Automata Theory (SCS 2112)

Mathematics, rightly viewed, possesses not only truth but supreme beauty ...

Bertrand Russell

SCS 2112

Recommended Reading:

• Introduction to Languages and The Theory of Computation, John C Martin, Mc Graw Hill

Evaluation Criteria

- Assignments: 30%
- Final Examination: 70%

Automata Theory

• The main emphasis of Automata theory is the study of definitions and properties of mathematical (computational) models (abstract models) that can be used for computations.

Sets/Sequences/Tuples/Pairs

- Set: A collection of objects.
 - A set may contain objects of different types.
 - Order of objects in a set is not important.
- Sequence : A collection of objects in a particular order.
 - Objects can be of any type.
 - Order is important.
- Tuple : A sequence of finite number of elements.

Sets/Sequences/Tuples/Pairs

- k-tuple: A sequence of k elements.
- Pair : 2-tuple.
- A × B Cartesian product of A and B

$$A \times B = \{(a,b) \mid a \in A \text{ and } b \in B \}$$

Functions

- A function is a mapping between two sets satisfying certain constraints.
- $f: D \rightarrow R$
 - Domain of f is D
 - Range of f is R
- Different types of functions
 - Onto: A function that uses all values of the range
 - Into: A function that does not use all values of the range
 - -1-1

Alphabets

- Alphabet (Σ) : A finite nonempty set of symbols.
 - ASCII and EBCDIC, Unicode are examples of computer alphabets.
 - The members of the alphabet are called the **symbols** of the alphabet.

Strings

- String: Finite sequence of symbols from the alphabet.
 - A string is usually written by appending each symbol in the sequence next to one another.

Example let
$$\Sigma = \{a, b\}$$

then aa, abab are strings on Σ

 Two strings are considered the same if all their letters are the same and in the same order.

String Operations

- Concatenation
- Reverse of a string
- Length of a string w,
- Sub-string : Any string of consecutive characters in some siting w
- Empty string, denoted by \in (λ)
- Prefix/suffix
- $-\Sigma^*$: Kleene closure
- $-\Sigma^+$
- $-\Sigma^*$, Σ^+ are infinite

- Informally a language L over an alphabet Σ is a subset of Σ^* .
 - finite language on Σ
 - infinite language on Σ
 - Since a language is a set, all set operations can be applied on languages.

- Since languages are sets, the union, intersection, and difference of two languages are automatically defined.
- The complement of a language is defined with respect to Σ^* .

$$\Gamma' = \Sigma * - \Gamma$$

• Concatenation of two languages L_1 and L_2 contains every string in L_1 concatenated with every string in L_2 .

$$L_1L_2 = \{xy \mid x \in L_1, y \in L_2\}$$

• Lⁿ is defined as the concatenation of L with itself n times

$$L^0 = \{ \in \}$$
$$L^1 = L$$

• The star-closure of a language is defined as

$$L^* = L^0 \cup L^1 \cup L^2 \dots$$

 The positive closure of a language is defined as

$$L^+ = L^1 \cup L^2 \dots$$

• A string in a language L is called a **sentence** of L.

Languages

- Natural Languages
 - Difficult to define
 - Dictionary Definition: System suitable for expressing ideas, facts or concepts and rules for their manipulation.
- Formal Languages
 - Defined precisely so that mathematical analysis is possible.

- Two basic problems in programming language design are
 - How to define a programming language precisely.
 - How to use such definitions to write an efficient and reliable translation programs.
- Theory of formal languages are extensively used in the
 - Definition of programming languages.
 - Construction of interpreters and compilers.

- How specific languages can be defined?
 - Listing out all possible words in the language, if the language is finite.
 - Example a Dictionary
 - Giving a set of rules, which defines all the acceptable words of the language.
 - A language L over an alphabet Σ is a subset of Σ^* . Thus set notations can be used to define languages. However set notation is inadequate to define complex languages.
 - Grammars: Powerful mechanism for defining formal languages.

- Formal languages
 - Alphabet (Σ) : A finite nonempty set of symbols.
 - Syntax : linguistic form of sentences in the language
 - Only concerned with the form rather than meaning
 - Semantics: Linguistic meaning of syntactically correct sentences.
 - A syntactically correct program need not make any sense semantically.

- Formally, a grammar is a four-tuple(N, \sum, P, S)
 - N: the set of non-terminal symbols or variables, denoted by capital letters
 - $-\sum$: the set of terminal symbols (or, simply, terminals).
 - − P : set of production rules.

All production rules are of the form

$$v \rightarrow w$$
 where

$$v \in (N \cup \Sigma)^+$$

$$w \in (N \cup \Sigma)^*$$

Production rules specify how the grammar transforms one string to another.

 S : A designated initial non-terminal from which all strings in the language are derived

Note:

$$-\sum \cap N = \emptyset$$

$$-S \in N$$

Derivations

Let w be a string of the form uxv

i.e
$$w = uxv$$

and $x \rightarrow y$ is a production of the grammar.

Then we say the production $x \rightarrow y$ is **applicable** to the string w, and may replace the occurrence of x in w by y.

This is written as

$$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$$

$uxv \Rightarrow uyv$

- We say uyv derives uyv or
- uyv is derived from uxv

We may derive new string from a given string by applying productions successively in arbitrary order.

$$w_1 \Rightarrow w_2 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow w_n$$

This can be given as $w_1 \Rightarrow^* w_n$ this means w_1 derives w_n

 w_1, w_2, \dots, W_n are called **sentential forms** of the derivation.

- Let G be a grammar. Then the language generated by G is denoted by L(G).
- Two grammars are said to be **equivalent** if they generate the same language.
 - Important in the development of parsers.
 - It is hard/impossible to develop parsers for some grammars.
 - They may be transformed into equivalent grammars that can be parsed.

Example:

The set of all legal identifiers in Pascal is a language.

<u>Informal Definition</u>: Set of strings with a letter followed by an arbitrary number of letters or digits.

Formal Definition: (Grammar)

$$\langle id \rangle \rightarrow \langle letter \rangle \langle rest \rangle$$

$$\langle rest \rangle \rightarrow \langle letter \rangle \langle rest \rangle | \langle digit \rangle \langle rest \rangle | \in$$

$$<$$
letter $> \rightarrow a | b | c | \dots | z$

$$\langle \text{digit} \rangle \rightarrow 0|1|....|9$$

Classes of Grammars

Chomsky's scheme of classification

Based on the format of the productions assume productions are of the form $\alpha_i \rightarrow \beta_i$

- Type 0 : Phrase structure grammars no restrictions on form of productions $\alpha_i \to \beta_i$ for all i
 - All formal grammars.
 - Generates all languages recognizable by a Turing machine

Chomsky's scheme of classification

- Type 1 : Context-sensitive grammars
 - $|\alpha_i| \le |\beta_i|$ for all i, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the length Note: null string would not be allowed as a right hand side of any production.

Type 2 : Context free grammars (BNF Grammars)

 $\forall \alpha_I$ restricted to a single non-terminal symbol, for all i

- Can be recognized by pushdown automata
- Context free grammar is a common notation for specifying the syntax of programming languages.

Example:

In C if-else statement

Stmt \rightarrow **if** (expr) stmt **else** stmt

- Type 3 : regular grammars
 - all production of the form $A \to xB$ or $A \to x$ where A and B are non-terminals and x is in Σ^* **right liner** grammar.
 - all production of the form $A \to Bx$ or $A \to x$ where A and B are non-terminals and x is in Σ^* left liner grammar.
 - Can be recognized by finite automata.

Note: type t grammars are also type t-1 for all t > 0

• A language L(G) is said to be of type k if it can be generated by type k grammar.

Church—Turing thesis(hypothesis)

- Church—Turing thesis states that if some method (algorithm) exists to carry out a calculation, then the same calculation can also be carried out by a Turing machine (as well as by a recursively-definable function, and by a λ -function).
- Though this hypothesis cannot be formally proven, it has a near-universal acceptance.

The trouble with the world is that the stupid are cocksure and the intelligent are full of doubt.

Bertrand Russell

