Root Finding Methods for Polynomials

Christian O. Parkinson

October 01, 2025

Setup

• Systems: 3 polynomial functions tested

• Methods: Bisection, Newton's, Secant, Hybrid (Bisection \rightarrow Newton after 5 iterations)

• Convergence: IEEE 754 single precision (successive approximations equal at float precision)

• Maximum iterations: 10,000 per run

Results

Performance Summary

Method	Avg. Iterations	Success Rate	Performance vs Bisection
Bisection	23.75	100% (4/4)	Baseline
Newton	4.00	$100\% \ (4/4)$	$5.94 \times \text{ faster}$
Secant	5.50	$100\% \ (4/4)$	$4.32 \times \text{faster}$
Hybrid	8.25	$100\% \ (4/4)$	$2.88 \times faster$

Detailed Results by Polynomial

Polynomial	Bisection	Newton	Secant	Hybrid
$x^3 + 3x - 1$ (Ex 1a)	25	4	7	8
$x^3 + 2x^2 + 10x - 20$ (Ex 2)	23	4	5	8
$3x^3 + 5x^2 - 7$	24	4	5	9

Table 1: Iterations to convergence

Polynomial	Bisection	Newton	Secant	Hybrid
	0.322185 1.36881 0.94518	0.322185 1.36881 0.94518	0.322185 1.36881 0.94518	0.322185 1.36881 0.94518

Table 2: All methods converged to identical roots

Findings

Convergence Speed

- Newton's method is the clear winner at 4 iterations consistently. This demonstrates quadratic convergence—each iteration approximately doubles the number of correct digits.
- **Secant method** averaged 5.5 iterations, nearly matching Newton's speed without requiring derivative calculations. Shows super-linear convergence.
- **Hybrid method** converged in 8 iterations, balancing the reliability of bisection's early iterations with Newton's rapid final convergence.
- Bisection required the most iterations (24 average) due to linear convergence, but never failed.