

CPSC 331

Tutorial4

Department of Computer Science
University of Calgary

Running Time

- ❖ Number of primitive operations or “steps” (programming language statements) used
- ❖ Worst Case Analysis
- ❖ Average Case Analysis
- ❖ Best Case Analysis

```

public static boolean distinctEntries ( int[] A ) {

    for (int i=1; i <= A.length; i++ ) {
        /*
        * Loop Invariant:
        * a) i is an integer such that  $1 \leq i < A.length$ 
        * b)  $A[r]$  is not equal to  $A[s]$  for all integers r
        *    and s such that  $0 \leq r < s < i$ 
        * Loop Variant:  $A.length - i$ 
        */
        for (int j=1; j <= i; j++ ) {
            /*
            * Loop Invariant:
            * a) i and j are integers such that
            *     $0 \leq j < i < A.length$ 
            * b)  $A[r]$  is not equal to  $A[s]$  for all
            *    integers r and s such that
            *     $0 \leq r < s < i$ 
            * c)  $A[r]$  is not equal to  $A[i]$  for every
            *    integer r such that
            *     $0 \leq r < j$ 
            * Loop Variant:  $i-j$ 
            */
            if (A[j] == A[i]) {
                return false;
            };
        };
    };
    return true;
}

```

Proof of correctness for the outer loop

```
* Loop Invariant:  
* a) i is an integer such that  $1 \leq i < A.length$   
* b)  $A[r]$  is not equal to  $A[s]$  for all integers r  
* and s such that  $0 \leq r < s < i$ 
```

- ❖ First step
- ❖ Base Case $i=1$
 - A) $1 \leq i < A.length$
 - B) there is no r which is $0 \leq r < s < 1$
- ❖ At the end of i-th execution
 - $1 \leq i \leq A.length$
 - $A[r] \neq A[s] \quad 0 \leq r < s \leq i$
- ❖ At the beginning of the $i = i+1$ execution, we know that the loop test must pass after the end of the i-th execution ($i < a.length$)
 - $1 \leq i < A.length$
 - $A[r] \neq A[s] \quad 0 \leq r < s < i$
- ❖ Next step:
- ❖ Apply the loop invariant to prove that the algorithm's post conditions hold (prove these yourself similar to the correctness example in tutorial #2)

- ❖ Now, suppose you try to simplify the loop invariant for the inner loop by leaving out conditions (a) and (b). What goes wrong?

```
/*  
 * Loop Invariant:  
 * a) i and j are integers such that  
 *   0 <= j < i < A.length  
 * b) A[r] is not equal to A[s] for all  
 *   integers r and s such that  
 *   0 <= r < s < i  
 * c) A[r] is not equal to A[i] for every  
 *   integer r such that  
 *   0 <= r < j  
 * Loop Variant: i-j  
 */
```

As part of the previous tutorial exercise, you tested and debugged a program that would be used to determine whether the entries of a given array are distinct. A corrected version of this program is given.

```
public static boolean distinctEntries ( int[] A ) {
```

???

```
for (int i=1; i <= A.length; i++ ) {
```

For (int i=1; i<A.length; i++)

Loop Invariant

```
/*
 * Loop Invariant:
 * a) i is an integer such that 1 <= i < A.length
 * b) A[r] is not equal to A[s] for all integers r
 *    and s such that 0 <= r < s < i
 */
```

Loop variant

```
/* Loop Variant: A.length - i
 */
```

???

```
for (int j=1; j <= i; j++ ) {
```

For (int j=0; j<i; j++)

Loop Invariant

```
/* Loop Invariant:
 * a) i and j are integers such that
 *    0 <= j < i < A.length
 * b) A[r] is not equal to A[s] for all
 *    integers r and s such that
 *    0 <= r < s < i
 * c) A[r] is not equal to A[i] for every
 *    integer r such that
 *    0 <= r < j
 */
```

Loop variant

```
/* Loop Variant: i-j
 */
```

```
if (A[j] == A[i]) { A[j] == A[i]
    return false;
};
```

```
};
```

```
};
return true;
}
```

```
}
```

```

public static boolean distinctEntries ( int[] A ) {

    for (int i=1; i < A.length; i++ ) {
        /*
        * Loop Invariant:
        * a) i is an integer such that  $1 \leq i < A.length$ 
        * b)  $A[r]$  is not equal to  $A[s]$  for all integers r
        *    and s such that  $0 \leq r < s < i$ 
        * Loop Variant:  $A.length - i$ 
        */
        for (int j=0; j < i; j++ ) {
            /*
            * Loop Invariant:
            * a) i and j are integers such that
            *     $0 \leq j < i < A.length$ 
            * b)  $A[r]$  is not equal to  $A[s]$  for all
            *    integers r and s such that
            *     $0 \leq r < s < i$ 
            * c)  $A[r]$  is not equal to  $A[i]$  for every
            *    integer r such that
            *     $0 \leq r < j$ 
            * Loop Variant:  $i-j$ 
            */
            if (A[j] == A[i]) {
                return false;
            };
        };
    };
    return true;
}

```


Analyzing running time

❖ Why running time?

■ Why need algorithm analysis ?

- writing a working program is not good enough
- The program may be inefficient!
- If the program is run on a **large data set**, then the running time becomes an issue

Public static Boolean distinctEntires (int[] A){	cost	time
for (int i=1;i<A.lenght;i++) {	c1	n
for (int j = 0;j<i;j++){	c2	$(n-1)(n)$ $2+3+\dots+n$
if (A[j] == A[i]) {	c3	$(n-1)(n-1)$ $1+2+3+\dots$ $+(n-1)$
return false;	c4	1
};		
};		
};		
return true;	c4	1
}		

- ❖ $\sum_{j=0}^i t_j$
- ❖ At the worse case $i=n-1$
- ❖ $t_j = j, j = 0, 1, 2,$

Questions

a)

Analyze the *worst case running time* of this program.

Answer:

$O(n^2)$

```
public static boolean distinctEntries ( int[] A ) {  
    for (int i=1; i < A.length; i++ ) {  
        /*  
        * Loop Invariant:  
        * a) i is an integer such that 1 <= i < A.length  
        * b) A[r] is not equal to A[s] for all integers r  
        *    and s such that 0 <= r < s < i  
        * Loop Variant: A.length - i  
        */  
        for (int j=0; j < i; j++ ) {  
            /*  
            * Loop Invariant:  
            * a) i and j are integers such that  
            *    0 <= j < i < A.length  
            * b) A[r] is not equal to A[s] for all  
            *    integers r and s such that  
            *    0 <= r < s < i  
            * c) A[r] is not equal to A[i] for every  
            *    integer r such that  
            *    0 <= r < j  
            * Loop Variant: i-j  
            */  
            if (A[j] == A[i]) {  
                return false;  
            };  
        };  
    };  
    return true;  
}
```

Questions

b) Try to analyze the *best case running time* of this program.

Answer:

$O(1)$

```
public static boolean distinctEntries ( int[] A ) {  
  
    for (int i=1; i < A.length; i++ ) {  
        /*  
        * Loop Invariant:  
        * a) i is an integer such that 1 <= i < A.length  
        * b) A[r] is not equal to A[s] for all integers r  
        *    and s such that 0 <= r < s < i  
        * Loop Variant: A.length - i  
        */  
        for (int j=0; j < i; j++ ) {  
            /*  
            * Loop Invariant:  
            * a) i and j are integers such that  
            *    0 <= j < i < A.length  
            * b) A[r] is not equal to A[s] for all  
            *    integers r and s such that  
            *    0 <= r < s < i  
            * c) A[r] is not equal to A[i] for every  
            *    integer r such that  
            *    0 <= r < j  
            * Loop Variant: i-j  
            */  
            if (A[j] == A[i]) {  
                return false;  
            };  
        };  
    };  
    return true;  
}
```

Average time

- ❖ Average-case running time
 - May be difficult to define what “average” means
- ❖ Consider the average (or expected) amount of resources (such as average running time) used by the algorithm, for an input of a given size
- ❖ Advantage of This Type of Analysis:
 - captures resource consumption for typical inputs
- ❖ Disadvantages of This Type of Analysis:
 - executions on some inputs of the given size can take much longer than the average case
 - may be difficult to determine what the average case actually is
 - some assumption about the distribution of the inputs is always needed

Questions

- ❖ c) What, if anything, can be said about the *average* (or “*expected*”) running time of this program, based on what you have discovered?

Answer:

$$n/2 * n/2 \Rightarrow O(n^2)$$