in \Lambda^{k-p}(V)$ , then for any  $\alpha$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha, \star(a\beta + b\gamma) \rangle_p &= \langle \alpha \wedge (a\beta + b\gamma), \omega \rangle_k = \langle a\alpha \wedge \beta + b\alpha \wedge \gamma, \omega \rangle_k = a \langle \alpha \wedge \beta, \omega \rangle_k + b \langle \alpha \wedge \gamma, \omega \rangle_k \\ &= a \langle \alpha, \star\beta \rangle_p + b \langle \alpha, \star\gamma \rangle_p = \langle \alpha, a \star\beta + b \star\gamma \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Uniqueness then tells us that  $\star(a\beta + b\gamma) = a \star\beta + b \star\gamma$ . □

(d) We would like to use (a)-(c) to produce a fiber-wise definition of  $\star$ , in such a way that we ensure gluing together these fiberwise maps gives a smooth map on **TODO**

(e) We can use that  $\star$  agrees fiberwise with the original fiberwise definition, and in this case identify  $dx, dy, dz \leftrightarrow e_1, e_2, e_3$ . This in particular means our proof from part (c) shows that if  $h \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \star h dx &= h \star dx = h dy \wedge dz \\ \star h dy &= h \star dy = -h dx \wedge dz \\ \star h dz &= h \star dz = h dx \wedge dy \end{aligned}$$

Now applying this to  $df$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} d \star df &= d \star \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f dx + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f dy + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f dz \right) = d \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f dy \wedge dz - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f dx \wedge dz + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f dx \wedge dy \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f dx \wedge dy \wedge dz - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f dy \wedge dx \wedge dz + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} f dz \wedge dx \wedge dy \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} f \right) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \end{aligned}$$

□