

✓ Congratulations! You passed!

Grade received 80% To pass 80% or higher

Retake the  
assignment in 7h  
56m


Go to  
next  
item

## Support Vector Machines

Latest Submission Grade 80%

1. Suppose you have trained an SVM classifier with a Gaussian kernel, and it learned the following decision boundary on the training set:

1 / 1 point



You suspect that the SVM is underfitting your dataset. Should you try increasing or decreasing  $C$ ? Increasing or decreasing  $\sigma^2$ ?

- ☐ It would be reasonable to try **decreasing**  $C$ . It would also be reasonable to try **decreasing**  $\sigma^2$ .
- ☒ It would be reasonable to try **increasing**  $C$ . It would also be reasonable to try **decreasing**  $\sigma^2$ .
- ☐ It would be reasonable to try **increasing**  $C$ . It would also be reasonable to try **increasing**  $\sigma^2$ .
- ☐ It would be reasonable to try **decreasing**  $C$ . It would also be reasonable to try **increasing  $\sigma^2$ .**


✓ Correct

The figure shows a decision boundary that is underfit to the training set, so we'd like to lower the bias / increase the variance of the SVM. We can do so by either increasing the parameter  $C$  or decreasing  $\sigma^2$ .

2. The formula for the Gaussian kernel is given by  $\text{similarity}(x, l^{(1)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - l^{(1)}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ .

1 / 1 point

The figure below shows a plot of  $f_1 = \text{similarity}(x, l^{(1)})$  when  $\sigma^2 = 1$ .



Which of the following is a plot of  $f_1$  when  $\sigma^2 = 0.25$ ?

○




Figure 4.

○





Figure 3.

⊙



○




Figure 2.

✓ **Correct**


This figure shows a "narrower" Gaussian kernel centered at the same location which is the effect of decreasing  $\sigma^2$ .

3. The SVM solves

1 / 1 point

$$\min_{\theta} C \sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \text{cost}_1(\theta^T x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \text{cost}_0(\theta^T x^{(i)}) + \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j^2$$

where the functions  $\text{cost}_0(z)$  and  $\text{cost}_1(z)$  look like this:



The first term in the objective is:

$$C \sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \text{cost}_1(\theta^T x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \text{cost}_0(\theta^T x^{(i)}).$$

This first term will be zero if two of the following four conditions hold true. Which are the two conditions that would guarantee that this term equals zero?

☒ For every example with  $y^{(i)} = 1$ , we have that  $\theta^T x^{(i)} \geq 1$ .

✓ **Correct**

For examples with  $y^{(i)} = 1$ , only the  $\text{cost}_1(\theta^T x^{(i)})$  term is present. As you can see in the graph, this will be zero for all inputs greater than or equal to 1.

☐ For every example with  $y^{(i)} = 0$ , we have that  $\theta^T x^{(i)} \leq 0$ .

☐ For every example with  $y^{(i)} = 1$ , we have that  $\theta^T x^{(i)} \geq 0$ .

☒ For every example with  $y^{(i)} = 0$ , we have that  $\theta^T x^{(i)} \leq -1$ .

✓ **Correct**

For examples with  $y^{(i)} = 0$ , only the  $\text{cost}_0(\theta^T x^{(i)})$  term is present. As you can see in the graph, this will be zero for all inputs less than or equal to -1.

4. Suppose you have a dataset with  $n = 10$  features and  $m = 5000$  examples.

0 / 1 point

After training your logistic regression classifier with gradient descent, you find that it has underfit the training set and does not achieve the desired performance on the training or cross validation sets.

Which of the following might be promising steps to take? Check all that apply.

☐ Reduce the number of examples in the training set.

☐ Try using a neural network with a large number of hidden units.

☒ Create / add new polynomial features.

✓ **Correct**

When you add more features, you increase the variance of your model, reducing the chances of underfitting.

☐ Use a different optimization method since using gradient descent to train logistic regression might result in a local minimum.

You didn't select all the correct answers

5. Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply.

1 / 1 point

☐ If you are training multi-class SVMs with the one-vs-all method, it is not possible to use a kernel.

☒ Suppose you have 2D input examples (ie,  $x^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ). The decision boundary of the SVM (with the linear kernel) is a straight line.



**Correct**

The SVM without any kernel (ie, the linear kernel) predicts output based only on  $\theta^T x$ , so it gives a linear / straight-line decision boundary, just as logistic regression does.

☒ The maximum value of the Gaussian kernel (i.e.,  $\text{sim}(x, l^{(1)})$ ) is 1.



**Correct**

When  $x = l^{(1)}$ , the Gaussian kernel has value  $\exp(0) = 1$ , and it is less than 1 otherwise.

☐ If the data are linearly separable, an SVM using a linear kernel will return the same parameters  $\theta$  regardless of the chosen value of  $C$  (i.e., the resulting value of  $\theta$  does not depend on  $C$ ).