

Mid-Term Exam Computational Logic 2017-18

IMPORTANT NOTE: The total number of points is 100. The exam is **open-book**. Please don't forget to **write your full name on each page in capital letters!**

Question 1 (20 points)

1. (10 points) Show that the sentence

$$B_a B_a p \Rightarrow B_a p$$

is **valid** on (the class of) all **doxastic** models.

HINT: Use Euclideaness! (And notice that Euclideaness holds also when the second and the third world are the same!)

NOTE: Transitivity will NOT help you (-although it is true in doxastic models). And you CANNOT use reflexivity! (Why?)

2. (10 points) Define the “true belief” operator as an abbreviation by:

$$Tb_a \phi := (\phi \wedge B_a \phi)$$

(So a sentence ϕ is “true belief for agent a ” iff it is both true and believed by a .) Show that the sentence

$$B_a T b_a p \Rightarrow T b_a p$$

is **NOT valid** on (the class of) **doxastic** models.

HINT: For part 2, give a **counterexample**: some doxastic model containing a world w such that $w \models B_a T b_a p$, but $w \not\models T b_a p$.

Question 2. (34 points) Two children, S (“Mr. Sum”) and P (“Ms. Product”), are told that two numbers secret x and y are printed inside a sheet of paper hidden in an envelope. It is **common knowledge** that: *both numbers belong to the set $\{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$; the first number is strictly smaller than the second (i.e. $x < y$); NONE of the children can see any of the two numbers; but Mr. Sum was told **the sum** (and only the sum) of the two numbers (-so he knows their sum); and Ms. Product was told **the product** (and only the product) of the two numbers (-so she knows their product); and the children always tell the truth.*

1. (4 points) **How many possible worlds** are there (that are consistent with the story above)?
2. (6 points) **Represent** (draw) the above situation as an **epistemic model** M_1 , which possible worlds represented as pairs of numbers (i, j) (where this is the world in which $x = i$ and $y = j$), with $i, j \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. For any of the *two variables* variables x and y , consider (only) atomic sentences of the form $Odd(x)$ or $Odd(y)$, saying that “the value of x is an odd number” and respectively “the value of y is an odd number”. Write down the **valuation** for these atomic sentences $Odd(x)$ and $Odd(y)$ (i.e. specify: in which worlds is each of them true?)

NOTE: This an *epistemic model*, so all relations are reflexive, symmetric and transitive. To keep the drawing simple, you **can skip in this exercise all the loops and the arrow directions, simply drawing (undirected) lines labeled by agents S or P .**

3. (6 points) Mr. Sum is asked “Do you know the numbers?”, and he answers loud: “No, I don’t know the numbers”. Encode **this answer as a sentence ϕ in a formal language**, using the operator for numerical knowledge.
4. (6 points) Since children must tell the truth, we consider the previous answer to be a truthful public announcement $!\phi$. **Represent (draw) the updated model $M_2 = M_1^{!\phi}$** after this announcement.
5. (6 points) After the previous announcement, Ms. Product is asked the same question, and she also answers loud: “No, I don’t know the numbers”. As before, **write this answer as a sentence ψ in a formal language, and represent (draw) the updated model $M_3 = M_2^{!\psi}$** after this new truthful public announcement.
6. (6 points) After the announcement in the previous part, Mr. Sum says: “But NOW I know the numbers, and moreover (just to give you a hint, I can tell you that) x is an odd number!” As before, **write this answer as a sentence θ in a formal language, represent (draw) the updated model $M_4 = M_3^{!\theta}$** after this new truthful public announcement, and **use this model to answer the question: What are two numbers?**

Question 3. (46 points) There are three agents: Alice, Bernard and Cheryl. Each of the them receives a “bit” (i.e. a number from the set $\{0, 1\}$), **known only to him or her**. But it is *common knowledge* that: **at least two of the three bits have values equal to 0; our agents always tell the truth; and agents can send emails over fully secure communication channels** (no hacking!) **and all their messages are instantly delivered**.

1. (4 points) Let us use three variables x_a, x_b, x_c to denote the three bits. **Represent** (draw) the above situation as an **epistemic (state) model S_1** , with *three agents a, b, c* . You may denote the worlds as triplets (x_a, x_b, x_c) of the three values. As atomic sentences, consider only statements of the form $x_a = i$, $x_b = i$ or $x_c = i$ (with $i \in \{0, 1\}$).
2. (6 points) Cheryl asks Alice loud (and public) “*What is your bit?*”, and Alice answers equally loud “*I am replying to you by private email*” (so **everybody, including Bernard, can hear them**). At the same time (since she never lies), she **indeed sends a private email to Cheryl, telling her bit x_a** .

Represent (draw) this action, using an event model Σ with 2 actions (for the two possible answers “ $x_a = 1$ ” and “ $x_a = 0$ ”).

HINT: This is a “*fair-game announcement*” (similar to the “legal private viewing” example).

3. (6 points) Draw a state model S_2 for the situation *after* the action described in the previous part, by computing the **update product $S_2 = S_1 \otimes \Sigma$** .
4. (6 points) Alice asks Cheryl loud (and public) “*Do you know Bob’s bit, and if so what is it?*”. Cheryl answers equally loud “*I am replying to you by private email*” (so **everybody, including Bernard, can hear them**). As before, since Cheryl never lies, she simultaneously **sends a private email to Alice** (-telling her one of the following: either that *she, Cheryl, knows that Bob’s bit is 1*, or that *she knows that Bob’s bit is 0*, or that *she doesn’t know*).

Represent (draw) this action, using an event model Σ' with 3 actions (corresponding to the three possible answers).

HINT: This is again a “*fair-game announcement*” (similar to the “legal private viewing” example), but with three actions.

5. (6 points) Draw a state model \mathbf{S}_3 for the situation *after* the action described in the previous part, by computing the **update product** $\mathbf{S}_3 = \mathbf{S}_2 \otimes \Sigma'$.
6. (6 points) Bob **sends to Cheryl a supposedly secret message, but with BBC (Blind Carbon Copy) to Alice**, saying “*I know Alice’s bit*” (without telling what is the value!). Obviously, Cheryl cannot see that BCC, so she *believes this is a fully private communication* from Bob (and so that Alice doesn’t suspect anything). But of course Alice, being in BCC, also gets the message (and both her and Bob know this).
Represent (draw) this action, using an event model Σ'' with 3 actions (one of which is the skip action in which nothing happens).
7. (6 points) Draw a state model \mathbf{S}_4 for the situation *after* the action described in the previous part, by computing the **update product** $\mathbf{S}_4 = \mathbf{S}_3 \otimes \Sigma''$.
8. (6 points) **What are the bits of each agent?**
HINT: The fact that the announcement in part 7 is **truthful** (since we assumed nobody ever lies) should give you the necessary information to find the three bits.