

# Integrated Likelihood Inference in Poisson Distributions

Timothy Ruel

Department of Statistics and Data Science, Northwestern University

August 31, 2024

## Abstract

The text of your abstract. 200 or fewer words.

*Keywords:* Directly standardized rate, Integrated likelihood ratio statistic, Maximum integrated likelihood estimator, Profile likelihood, Weighted sum, Zero score expectation parameter

# 1 Introduction

Consider a vector  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$  in which each component represents the mean of a distinct Poisson process. We may obtain a sample of values from each process through repeated measurements of the number of events it generates over a fixed period of time. Suppose we have done so, and let  $X_{ij}$  represent the  $j$ th count from the  $i$ th sample, so that  $X_{ij} \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, m_i$ . The purpose of this paper is to consider likelihood-based inference for a real-valued parameter of interest  $\psi = g(\theta)$ , where  $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}_+^m$  is unknown and  $g : \Theta \rightarrow \Psi$  is a known twice continuously differentiable function.

The log-likelihood function of the model is given by

$$\ell(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n [X_i \log(\theta_i) - \theta_i]. \quad (1)$$

Note that while  $\ell$  is a function of the full  $m$ -dimensional vector parameter  $\theta$ ,  $\psi$  is just a scalar. This decrease in dimension induces a nuisance parameter  $\lambda$  in the model that typically must be eliminated from the log-likelihood function before inference regarding  $\psi$  can be conducted.<sup>1</sup> Furthermore,  $\psi$  need not explicitly be equal to one of the components of  $\theta$  but instead may be defined as any function  $g$  of  $\theta$  satisfying the requirements mentioned above. We refer to  $\psi$  and  $\lambda$  as being *implicit* parameters in such cases. Note that in general a closed form expression for an implicit nuisance parameter will not exist.

The standard procedure for eliminating  $\lambda$  from the log-likelihood function involves choosing some method with which to summarize  $\ell(\theta)$  over its possible values while holding  $\psi$  fixed in place. This effectively reduces  $\ell(\theta)$  to a simpler function depending on  $\psi$  alone,

---

<sup>1</sup>Intuitively, we can think of  $\lambda$  as representing the portion of  $\theta$  that remains in the other  $m-1$  dimensions of  $\Theta$  that are not occupied by  $\psi$ .

having replaced each dimension of  $\theta$  that depends on  $\lambda$  with a static summary of the values in its parameter space. We call this new function a pseudo-log-likelihood function for  $\psi$  and denote its generic form as  $\ell(\psi)$ . As we encounter specific types of pseudo-log-likelihoods, we will introduce more specialized notation as needed. Note that while it usually has properties resembling one,  $\ell(\psi)$  is not itself considered a genuine log-likelihood function, and there will always be some degree of information contained within the data lost as a result of the nuisance parameter's elimination.

Perhaps the most straightforward method of summarization we can use to construct  $\ell(\psi)$  is to maximize  $\ell(\theta)$  over all possible values of  $\theta$  for a fixed value of  $\psi$ . This yields what is known as the *profile* log-likelihood function, formally defined as

$$\ell_p(\psi) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta: g(\theta) = \psi} \ell(\theta). \quad (2)$$

In the case where an explicit nuisance parameter exists, Equation 2 is equivalent to replacing  $\lambda$  with its conditional maximum likelihood estimate given  $\psi$ :

$$\ell_p(\psi) = \ell(\psi, \hat{\lambda}_\psi). \quad (3)$$

## 2 Integrated Likelihood Functions

## 3 Application to Poisson Models

We now turn our attention to the task of using the ZSE parameterization to construct an integrated likelihood that can be used to make inferences regarding a parameter of interest derived from the Poisson model described in the introduction. We will

## 4 Inference for the Weighted Sum of Poisson Means

Consider a set of Poisson means corresponding to  $n$  distinct populations, where  $n$  is a known positive integer. Let  $\theta_i$  denote the mean of population  $i$ , and suppose we have drawn an independent sample of size  $m_i$  from each population, where  $X_{ij}$  represents the  $j$ th count from the  $i$ th sample. Then we have

$$X_{ij} \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad j = 1, \dots, m_i.$$

Consider the weighted sum

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i X_i,$$

where each  $w_i$  is a known constant greater than zero. Suppose we take for our parameter of interest the expected value of this weighted sum, so that

$$\psi \equiv E(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \theta_i.$$

The maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) for  $\theta_i$  is simply the sample mean  $\hat{\theta}_i = \frac{1}{m_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} X_{ij}$ .

### Examples