

Timothy Reidy
9/8/2023
HA01

2952...	18344.421147	192.168.1.89	167.248.133.61	FTP	88 Response: 220 FTP server ready
2952...	18344.431231	167.248.133.61	192.168.1.89	FTP	76 Request: AUTH TLS
2952...	18344.432094	192.168.1.89	167.248.133.61	FTP	104 Response: 530 Please login with USER and PASS.
2952...	18344.442912	167.248.133.61	192.168.1.89	FTP	76 Request: AUTH SSL
2952...	18344.443700	192.168.1.89	167.248.133.61	FTP	104 Response: 530 Please login with USER and PASS.
3485...	21309.841428	192.168.1.89	103.15.253.132	FTP	76 Response: 220 FTP server ready
3485...	21310.107659	103.15.253.132	192.168.1.89	FTP	65 Request: USER Alex
3485...	21310.108749	192.168.1.89	103.15.253.132	FTP	87 Response: 331 Password required for Alex.
3485...	21310.372563	103.15.253.132	192.168.1.89	FTP	69 Request: PASS vinicius
3485...	21310.374846	192.168.1.89	103.15.253.132	FTP	89 Response: 530 Sorry, Authentication failed.
3955...	23705.795213	192.168.1.89	192.241.222.141	FTP	88 Response: 220 FTP server ready
4115...	24545.989197	192.168.1.89	192.241.222.141	FTP	88 Response: 220 FTP server ready
4115...	24546.041179	192.241.222.141	192.168.1.89	FTP	76 Request: AUTH TLS
4115...	24546.041883	192.168.1.89	192.241.222.141	FTP	104 Response: 530 Please login with USER and PASS.
4115...	24546.093702	192.241.222.141	192.168.1.89	FTP	76 Request: AUTH SSL
4115...	24546.094697	192.168.1.89	192.241.222.141	FTP	104 Response: 530 Please login with USER and PASS.
4246...	25232.052605	192.168.1.89	122.118.165.170	FTP	76 Response: 220 FTP server ready
4246...	25232.052626	192.168.1.89	122.118.165.170	FTP	76 Response: 220 FTP server ready
4246...	25232.052693	192.168.1.89	122.118.165.170	FTP	76 Response: 220 FTP server ready
4246...	25232.052702	192.168.1.89	122.118.165.170	FTP	76 Response: 220 FTP server ready
4246...	25232.247932	122.118.165.170	192.168.1.89	FTP	66 Request: USER admin
4246...	25232.248764	122.118.165.170	192.168.1.89	FTP	66 Request: USER admin
4246...	25232.248799	122.118.165.170	192.168.1.89	FTP	66 Request: USER admin
4246...	25232.248921	192.168.1.89	122.118.165.170	FTP	88 Response: 331 Password required for admin.
4246...	25232.249880	192.168.1.89	122.118.165.170	FTP	88 Response: 331 Password required for admin.
4246...	25232.250115	192.168.1.89	122.118.165.170	FTP	88 Response: 331 Password required for admin.
4246...	25232.445833	122.118.165.170	192.168.1.89	FTP	67 Request: PASS plover
4246...	25232.446125	122.118.165.170	192.168.1.89	FTP	67 Request: PASS plover
4246...	25232.448911	192.168.1.89	122.118.165.170	FTP	89 Response: 530 Sorry, Authentication failed.
4246...	25232.448919	192.168.1.89	122.118.165.170	FTP	89 Response: 530 Sorry, Authentication failed.
4246...	25232.745786	122.118.165.170	192.168.1.89	FTP	66 Request: USER admin
4246...	25232.746655	192.168.1.89	122.118.165.170	FTP	88 Response: 331 Password required for admin.
4246...	25232.939718	122.118.165.170	192.168.1.89	FTP	67 Request: PASS plover
4246...	25232.941382	192.168.1.89	122.118.165.170	FTP	89 Response: 530 Sorry, Authentication failed.
4246...	25232.942586	122.118.165.170	192.168.1.89	FTP	67 Request: PASS plover
4246...	25232.944343	192.168.1.89	122.118.165.170	FTP	89 Response: 530 Sorry, Authentication failed.

I was not able to capture it all in one screenshot, but the capture of FTP traffic was rather interesting. It looks like the IP 122.118.165.170 was attempting to authenticate themselves as an admin, but was failing to authenticate. Based on the repeated use of the incorrect password, I would assume it is not malicious and instead an admin with an incorrect password, but it would be worth looking into. Additionally, there was an interesting packet that I have screenshotted below.

```
> Frame 1401407: 80 bytes on wire (640 bits), 80 bytes captured (640 bits)
> Ethernet II, Src: HUMAX_68:07:51 (6c:4b:b4:68:07:51), Dst: Microsof_01:55:02 (00:15:5d:01:55:02)
> Internet Protocol Version 4, Src: 172.104.131.24, Dst: 192.168.1.89
> Transmission Control Protocol, Src Port: 59772, Dst Port: 21, Seq: 1, Ack: 1, Len: 14
▼ File Transfer Protocol (FTP)
  ▼ R\000\000\000\000\0005ABC\000
    ▼ Request command: R
      ▼ [Expert Info (Warning/Undecoded): Trailing stray characters]
        [Trailing stray characters]
        [Severity level: Warning]
        [Group: Undecoded]
        [Current working directory: ]
```

I poked around but couldn't find any specific reason for this that I know of. I can only guess that it has something to do with attempting to bypass authentication. They additionally tried to login as a guest user with a fake email, but this login was rejected as well.

One more interesting looking packet is below.

7486...	42621.912/50	192.168.1.89	198.235.24.12	FTP	92 Response: 530 Please login with USER and PASS.
8451...	47759.321735	192.241.222.140	192.168.1.89	FTP	89 Request: MGLNDD 99.26.131.41 21

This activity is not malicious but it appeared to me as weird initially. It is from a company that does scans of networks to help identify organizations' online services. They scan a ton, and often their traffic is a nuisance to people looking at logs.

I looked through the SSH and SNMP, but nothing caught my eye when I was browsing those packets.

As for ICMP, I did see some interesting packets in that list.

1155...	6065.805491	192.168.1.89	54.81.95.181	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) reply id=0x001f, seq=19775/16205, ttl=64 (request in 115588)
1156...	6566.553880	192.168.1.89	167.94.138.110	ICMP	86 Destination unreachable (Host administratively prohibited)
1156...	6567.205798	54.223.227.15	192.168.1.89	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) request id=0x000d, seq=19775/16205, ttl=232 (reply in 115614)
1156...	6567.206193	192.168.1.89	54.223.227.15	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) reply id=0x000d, seq=19775/16205, ttl=64 (request in 115613)
1156...	6569.995444	192.168.1.89	91.191.209.210	ICMP	82 Destination unreachable (Host administratively prohibited)
1157...	6574.996451	3.67.89.203	192.168.1.89	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request id=0x001f, seq=15717/25917, ttl=26 (reply in 115706)
1157...	6574.996940	192.168.1.89	3.67.89.203	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x001f, seq=15717/25917, ttl=64 (request in 115705)
1157...	6576.880424	3.69.167.232	192.168.1.89	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) request id=0x0003, seq=9982/65062, ttl=232 (reply in 115743)
1157...	6576.880848	192.168.1.89	3.69.167.232	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) reply id=0x0003, seq=9982/65062, ttl=64 (request in 115742)
1157...	6578.595494	13.53.134.152	192.168.1.89	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) request id=0x001a, seq=24111/12126, ttl=228 (reply in 115784)
1157...	6578.596073	192.168.1.89	13.53.134.152	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) reply id=0x001a, seq=24111/12126, ttl=64 (request in 115783)
1157...	6579.291415	13.208.174.135	192.168.1.89	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) request id=0x001d, seq=14919/18234, ttl=230 (reply in 115797)
1157...	6579.291927	192.168.1.89	13.208.174.135	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) reply id=0x001d, seq=14919/18234, ttl=64 (request in 115796)
1158...	6582.298372	192.168.1.89	52.131.79.105	ICMP	102 Destination unreachable (Host administratively prohibited)
1158...	6582.401246	192.168.1.89	185.10.68.75	ICMP	82 Destination unreachable (Host administratively prohibited)
1158...	6583.931224	13.40.199.45	192.168.1.89	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) request id=0x001c, seq=9339/31524, ttl=221 (reply in 115861)
1158...	6583.931709	192.168.1.89	13.40.199.45	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) reply id=0x001c, seq=9339/31524, ttl=64 (request in 115860)
1158...	6584.308416	192.168.1.89	153.204.9.120	ICMP	82 Destination unreachable (Host administratively prohibited)
1158...	6584.558990	18.231.114.251	192.168.1.89	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) request id=0x0009, seq=4433/20753, ttl=236 (reply in 115870)
1158...	6584.559346	192.168.1.89	18.231.114.251	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) reply id=0x0009, seq=4433/20753, ttl=64 (request in 115869)
1159...	6588.277466	3.67.89.203	192.168.1.89	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request id=0x0012, seq=2038/62983, ttl=28 (reply in 115931)
1159...	6588.277725	192.168.1.89	3.67.89.203	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x0012, seq=2038/62983, ttl=64 (request in 115930)
1159...	6591.337097	13.125.152.241	192.168.1.89	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) request id=0x001a, seq=7540/29725, ttl=227 (reply in 115970)
1159...	6591.337486	192.168.1.89	13.125.152.241	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) reply id=0x001a, seq=7540/29725, ttl=64 (request in 115969)
1160...	6594.457419	18.166.69.22	192.168.1.89	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) request id=0x0002, seq=13942/30262, ttl=234 (reply in 116003)
1160...	6594.457969	192.168.1.89	18.166.69.22	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) reply id=0x0002, seq=13942/30262, ttl=64 (request in 116002)
1160...	6595.613081	192.168.1.89	164.92.141.204	ICMP	90 Destination unreachable (Host administratively prohibited)
1160...	6596.383089	15.160.209.186	192.168.1.89	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) request id=0x0003, seq=13025/57650, ttl=235 (reply in 116035)
1160...	6596.383596	192.168.1.89	15.160.209.186	ICMP	60 Echo (ping) reply id=0x0003, seq=13025/57650, ttl=64 (request in 116034)
1160...	6597.173652	5.149.110.157	192.168.1.89	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request id=0x03d9, seq=0/0, ttl=49 (reply in 116050)
1160...	6597.174205	192.168.1.89	5.149.110.157	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x03d9, seq=0/0, ttl=64 (request in 116049)
1160...	6597.177634	192.168.1.89	45.143.200.102	ICMP	82 Destination unreachable (Host administratively prohibited)
1160...	6597.873230	5.149.110.157	192.168.1.89	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) request id=0x03d9, seq=1/256, ttl=49 (reply in 116057)
1160...	6597.873722	192.168.1.89	5.149.110.157	ICMP	98 Echo (ping) reply id=0x03d9, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (request in 116056)

```
▼ Internet Protocol Version 4, Src: 45.143.200.102, Dst: 192.168.1.89
  0100 .... = Version: 4
  .... 0101 = Header Length: 20 bytes (5)
  > Differentiated Services Field: 0x00 (DSCP: CS0, ECN: Not-ECT)
    Total Length: 40
    Identification: 0x2b61 (11105)
  > 000. .... = Flags: 0x0
    ...0 0000 0000 0000 = Fragment Offset: 0
    Time to Live: 235
    Protocol: TCP (6)
    Header Checksum: 0xec77 [validation disabled]
    [Header checksum status: Unverified]
    Source Address: 45.143.200.102
    Destination Address: 192.168.1.89
```

I was especially checking the destination address. There are a ton of ICMP messages that are aimed at a destination of 192.168.1.89, which I would assume to be a server address, as that same IP sends some “Destination Unreachable because of host permissions” messages. This may be due to routine scanning or something not nefarious, but it is interesting to notice.

One last collection of interesting packets

1941...	94100.996684	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	161 Server Greeting proto=10 version=5.5.43-0ubuntu0.14.04.1
1941...	94101.025348	167.172.25.246	192.168.1.89	MySQL	130 Login Request user=root
1941...	94101.026597	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	146 Response Error 1045
1941...	94101.084298	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	161 Server Greeting proto=10 version=5.5.43-0ubuntu0.14.04.1
1941...	94101.112415	167.172.25.246	192.168.1.89	MySQL	150 Login Request user=root
1941...	94101.113676	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	147 Response Error 1045
1941...	94101.168903	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	161 Server Greeting proto=10 version=5.5.43-0ubuntu0.14.04.1
1941...	94101.195078	167.172.25.246	192.168.1.89	MySQL	150 Login Request user=root
1941...	94101.196698	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	147 Response Error 1045
1941...	94101.250594	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	161 Server Greeting proto=10 version=5.5.43-0ubuntu0.14.04.1
1941...	94101.277106	167.172.25.246	192.168.1.89	MySQL	150 Login Request user=root
1941...	94101.279129	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	147 Response Error 1045
1941...	94101.334588	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	161 Server Greeting proto=10 version=5.5.43-0ubuntu0.14.04.1
1941...	94101.362588	167.172.25.246	192.168.1.89	MySQL	150 Login Request user=root
1941...	94101.363806	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	147 Response Error 1045
1941...	94101.419409	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	161 Server Greeting proto=10 version=5.5.43-0ubuntu0.14.04.1
1941...	94101.445694	167.172.25.246	192.168.1.89	MySQL	130 Login Request user=root
1941...	94101.447005	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	146 Response Error 1045
1941...	94101.501403	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	161 Server Greeting proto=10 version=5.5.43-0ubuntu0.14.04.1
1941...	94101.527746	167.172.25.246	192.168.1.89	MySQL	150 Login Request user=root
1941...	94101.529147	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	147 Response Error 1045
1941...	94101.583285	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	161 Server Greeting proto=10 version=5.5.43-0ubuntu0.14.04.1
1941...	94101.609981	167.172.25.246	192.168.1.89	MySQL	150 Login Request user=root
1941...	94101.611427	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	147 Response Error 1045
1941...	94101.665829	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	161 Server Greeting proto=10 version=5.5.43-0ubuntu0.14.04.1
1941...	94101.693548	167.172.25.246	192.168.1.89	MySQL	150 Login Request user=root
1941...	94101.694935	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	147 Response Error 1045
1941...	94101.751766	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	161 Server Greeting proto=10 version=5.5.43-0ubuntu0.14.04.1
1941...	94101.779406	167.172.25.246	192.168.1.89	MySQL	150 Login Request user=root
1941...	94101.780694	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	147 Response Error 1045
1941...	94101.835786	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	161 Server Greeting proto=10 version=5.5.43-0ubuntu0.14.04.1
1941...	94101.862159	167.172.25.246	192.168.1.89	MySQL	150 Login Request user=root
1941...	94101.863542	192.168.1.89	167.172.25.246	MySQL	147 Response Error 1045

This relates to the other probes for admin access, but this time it appears that the IP 167.172.25.246 is attempting to login with root. However when looking at multiple requests, and zooming into the MySQL protocol frame data, we can see that this IP was attempting many different passwords. The passwords are encrypted but it can be deciphered that they are different. Either this or possibly the same password hashed with different keys each time.

Deductions: I did not see anything that would be a gigantic security risk, but there were many many attempts to access root or admin roles, which is something that is worrying. Making sure that these roles are protected against these primitive probes is worth looking into, as well as defending against any more sophisticated attacks.

Skills Discussion: I have previously used wireshark before, but never on packets that are presumably somewhat malicious, which was quite interesting. I think most importantly I just solidified what each of the more prevalent protocols are, and what they are used for. I previously was not too sure of ICMP, or SNMP, or FTP, and was forced to really get them to examine the packets. Lastly, I enjoyed examining a packet capture with so many different types of protocols, as when I capture my own traffic I don't get many of the more niche protocols displayed here.