(1) 
$$T_{ij} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$
,  $i = 1, ..., I$ ,  $i = 1, ..., I$   
 $\epsilon_{ij} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{$\ell$ij} & \text{$^{\lambda_{i}}$} & \text{$N(0,\sigma^{2})$} \\ & \text{$\ell$}. & \text{$|L(\mu: \int \sigma^{2}, Y_{ij})$} & = & (2\pi\sigma^{2})^{\frac{11}{2}} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} (Y_{ij} - \mu_{i})^{2}\right\} \\ & \text{$\ell(\mu: )$} & = & -\frac{17}{2} log(2\pi\sigma^{2}) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} (Y_{ij} - \mu_{i})^{2} \\ & \text{$Max(lij)$} & \Rightarrow & \text{$Max(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}) \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left(Y_{ij} - \mu_{i}\right)^{2}\right)$} \\ & \Rightarrow & \text{$Min(\sum \sum (Y_{ij} - \mu_{i})^{2})$} \end{aligned}$$

b.) 
$$\frac{\Im l}{\Im u_i} = + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{2} (Y_{ij} - \mu_i)$$

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{2} (Y_{ij} - \hat{\mu}_i) \implies \hat{\mu}_i = \overline{Y}_i.$$

 $\max_{\sigma \geqslant 0, \mu \in \mu} \mathcal{L}_{0}(\sigma^{2}|Y) = (2\pi\delta_{0}^{2})^{-N/2} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\delta_{0}^{2}} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (Y_{ij} - \mu)^{2}\right\}, \text{ where } \hat{\sigma}_{0}^{2} = \frac{1}{15K} \sum_{j} (Y_{ij} - \mu)^{2}$   $= (2\pi\delta_{0}^{2})^{-N/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{17K}{2}\right\}$ 

max  $L(\mu, \sigma^2 | Y) = (2\pi \hat{\sigma}^2)^{-n/2} \exp\{-\frac{1}{28^2} \sum \sum (Y_{i,y} - \hat{\mu}_i)^2\}$ , where  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{15K} \sum \sum (Y_{i,y} - \hat{\mu}_i)^2$ =  $(2\pi \hat{\sigma}^2)^{-n/2}$ 

$$\Lambda(Y) = \max_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X} \\ \text{max } \\ \text$$

$$I) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i}; -Y_{i})^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i}; -Y_{i}, +Y_{i}, -Y_{i})^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i}; -Y_{i},)^{2} + 2(Y_{i}; -Y_{i},)(Y_{i}, -Y_{i},) + (Y_{i}, -Y_{i},)^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i}; -Y_{i},)^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i}, -Y_{i},) + J\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i}, -Y_{i},)^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i}; -Y_{i},)^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i}; -Y_{i},) + J\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i}; -Y_{i},)^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i}; -Y_{i},)^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i}; -Y_{i},)^{2} + J\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i}; -Y_{i},)^{2}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} - Y_{i})^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} - Y_{i})^{2} + J \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} - Y_{i})^{2}$$

$$SSTotal = SSW + SSB$$

Under the  $M_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_1 = \mu$ , each  $\vec{r}_i$  is iid  $N(\mu_i, \vec{r}_j)$ . By the result in the one-sample normal case that  $\underline{r}_{n-1}$  if follows that  $\underline{r}_{n-1}$  \$58 to  $\chi^2_{n-1}$ .

Since  $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\sum_i (Y_i^2 - \bar{Y}_i)^2 \sim \chi_{J-1}^2$  (again by appealing to one-sample normal case), and since the summands are independent for different values of i, the summation property of independent dis-squared variables gives  $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}SSW \sim \chi_{I(J-1)}^2$ 

independence of 95W and 55B, which is true since SSW can be written as the sum of group sample varrances, and SSB can be written as a function of the group sample nears.  $25W \perp 55B$  be written as a function of the group sample nears.  $25W \perp 55B$  then follows from the independence of  $5^2$  and the sample near.

Critical value for 
$$\alpha = 0.05$$
:  $F_{245}(0.95) = 3.204$  from F-table.

F\* > 3.204  $\Rightarrow$  Reject  $H_0$ : No difference in mean caycles until failure.

That is, at least one watch type has a different average number of caycles until failure, at significance level 0.05.

X: Difference of group i mean with overall pop. men, maginal across drive type Bi: Diff. of snow i hear with ownall pop. mean, marginal across technicism Sij: Additional effect of type-tech. combination ij on mean response relative to the main effects of drive type; and tech. j.

d) See ANOVA notes on course webs. te.

· · · · ·			· wayise	webs. to				
e)	Source	of .	Sauce	MS	ρ	-		
	Drive Type	2	200	loo	22 [			
	Technician	2	10	5	22.5			
	Interaction	4_	90	22.5	1.13			
	Error	45	200	4,44	5.1			
	Total	53	500					
t)	MCE - I						You have been seen	

f.) MSE = 4.44

b) Evin 2 N(0, 52)

(3) g.) Test for effect of type, Fx= 22.5 to F2,46 Somebusian! At kest one drive type has a different mean report time, at course.

h.) Test for interaction of drive type and tech: Fx = 5.1 to Fy.45

Reject to if F\* > 2.579.

=> Conclusion: Reject to, at least one combination of dube type and technicism has a different mean repair the than the marginal effects of that done type and technicism, at significance level & 0.05.

$$(\widehat{\Psi}, \alpha) \quad \stackrel{\stackrel{n}{\underset{\leftarrow}{\sum}} (\chi_i - \widehat{\chi})^2}{=} \sim \chi^2_{n-1}$$

 $= \sigma^2$ 

c) i) Constart variance assumption is violated.

- 1i) This violation could possibly inflate or deflate the type I enor, depending on the relationship of unbalanced group sizes and the difference in the variances across the groups. See HW3 #1.
- d) For testing K hypotheses simultaneously, the Bonfaroni correction uses sugnificance here! &K for each individual hypothesis test to control the areal family-wise type I ever to be less than d.