## CS 4035 Lab4 - Adv ML Challenge Group 29

Yuhang Tian 5219728 Mingyu Gao 5216281

June 27, 2021

## 1 Innermaximizer Task

We use the following method - Greedy Random Accelerated Multi-bit Search (GRAMS) referenced from paper The Robust Malware Detection Challenge and Greedy Random Accelerated Multi-Bit Search to implement the malicious evasion generator.

```
Algorithm 1: GRAMS - topk variant
```

```
Data: a batch b and a neural network model M
best_x := b, orig_x := Data_VALUES(b);
k := 8;
while k > \frac{1}{2} do
   loss := loss(M, x);
    grad := AUTOGRAD(loss, x);
    sign := SIGNS(grad);
    grad := ABSOLUTE(grad - orig_x * grad);
   x' := x + TOPK(grad, k) * sign;
   loss := LOSS(M, x');
    loss' := LOSS(M,best_x);
   if a row r in x with loss[r] > loss'[r] exists then
       for all such rows r do
        best_x[r] = x'[r];
       x := x';
       k := 2k;
    else
      k := \frac{1}{2}k;
return best_x;
```

## 2 Experimental Result

This part shows the experimental result of designed method - grams against baseline methods - rfgsm\_k, bga\_k and dfgsm\_k.

Table 1 reflects the evasion rate of four methods against each other. The evasion rate reflects the success rate of the attacker and the failure rate of the defender. The higher the evasion rate, the more successful the attacker is. So a good method should have a high evasion rate as an attacker and have a low evasion rate when it serves as a defender. The table also shows the f1score of each defense against attack. The f1score contains precision and recall, which indicates the precision and robustness of a model of distinguishing the evasive malicious attacks.

The evasion rate can be used to evaluate the performance of an attacker while the F1-score can reveal the performance of a defender. For  $rfgsm\_k$ , the best invasive attacker is  $dfgsm\_k$  while it can best defend grams. In terms of  $bga\_k$ , the most suitable attacker to attack it is  $dfgsm\_k$  while it can best defend grams. Turning to  $dfgsm\_k$ , it is vulnerable to  $rfgsm\_k$  and grams but it can defend  $dfgsm\_k$  well. grams has the advantage of shortest run-time.

Table 1: Evasion Rate Comparison

|                 | Model                       | rfgsm_k                          | Baseline Attack<br>bga_k         | dfgsm_k                          | Other Attack<br>grams            |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Evasion Rate    |                             |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  |
| Baseline Defend | rfgsm_k<br>bga_k<br>dfgsm_k | 0.1826<br>0.2490<br>0.1559       | 0.1852<br>0.1908<br>0.1713       | 0.1968<br>0.2632<br>0.1076       | 0.1688<br>0.0934<br>0.1546       |
| F1-score        |                             |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  |
| Baseline Defend | rfgsm_k<br>bga_k<br>dfgsm_k | 0.8937<br>0.8379<br>0.9096       | 0.8921<br>0.9049<br>0.9065       | 0.8889<br>0.8589<br>0.9326       | 0.9065<br>0.9517<br>0.9219       |
| Run-time        |                             |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  |
| Baseline Defend | rfgsm_k<br>bga_k<br>dfgsm_k | 2880.277<br>3232.249<br>3473.141 | 3070.265<br>3438.289<br>3654.696 | 3007.229<br>3418.418<br>2469.301 | 2512.941<br>2314.038<br>2462.150 |