

① Name: Shivam Shekha

② Roll Number: shivam\_ps2608mch135@iitp.ac.in.

Problem ① :-

Let  $P$ : Neeson is brave

$Q$ : Neeson is kind

i)  $(P \vee Q) \wedge (P \rightarrow \neg Q)$

$\rightarrow$  (Neeson is brave or Neeson is kind) and (if Neeson is brave then Neeson is not kind).

ii)  $(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow P)$

$P \rightarrow Q$  : if Neeson is brave, then Neeson is kind

$Q \rightarrow P$  : if Neeson is kind, then Neeson is brave.

Therefore both are True or both are false, it is  $P \leftrightarrow Q$  (bi-implication).

$\rightarrow$  (Neeson is kind if and only if Neeson is brave).

Problem ② :-

A : The project is funded

B : The team expands

C : Productivity increases.

1. If the project is funded, then team will expand :  $A \rightarrow B$

2. If team expands, productivity increases :  $B \rightarrow C$

3. Productivity did not increase :  $\neg C$

CONCLUSION :  $\neg A$

## Using rules of inference! -

→ (1) modus

Given  $A \rightarrow B$   
 $B \rightarrow C$ , conclusion :  $(A \rightarrow C)$ , bridge of  $\rightarrow$  is  $(B \rightarrow A)$

then  $A \rightarrow C$

$((B \rightarrow C) \wedge (A \rightarrow B)) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$  (1)

∴ Now we have  $A \rightarrow C$  and  $\neg C$  then  $\neg A$

Therefore conclusion matches, argument is valid (1).

### Problem(3):

$((\exists x) W(x)) \leftarrow ((\forall x) B(x))$  (1)

i) Some vegetarians do not like bitter gourd.

Ven :  $x$  is a vegetarian

Len :  $x$  likes bittergourd.

There exists atleast one who is vegetarian and don't like bittergourd.

predicate form  $\exists x (V(x) \wedge \neg L(x))$

ii) Either some people like bitter gourd or some people are vegetarians.

→ There exists someone who like bittergourd. OR

→ There exists someone who is Vegetarian.

predicate form :  $\neg (\exists x L(x)) \vee (\exists x V(x))$

	T	F	T	F	T	F	T	F	T	F
	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
	F	T	F	T	F	T	F	T	F	T
	T	F	F	T	F	F	T	F	F	T
	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
	T	T	F	F	T	F	T	F	T	F
	F	F	T	T	F	T	F	T	F	T
	T	F	T	F	T	F	T	F	T	F
	F	T	F	T	F	T	F	T	F	T
	T	T	F	F	T	F	T	F	T	F
	F	F	T	T	F	T	F	T	F	T

### Problem 4:-

$B(n)$ :  $n$  is a bird,  $W(n)$ :  $n$  is worm,  $\Sigma(x,y)$ :  $x$  eats  $y$ .

$$\textcircled{i} \forall x \forall y (B(x) \wedge W(y) \rightarrow \Sigma(x,y))$$

for any  $x$  and  $y$ , if  $x$  is a bird and  $y$  is a worm, then  $x$  eats  $y$ .

English Sentence :- Every bird eats every worm.

$$\textcircled{ii} \forall x \forall y (\Sigma(x,y) \rightarrow (B(x) \wedge W(y)))$$

English :- Whenever something eats something, the eater is a bird and the thing eaten is a worm.

$$\textcircled{iii} \exists x (B(x) \wedge \forall y (B(y) \rightarrow \Sigma(x,y)))$$

C. there is atleast one bird  $x$  for every  $y$  such that if  $y$  is a bird  $x$  eats  $y$ .

English :- There exists a bird that eats every bird.

### Problem 5:-

$$\rightarrow P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (P \vee r)$$

Solving with Truth Table:

P	q	r	$\rightarrow P$	$q \rightarrow r$	$\rightarrow P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$	$P \vee r$	$q \rightarrow (P \vee r)$
T	T	T	F	( $\neg T \wedge r$ )	$\neg T \rightarrow (T \wedge F)$	T	$\neg T \rightarrow (T \wedge F)$
T	T	F	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	F	T

Therefore  $\neg P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (\neg P \vee r)$

Solving without Truth Table: using symbol and 3 steps

$$\text{LHS} = \neg P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$$

Step 1 :- Remove the inner implication

$$q \rightarrow r \equiv \neg q \vee r$$

$$\therefore \neg P \rightarrow (\neg q \vee r)$$

Step 2 :- Remove the outer implication.

if  $a \rightarrow b \equiv \neg a \vee b$ , then .

$$\neg P \rightarrow (\neg q \vee r) \equiv \neg(\neg P) \vee (\neg q \vee r) = P \vee (\neg q \vee r)$$

Step 3 :- Associative law.

$$P \vee (\neg q \vee r) \equiv \neg q \vee (P \vee r)$$

$$\text{or } \neg q \vee X \equiv q \rightarrow X$$

$$\therefore \neg q \vee (P \vee r) \equiv q \rightarrow (P \vee r)$$

Hence proved  $\neg P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (P \vee r)$ .

using 3 steps

Q.E.D. with 3 steps

q.e.d.

Problem 6:-  $(P \Rightarrow q) \wedge (r \Rightarrow s); (q \Rightarrow t) \wedge (s \Rightarrow u); \neg(t \wedge u); (P \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow ?$

i) Direct Method (Two column proof)

Statements

Reasons

1.  $(P \Rightarrow q) \wedge (r \Rightarrow s)$  premise
2.  $(q \Rightarrow t) \wedge (s \Rightarrow u)$  premise
3.  $\neg(t \wedge u)$  premise
4.  $P \Rightarrow r$  premise
5.  $(\neg P \Rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg P \Rightarrow s)$  from (1)
6.  $r \Rightarrow s$  from (1)
7.  $q \Rightarrow t$  from (2)
8.  $s \Rightarrow u$  from (2)
9.  $P \Rightarrow t$  from (5, 7)
10.  $P \Rightarrow s$  from (4, 6)
11.  $P \Rightarrow u$  from (9, 10)
12.  $P \Rightarrow (t \wedge u)$  from (9, 11) by conjunction
13.  $\neg P$  Hodges Teller (12, 3)

Therefore  $\neg P$ .

## 11) Indirect Method (Proof by Contradiction).

assume  $p$ .  $\neg p$  leads to  $((\neg p) \wedge p)$  which is a contradiction.

### Statements

### Reasons :-

1.  $(P \Rightarrow q) \wedge (r \Rightarrow s)$  premise  $((P \Rightarrow q) \wedge (r \Rightarrow s)) \in \Gamma$
2.  $(q \Rightarrow t) \wedge (s \Rightarrow u)$  premise  $((q \Rightarrow t) \wedge (s \Rightarrow u)) \in \Gamma$
3.  $\neg (t \wedge u)$  premise  $(\neg (t \wedge u)) \in \Gamma$
4.  $P \rightarrow r$  premise  $((P \Rightarrow q) \wedge (r \Rightarrow s)) \in \Gamma$
5. Assume  $p$  Assumption  $(P \Rightarrow q) \wedge (r \Rightarrow s) \in \Gamma$
6.  $P \Rightarrow q$  (from 5) (modus ponens) from ①
7.  $r \Rightarrow s$  (from 4) (modus ponens)
8.  $q \Rightarrow t$  (from 2) (modus ponens)
9.  $s \Rightarrow u$  (from 2) (modus ponens)
10.  $r$  (from 7) Modus Ponens (4, 5)
11.  $(r \wedge s) \Rightarrow t$  (from 10) Modus Ponens (7, 10)
12.  $u$  (from 9) Modus Ponens (9, 11)
13.  $q$  (from 6) Modus Ponens (6, 5)
14.  $t$  (from 11) Modus Ponens (8, 13)
15.  $t \wedge u$  Conjunction (14, 12)
16. Contradiction from (3, 15)

$\therefore p$  leads to contradiction.  $\neg p$ .

### Problem ⑦:

Show  $\forall_n (P(n) \rightarrow (Q(y) \wedge R(n)))$  and  $\exists_n P(n) \Rightarrow Q(y) \wedge \exists_n (P(n) \wedge R(n))$

### Proof:-

1.  $\forall_n (P(n) \rightarrow (Q(y) \wedge R(n)))$  (premise / given)
2.  $\exists_n P(n)$  (given)
3.  $P(a)$  (Existential instantiation)
4.  $P(a) \rightarrow (Q(y) \wedge R(a))$
5.  $Q(y) \wedge R(a)$  (Modus Ponens) (from 3, 4).
6.  $Q(y)$  (from 5)
7.  $R(a)$  (from 5)
8.  $P(a) \wedge R(a)$  (Conjunction)
9.  $\exists_n (P(n) \wedge R(n))$  (from 8)
10.  $Q(y) \wedge \exists_n (P(n) \wedge R(n))$  (Conjunction) (combine 6, 9)

Hence proved.