# Untyped Lambda Calculus

Tikhon Jelvis (tikhon@jelv.is)

December 13, 2013

# Why

- simple model of functions
  - everything else stripped away
- makes it easier to reason about programs
  - formal reasoning: proofs
  - informal reasoning: debugging
- designing languages
  - simple semantics—easy to extent
  - ML, Haskell, Lisp, . . .

## Introduction to theory

- basis for type theory
- ▶ introduction to concepts & notation
- "mathematical mindset"

# Abstract Syntax

- λ-calculus—syntactic manipulation
- made up of expressions (e)

```
e ::= x variable  | \lambda x.e  abstraction  | e_1 e_2  application
```

## Examples

- $\triangleright \lambda x.x$  is the identity function
  - ightharpoonup compare: f(x) = x
- $\triangleright \lambda x.\lambda y.x$  constant function
  - implicit parentheses:  $\lambda x.(\lambda y.x)$
  - ▶ compare: f(x,y) = x

# Scoping

- static scope, just like most programming languages
- ▶ names do not matter ( $\alpha$  equivalence):

$$\lambda x.x \equiv \lambda y.y$$

variables can be shadowed:

$$\lambda x.\lambda x.x \equiv \lambda x.\lambda y.y$$

#### Free vs Bound

**bound**: defined inside an expression:

$$\lambda x.x$$

▶ free: not defined inside an expression:

$$\lambda x.y$$

free vs bound, y vs x:

$$\lambda x.yx$$

#### **Evaluation**

- core idea: substitution
  - replace name of argument with its value
- example: given yx, we can substitute  $\lambda a.a$  for x:

$$y(\lambda a.a)$$

- careful with scoping!
  - just rename everything

#### **Evaluation Rules**

function application (β-reduction)

$$\frac{(\lambda x.e_1)e_2}{[e_2/x]e_1}$$

• extension ( $\eta$ -reduction)

$$\frac{\lambda x.Fx}{F}$$

as long as x does not appear in F

## Writing an interpreter

- this is all we need to write an interpreter
- any typed functional language:
  - ► SML, F#, OCaml, Haskell, Scala
- ▶ I will use Haskell syntax

# Type

$$e ::= x$$
 variable  $\lambda x.e$  abstraction  $e_1e_2$  application

translate to an algebraic data type:

## Pattern Matching

pattern matching: operate on ADT by cases

```
eval Expr → Expr

eval (Lambda x e) = Lambda x e

eval (Variable n) = Variable n

eval (App e e) = case eval e of

Lambda x body → eval (subst x e body)

result → App result e
```

#### Substitution

```
subst Name → Expr → Expr
subst x newVal (Lambda y body)
  | x y = Lambda y (subst x newVal body)
  | otherwise = Lambda y body
subst x newVal (App e e) =
  App (subst x v e) (subst x v e)
subst x newVal (Variable y)
  | x y = newVal
  | otherwise = Variable y
```

#### Evaluation Order

How far to evaluate?

```
eval (Lambda x e) = Lambda x (eval e)
```

- What order to evaluate in?
  - when to evaluate arguments?

```
Lambda x body \rightarrow eval (subst x (eval e) body)
```

#### Fun Stuff

- ► Write your own interpreter (< 1hr)
- Add parsing, pretty printing and a REPL
- Experiment with different evaluation orders
- Add features like numbers

#### Numbers

- $ightharpoonup \lambda$ -calculus only has functions
- can we represent data structures and numbers?
- idea: numbers as repeated application
- ▶ zero:  $\lambda f.\lambda x.x$
- one:  $\lambda f.\lambda x.fx$
- two:  $\lambda f.\lambda x.f(fx)$
- implement addition and subtraction\*

#### Data Structures

- Lisp-style pairs
- ▶ idea: function that applies another function to two arguments
- cons:

$$\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda f.fxy$$

first:

$$\lambda x. \lambda y. x$$

second:

$$\lambda x.\lambda y.y$$

build up things like lists