Question-1:

a) What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression?

Optimal Value of alpha for ridge regression : 20 Optimal Value of alpha for lasso regression : 200

b) What will be the changes in the model if you choose double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso?

Ridge Value if I will Double and make: 40

```
# Finding the accuracy of the model on train and test data given best alpha value * 2 = 40.
ridge = Ridge(alpha = 40)
ridge.fit(X_train,y_train)
y_pred_train = ridge.predict(X_train)
print(r2_score(y_train,y_pred_train))
y_pred_test = ridge.predict(X_test)
print(r2_score(y_test,y_pred_test))
0.8948904095221333
0.8993751248794412
# Finding the list of features with Co-efficient values.
model_parameter = list(abs(ridge.coef_))
model_parameter.insert(0,ridge.intercept_)
cols = house train.columns
cols.insert(0,'constant')
ridge_coef = pd.DataFrame(list(zip(cols,model_parameter)))
ridge_coef.columns = ['Feaure','Coef']
# Finding Top 10 most important Predictor Variable/Feature after building the Ridge Model ..
ridge_coef.sort_values(by='Coef',ascending=False).head(10)
```

	Feaure	Coef
0	MSSubClass	196730.228923
6	OverallCond	15021.575217
22	BsmtFullBath	12594.418120
195	Neighborhood_OldTown	11480.778608
194	Neighborhood_NridgHt	10502.740765
53	BsmtExposure_Mn	9910.223701
20	LowQualFinSF	9867.069179
201	NeighborhoodTimber	8949.885864
125	Condition2RRAn	8867.905924
144	Condition1PosA	8608.285317

Note: Below points are same applies for Ridge and Lasso Regression

- As Alpha value is getting increased so RSS value for the model will also steadily increase so the accuracy is getting decrease.
- As Alpha value is getting increased so coefficient value for the model will also change which may leads to get more error.
- As Alpha value is getting increased so coefficient value for the model will also change for which model complexity may increase while leads overfitting may decrease and bias may increase.

Lasso Value if I will Double and make: 400

```
: # Finding the accuracy of the model on train and test data given best alpha value * 2 = 400.
  lasso = Lasso(alpha=400)
  lasso.fit(X_train,y_train)
 y_train_pred = lasso.predict(X_train)
 y_test_pred = lasso.predict(X_test)
  print(r2_score(y_true=y_train,y_pred=y_train_pred))
 print(r2_score(y_true=y_test,y_pred=y_test_pred))
 0.8827264741516115
 0.8957609182765225
 # Finding the list of features with Co-efficient values.
  model_parameter = list(abs(lasso.coef_))
 model_parameter.insert(0,lasso.intercept_)
  cols = house_train.columns
  cols.insert(0,'constant')
  lasso_coef = pd.DataFrame(list(zip(cols,model_parameter)))
  lasso_coef.columns = ['Feaure','Coef']
 # Finding Top 10 most important Predictor Variable/Feature after building the Lasso Model ..
  lasso_coef.sort_values(by='Coef',ascending=False).head(10)
                   Feaure
                                 Coef
               MSSubClass 205883.009514
    0
   22
               BsmtFullBath
                          24534.855229
  195 Neighborhood_OldTown 18213.394638
               OverallCond 16746.425082
    6
       Neighborhood_NridgHt 14590.989248
  194
  201
        Neighborhood__Timber 12924.615412
   53
          BsmtExposure_Mn 11947.708293
              SaleType_Oth
                           9464.902497
  140
  144
           Condition1 PosA
                           8558.027538
  121
           Condition2_Feedr
                           8511.345708
```

c) What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?

Before changes:

For Ridge Regression:

After Changes:

	Feaure	Coef			Feaure	Coef
0	MSSubClass	196730.228923		0	MSSubClass	186021.819807
6	OverallCond	15021.575217	12	5	Condition2RRAn	16242.484926
22	BsmtFullBath	12594.418120	19	5	Neighborhood_OldTown	16077.993295
195	Neighborhood_OldTown	11480.778608	11	5	FunctionalMaj2	15236.707817
194	Neighborhood_NridgHt	10502.740765	19	4	NeighborhoodNridgHt	15182.422532
53	BsmtExposureMn	9910.223701		6	OverallCond	14597.942334
20	LowQualFinSF	9867.069179	20	1	NeighborhoodTimber	13838.482143
201	NeighborhoodTimber	8949.885864	2	2	BsmtFullBath	13214.663290
125	Condition2RRAn	8867.905924	19	0	NeighborhoodNAmes	12284.862719
144	Condition1PosA	8608.285317	5	3	BsmtExposureMn	12223.227593

For Lasso Regression:

iter (Changes:	ges : Before changes :			
	Feaure	Coef		Feaure	Coef
0	MSSubClass	205883.009514	0	MSSubClass	198696.992856
22	BsmtFullBath	24534.855229	125	Condition2RRAn	103523.998700
195	NeighborhoodOldTown	18213.394638	115	Functional_Maj2	38396.543202
6	OverallCond	16746.425082	195	Neighborhood_OldTown	25692.779836
194	NeighborhoodNridgHt	14590.989248	201	NeighborhoodTimber	24549.056473
201	NeighborhoodTimber	12924.615412	22	BsmtFullBath	24112.288837
53	BsmtExposureMn	11947.708293	194	NeighborhoodNridgHt	22877.518561
140	SaleType_Oth	9464.902497	140	SaleTypeOth	16555.429242
144	Condition1_PosA	8558.027538	53	BsmtExposureMn	14797.788765
121	Condition2Feedr	8511.345708	6	OverallCond	14769.447654

Question 2:

You have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression during the assignment. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?

For Ridge Regression the Accuracy is:

```
ridge = Ridge(alpha = 20)
ridge.fit(X_train,y_train)
y_pred_train = ridge.predict(X_train)
print(r2_score(y_train,y_pred_train))
y_pred_test = ridge.predict(X_test)
print(r2_score(y_test,y_pred_test))
0.9022470165195846
0.9023647804749249
```

For Lasso Regression the Accuracy is:

```
lasso = Lasso(alpha=200)
lasso.fit(X_train,y_train)

y_train_pred = lasso.predict(X_train)
y_test_pred = lasso.predict(X_test)

print(r2_score(y_true=y_train,y_pred=y_train_pred))
print(r2_score(y_true=y_test,y_pred=y_test_pred))
0.90793551097258
0.9062265564087699
```

In the above assignment for both the model Ridge and Lasso is giving almost similar Accuracy near to 90%. But there are some advantage of Lasso Regression over Ridge Regression Model Like: Lasso regression not only publishing high values of the coefficients but actually setting them to zero if they are not relevant. So, it might end up with fewer features / Predictor variables included in the model before started, which is a huge advantage.

That is why I will prefer to go with Lasso Regression Model.

Question 3:

After building the model, you realised that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important predictor variables now?

In the Above assignment After creating Lasso Regression Model the top 5 most important Predictor Variable I am getting as :

Housing dataset =Housing dataset.drop(columns=['MSSubClass', 'Condition2', 'Functional', 'Neighborhood', 'BsmtFullBath'])

Where

```
'MSSubClass' (Positively Correlated)
'Condition2' (Negatively Correlated)
'Functional' (Positively Correlated)
'Neighborhood' (Positively Correlated)
'BsmtFullBath' (Positively Correlated)
```

So If I am dropping the feature from input file and then creating the model. Now most 5 predictor variables are:

Lasso Regression After dropping the top 5 variable: LotFrontage, BsmtHalfBath, overallCond, BsmtExposure, SaleType (All Features are positively correlated)

```
# finding the best Param / alpha
 model_cv_lasso.best_params_
{ 'alpha': 400}
: # Finding the accuracy of the model on train and test data given best alpha value.
  lasso = Lasso(alpha=400)
 lasso.fit(X_train,y_train)
  y_train_pred = lasso.predict(X_train)
  y_test_pred = lasso.predict(X_test)
  print(r2_score(y_true=y_train,y_pred=y_train_pred))
  print(r2_score(y_true=y_test,y_pred=y_test_pred))
  0.8713342998183783
  0.8855745851017353
# Finding the list of features with Co-efficient values.
  model parameter = list(lasso.coef_)
  model_parameter.insert(0,lasso.intercept_)
  cols = house train.columns
  cols.insert(0,'constant')
  lasso_coef = pd.DataFrame(list(zip(cols,model_parameter)))
  lasso_coef.columns = ['Feaure','Coef']
# Finding Top 5 most important Predictor Variable/Feature after building the Lasso Model ..
  lasso_coef.sort_values(by='Coef',ascending=False).head(5)
               Feaure
                            Coef
    0
           LotFrontage 213400.501724
          BsmtHalfBath 23012.938418
   21
    5
           OverallCond 18078.840303
   51 BsmtExposure_Mn 10780.879182
          SaleType_Oth 10641.706412
  126
```

Ridge Regression After dropping the top 5 variable :

```
# finding the best Param / alpha
model_cv.best_params_
{'alpha': 50}
# Finding the accuracy of the model on train and test data given best alpha value.
ridge = Ridge(alpha = 50)
ridge.fit(X_train,y_train)
y_pred_train = ridge.predict(X_train)
print(r2_score(y_train,y_pred_train))
y_pred_test = ridge.predict(X_test)
print(r2_score(y_test,y_pred_test))
0.8790610614507977
0.8886621360657327
# Finding the list of features with Co-efficient values.
model_parameter = list(ridge.coef_)
model_parameter.insert(0,ridge.intercept_)
cols = house train.columns
cols.insert(0,'constant')
ridge_coef = pd.DataFrame(list(zip(cols,model_parameter)))
ridge_coef.columns = ['Feaure','Coef']
# Finding Top 5 most important Predictor Variable/Feature after building the Ridge Model ..
ridge_coef.sort_values(by='Coef',ascending=False).head(5)
            Feaure
                         Coef
 0
        LotFrontage 206539.891686
         OverallCond 16305.538185
 5
        BsmtHalfBath 12026.666023
21
19
       LowQualFinSF
                    9491.572268
51 BsmtExposure_Mn
```

Note: For coding Reference Please find the file: Final After Removing 5 important character from Lasso (Assignment Part 2).ipynb

Question 4

How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalisable? What are the implications of the same for the accuracy of the model and why?

Here For the above Problem statement we have created model using Ridge Regression and Lasso Regression., But If we will compare the general Model irrespective of method we have received similar accuracy that is 90% for both the model.

Also If I will compare between training and test dataset and accuracy for each model, if training dataset is giving 90% accuracy then test dataset also giving 90% Accuracy. that indicates that model tuning is perfectly ok as it is behaving same irrespective of dataset as well as model. So we can also conclude here that model is having low bias (Difference

Also If we will compare the feature or pre giving almost similar result . So we can co	dictions variables for both the method model is