### **STAT 120 C**

Introduction to Probability and Statistics III

Dustin Pluta 2019/04/01

# Odds, Odds Ratio, and Logistic Regression

 To better understand and interpret the statistical analysis of categorical data, we need to introduce the concept of the and the

#### Definition

The of an event A is

$$\mathbf{Odds} = \frac{P(A)}{P(\text{not } A)} = \frac{P(A)}{1 - P(A)}$$

#### Example

Suppose we roll a fair, 6-sided die. The odds of rolling a 1 is

$$\mathbf{Odds} = \frac{P(\text{roll a 1})}{P(\text{don't roll a 1})}$$

$$Odds=rac{1/6}{5/6}=rac{1}{5}$$

### Odds

- The is a measure of how likely an event is relative to a non-event
- When we say "3 to 1 odds", we're making a statement about the relative probabilty of events
- Since

$$P(A) = rac{odds(A)}{odds(A) + 1},$$

we can convert the odds to a probability.

• For instance, "3 to 1" corresponds to an odds of 3, which implies  $P(A)=rac{3}{4}$ .

### **Odds Ratio**

- ullet Suppose that X represents the event that an individual is exposed to a harmful factor for a disease, and that D represents the event that the individual develops the disease.
- A key goal of clinical trials and epidemiology is to determine the degree of risk associated with exposure.
- It is often not possible or practical to estimate the odds, especially for rare diseases
- In these cases, it is useful to consider the instead.

### **Odds Ratio**

#### **Definition**

The conditional odds of D given exposure X is

$$odds(D|X) = rac{P(D|X)}{1 - P(D|X)}.$$

The conditional odds of D given that there is no exposure ( $\$ \bar X $\$ ) is

$$odds(D|ar{X}) = rac{P(D|ar{X})}{1-P(D|ar{X})}.$$

The **odds ratio** is

$$\Delta = rac{odds(D|X)}{odds(D|ar{X})}$$

## **Odds Ratio**

### Contingency table perspective

	$\bar{D}$	D	
$\bar{X}$	$\pi_{00}$	$\pi_{01}$	$\pi_0$ .
X	$\pi_{10}$	$\pi_{11}$	$\pi_1$ .
	$\pi_{\cdot 0}$	$\pi_{\cdot 1}$	1

With this notation, the odds ratio can be written

$$\Delta = rac{\pi_{11}/(\pi_{10}+\pi_{11})}{\pi_{00}/(\pi_{01}+\pi_{01})} = rac{\pi_{11}\pi_{00}}{\pi_{01}\pi_{10}}$$

There are three common sampling designs that can be used to estimate the odds ratio.

#### Method 1: Simple random sample

- If we draw a simple random sample from the population, all of the probabilities in the contingency table can be estimated by  $\frac{n_{ij}}{n_{ij}}$ .
- However, if the disease D is rare, then we will need a very large sample size to accurately estimate P(D|X) and  $P(D|ar{X})$ .
- This method is theoretically ideal, but often impractical for rare diseases and/or rare exposures.

#### Method 2: Prospective Study

- In a prospective study, a fixed number of exposed and nonexposed individuals are sampled, and the incidence of disease recorded in each group.
- This allows us to make sure that we have a sufficient number of exposed and unexposed individuals
- ullet From this sample, we can compute P(D|X) and  $P(D|ar{X})$ , and so can compute the odds ratio.
- However, we could still run into problems if the disease is rare (which would again require a large sample size).
- Note that in this design, we cannot estimate the individual cell probabilities  $\pi_{ij}$  since the number of exposed and unexposed individuals is fixed by the sampling design.

#### Method 3: Retrospective Study

- In a retrospective study, the number of diseased and undiseased individuals are fixed by the sample design, and the exposure incidences are counted.
- In this setting, we can estimated P(X|D) and  $P(X|\bar{D})$ , but cannot estimate P(D|X) or  $P(D|\bar{X})$ , since the number of diseased and undiseased individuals are fixed.
- This seems problematic at first, but we can actually still recover an estimate of the odds ratio.
- Retrospective studies are generally the easiest means of estimating the odds ratio, and often it is the only practical method for studying rare diseases.

#### Method 3: Retrospective Study

Observe that:

$$P(X|D) = rac{\pi_{11}}{\pi_{01} + \pi_{11}} \ 1 - P(X|D) = rac{\pi_{01}}{\pi_{01} + \pi_{11}} \ odds(X|D) = rac{\pi_{11}}{\pi_{01}}.$$

Similarly,

$$odds(X|ar{D}) = rac{\pi_{10}}{pi_{00}}.$$

Thus, the same odds ratio defined above can be expressed as

$$\Delta = rac{odds(X|D)}{odds(X|ar{D})}.$$

### Method 3: Retrospective Study

The probabilities in a retrospective study can be estimated as

$$\hat{P}(X|D) = rac{n_{11}}{n_{\cdot 1}} \ 1 - \hat{P}(X|D) = rac{n_{01}}{n_{\cdot 1}} \ o\hat{d}ds(X|ar{D}) = rac{n_{11}}{n_{01}} \ o\hat{d}ds(X|ar{D}) = rac{n_{10}}{n_{00}}$$

The resulting estimate of the odds ratio is

$$\hat{\Delta} = rac{n_{00}n_{11}}{n_{01}n_{10}}$$

• To do inference on  $\hat{\Delta}$ , we can generate a confidence interval using the asymptotic distribution of the  $\log$  odds ratio:

$$rac{\log(\hat{\Delta}) - \log(\Delta)}{se(\log(\hat{\Delta}))},$$

where 
$$se(\log \hat{\Delta}) = \sqrt{1/n_{00} + 1/n_{10} + 1/n_{01} + 1/n_{11}}$$
 .

The resulting (1-lpha)100% confidence interval is

$$\exp\Bigl\{\log\hat{\Delta}\pm z_{lpha/2}se(\log\hat{\Delta})\Bigr\}$$

### Example: Estimating Risk of Alzheimer's Disease by APOE4 Exposure

	AD Yes	AD No	Total
APOE4 Yes	44	11	55
APOE4 No	6	39	45
Total	50	50	100

Table 5: Retrospective sample of Alzheimer's patients and healthy controls.

$$\hat{\Delta} = rac{n_{00}n_{11}}{n_{01}n_{10}} = rac{44\cdot 39}{6\cdot 11} = 26$$
  $se(\log(\hat{\Delta})) = 0.55$ 

• 95% CI for odds ratio:

$$\exp\Bigl\{\log\hat{\Delta}\pm z_{lpha/2}se(\log\hat{\Delta})\Bigr\}=(8.85,76.4)$$

• **Interpretation:** the odds of developing AD given the presence of the APOE4 gene is estimated to be 26 times the odds of developing AD given the absence of the APOE4

#### Example: Estimating Risk of Alzheimer's Disease by APOE4 Exposure



Published in final edited form as:

Nat Rev Neurol. 2013 February; 9(2): 106-118. doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2012.263.

### Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer disease: risk, mechanisms, and therapy

Chia-Chen Liu<sup>1</sup>, Takahisa Kanekiyo<sup>2</sup>, Huaxi Xu<sup>1</sup>, and Guojun Bu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Neurodegenerative Disease and Aging Research, Institute of Neuroscience, College of Medicine, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian 361005, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic, 4500 San Pablo Road, Jacksonville, Florida 32224, USA

#### APOE genotypes, AD and cognition

APOE ε4 as a strong risk factor for AD

Genome-wide association studies have confirmed that the &4 allele of APOE is the strongest genetic risk factor for AD. 16, 17 The presence of this allele is associated with increased risk for both early-onset AD and LOAD. <sup>18, 19</sup> A meta-analysis of clinical and autopsy-based studies demonstrated that, compared with individuals with an ε3/ε3 genotype, risk of AD was increased in individuals with one copy of the ε4 allele (ε2/ε4, OR 2.6; ε3/ε4, OR 3.2) or two copies (ε4/ε4, OR 14.9) among Caucasian subjects. <sup>10</sup> The ε2 allele of APOE has protective effects against AD: the risk of AD in individuals carrying APOE ε2/ε2 (OR 0.6) or  $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3$  (OR 0.6) are lower than those of  $\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 3$ . In population-based studies, the APOE4-AD association was weaker among African Americans (e4/e4, OR 5.7) and Hispanics (e4/ ε4, OR 2.2) and was stronger in Japanese people (ε4/ε4, OR 33.1) compared with Caucasian cases (e4/e4, OR 12.5). 10 APOE e4 is associated with increased prevalence of AD and lower age of onset. 7, 10, 20 The frequency of AD and mean age at clinical onset are 91% and 68 years of age in ε4 homozygotes, 47% and 76 years of age in ε4 heterozygotes, and 20% and 84 years in e4 noncarriers, 7, 20 indicating that APOE e4 confers dramatically increased risk of development of AD with an earlier age of onset in a gene dose-dependent manner (Figure 1b).

NIH-PA Author Manuscript