

1. We start by computing the Jacobian of the map $F : x \mapsto \frac{x}{\|x\|}$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{x_i}{\sqrt{\sum_1^{n+1} x_k^2}} = \frac{\delta_{ij} \|x\| - x_i x_j \|x\|^{-1}}{\|x\|^2} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\|x\|} - \frac{x_i x_j}{\|x\|^3}$$

So the Jacobian looks like

$$\frac{1}{\|x\|} 1 - \frac{1}{\|x\|^3} x x^T$$

Now beginning the actual proof, let $\{f_1, \dots, f_{n-m}\}$ be a basis for $(\text{Im } A)^\perp$, then define $T : \mathbb{R}^{n-m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ via $e_i \mapsto f_i$ when $1 \leq i \leq n-m$ and $e_{n-m+1} \mapsto Ae_1$, then $\bar{T} : \mathbb{RP}^{n-m} \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^n$ is an embedding, so we can refer to its image as the submanifold $X \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$. Now we get that $\text{Im}(\bar{A}) \cap X = q(\text{Im } A \cap \text{Im } T)$, where $q : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^n$ is the quotient. By construction this intersection is $[Ae_1]$, so that once we verify $\bar{A} \pitchfork_{[Ae_1]} X$ we will get that $I_2(\bar{A}, X) = 1$. Now checking transversality, we will use the following maps, where the π maps are the indexed quotients under the action by the discrete group. Note that in particular the π maps are submersions of manifolds of equal dimension and hence local diffeomorphisms by the inverse function theorem.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \hat{A} : S^m \rightarrow S^n & \hat{T} : S^{m-n} \rightarrow S^n \\ v \mapsto \frac{Av}{\|Av\|} & v \mapsto \frac{Tv}{\|Tv\|} \\ \pi_m : S^m \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^m & \pi_{n-m} : S^{n-m} \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^{n-m} \\ \pi : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^n & \end{array}$$

Moreover, the following diagrams commute by definition of \hat{A}, \hat{T}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^m & \xrightarrow{\hat{A}} & S^n \\ \downarrow \pi_m & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{RP}^m & \xrightarrow{\bar{A}} & \mathbb{RP}^n \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S^{n-m} & \xrightarrow{\hat{T}} & S^n \\ \downarrow \pi_{n-m} & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{RP}^{n-m} & \xrightarrow{\bar{T}} & \mathbb{RP}^n \end{array}$$

We will verify later that $\text{Im } d_{e_1} \hat{A} + \text{Im } d_{e_{n-m+1}} \hat{T} = T_{A(e_1)} S^n$, but assuming it for now we find that (using repeatedly the submersion properties of the projections)

$$\begin{aligned} T_{Ae_1} \mathbb{RP}^n &= d_{\hat{A}e_1} \pi(\text{Im } d_{e_1} \hat{A} + \text{Im } d_{e_{n-m+1}} \hat{T}) \\ &= \text{Im } d_{e_1} (\pi \circ \hat{A}) + \text{Im } d_{e_{n-m+1}} (\pi \circ \hat{T}) \\ &= \text{Im } d_{e_1} (\bar{A} \circ \pi_m) + \text{Im } d_{e_{n-m+1}} (\bar{T} \circ \pi_{n-m}) \\ &= \text{Im } (d_{[e_1]} \bar{A}) + \text{Im } (d_{[e_{n-m+1}]} \bar{T}) \\ &= \text{Im } (d_{[e_1]} \bar{A}) + T_{[Ae_1]} X \end{aligned}$$

This verifies that indeed $\bar{A} \pitchfork_{[Ae_1]} X$, now to complete the proof, note that we have some $[p] \in \mathbb{RP}^n \setminus X$, since \mathbb{RP}^n is connected (therefore path connected), any constant map $\mathbb{RP}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^n$ is homotopic to the map $c : \mathbb{RP}^m \rightarrow [p]$, where $I_2(c, X) = 0$ trivially, since intersection number is a homotopy invariant this completes the proof.

(Proof of $d_{e_1} \hat{A} + d_{e_{n-m+1}} \hat{T} = T_{A(e_1)} S^n$): To show this, we will compute the derivatives as maps of $\mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then use the characterization of the tangent space $T_p S^k = p^\perp \cap T_p \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$. To compute the derivative note that the maps are of the form $\hat{A} = F \circ A$ and $\hat{T} = F \circ T$, where we computed the derivative of F prior to tackling the problem, by the chain rule we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{e_1} \hat{A} &= \left(\frac{1}{\|Ae_1\|} 1 - \frac{1}{\|Ae_1\|^3} (Ae_1) \cdot (Ae_1)^T \right) d_{e_1} A \\ d_{e_{n-m+1}} \hat{T} &= \left(\frac{1}{\|Ae_1\|} 1 - \frac{1}{\|Ae_1\|^3} (Ae_1) \cdot (Ae_1)^T \right) d_{e_{n-m+1}} T \end{aligned}$$

restricting to the orthogonal compliment of Ae_1 , $\frac{1}{\|Ae_1\|^3}(Ae_1) \cdot (Ae_1)^T \equiv 0$, so that

$$d_{e_1} \hat{A} \equiv \frac{1}{\|Ae_1\|} d_{e_1} A \text{ and } d_{e_{n-m+1}} \hat{T} \equiv \frac{1}{\|Ae_1\|} d_{e_{n-m+1}} T$$

the derivative should also have restricted domain since these are maps of spheres, restricting the domain of $d_{e_1} \hat{A}$ to e_1^\perp and $d_{e_{n-m+1}} \hat{T}$ to e_{n-m+1}^\perp and taking $\rho: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow (Ae_1)^\perp$ to be the orthogonal projection we find the images of either differential have respective bases

$$\{\rho(Ae_2), \dots, \rho(Ae_{m+1})\} \text{ and } \{\rho(Te_1), \dots, \rho(Te_{n-m})\}$$

By definition of T , and injectivity of both A and T (which have Ae_1 in their image), this collection of n vectors forms a basis for $T_{Ae_1} S^n$, this is easiest to see by writing it as

$$\rho(\langle Ae_2, \dots, Ae_{m+1}, f_1, \dots, f_{n-m} \rangle)$$

where ρ has no kernel on this subspace, and this space has dimension n by definition of the f_i and injectivity of A . \square