2025 CCIBT Hackathon Judging Rubric

Criteria	Description	Evaluation Focus	Scoring Scale	Max Points
GenAI Integration & Innovation	How creatively and effectively was GenAI used?	• Was GenAI central to the solution? • Did the team use advanced techniques (e.g., prompt engineering, machine learning)? • How well did the team incorporate things like Agentic Flows, RAG, Hallucinations, ADK implementation, Explainability, Consistency?	**1-15:** GenAI used superficially or generically **16-25:** GenAI used with moderate creativity **25-40:** GenAI is central, used in a novel and effective way, have leveraged multiple techniques for solutioning	**40**
Technical Execution	Quality and completeness of the technical implementation.	• Was the solution functional or demonstrable? • Was the design sound? • Did the team overcome technical challenges?	**1-9:** Prototype is incomplete or unstable **10-18:** Met at least one expected outcome, with a range of technical depth **19-25:** Range of completeness from expected outcomes, award more points for going above and beyond	**25**
Model Risk	What are the risks to the bank if this use case was brought into the Wells Fargo?	• Was the team able to identify the risks appropriately? • Did the team only talk about what the risks are or also about compensating controls to mitigate the risk?	**1-4:** Risks not raised **5-7:** Some risk considerations made, no controls articulated **8-10:** Strong articulation of relevant risks and controls	**10**
Presentation & Storytelling	Clarity and impact of the final presentation.	• Was the solution communicated clearly? • Did the team tell a compelling story? • Was the demo engaging and relevant?	**1-4:** Presentation lacks clarity or engagement **5-7:** Clear but not compelling **8-10:** Clear, engaging, and effectively communicates impact	**10**
Teamwork & Collaboration	How well did the team work together?	• Was there evidence of inclusive collaboration? • Did team members contribute meaningfully? • Was there cross-functional input?	**1-4:** Limited collaboration or uneven contributions **5-7:** Cooperative with moderate team balance **8-10:** Highly collaborative, inclusive, and well-coordinated	**10**
User Experience & Design	How intuitive and user-friendly is the solution?	• Is the interface or interaction clear? • Is the user journey considered? • Is the design accessible and inclusive?	**1-2:** Interface is confusing or inaccessible **3-4:** Usable with basic design elements **5:** Intuitive, inclusive, and professionally designed	**5**
Total Max Points				**100**