

# Documentation for `viscosity_curve_195C_recalibrated.py`

## Contents

<b>1 Overview</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2 Background &amp; Modeling Approach</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 Krieger–Dougherty (KD) Model . . . . .	2
2.2 Transfer from WPC to SPC . . . . .	3
<b>3 Dependencies</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4 File Structure</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5 Step-by-Step Workflow</b>	<b>4</b>
5.1 Loading WPC Data & Computing $\phi_{\text{wpc}}$ . . . . .	4
5.2 Fitting Krieger–Dougherty (KD) to WPC . . . . .	5
5.3 Back-Calculating the Pure-Polymer Viscosity . . . . .	5
5.4 Fitting a Power-Law to the Polymer . . . . .	6
5.5 Transferring to Sand: Computing $\phi_{\text{spc}}$ & $\eta_{r,\text{spc}}$ . . . . .	6
5.6 Predicting SPC Viscosity & Exporting Results . . . . .	7
5.7 Plotting & Visualization . . . . .	7
<b>6 Function Reference</b>	<b>8</b>
6.1 <code>wt_to_vol_frac</code> . . . . .	8
6.2 <code>krieger_dougherty_safe</code> . . . . .	8
6.3 <code>fit_kd</code> . . . . .	9
6.4 <code>fit_power_law</code> . . . . .	9
6.5 <code>polymer_viscosity_fit</code> . . . . .	9
<b>7 How to Run</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>8 Output Files &amp; Their Contents</b>	<b>10</b>
8.1 <code>polymer_fit_195C.csv</code> . . . . .	10
8.2 <code>spc_viscosity_prediction_195C.csv</code> . . . . .	11
8.3 <code>spc_prediction_195C.png</code> . . . . .	11
<b>9 Customization &amp; Parameter Tuning</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>10 Troubleshooting &amp; Common Pitfalls</b>	<b>12</b>

# 1 Overview

The script `viscosity_curve_195C_recalibrated.py` implements a Krieger–Dougherty (KD)-based transfer methodology to predict the viscosity curve of a sand–plastic composite (SPC) at 195 °C from measured wood–plastic composite (WPC) data at the same temperature (50 wt% wood). Specifically, it:

1. Loads WPC data (shear\_rate vs.  $\eta_{\text{wpc}}$ ) at 195 °C.
2. Computes the volume fraction of wood ( $\phi_{\text{wpc}}$ ) from 50 wt% wood using densities.
3. Normalizes WPC viscosity to obtain relative viscosity ( $\eta_{r,\text{wpc}}$ ) and fits a KD model to determine WPC’s  $\eta_{\text{int}}$  and  $\phi_m$ .
4. Back-calculates a “pure-polymer” viscosity curve by dividing out the KD factor.
5. Fits a power-law ( $\eta = K \dot{\gamma}^{n-1}$ ) to that back-calculated polymer data.
6. Transfers to sand by reusing the same volume fraction ( $\phi_{\text{spc}} = \phi_{\text{wpc}}$ ) and applying sand KD parameters ( $\eta_{\text{int,sand}}$ ,  $\phi_{m,\text{sand}}$ ).
7. Predicts absolute SPC viscosity ( $\eta_{\text{spc}}$ ) by multiplying the KD factor for sand by the fitted polymer’s power-law.
8. Exports results to CSV files and creates a log–log comparison plot (WPC vs. SPC).

The end result is a realistic SPC viscosity curve (Pa·s vs. shear rate) at 195 °C, anchored to actual WPC data and consistent polymer modeling.

## 2 Background & Modeling Approach

### 2.1 Krieger–Dougherty (KD) Model

The KD model is a semi-empirical formula for relative viscosity  $\eta_r(\phi)$  of a particle-filled polymer as a function of particle volume fraction  $\phi$ :

$$\eta_r(\phi) = \left(1 - \frac{\phi}{\phi_m}\right)^{-\eta_{\text{int}} \phi_m},$$

where

- $\eta_{\text{int}}$  = intrinsic viscosity (how strongly particles thicken the melt),
- $\phi_m$  = maximum packing fraction (theoretical limit as  $\phi \rightarrow \phi_m$ ).

KD yields a constant relative viscosity (no  $\dot{\gamma}$  dependency) for fixed  $\phi$ . To obtain *absolute* viscosity at varying shear rates, one multiplies  $\eta_r(\phi)$  by a shear-rate-dependent base polymer viscosity  $\eta_{\text{polymer}}(\dot{\gamma})$ .

## 2.2 Transfer from WPC to SPC

1. Measure WPC (wood-polymer) at 50 wt% wood  $\rightarrow$  compute  $\phi_{\text{wpc}}$ .
2. Fit KD to  $(\phi_{\text{wpc}}, \eta_{r,\text{wpc}})$  to find  $(\eta_{\text{int,wpc}}, \phi_{m,\text{wpc}})$ .
3. “Back-calculate” the polymer’s  $\eta_{\text{polymer}}(\dot{\gamma})$  at 195 °C by dividing out

$$\text{KD\_factor}_{\text{WPC}} = \text{KD}(\phi_{\text{wpc}}; \eta_{\text{int,wpc}}, \phi_{m,\text{wpc}}).$$

4. Fit a power-law to the back-calculated polymer data:

$$\eta_{\text{polymer}}(\dot{\gamma}) = K_{\text{poly}} \dot{\gamma}^{n_{\text{poly}}-1}.$$

5. For sand, choose KD parameters  $(\eta_{\text{int,sand}}, \phi_{m,\text{sand}})$ , reuse  $\phi = \phi_{\text{wpc}}$ , compute

$$\text{KD\_factor}_{\text{SPC}} = \text{KD}(\phi_{\text{spc}}; \eta_{\text{int,sand}}, \phi_{m,\text{sand}}).$$

6. Predict SPC absolute viscosity:

$$\eta_{\text{spc}}(\dot{\gamma}) = \underbrace{\text{KD\_factor}_{\text{SPC}}}_{\text{constant in } \dot{\gamma}} \times \underbrace{K_{\text{poly}} \dot{\gamma}^{n_{\text{poly}}-1}}_{\text{fitted polymer curve}}.$$

7. Compare WPC (original) vs. SPC (predicted) on a log-log plot.

—

## 3 Dependencies

- **Python 3.7+** (tested on 3.8/3.9)
- **Pandas** ( 1.1.0)
- **NumPy** ( 1.18.0)
- **SciPy** ( 1.4.0) – for `scipy.optimize.leastsq`
- **Matplotlib** ( 3.2.0)

Install via pip:

```
pip install pandas numpy scipy matplotlib
```

—

## 4 File Structure

/project-folder

```
wpc_viscosity.csv          # Input: WPC data at 195 °C (no header)
viscosity_curve_195C_recalibrated.py # This Python script
```

Output files produced by the script:

```
polymer_fit_195C.csv      # Back-calculated polymer curve
spc_viscosity_prediction_195C.csv # Predicted SPC data
spc_prediction_195C.png   # Plot comparing WPC vs. SPC curves
```

wpc\_viscosity.csv: A two-column, headerless CSV containing:

1. shear\_rate [1/s]
2. eta\_wpc [Pa·s]

Measurements are taken at 195 °C, with exactly 50 wt% wood flour in the polymer matrix.

viscosity\_curve\_195C\_recalibrated.py: Main script that loads wpc\_viscosity.csv, performs KD fitting, back-calculates polymer, fits power-law, transfers to sand KD, predicts SPC, exports CSVs, and plots.

—

## 5 Step-by-Step Workflow

### 5.1 Loading WPC Data & Computing $\phi_{\text{wpc}}$

```
# 3.1 Read in the WPC data (195 °C, 50 wt% wood). No header.
```

```
df = pd.read_csv(DATA_CSV, header=None)
```

```
df.columns = ["shear_rate", "eta_wpc"]
```

- **Purpose:** Load wood-composite data with two columns (no header).
- **Result:** DataFrame df with shear\_rate and eta\_wpc.

```
# 3.2 Compute _wpc from 50 wt% wood:
```

```
phi_wpc_value = wt_to_vol_frac(WT_FRAC_WPC, RHO_WOOD, RHO_PE)
```

```
df["phi_wpc"] = phi_wpc_value
```

- **Compute  $\phi_{\text{wpc}}$ :**

$$\phi_{\text{wpc}} = \frac{(WT\_FRAC\_WPC/100)/\rho_{\text{wood}}}{(WT\_FRAC\_WPC/100)/\rho_{\text{wood}} + (1 - WT\_FRAC\_WPC/100)/\rho_{\text{polymer}}}.$$

- **Assign:** A constant column phi\_wpc  $\approx 0.41$  in every row.

```
# 3.3 Compute relative viscosity of WPC: _r_wpc = _wpc /
```

```
eta_matrix0 = df["eta_wpc"].iloc[0]
```

```
df["eta_r_wpc"] = df["eta_wpc"] / eta_matrix0
```

- **Assume:** The first (lowest shear rate) `eta_wpc` approximates zero-shear polymer viscosity ( $\eta_0$ ).
- **Compute:** `eta_r_wpc[i] = eta_wpc[i] / eta_matrix0`.

—

## 5.2 Fitting Krieger–Dougherty (KD) to WPC

```
eta_int_wpc, phi_m_wpc = fit_kd(df["phi_wpc"].values, df["eta_r_wpc"].values)
```

- **Function:** `fit_kd` minimizes

$$\sum_i \left( \ln(\eta_{r,\text{wpc},i}) - \ln(\text{KD}(\phi_{\text{wpc},i}; [\eta_{\text{int}}, \phi_m])) \right)^2.$$

- **Output:**

- `eta_int_wpc` = fitted intrinsic viscosity for wood filler in polymer.
- `phi_m_wpc` = fitted maximum packing fraction for wood.

```
# Check _m_wpc > _wpc. If not, bump upward:
```

```
if phi_m_wpc <= phi_wpc_value:
    phi_m_wpc = phi_wpc_value + 1e-3
```

- **Ensure:**  $\phi_{m,\text{wpc}} > \phi_{\text{wpc}}$  (otherwise KD argument is invalid).

```
# 4.1 Compute KD factor for WPC at _wpc:
```

```
df["kd_wpc"] = krieger_dougherty_safe(
    np.array([phi_wpc_value]), eta_int_wpc, phi_m_wpc
)[0]
print(f"KD factor for WPC: _r_wpc {df['kd_wpc'].iloc[0]:.4f}")
```

- `kd_wpc` =  $(1 - \phi_{\text{wpc}}/\phi_{m,\text{wpc}})^{-\eta_{\text{int},\text{wpc}} \phi_{m,\text{wpc}}}$ .
- **Should match (approximately)**  $\eta_{r,\text{wpc}}$  averaged across data.

—

## 5.3 Back-Calculating the Pure-Polymer Viscosity

```
# 5.1 Compute: _polymer_data[i] = _wpc[i] / kd_wpc
df["eta_polymer_data"] = df["eta_wpc"] / df["kd_wpc"]
```

- **Rationale:**

$$\eta_{\text{wpc},i} = \eta_{\text{KD},\text{wpc}} \times \eta_{\text{polymer}}(\dot{\gamma}_i).$$

Therefore,

$$\eta_{\text{polymer}}(\dot{\gamma}_i) = \frac{\eta_{\text{wpc},i}}{\eta_{\text{KD},\text{wpc}}}.$$

- **Result:** A back-calculated polymer viscosity curve at 195 °C.

- **Save:**

```
df_poly = df[["shear_rate", "eta_polymer_data"]]
df_poly.to_csv("polymer_fit_195C.csv", index=False)
```

Produces a two-column CSV (`polymer_fit_195C.csv`) containing `shear_rate` vs. `eta_polymer_data`.

---

## 5.4 Fitting a Power-Law to the Polymer

```
K_poly, n_poly = fit_power_law(df["shear_rate"].values, df["eta_polymer_data"].values)
```

- **Model:**

$$\eta_{\text{polymer}}(\dot{\gamma}) = K \dot{\gamma}^{n-1}.$$

- **Method:** Linear regression on  $\ln \eta$  vs.  $\ln \dot{\gamma}$ :

$$\ln \eta = \ln K + (n - 1) \ln \dot{\gamma}$$

→ slope =  $(n - 1)$ , intercept =  $\ln K$ .

- **Output:**

- `K_poly` = consistency index ( $\text{Pa} \cdot \text{s}^n$ ).
  - `n_poly` = flow index ( $n < 1$  for shear-thinning).
- 

## 5.5 Transferring to Sand: Computing $\phi_{\text{spc}}$ & $\eta_{r,\text{spc}}$

```
if FORCE_PHI_SPC:
```

```
    df["phi_spc"] = 0.50 # 50 vol% sand
```

```
else:
```

```
    df["phi_spc"] = df["phi_wpc"] # reuse _wpc 0.41
```

- **Option A** (force  $\phi_{\text{spc}} = 0.50$ ) vs. **Option B** (reuse  $\phi_{\text{wpc}}$ ).
- For a “strict KD transfer,” use Option B (same volume fraction).

```
df["eta_r_spc"] = krieger_dougherty_safe(
    df["phi_spc"].values, ETA_INT_SAND, PHI_M_SAND
)
```

- **Compute:**

$$\eta_{r,\text{spc}} = \left(1 - \phi_{\text{spc}}/\phi_{m,\text{sand}}\right)^{-\eta_{\text{int},\text{sand}} \phi_{m,\text{sand}}}.$$

- This is a *single constant* across all shear rates.
-

## 5.6 Predicting SPC Viscosity & Exporting Results

```
# 7.1 Define fitted polymer model:
def polymer_viscosity_fit(gamma_dot: float) -> float:
    return K_poly * (gamma_dot ** (n_poly - 1))

# 7.2 Compute absolute SPC: _spc = _r_spc * _polymer_fit()
df["eta_spc"] = df["eta_r_spc"] * df["shear_rate"].apply(polymer_viscosity_fit)

# 7.3 Export predicted SPC data:
df_out = df[["shear_rate", "phi_spc", "eta_spc"]]
df_out.to_csv("spc_viscosity_prediction_195C.csv", index=False)
```

- *'eta<sub>spc</sub>' is the final predicted sand-composite viscosity at 195C, for each shear rate.* **Export:** *Create spc\_viscosity\_*  
shear\_rate, phi\_spc, eta\_spc

## 5.7 Plotting & Visualization

- ```
plt.figure(figsize=(6, 4))

# (a) Original WPC data
plt.loglog(df["shear_rate"], df["eta_wpc"], marker="o", linestyle="--",
           label="WPC (50 wt% wood) @195 °C")

# (b) Predicted SPC data
plt.loglog(df["shear_rate"], df["eta_spc"], marker="s", linestyle="--",
           label=f"SPC ({df['phi_spc'].iloc[0]:.2f}) @195 °C")

plt.xlabel("Shear Rate [1/s]")
plt.ylabel("Viscosity [Pa·s]")
plt.title("195 °C: WPC @50 wt% vs. Predicted SPC @ same ")
plt.grid(which="both", ls="--", alpha=0.3)
plt.legend()
plt.tight_layout()

output_png = "spc_prediction_195C.png"
plt.savefig(output_png, dpi=300)
plt.show()
```

- **Blue circles:** Original WPC data at 195 °C.
- **Orange squares:** Predicted SPC data (at same  $\phi$ ) at 195 °C.
- Both curves share the same shear-thinning slope (since the polymer model is reused), but are vertically offset by KD factors.

## 6 Function Reference

Below are the helper functions, their purpose, inputs, and outputs.

### 6.1 wt\_to\_vol\_frac

```
def wt_to_vol_frac(wt_frac: float, rho_filler: float, rho_matrix: float) -> float:
```

- **Purpose:** Convert a filler weight fraction (wt%) into a *volume fraction*  $\phi$ , given filler density and matrix density.
- **Arguments:**
  - `wt_frac(float)`: *Weight fraction of filler (in percent, e.g. 50.0).*
- **Returns:** `phi (float)`: Resulting volume fraction (unitless, between 0 and 1).
- **Equation:**

$$w = \frac{\text{wt\_frac}}{100}, \quad \phi = \frac{\frac{w}{\rho_{\text{filler}}}}{\frac{w}{\rho_{\text{filler}}} + \frac{1-w}{\rho_{\text{matrix}}}}.$$

### 6.2 krieger\_dougherty\_safe

```
def krieger_dougherty_safe(phi: np.ndarray, eta_int: float, phi_m: float) -> np.ndarray:
```

- **Purpose:** Compute the Krieger–Dougherty relative viscosity  $\eta_r(\phi)$  safely, ensuring no invalid power raises if  $\phi \geq \phi_m$ .
- **Arguments:**
  - `phi (np.ndarray)`: Array of volume fractions  $\phi$ .
  - `eta_int(float)`: *Intrinsic viscosity parameter ( $\eta_{\text{int}}$ ).*
  - `phi_m(float)`: *Maximum packing fraction ( $\phi_m$ ).*
- **Returns:** `eta_r(np.ndarray)`: *Array of relative viscosities for each  $\phi$ , computed as*

$$\eta_r(\phi) = \left( \max(1 - \phi/\phi_m, \varepsilon) \right)^{-\eta_{\text{int}} \phi_m},$$

where  $\varepsilon = 10^{-12}$  is a small clip to avoid zero or negative base.

- **Implementation Details:**
  - Calculate `base = 1.0 - (phi / phi_m)`. *Clip base to a minimum of 1e-12 (so that  $1 - \phi/\phi_m \leq 0$  becomes 1e-12).*
  - Compute `base_clipped**(-eta_int * phi_m)`.



### 6.3 fit\_kd

```
def fit_kd(phi_array: np.ndarray, eta_r_array: np.ndarray) -> tuple:
```

- **Purpose:** Fit KD parameters  $(\eta_{\text{int}}, \phi_m)$  to experimental data  $(\phi_i, \eta_{r,i})$  by minimizing the sum of squared residuals in log-space:

$$\text{residual}_i(\eta_{\text{int}}, \phi_m) = \ln(\eta_{r,i}) - \ln(\eta_{r,\text{KD}}(\phi_i; \eta_{\text{int}}, \phi_m)).$$

- **Arguments:**
  - `phi_array` (`np.ndarray`): 1D array of volume fractions  $\phi_i$ .
  - `eta_r_array` (`np.ndarray`): 1D array of measured relative viscosities  $\eta_{r,i}$ .
- **Returns:**  $(\eta_{\text{int\_fit}}, \phi_{m\_fit})$  – Fitted intrinsic viscosity and maximum packing fraction.
- **Method:**
  - Defines a residual function in log-space.
  - Uses `scipy.optimize.leastsq` with initial guess `[6.0, 0.30]`.
  - Returns the optimized parameters.

### 6.4 fit\_power\_law

```
def fit_power_law(shear_rates: np.ndarray, viscosities: np.ndarray) -> tuple:
```

- **Purpose:** Fit a power-law model  $\eta(\dot{\gamma}) = K \dot{\gamma}^{n-1}$  to a set of  $(\dot{\gamma}_i, \eta_i)$  data.
- **Arguments:**
  - `shear_rates` (`np.ndarray`): 1D array of shear rates  $\dot{\gamma}_i$ .
  - `viscosities` (`np.ndarray`): 1D array of viscosities  $\eta_i$ .
- **Returns:**  $(K_{\text{fit}}, n_{\text{fit}})$  – Fitted power-law constants:
$$\ln \eta = \ln K + (n - 1) \ln \dot{\gamma} \implies m = n - 1, \quad b = \ln K.$$
- **Method:** Perform a linear regression on  $(\ln \eta, \ln \dot{\gamma})$  to extract slope  $m$  and intercept  $b$ . Then  $n = m + 1$ ,  $K = e^b$ .

### 6.5 polymer\_viscosity\_fit

```
def polymer_viscosity_fit(gamma_dot: float) -> float:
    return K_poly * (gamma_dot ** (n_poly - 1))
```

- **Purpose:** Evaluate the fitted power-law polymer model at a given shear rate:

$$\eta_{\text{polymer}}(\dot{\gamma}) = K_{\text{poly}} \dot{\gamma}^{n_{\text{poly}}-1}.$$

- **Arguments:** `gamma_dot` (`float`): Shear rate  $\dot{\gamma}$ .
- **Returns:** `_polymer` (`float`): Calculated pure-polymer viscosity at the given  $\dot{\gamma}$ .
- **Note:** Uses the global variables `K_poly` and `n_poly` determined by `fit_power_law`.

—

## 7 How to Run

1. **Ensure all dependencies are installed:**

```
pip install pandas numpy scipy matplotlib
```

2. **Place :**

- `wpc_viscosity.csv` (50 wt% wood WPC data at 195 °C, no header)
- `viscosity_curve_195C_recalibrated.py`

in the same directory.

3. **Run** the script:

```
python viscosity_curve_195C_recalibrated.py
```

4. **Observe console output:**

- Fitted KD parameters for WPC ( $\eta_{\text{int,wpc}}, \phi_{m,\text{wpc}}$ ).
- Back-calculated KD factor for WPC.
- Fitted polymer power-law constants ( $K_{\text{poly}}, n_{\text{poly}}$ ).
- KD factor for SPC ( $\eta_{r,\text{spc}}$ ).
- Confirmation of exported CSV files and saved PNG plot.

5. **Check generated files:**

- `polymer_fit_195C.csv`
- `spc_viscosity_prediction_195C.csv`
- `spc_prediction_195C.png`

—

## 8 Output Files & Their Contents

### 8.1 `polymer_fit_195C.csv`

- Columns:

`shear_rate, eta_polymer_data`

- This is the “back-calculated” pure-polymer viscosity curve at 195 °C.
- Obtained by dividing the measured WPC viscosities by the KD factor for wood at  $\phi_{\text{wpc}}$ .

## 8.2 spc\_viscosity\_prediction\_195C.csv

- Columns:

`shear_rate, phi_spc, eta_spc`

- Predictions for the sand-polymer composite at 195 °C and  $\phi_{\text{spc}} = \phi_{\text{wpc}} (0.41)$ .
- `eta_spc` is the KD factor for sand at  $\phi_{\text{spc}}$  multiplied by the fitted polymer power-law.

## 8.3 spc\_prediction\_195C.png

- A log-log plot comparing:
  - **WPC (195 °C, 50 wt% wood)** – blue circles.
  - **Predicted SPC (195 °C,  $\phi = 0.41$ )** – orange squares.
- Both curves share the same shear-thinning slope (since the polymer model is reused), but are vertically offset by KD factors.

—

# 9 Customization & Parameter Tuning

- **Force exactly 50 vol% sand:** Set `FORCE_PHI_SPC = True` near the top of the script. Then  $\phi_{\text{spc}} = 0.50$  instead of reusing  $\phi_{\text{wpc}}$ .
- **Use different sand KD parameters:** Adjust `ETA_INT_SAND` and `PHI_M_SAND`. For example:

`ETA_INT_SAND = 2.5, PHI_M_SAND = 0.64.`

- **Use a different polymer model:** Modify `polymer_viscosity_fit` or replace the power-law entirely. If you have a Carreau or Cross equation, implement it instead. If you have a separate neat-polymer CSV at 195 °C, you can skip Section 5.4 and directly interpolate that data as  $\eta_{\text{neat}}(\dot{\gamma})$ .
- **Change WPC weight fraction:** If your wood composite is not exactly 50 wt% but some other percentage, modify:

`WT_FRAC_WPC = < your new wt% >`

The script will recalculate  $\phi_{\text{wpc}}$  accordingly.

- **Run at a different temperature:** You need a new CSV of WPC data at that temperature (no header). Update `DATA_CSV` to point to that file, and rename output files accordingly (e.g. `viscosity_curve_185C.py`, output to `polymer_fit_185C.csv`, etc.). Ensure you choose KD parameters ( $\eta_{\text{int,sand}}, \phi_{m,\text{sand}}$ ) appropriate for that polymer at that temperature, if they differ significantly.

—

## 10 Troubleshooting & Common Pitfalls

1. **Invalid Value in Power Warning** If you see `RuntimeWarning: invalid value encountered in power`, it means at some point  $\phi \geq \phi_m$  was passed into the KD exponent.

- Check that `phi_wpc < phi_m_wpc` after fitting; the script automatically bumps  $\phi_{m,wpc}$  by  $10^{-3}$  if necessary.
- Check that `phi_spc < phi_m_sand`; if you force  $\phi_{spc} = 0.50$  but choose  $\phi_{m,sand} < 0.50$ , KD will produce invalid values. Ensure  $\phi_{m,sand} > \phi_{spc}$ .

2. **Poor Power-Law Fit for Polymer**

- The back-calculated polymer data (`eta_polymer_data`) should form a roughly straight line on a log-log plot vs. `shear_rate`.
- If it is very noisy or non-linear, consider using a Carreau or Cross model instead of a simple power-law.
- Check if any measured WPC data points are erroneous or have experimental slip issues.

3. **Output SPC Curve Looks Too High or Low**

- Revisit `ETA_INT_SAND` and `PHI_M_SAND`. Slight adjustments (e.g.  $\eta_{int,sand} = 2.5$  or  $\phi_{m,sand} = 0.60$ ) can shift the KD factor by 10–20%.
- Verify that the base polymer fit (`K_poly`, `n_poly`) is reasonable: e.g. at 195 °C, typical polyolefin  $K_{poly}$  might be on the order of  $10^2 - 10^3 \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}^n$ , and  $n_{poly} \approx 0.4 - 0.8$ .

4. **Mismatched Shear Rates Between WPC and Neat Data**

- In this script, we assume WPC data is at a set of discrete shear rates, and the pure-polymer fit is derived from the same data via the KD factor.
- If you instead have a separate pure-polymer CSV with different shear rates, you must interpolate the pure-polymer data at the WPC shear rates (or resample to a common grid). Otherwise, `eta_spc = eta_r_spc * eta_neat()` may mismatch.

5. **Plot Is Empty or Not Showing Points**

- Ensure `df["shear_rate"]` is strictly positive (no zeros). A zero shear rate will cause log-log plotting to fail.
- If `df["eta_spc"]` or `df["eta_wpc"]` contain NaNs (due to invalid KD calls), investigate upstream points where  $\phi \geq \phi_m$  and correct them.

**End of Documentation**