

# MADS-ML – Machine Learning

## Classification

Prof. Dr. Stephan Doerfel



**FACHHOCHSCHULE KIEL**  
University of Applied Sciences



Moodle (WiSe 2024/25)

# Motivation



Is this a picture of a dog?

# Motivation



Is this a picture of a dog?

# Motivation



Is this a picture of a dog?

# Outline

**Introduction**

Evaluation

Example Dataset

# The classification problem

## Definition 1 (The classification problem (abstract))

### Setting:

- ▶ a universe  $U$  of classifiable objects each described with features (attributes) from a common set of features  $A$
- ▶ a set of classes  $C$
- ▶ a set of labelled examples  $O \subseteq U$  (meaning for  $o \in O$ ,  $c(o) \in C$  is known).

### Task:

- ▶ Determine a **classification function**  $K : U \rightarrow C$ , that maps instances of  $U$  onto their respective class!

A classification function implies a partition on  $U$  with  $|C|$  partitions. Each element belongs to exactly one partition.

 Notebook 02\_1\_classification\_digits\_walkthrough, Cells 1–7

# Labelled Data

**labelled data:** in practice, a labelled dataset consists of tuples  $(x_i, y_i)$ , where  $x_i = (x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,d})$  is a feature vector of length  $d$  and  $y_i \in C$  is the target, i.e. the corresponding class of  $x_i$ .

**features:** The features can be

- ▶ numerical (length, volume, age, pixel values, ...) or
- ▶ categorical (color, type, true / false, ...).

Example: Digits For a data instance  $x$ ,  $x_i$  is a vector of length  $p = 64$  with numerical values. The classes are the digits  $0, 1, \dots, 9$ .

 Notebook 02\_1\_classification\_digits\_walkthrough, Cells 8–9

# The classification problem

For non-trivial classification problems, finding a classification function is impossible or extremely difficult.

## Definition 2 (Simplified classification problem)

Instead of finding the actual classification function, determine an approximation that minimizes some quality function assessing how close the approximation is to the actual classification function.



# Remarks

- ▶ In a classification setting, the classes are known **apriori** (beforehand) – other than in clustering, where the classes have to be determined.
- ▶ Distinguishing classes is non-trivial, but actually not part of the classification problem.
- ▶ **Explainability** of the learned classifier is important and desirable. Such white-box classifiers (in contrast to black-box) allow understanding the decision.
- ▶ Usually, the classification must be fast (**online** performance), while the training should be fast (**offline** performance) and require only small training datasets.
- ▶ Creating proper features (e.g. turning numerical features into categorical ones, scaling) is part of the preparation of setting up a classifier.

# Toy-Example: Classifying insurance risks

Lets consider the following training dataset of a (simplified) insurance company

ID	age	car type	risk (target)
1	23	family	high
2	17	family	high
3	43	sports	high
4	68	family	low
5	32	truck	low

One possible classification function is:

- ▶ if  $\text{age} > 50$  then risk = low;
- ▶ if  $\text{age} \leq 50$  and  $\text{car type} = \text{truck}$  then risk = low;
- ▶ if  $\text{age} \leq 50$  and  $\text{car type} \neq \text{truck}$  then risk = high.

# Two phases of a classifier

## Offline Phase – Learning



## Online Phase – Classification



# Outline

Introduction

**Evaluation**

Example Dataset

# Evaluation

## Situation:

How do we measure the quality of a trained classifier?

## Evaluation data:

Use labelled data, feed it (without the label) to the classifier, test whether the classifier yields the correct class.

## Data Source:

- ▶ **WRONG:** Use the same data as for training. Drawback: The algorithm already knows this data and thus the task's solution. ⌚ Details in Classification II ...

**NEVER evaluate predictive performance on training data!**

- ▶ **CORRECT:** Before training, split the labelled data into training and test data. Use only the training dataset for the learning phase. Use the test dataset for the evaluation.

# Quality Measures for Classifiers

Let  $K$  be a classifier,  $TR$  the training set,  $TE$  the test set and  $C(x)$  the correct class of a data instance  $x$ .

- classification accuracy:

$$A_{TE}(K) := \frac{|\{x \in TE \mid K(x) = C(x)\}|}{|TE|}$$

- true classification error:

$$E_{TE}(K) := \frac{|\{x \in TE \mid K(x) \neq C(x)\}|}{|TE|} = 1 - A_{TE}(K)$$

- apparent classification error:

$$E_{TR}(K) = \frac{|\{x \in TR \mid K(x) \neq C(x)\}|}{|TR|}$$

Note, that true and apparent classification error use the same formula on different data sets.

# Apparent Classification Error

The apparent classification error is taken on the training data.

- ▶ It is not a suitable measure for classification quality!
- ▶ It is however interesting to compare to the true classification error
- ▶ the comparison informs on overfitting (⌚ tbd.)

# Interpreting the quality measure

- ▶ compare algorithms, or different parametrizations of the same algorithm
- ▶ decide which is best (according to the quality function)
- ▶ But how much is good?
- ▶ Typical statement in a report on ML experiments: “The results show that our method is 300 times more successful than random baseline”



**Minimum requirement:** A classifier should at least outperform random guessing.



Is 58.5% accuracy a good result?

Is 99.9% accuracy a good result?

→ We cannot judge such a result without a frame of reference!

# Interpreting the quality measure

- ▶ compare algorithms, or different parametrizations of the same algorithm
- ▶ decide which is best (according to the quality function)
- ▶ But how much is good?
- ▶ Typical statement in a report on ML experiments: “The results show that our method is 300 times more successful than random baseline”



**Minimum requirement:** A classifier should at least outperform random guessing.

# Guessing Baselines

Let  $C$  be the set of all classes and  $c_{max} \in C$  the largest class (the one with the most data instances in the training dataset):

## uniform distribution guessing baseline:

Pick a class at random where each class has the same probability:

Result

$$A_{TE}(K) = \frac{1}{|C|}$$

## largest class baseline:

Always “guess” the largest class  $c_{max}$ . Result:

$$A_{TE}(K) = \frac{|\{x \in TE \mid K(x) = c_{max}\}|}{|TE|}$$

 Notebook 02\_1\_classification\_digits\_walkthrough, Cells 15

 Exercises 1–2

# Outline

Introduction

Evaluation

**Example Dataset**

# Example Dataset

- ▶ Iris dataset
- ▶ often used in benchmarking classification algorithms
- ▶ instances are plants of genus iris
- ▶ features: 4 measures of petals and sepals (breadth and width)
- ▶ now with classes: 3 species (Iris Setosa, Iris Versicolor, Iris Virginica)

🔑 For this lecture, we mostly ignore the domain (in real applications, we don't!).



[1]



[2]



[3]

# Referenzen



E. Hunt.

*Iris virginica 2.jpg*, 2018.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>.



Radomil.

*Kosaciec szczecinkowaty Iris setosa.jpg*, 2015.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/>.



D. G. E. Robertson.

*Blue Flag, Ottawa.jpg*, 2005.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/>.