

Part 1

Task 1.1

Relation A:

- 1) Super keys: EmpID, Email, Phone, SSN, {EmpID, Name}, {Email, Department};
- 2) Candidate keys: EmpID, SSN, Email, Phone;
- 3) Primary key: EmpID. Cause it is short, easy to recognize and unchangeable.
- 4) According this table it can't be, due to each phone is connected to each person in the table.

Relation B:

1. Attributes for the primary key: Student Id, CourseCode, Section, Semester, Year;
2. Student id – without it, it is impossible to determine who exactly has registered.

CourseCode – without it, it is impossible to understand what course the registration is for.

Section – without it, it is impossible to create a protocol for different groups (for example, Section A and Section B).

Semester – a student can take the same course in spring and fall → required.

Year – two identical semesters (fall 2024 and fall 2025) must also be different.

3. Candidate keys: Student Id, CourseCode, Section, Semester, Year;

Task 1.2

Foreign key relationships:

- 1) Enrollment.StudentID → Student.StudentID;
- 2) Enrollment.CourseID → Course.CourseID;
- 3) Student.Major → Department.DeptCode
- 4) Student.AdvisorID → Professor.ProfID

- 5) Professor.Department \rightarrow Department.DeptCode
- 6) Course.DepartmentCode \rightarrow Department.DeptCode
- 7) Department.ChairID \rightarrow Professor.ProfID

Part 2

Task 2.1

- 1) Strong entities: Patients(PatientID, Name, Birthdate, Address, Insurance), Doctor(DoctorID, Name, OfficeLocation), Department(DeptCode, DeptName, Location), , , Instructions);
Weak: Appointment(AppointmentID, DoctorID, VisitDateTime, Purpose, Notes), Prescription(PrescriptionID, DoctorID, Dosage, Hospital_Room(room_number, DepartmentCode));
- 2) Simple: PatientID, DoctorID, DeptCode, Purpose, Notes and etc.
Composite: Address = {street, city, state, zip};
Multi-valued: Patient_phone_number, Doctor_specialization;
Derived: Age(from Birthdate),
- 3) 1 : N: Department --< Doctors, Department --< Hospital_Rooms, Hospital_Room --< Appointments, Patient --< Phone_Numbers, Doctor_Phones;
M : N: Patient --< Appointment >-- Doctor, Doctor >-- Prescription >-- Medication, Doctor —< Doctor_Specialization >— Specialization
4. Image

Task 2.2

- 1) Image
- 2) **INVENTORY** is a **weak entity**:
It **depends on PRODUCT** for its identity and existence.
Its primary key is **ProductID** (a foreign key), and it has no independent key of its own.
If a product is deleted, the inventory row is meaningless and must be deleted as well.
- 3) Many-to-many relationship(s) that need attributes
 $\text{ORDER} \leftrightarrow \text{PRODUCT} \rightarrow \text{ORDER_ITEM}$
Attributes needed on the relationship: Quantity, UnitPriceAtOrder (price snapshot at time of purchase).

Part 4

Task 4.1

1) Core business rules implied by the columns:

- **StudentID** → **StudentName, StudentMajor**
- **ProjectID** → **ProjectTitle, ProjectType, SupervisorID**
- **SupervisorID** → **SupervisorName, SupervisorDept**
- **(StudentID, ProjectID)** → **Role, HoursWorked, StartDate, EndDate**

From 2 & 3:

- **ProjectID** → **SupervisorName, SupervisorDept**

Candidate key of the current wide table: **(StudentID, ProjectID)**.

(Everything in a row is determined once you know the student and the project.)

2) **Redundancy:**

- Student data repeats across every project row for the same student.
- Project data (title, type, supervisor) repeats across every student on that project.
- Supervisor data repeats across every project they supervise.

Update anomaly:

- If a student changes major, you must update it in many rows; missing one leaves inconsistent data.
- If a supervisor moves to another department, every row for their projects must be updated.

Insert anomaly:

- You can't insert a new Project (with its title/type/supervisor) until at least one student is assigned—otherwise you don't have a (StudentID, ProjectID) row.
- You can't store a new Student until they join a project.

Delete anomaly:

- If the only student on a project is removed, deleting that row also deletes the only stored copy of the Project and Supervisor info.

3) Apply 1NF:

- All attributes are already atomic (no repeating groups or multi-valued fields in this design), so 1NF is satisfied.
- No change needed for 1NF.

4) Apply 2NF:

- Since the key is (**StudentID, ProjectID**), anything depending on only **StudentID** or only **ProjectID** violates 2NF.
- Move student-only attributes to **Student**.
- Move project-only attributes to **Project**.

2NF Decomposition:

- **Student(StudentID PK, StudentName, StudentMajor)**
- **Project(ProjectID PK, ProjectTitle, ProjectType, SupervisorID FK)**
- **StudentProject(StudentID FK, ProjectID FK, Role, HoursWorked, StartDate, EndDate, PRIMARY KEY(StudentID, ProjectID))**
- Keep supervisor attributes for 3NF next.

5) Apply 3NF:

- In Project, we still have $\text{SupervisorID} \rightarrow \text{SupervisorName}, \text{SupervisorDept}$.
- Transitivity: $\text{ProjectID} \rightarrow \text{SupervisorID} \rightarrow (\text{SupervisorName}, \text{SupervisorDept})$.
- Split supervisor details into their own table.

Final 3NF Schemas:

- Student(StudentID, StudentName, StudentMajor)
- Supervisor(SupervisorID, SupervisorName, SupervisorDept)
- Project(ProjectID, ProjectTitle, ProjectType, SupervisorID
FK \rightarrow Supervisor.SupervisorID)
- StudentProject(StudentID FK \rightarrow Student.StudentID, ProjectID
FK \rightarrow Project.ProjectID, Role, HoursWorked, StartDate, EndDate,
PK(StudentID, ProjectID))

Task 4.2

- 1) Primary keys: (StudentID, TimeSlot, Room)
- 2) StudentID \rightarrow StudentMajor
 - CourseID \rightarrow CourseName
 - InstructorID \rightarrow InstructorName
 - Room \rightarrow Building
 - (TimeSlot, Room) \rightarrow CourseID, InstructorID
 - (TimeSlot, Room) \rightarrow CourseName (through CourseID)
 - (TimeSlot, Room) \rightarrow InstructorName (through InstructorID)

- 3) $\text{StudentID} \rightarrow \text{StudentMajor}$ (StudentID is not a superkey).
- $\text{CourseID} \rightarrow \text{courseName}$ (not a superkey).
 - $\text{InstructorID} \rightarrow \text{InstructorName}$ (not a superkey).
 - $\text{Room} \rightarrow \text{Building}$ (not a superkey).
 - $(\text{TimeSlot}, \text{Room}) \rightarrow \text{CourseID}, \text{InstructorID}$ (not a superkey for the entire table, since many students listen to one section).

Therefore, the source table is not in BCNF.

4) Decomposition to BCNF (lossless)

- We select the entities and the "enrollment" relationship:
- $\text{Student}(\text{StudentID PK}, \text{StudentMajor})$
- $\text{Course}(\text{CourseID PK}, \text{CourseName})$
- $\text{Instructor}(\text{InstructorID PK}, \text{InstructorName})$
- $\text{Room}(\text{Room PK}, \text{Building})$

Defining a "section" (unique in time and room):

- $\text{Section}(\text{TimeSlot}, \text{Room}, \text{CourseID FK}, \text{InstructorID FK}, \text{PK}(\text{TimeSlot}, \text{Room}))$
- And enrollment of students in the sections:
- $\text{Enrollment}(\text{StudentID FK}, \text{TimeSlot FK}, \text{Room FK}, \text{PK}(\text{StudentID}, \text{TimeSlot}, \text{Room}))$

5) Loss of information / addition:

- There is no loss of information (lossless decomposition):
 $\text{CourseSchedule} = \text{enrollment} \bowtie \text{section} \bowtie \text{student} \bowtie \text{course} \bowtie \text{instructor} \bowtie \text{number}$ according to the corresponding keys.
- Dependencies are stored and locally verifiable.:
- $\text{Student ID} \rightarrow$ The senior student is a Student.
- $\text{Course ID} \rightarrow$ Course Name — in Course.
- $\text{Instructor's ID} \rightarrow$ The instructor's name is in Teacher.
- $\text{Room} \rightarrow$ Building — in the Room.
- $(\text{Time interval}, \text{room}) \rightarrow \text{Course ID}, \text{InstructorID}$ — go to the Section.

Part 5

Task 5.1

1) Image

2) Student(StudentID)

Clubs(ClubID, FacultyAdvisorID)

Organization(OrganizationID, FacultyAdvisorID)

Membership(MembershipID, StudentID, ClubID, OrganizationID)

Event(EventID, ClubID, OrganizationID)

Attendance(AttendanceID, EventID, StudentID)

OfficerPosition(OfficerPositionID, ClubID, StudentID, PositionTitle)

FacultyAdvisor(FacultyAdvisorID)

RoomReservation(ReservationID, EventID)

ClubBudget(BudgetID, ClubID)

3) **Design Decision:**

- **Decision: Should we combine "Club" and "Organization" as one entity?**

Option 1: Combine "Club" and "Organization" into one entity.

Since both clubs and organizations are very similar (both have members, events, etc.), we could consider them as a single entity.

Pros: Simpler schema, fewer tables.

Cons: Loss of flexibility, as some organizations may have additional attributes or constraints not relevant to clubs.

- **Option 2: Keep them as separate entities.**

Chosen Option: We decided to keep **Club** and **Organization** as separate entities because the system may need to track specific attributes that apply only to either clubs or organizations (such as unique types of memberships or specific advisor relationships).

- **Why this choice?** It provides more flexibility in the future, and allows for easier expansion if needed (e.g., if organizations require additional features or attributes not shared with clubs).

4) **Example Queries:**

- **Query 1: List all students who are members of a specific club.**
- **Description:** This query will help administrators quickly see which students belong to a specific club.

- **Example:** *Show all students who are members of the "Engineering Club".*
- **Query 2: Find all events organized by a specific club in a given year.**
- **Description:** This query will list all the events held by a club in a particular year.
- **Example:** *List all events organized by the "Science Club" in 2023.*
- **Query 3: Get the total budget and remaining funds for a specific club.**
- **Description:** This query allows club leaders or administrators to track how much money a club has left in its budget.
- **Example:** *Show the total budget and remaining funds for the "Drama Club".*