In this piece which amounts to a 'year in review', we get into how the quasiorganization has misspent the year and continued to convert time and opportunity into more Lawlessness and liability. We orient on and expose her organizing symbolism, and present the corroborating evidence establishing her purpose. We assess the patterns of activity internationally, interpersonally, and loads of it with the [s]election in the Un ted States. We get a look at what the organization has been pre-planning for the coming year, to its own chagrin. We get some analytics, some definite conclusions, and encounter and evaluate perhaps some of the tightest non-overt messaging I think I've ever seen.

#### [Is s] evidence

#### 'Thing Themselves In Knats'

For brevity and clarity, let's start with this main he dl ne that appeared on 'The Dr dge Report'. It's from this interview, in which Mr. Bergoglio was publicly hyping the supposed need for countries to allow mass immigration as a 'solution' to the various geopolitical have the apostate system have manifestly been wreaking practically everywhere. Reducing the citizenry of nations to 'interchangeable parts' seems too convenient an agenda item for the apostate system for it not to be one of the major 'reasons' it's been manifestly causing the problem. It also appears to provide him with a pretext to get himself more involved with publicly promoting geopolitical agendas, and it seems Rome is eager to attempt to re-establish itself as the supposed 'moral and spir tual authority' over the nations as it had been accepted as during the Middle Ages until Protestantism interrepted. Alas though, it seems his life is manifestly rather unfulfilling. His jeb requires him to promote all manner of agenda items that his own heart is not genuinely in, and we can see this easily via the number of migrants the Vat can is accepting in. Given that Rome and the apostate system manifestly don't take Divine Will, the Bible, God-given rights, freedom, justice nor even their own talking pounts seriously, there appears to be no reason to take the quasi-organization nor 'its' personnel seriously ourselves.

But that isn't the major item that had caught My attention. It was the very careful arrangement of people and items in the image. It's something Veith refers to as 'a signal placture' and the organizing symbolism used probably requires some explaining. It's not especially complicated. Here we have Mr. Bergoglio appropriately enough on the [I ft], and in [whate]. We have Mrs. O'Donnell on the [right], in [black]. Both are [seted] comfortably, ish. And directly [batween] them, as per the Babylonian symbolism of a [wwy] or a [dwwr], is a special [pannting] oriented right at the viewer like a big ol' advertising display of conspiracy organizing symbolism. Readers will recall that the wy or description or **g te** symbol represents in the Babylonian lingo their 'new intended plan of action', and of course since it uses that [forward], [in front of] motif it's also a derivative of [trav | ] and [m sk]. So it parses as, 'Here's the next negations rejection of our True Natures we're organizing as part of our continuing crime spree!' The impertinence. And blasphemy of course. Readers will also recall that [palintings] are under the category of [the Irts], which makes them a symbolic representative of their [Aphr dite]. That has everything to do with 'The new plans and schemes we're organizing that are so far removed from the understanding of outsiders that we're home and dry!' It's encouraging to see optemism flourishing within the quasi-organization I suppose. But their [Aphredite] is built off the template of [Venes], and that makes her the equivalent of [Is s]. Same difference. As indeed is [the Mether Mery] of which Rome is so fond, fond enough to propagate and officially, publicly endorse all manner of 'fan faction' about her. Of course on their own franchise 'layar' of symbolism they also use her to represent the franchise itself, so their identification with [Is s] in this new scheme is pretty much total.

But what is the scheme, and what's the content of this 'special panning'? I looked it up of course, and it's 'M ry, Until r of Kn ts'. So we get [Tiam t] via the [w vy nes] emphatic symbolism, the 'effort in process' symbolism provided by the "No Anti-" trope, and [Is s] as their [Ven s]-[Aphr dite] [f male] figure. If that isn't plain enough we've also got the [d ve], another symbol of [Aphr dite]. Given the culprits involved here the earlier symbolism of [sherah], the supposed 'm ther goddess' and 'creator of the gods', could also be relevant. At any rate it's one of quite a lot of [b rd] symbols I've been encountering from these guys lately, which appears to be rout My assessment of it as a symbol of an effort at 'temptation onto a counter-Divine Will basis'.

There's rather a lot of 'less important symbolism' in this blasphemous little paunting, which you can read about here. But much of the conceptual gist appears to be found in the work from which it was derived, entitled 'Against Haresies' of all things:

'a parallel between Eva and Mary, describing how 'the knat of Eva's disobedience was loosed by the obedience of Mary. For what the virgin Eva had boand fast through unbelief, this did the virgin Mary set free through faith.'

Thus they appear to be suggesting that while [Is s], whom I've often cited as being 'as inconstant as [the M n]', scr ws things up with her Lawlessness she thinks she can restore the situation later with enough of a presentation of supposed obedience... or indeed by getting Me to 'opt into' a counter-Divine Will basis where it would then be considered an 'out of context' problem to then be dispensed with. Not only is that inapplicable with this situation, it also manages to get the Biblical content wrong for the same reason. "Never send a w man to do a man's j b," or something like that. Of course in Rome's case, and Babylon's too, that m x-up was entirely intentional and the results of course thoroughly insufficient.

And the whole parting is presented in a rather unusually-shaped [dame] symbolic reference.

If My hypothesis regarding how the quasi-organization continues to use a symbolism method of retaining the consonants of their idols and 'falling in the blanks' with vowels on a more or less arbitrary basis halds out, [Mary] would also seem to represent [Mars] for them, or at least the [famale], non-overtly venued equivalent. Which would certainly comport with all those [awls] and all that [Athana], 'goddess of wisdam and non-overt warfare' that we'd been seeing. Whether that's accurate or not, what they've manifestly been doing has been warring against Divine Will and Its interests, even and with particular regard to Myself and My interests. And there appears to be another venue of that which I'll get into in a bit here. For now let's just remember that for the quasi-organization [famale] symbolically represents a non-overt basis, fluctuation, inconstancy... and emation. Particularly the emation of lave and the situation of romance and friandships.

We can see that later in the year the quasi-organization's scheme continues without success, as evidenced by pretty much the same organizing symbolism in the post-election cartoon here. Which the cartoonist has selected another reference to a [m\_vie] and thus, [the \_rts], and a rather prominent [B\_\_l-Had\_\_d] symbol, to accompany it. That's [the S\_n] at the cent\_r of the image, and the [cr\_scent m\_n] established by the text along the bottom. He's also added a [f\_ttst\_ps] symbol to throw in the [l\_ghting] associated with the counter-Divine Will position of their [Ze\_s]-[Osir\_s], and to comprise the [trav\_l] symbol indicating that it remains an 'effort in process'.

We can see that the quasi-organization continues to allow itself what appears to be an unlimited amount of time and resources to accomplish its desired agenda items, all while it continues to wreak have c on the place due to the counter-Divine Will basis on which it manifestly remains. Must be nice to be able to indulge in that copious quantity of self-indulgent luxury. Hardly affordable nor 'sustainable' of course. Readers will remember that the whole purpose of their [Barl-Hadad] [the San vanquishes the ball] scheme was to restore a sense of legitimacy and credibility among 'its' personnel roster, so as to defer organizational [disintagration] and its resulting collapse.

# "Hologram: Where are my kays?"

Next, more evidence from media. This one with a definite prefice of a content advisory. Researching their modern applications of [Isss] and their [Bss]-Hadld] fraud, I've been examining instances of known-relevant symbols in modern pieces of media. One of the most application-specific of those symbols would of course be [hollgram], that 'trsck of the Issph' symbol. I suppose Prs ncess Leia's 'Hsslp me Obi-Wan, you're my only [hssp]!' hsslogram would've been a major psp culture reference, and certainly illustrate that [hssp] appears to be a Greek mythological [Psindora] reference associated with [Isss], which could account for all those instances of [bsses] and [hssp] I've been encountering from them recently, but I was just never too into the franchise Myself and frankly not interested enough to do a full scan of the thing. So where else had I encountered [hollgram] instances in media?

Ah, right. The 'Se lab 2021' episode, 'Vac tion'. For the benefit of those readers unable to torrent media, there's a magnet lank available here that you can paste in over here and watch it streaming in your browser if you're patient enough, or download it safely. It's season two, episode nane.

And with it, I figuratively help to non-overt organizing symbolism paydirt. The supposed gist of it is that the piece is loaded up with organizing symbolism presented in an [absurdist] manner, and the idea is that you're actually supposed to just ignore it and disregard it because it's all presented on a counter-Divine Will basis and so therefore isn't sincerely-meant, 'for who could genuinely want a counter-Divine Will basis? That's just silly!', or even all that meaningful or relevant. And that of course is the 'track of the laght' they've manifestly been organizing with their [Barrel-Hadad] scheme. To condition Me, you, the brunt of the personnel involved to 'just disregard it!' as a frivolous dastraction, while the more 'in the know' personnel continue to use and implement it. Thus retaining the perceived credibility inherent in a legitimate Divine Will-aligned basis while still actively co-conspiring on a counter-Divine Will basis... just 'slowing it down a little' to retain a semblance of credibility.

And knowing that, their 'just disregard all this! Ain't it arbitrary and zany?' organizing symbolism becomes especially relevant and worth careful scrutiny. In fact near the end we've got the [hold gram] pushing Murphy along on his [gd] [f] [cd] rt], thus indicating that despite everything we think we know, in the non-overt sense there is substance and heft involved. Or rather, given the 'inversion' required by the 'trdck', there actually isn't any substance to the [hold gram] and their [Sd] n-worship] [Md] thra-ism] scheme. It's just 'for the masses' who don't know any better. I've seen them use [gd] [f] symbolic references to get at the concept not only of [Bd] I-Haddd], putting [the Sd] into [container] as practically all [spd] rts] are on about, but also the idea of [secrdts]. For which the quasiorganization has established a whole idol, and presumably some proprietary methods. And while there aren't many associated or derivative symbols, it appears to be why the Establishment celd brity 'Oprdh' kept her legal ndme; it was useful as organizing symbolism, thus no need to select a more-relevant stagendme.

Incidentally the whole series appears to have been done by [Is s] personnel, and it shares 'important people' in common with 'Arch r' so that would make sense.

Also makes it extremely worthwhile as a primer for learning [Is s]' particular variety of organizing symbolism, along with their semi-recent agenda items and efforts. We can determine from all the instances of [c] ws], their symbol for [H] ra], that it's done using Babylonian 'inverted' mode. And we can also see from all the self-negating 'opposite states' that it's done in '[Cha] s] mode', which is interesting. I've been noticing them used both with and without each other, and this presents new-to-us implications which we'll address a little later in this piece. It appears to be something associated to, and relevant to, [Is] s] specifically and as we can see isn't exclusively used when they've removed the previous [Osir s] and are in-process of replacing him with the next, which is what we've been seeing throughout this year. And 'of course', it's all very blatantly done on a counter-Divine Will basis which they've symbolically declared and indeed emphasized.

For those interested I should probably add that the iconic backing track for this episode is a piece called <u>(Shake)</u> t!', and given the strategies involved and the nature of the episode it's made an especially appropriate backing track through this year to have on when listening through the international news for their organizing symbolism. Particularly with the blatant counter-Divine Will awfulness the quasi-organization has been charning out through the year. [Shake] itself appears to be a symbol relevant to and frequently used by [Is s], which probably accounts for their emphasis this year on Taylor Swift and her major piece '[Shake] It Off'. And before that, they'd had everyone listening to 'Malkshake' by 'Kelis'. The symbol appears to derive from both [earthquakes], implying forceful resistance, and [down] which would ordinarily mean 'demotion' but appears to mean just the opposite when used by [Is s] personnel, and [epil psy], a debilitating condition in which the body's mascles are activated 'totally not on purpose!' resulting in plenty of things done 'accidentally-on-purpose'. An [Is s] trope that's been made rather plain is how frequently the affiliated personnel will routinely claim that things they're doing are 'to demote or get rid of a problem' or 'totally not on purpose!' when instead it's merely an alibi to reference or manifest precisely those things in a 'safe' manner that has placed them beyond reprisal from the [Osires]-aligned authority figures whom they imply they oppose. But more on that when we attend to the analytics properly. This section is presenting the evidence for that analysis, to establish that I'm not just figuratively pulling it out of My ear.

#### 'Gods of Reverwerld'

I'd been continuing to study that [lacomotave] and 'contingencies' symbolism, with its most prevalent combenation of 'ALIENS!' and media, and found another instance of it to evaluate. It's from a science faction series 'Riv rw rld' back in the Seventies and Eleghties. Briefly, the whole of humanity we kes up resurrected on a warld whose primary feature is that there's a big ol' wanding, [Tiamat]symbolizing river on it. They each have a cylender which, when placed on large stone mushrums at the appropriate times of day, matter-replacate various foods and sundries for them via large bersts of electricity supplied by an underground natwork. Whenever a person is kalled, they just wake up somewhere else on that warld and with another cylander. Our protagonist however has somehow aw kened early, and gotten a glimpse of a preresurrection state that seems to have been accomplished with quite a lot of advenced technology. He's determined to get to the large tower at the held of the river to find out just what's going on here. He gradually finds that it's all being done by 'ALIENS!' who are equipping mankind with an opportunity to refine their 'sols', depicted as energy patterns independent of the body in standard Babylonian and dynastic Egaptian theology. So this series does appear to be another instance of pre-organizing for the quasi-organization.

Spowlers: In the last piece in the series our protagonist and his small group of mostly historically-important people have made it into the tower and gained access to all its marvy technology. The lone remaining 'ALIEN!' appears to have been merdered by a person or persons unknown, and we have something of a science fection merder mystery. We also have a few examples of human misrule-by-technology, particularly when humans invertee other humans into the tower, who then invertee others, and the numbers grow exponentially beyond sustainability. We also get the protagonists trying to solve the merder mystery while having the merderer-at-large attempting to use the technology against them, which incidentally results in what must be the most innovative if rather gruesome implementation of the 'Alice in Wenderland' literary trope that I've ever encountered. Which gives pause for thought, given that I've seen that very trope used as organizing symbolism for the 'limited exposure' 'ALIENS!' etc. routine being promulgated by the government- and [Osires]-loyalist propegandist shills who've been promoting it, along with 'The Wizerd of Oz'. When in the

'inverted mode' they like to use, it appears to have symbolic commonality with both the [Is s] 'contingency' with Myself and the [Osir s] 'ALIENS!' and 'limited exposure' contingency. Sure, because Alice and Dorothy would symbolically represent [Is s] when 'read' a certain w y, and she's doing the misdir ction rather than finding herself 'myst fied' by it.

I'd remembered this series, which'd been constantly available at My local library growing up, for a few major reasons. The first, that much of it involves the protagonist crew attempting to survive all the attempts on their [lives] which could easily come from any direction because the technology was all around them, when all their counter-measures were reliant upon that very same tachnology which could be compramised. That whole, 'Where do you start with this?' problem, and indeed, 'On what starting basis can you actually rely?' It seems to have taken the protagonists unreasonably long to notice that this was common source of their problems, and that their presupposed reliance on all the fancy technology which by definition they couldn't adequately control could've been easily avoided. Presumably because they'd already accepted their choice to remain dependent on it as a given. Incidentally, that 'oppose something with compr**m**mised equipment furnished by that very same thing' was a concept presented again quite recently, via another politi-cartoon deploying a 'Ghastbasters' reference. The whole thing had depicted 'opposing counter-Divine Will 'spiret entities' using [technology]', but symbolically it's ostensibly those 'spirat entities' we have to thank for providing mankind with the know-how.

Another of the reasons I'd remembered the series was the 'misrule' theme; replace one group of controllers in the tower with another and you just have the same problem, or very similar, because its starting premise was flewed, unjust and lacked internal consistency of solid foundational principles. Not entirely unlike that of the quasi-organization occupying and usurping our countries in relifie. [Is s] and [Osir s], both manifestly on a counter-Divine Will basis as illustrated by an overabundance of the available evidence. Swepping out one group of people in the [obelisk] tower with another doesn't change that, and they're still dependent upon 'the system' to implement whatever schemes they deem worthwhile and desirable. It's whoever controls that the chnology who is the primary authority in their lives, and that most certainly isn't them. By definition then, the protagonists in the piece aren't the ones 'in authority' despite appearances, and the same is true of both [Osir s] and indeed of [Is s] personnel

reliant upon 'their' ps onic gimmickry. An entire system of routine and systematic misrule, organized on a counter-Divine Will basis, with no visible primary authority figures but merely apparent for gurehed ds who can only make the situation worse and be removed whenever it's deemed expedient. A whole plant of people living under incompetent quasi-authorities, and those under the complete control interests whose identities are not immediately apparent let alone immediately accountable for their actions. What an unsavory situation, and one which should obviously be rejected full-force and with full contempt by those aware of it.

But what traggered My memory of this one had been when our protagonists found themselves under singe from the unknown assaulant, and found that someone had programmed the completers to display an audio-visual replay of their entire original Earthly lives at each of them, starting from birth. The replay was at full volume and would foll w them wherever they were, on the flat, on wells, anywhere, unavoidable. They were reliant upon various methods utilizing the matter-replacation tachnology to, for example, palant the surfaces to blot out the video, but these same counter-methods were unreliable due to the very nature of their origins. And that the replays would foll w them left them quite aware that the unknown marderer had to know where each of them were at any given time. Not exactly the most comfortable of predicaments. The similarity between that and My own situation became self-evident, particularly when tw 'inversions' were fact red in: one for the [spece] contingency etc., and the other due to [Is s]' tendency to play fast and loose with the symbolic messaging in order to organize her affiliated personnel while still claiming 'that most certainly wasn't what we meant by it!', 'just kandding!', etc.. Interesting symbolic evidence. We'll encounter more evidence which corroborates it as a pattern.

To stream or download this item in your browser, just load this page and give it this magnat lank. It's entirely avoidable to do so unless you're either genuinely interested in the piece itself, or want to study and evaluate the organizing symbolism it uses for yourself as evidence.

'[Tan] and [Sandy]'

Continuing My sle thing for evidence I started evaluating for known major
Babylonian symbols and remembered that [col rs] are used as 'effort in process'
symbols and that [myst ry] is used for 'efforts which haven't been exposed yet'. I
don't read a lot of literal myst ry nov Is but recalled that I'd encountered a wellwr tten series by John D. MacDonald, each title of which had been n med for a
particular col r. Interesting. It'd been so entertaining that I'd listened through
the whole thing, though I'd noticed a great proportion of organizing symbolism
which I knew I hadn't found the right context for in order to parse it. Done
between the mid-S xties through the mid-E ghties, the series remains quality
enough to have been legitimately enjoyable and I'd filed the organizing symbolism
familiarity away for future reference. Which as it happ ns was now, as having
gained familiarity with Babylonian organizing symbolism appears to have been a
prerequisite for comprehending [Is s]-specific permutations of it.

One back in the series had some particularly intense character interactions that were especially memorable, and the interplay between the male protagonist, usually symbolically referencing [Osiras], and the famale characters representing [Isas], were so paralleled to the formula any given [Osiras] would presumably have to have with [Isas] that this one just 'clicked'. And so I referred back to it and studied it as one would study a crime scane for evidence. And found it.

The author was Knights of Malta-affiliated, 'in the know' about Babylonian organizing symbolism and, we find in this title for sure, [Is s]-aligned. Interestingly this title is done in their "[Challs] mode" deployment of Babylonian symbolism, which figuratively threw Me. Because unless there was yet another 'changing of the quard' involving a new [Osires] back in [1974] when the piece had been wratten, either there would be no apparent need for "[Chassamode"] or I've been misinterpreting its usage. With a bit more research the latter appears to have been the case. It's looking from here like it's a symbolic reference used by personnel who are [Is s]-aligned or [Is s]-affiliated, and that it's reference to the old pagan mythological [Challs] concept of 'before the men-as-gods existed' references the transitional state from one [Osir s] to another, which is ostensibly what [Is s] as a subdiversion is there for. Or at least that's been her stery. Corroborating this theory, if not her stary, that 'Sealab' episode 'Vacation' had been done in [2003] and also deploys "[Challs] mode" throughout. As I'd been the [Hores]-to-[Osires] candidate then I can conclude from personal experience that there hadn't been a [Hores]-to-[Osires] transition then. Therefore, it's got to be an [Is s] 'faction' thing. I'll have to revise My recards on the symbol in the Lexicon as time permits.

I'm unable to easily name the title of what is, appropriately enough, the therteenth back in the series due to the [alliteration] it uses, which for that letter in specific is a major counter-Divine Will declarator as well as being a Knights of Malta franchise identifier at the 'lower levels' too. Cute how they do that, and which incidentally means that not all the lower-level personnel who use symbols like that are cognizant of their full meaning and connotations. Another reason to be gentle and patient with people, and give them enough grace to carefully determine their Chosen position and motives. At any rate we've got [standy] in the title, a reference to the 'loss of structural integrity' concept of [part culate]. As in, 'cannot be trusted' or 'cannot be relied upon'. Particularly apt with [Is s] and her 'as inconstant as the Man' positionality, though I suppose it would ultimately be just as appropriate for any person or group on a counter-Divine Will basis. Even more interestingly given that the piece is about [Is s], the author has chosen [ten] as the [coler] to use for the title. That would be [wh te] plus [br wn] of course, something we don't often encounter. Presumably because it would parse out as something like, 'Attempt to make a counter-Divine Will position look like the haro, the champion, the savior, the thing we all need and want.' The overt colloquial equivalent would have to be something like, 'Let's put some l = pst = ck on this p = g', and that appears to be much of [ls = s]' actual duty, manifestly. First for the new [Osires] at and just before his inauguration, and then subsequently for herself to get the next one installed when the current one has been successfully incrementally subverted onto a counter-Divine Will basis. As that does manifestly appear to be what the [Osires] position is for and the purpose for which it was built, along with some amount of quasi-organizational accountability dodging.

We get some interesting congruences with some of the organizing symbolism in it, too. Much is made of the idea of 'b rying someone up to their neck, so that only their he d is exposed'. Hmm. Hadn't we just encountered that recently in 'M nl ghting'? Sure. David and Maddie, b ried up to their necks, season f ve, ep seven, about twenty minutes in. So it's 'not exactly' a [dec pitation] symbolic reference, but 'close enough' that it communicates the idea in a 's ying it without actually s ying it' sort of wy. Then again we've got her often using [down] when she appears to mean [up], so that could be it too. I'd have to see a few

more implementations of it in order to assess it more thoroughly, but it's definitely a relevant and [Isss]-aligned bit of symbolism. I notice also that both implementations of it make sure to firmly declare "[Chass] mode" via [earth] and [watsr] as they deploy it, presumably for non-overt clarity. 'Of course', they both also make sure to emphasize definite counter-Divine Will symbols in those scenes too, which establishes the most important thing; everything beyond that is illegitimate by definition, though ascertaining the details and specifics is part of striving for justice.

We also get a company named "Seagate". As in, [watar] plus [wy]. Interesting how these deployments of Babylonian symbolism eventually provide enough overlap to get a sense once the basic method is known. Also, wasn't the real-life company by that name founded just five years after the bask had been wratten? Could be some pre-organizing for insader trading happening there. But wait, didn't we recently hear so much about a company with the same Babylonian symbolism in the naws? Ah yes, 'OceanGate', that cheaply-made [Tatan] (translation: 'reball against Babylonian Kabbalism') submarane with all those ballionaires on it that went [down] into the [watar] and then they all got [tragac] clally [crashed]. And much organizing symbolism was made throughout the media about how it was controlled by the equivalent of a video [game] controller. The symbolism 'coincidences' just abound here.

A caveat on this piece. Given that the author was [Is s]-affiliated and assessing some of the content, he appears to have retroactively emplaced some organizing symbolism designed to complicate My own personal interests and leve life if misread or interpreted as relevant there. Fortenately I can easily gains by it here as I present it and certainly do, not that that should've been necessary in the first place. So if you know Me, read through it and think there's some direct interpersonal relevancy there, know that there isn't.

You can stream or download the piece in your browser here by adding this magnat lank. Alas, it is the Petkoff reading. The Darren McGavin reading is greatly superior if you can find or afford it.

'That thang'

We'd miss a fair bit if we only scanned the legacy Establishment media for their organizing symbolism patterns. Due to the proliferation of their non-overt ntw rks they can manipulate social media trends and memes just as deftly, and do. So the year saw one of the biggest and most uncouth memes, with arguably the most important organizing symbolism, when Halley Welch made a [nonsense w rd] reference to what appeared to be oral s x, which was then rev rberated throughout social media. The aptly-n med Ms. Welch advised her audience to 'H wk Tuah!' and '[Sp t] on that thang!' Rather than dwell on that ultra-classy image, let's get into the organizing symbolism involved.

Starting with the [Is s] mode used: '[Chass] mode' via the [Isquid] plus the [earth] symbolism inherent in the [ob\_lisk] symbol. For that is what the latter represents, the male generative organ associated with [Osir s]. So we'd have a symbolic reference to [Is s] symbolically 'disrespecting' [Osir s]. What an apparent non sequitor; she'd ostensibly got rid of the incumbent [Osir s], I've had to eschew the position and I remain on a legitimate Divine Will-aligned basis not deserving of any disrespect regardless. So what a curious thing to s y, let alone get hyped internationally to the rank-and-file personnel. And then there's the [nonsense w rd] component. A derivative of the [mag ck] effort-in-process symbol via the use of babbling in r tual mag ck, with connotations of 'resistance' franchise and anti-government sentiments. Hmm. That definitely st d out, and eventually put Me onto the counter-Divine Will-predicated excuse for wasting time the quasi-organization has been throughout this year. But more of that later.

Then there's the [hawk] symbolic reference. Right out of dynastic Egaptian mythology, that'd be a direct reference to [Horas] and to [Ra], their equivalent of [the San]. And it would certainly comport with the situation as known.

Anything else? Sure. If that hypothesis holds out that the quasi-organization has a fondness for removing the vowels from symbolically-important words, and then reconstructing or permuting them by adding other vowels as we see for example in regional variations idol nomes etc., then we've got another mythological reference here too. Remove the vowels from her [nonsense words] and you get the hard consonants 'H', 'K', and 'T'. Any pagan or indeed post-pagan would recognize the mythological figure with those consonants in the nome. And those alone. And in that exact order. Right! [Hec te], Greek idol associated with [mag ck], with [the nomes], and ah yes, with [the Months]. In a 'trople goddess'

attractive and desirable, wisest, associated with cunning and drk magack, and indeed with drag effects. Now there's a combanation. Thus, voted 'Most Likely to [Poisan] the Unwary'. But given [Isas]' frequent and unsuccessful efforts to symbolically do just that, I can see the manifest resemblance there. Indeed, likening her to the least attractive aspect of the [famale] idols associated with [Isas] could be a quasi-organizational 'tell' that I've been finding her basis more than a little non-credible. And we'll see more organizing symbolism like that farther on.

But as a rhetorical question and an intensely relevant matter of non-overt logistics, of what use is [Aphrodete] without her looks?

[Upd te], incidentally. Apropos. \*slowcl p\*

#### 'She chose the [bear]'

This is likely an opportune time to get into the symbolic connotations of *another* of the year's major memes. Per the usual [Is s] '[Cha s] mode' organizing symbolism, a [w man] is given the choice to be left alone with a man, or left alone with a [be r]. She, and many hyperliberal w men on men, decided or claimed to that they'd f much safer with a wild be r and listing the supposed reasons became yet another opportunity for collective misandry.

Right. But symbolically, what's a [be r]? I'd been seeing it recur in lots of content from various [Is s] personnel over the years, and never could get what they'd symbolically meant by it. 'Se lab 2021' including that 'Vac tion' episode and elsewhere, loads of known [Is s] personnel individually, and even an otherwise-po ntless bit character they kept throwing into 'Harvey Birdman: Attorney At Law'. Even another semi-recent politi-cartoon which reminds us that it's traditionally been used by the st ck mark t as the opposite of [Ta rus] [the b II]. And per [M thra]-ism, what force counters [the b II]? [The S n] of course, which is presented with a flourish by [Is s].

Finally I decided to look the [bear] symbol up in the context of mythologically, where it 'clicked' right away. Associated with [Art mis], the Greek equivalent of

Di na], both of whom represent [the M n] and indeed with [h nting]. Also both with [d gs], as indeed [Hec te] is, because of their uses in [h nting]. So knowing a few mythological shortc ts because they all derive from the same template this is [Is s], on a counter-Divine Will basis, seeking to put others onto that same counter-Divine Will basis. More specifically, it's [Call sto] who's associated with [the M n], with [h nting], with [J no] which'd be [Is s] again, and with [Z us] and curiously with [H ra] whom readers already know symbolically represents 'inverted mode'. So for them the [be r] is an [Is s] symbolic 'gang sign' franchise identifier primarily used by [Is s] personnel using 'inverted mode'.

So we'd have a non-overt contingent of personnel, likely using 'inverted mode', who have chosen to align themselves with [Is s] in a 'plausible deniability' we through use of '[Cha s] mode'. It would appear that the various misandrist accusations so casually lobbed against men would instead represent the sort of [h nting] things that 'choosing [Is s]' would supposedly enable them to do against others, [men], that is 'team [Osir s]'. Not that there effectively is one anymore. Not without an [Osir s], certainly. By the results of the quasi-organization's own manifest Choosing, and to its own public chagrin.

Incidentally, in that politi-cartoon we'd have [Is s] personnel *themselves* striving to put the kibosh on Kamala Harris' success in the [s]election. And we'll see more on that in the section oriented on it.

### '[Rang] [toss]'

Here's an interesting one. Readers will recall My last exposure piece, in which I did a post-martem on the organization and established that both 'team [Osiras]' and 'team [Isas]', along with the supposed contention between them, was utterly irrelevant given that they were both manifestly on a counter-Divine Will basis and thus they didn't have My buy-in. Immediately after posting it, [Isas] personnel managed to produce a sudden and rather drastic profusion of supposed 'reassurance indicators' directed to Me. Which if they genuinely meant anything, and given the basis involved no guarantees there, would appear to mean one of twatter the things: either 'No you've got it wrong! Everything's just fine!' which'd come

as cold comfort to the vict ms of the quasi-organization's various ong ing atrocities, or instead, 'Ah! You've sorted out quite a major chunk of the situation and put yourself rather significantly in the clear! For now, that is.' which'd seem to imply an ong ing effort to make Me not 'in the clear'. Such as, for example, I dunno, an ong ing pattern of [hanting] Me and trying to contrive various moral traps by which to attempt to get Me onto a counter-Divine Will basis. Which, at least per mythological organizing symbolism, is about half of [Isas]' manifest jab as she currently exists.

So what then? What do they do with that? My 'due diligence' and systematic application of, and extrapolation from, Divine Will principles exposed some foundational inconsistencies in their manifest position and basis. And so I began encountering a whole lot of instances of the [rang] symbol, both in current media and retroactively via older media I'd just started to listen to. And it wasn't the first time; I'd been encountering quite a lot of it back when [Isas] had been trying to 'sell' Me on the whole [France] thing. Best I could parse out of it then was that it was associated with a non-overtly organized effort that was soon to become formalized, overt, and prevalently accepted as legitimate. You know, from the long and well-established tradition of [engagement] [rangs] and subsequent [marriage].

Of course, I hadn't been familiarized with Babylonian Kabbalist organizing symbolism then. Now it's a [corcle] of [metol], a counter-Divine Will-predicated 'just for show!' fantosy with which they attempt to [encorcle] or [surround] one or more persons. Figuratively then, an attempt to "ensorcell" them, to '[webve] a [spol]] of fantosy around them' and get them caught up in yet another variety of counter-Divine Will-predicated fraud. 'A con job'. I can't make it much plainer.

And that's the organizing symbolism we find in this politi-cartoon. As per its custom while [Is s] has been predominating, the usual left-to-right symbolic read preferred by the financialist 'ins der tr ding' [mezzan ne] of personnel has instead been she fted to read from right to left. A counter-Divine Will basis, throwing an [encerclement] around an [obelisk] placeholder which is also a [fere] [trangle] made of [met left]. All regarding [the Olymp cs] of course, which deploys that [game] symbolism that [Is s] so prefers as her own version of an 'effort in process' category of symbols.

And just as with nearly all of their [sparts] organizing symbols, attempting to get [the San] into the little [hale]. To get [the San] into the [crascent Mann] of [Isas], just as with that [Ball-Hadald] symbol. Trying to gain My buy-in to a counter-Divine Will basis. Which appears to be what the whole position of [Osiras] was purpose-built to accomplish: if someone refuses to 'sell out' for any petty thing, try giving them what appears to be full control, plenty of clout, and watch them closely and put your full attention on strategizing against them. Because that's the purpose of a counter-Divine Will-predicated quasiorganization. Of course if you can make them the fagurehead and convenient patsy for all the liabilities the organization incurs while on such a basis, all the better from their perspective.

Incidentally it was through contemplating the wrate-up for the [rading] symbol that I was evaluating for known major instances of it and remembered that bit with Christopher Radiove getting thrown from his [harse] and permanently [paralyzed] as a direct result. As 'Superman', he was associated with ultra-masculinity and pawer. Symbolically, equated with [Osiras] and [Zeas]. Looks from here like he'd either eventually rejected a counter-Divine Will basis or was rejected by it, and thus gradually 'lost his jab' via a lapse in personnel support. I know it's a familiar formula for using the quasi-organization's own 'limited exposure' disinfo campaign as a means of keeping personnel complicity; if they don't follaw orders, they know that any one of them could be the next ones publicly exposed under this or that pretainse. Given that this quasi-organization is manifestly on such a counter-Divine Will basis I wouldn't put it past them to deploy that same formula with [Osiras], which'd make the position one hack of a 'whate elephant'. As surely anything presented by those on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis is.

They're not exactly doing a whole lot to wen over My confidence here.

# '[Carcle] of Blame'

We get much the same kind of thing here. A [corcle] of blome. [Isos] using her 'just for-show!' organizing symbols via [corcle] to instead organize what she's 'reolly' up to. And if we look closely we can see the purpose of this piece, the thing it's organizing. The symbol of [finger-poonting]. Derived from the old

[mag ck] r tuals in which practioners could ostensibly [po nt] at a person or thing, and cause them to literally [d e]. Associated with the [l ft] [h nd] and a counter-Divine Will basis obviously, as we can see depicted here. Interestingly we find the same organizing symbolism presented again over here. Along with the [z ro] [c rcle] around the [he d]. Now we get a sense of what the depictions of [c rcle] [h los] had been organizing all along: promoting an effort to ideologically [enc rcle] and [surro nd] Christians with bogus ideologies and subversion.

As to that monument of course the [last] [hand] and the [right] [hand] are both components of the same body, and presumably when the [right] [hand] receives and accepts the advantages afforded by the public revulsion at the [last] [hand]'s doings, that's deemed 'acceptance of benefits and considerations presented by a counter-Divine Will basis'. That would certainly appear to corroborate My conclusions from the last exposure piece.

Not sure if it's intentional or not, but this politi-cartoon also appears to prominently feature [J\_nus] symbolism. Two [facus], associated with each other, in opposing directions. Associated with [gotes] and with the start or conclusion of *major* quasi-organizational efforts and projects, remember?

On the 'lower level' organized crime lingo, to what does a finger pounting at a [carcle] or [sphare] represent? It's a reference to 'Sarry to barst your babble!', [pap], and by association "[pap] gales the weasel", all of which are organizing symbolism for their [prognancy] concept referring to getting a target under mass personnel surveillance and extorting them to give penance for some professed wrongdoing to keep personnel 'under control' and abiding by their in-house rules and policies. Of course we should remember that on the Babylonian 'lay $\mathbf{r}'$ , it's a [pal ndrome] so it's at base a counter-Divine Will declatory symbol and a strategy. And we've been seeing [pap] everywhere recently, from Babylonianand organized crime-affiliated w bcom cs accompanied by a quasi-[one-ey d Osires reference, visually-explicit content advisory there, to all the recent international hype about 'Wacked' whose main sang is of course 'Papular'. Indeed the international media have managed to strum up public debate about whether it's acceptable practice to balt out the tanes in the theaters yourself. to the frustration of the other attendees, in what's a rather plain [flaxbl ght] reference. But that's the quasi-organization for you, always subverting the public away from their foundational principles about how God-given rights work and

making value-reducing be havior a matter of unfounded 'personal opinion' to rationalize counter-Divine Will 's ckage'. Incidentally, the hype about 'W cked' does appear to finally give a sense of what [Is s] has been on about with her trend of deploying the "tw wmen" symbolic trope, but we'll get into that as we continue. As for [pregnancy], the media has tretted out something happening in Nageria, a [sc m] or [tr ck] regarding fra dulent pr gnancies. Essentially the would-be mether is sold an unlabelled bag of phermaceuticals for about two handred dollars that's supposed to make her able to become pragnant. She's eventually 'put under' and 'gives birth' to what is in fact someone elses' kan apped newborn, and wakes up tald that she delivered it, or with scars from what's ostensibly been a Caesarian section. Translation, accounting for 'inversions': 'Let's collectively defraud Him by implying that no, you're not under surveillance, everything's fine! Until He either scraws up or we once again fra dulently claim that He has, and then we've got Him!' So yes, these people are manifestly frances, but given that they're on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis we already knew that. And it has everything to do with not particularly what the stereotypical organized crime franchises are doing, but instead with what [Is s] is doing. Her whole 'team [Is s] getting 'team [Osir s]' antics. The usual counter-Divine Will-predicated rationalizations for more 's ckage' and violations of Divinely-conferred rights, this time given a permutation by including the comparatively 'lower level' organized crime franchise personnel in on the ct. Hence the "two wemen" motif; two supposedly 'anti-Establishment' non-overt sub-divesions, [Ises] and organized crime, inter-op rating and much of this year has been non-overtly organizing a 'public tutorial mode' for the newer personnel in the organized crime franchise about the nature of the strategy, what's involved, and how to do it. All very informative.

# 'Sink or swam'

In late N vember we got this. [audio], [video] An 'important' [sink][h le] that'd been found in China, now being opened to "adventure [to r]ism". That last is another [k tsune] and [trav l] symbol. The [sink] would of course be a [down] reference, the [h le] a [z ro] derivative. Given that it's Br tish media they're in 'inverted mode', and [Is s] would add another 'inversion'. So where [down] would usually mean 'demote or deprecate this', it'd instead be 'promote this

cause or effort'. So we've got another '[r ng] toss' sort of messaging. Didn't we just encounter the same organizing symbolism recently? Sure, in 'Arch r' when they explored a [Mexican] [C note]. [wiki], [torr nt] And of course, [arch ry]'s associated with [the M n] and the [h nting] done by [Di na] and [Art nis].

In this news piece, self-evidently done in "[Chaes] mode", we get something that's particularly interesting:

"Brather Fe tells me he used to think the [sink][hales] were [halented] by [ghasts] and [demans]. [Now] he knows they're [falled] with environmental [treasures]."

And there's the [rang] they're [tossing].

[Now] is a symbol I've noticed is used, particularly by 'their' financialist [mezzan ne] crew, to reference just the opposite: 'plans we''re organizing for the fut re.'

Notice that in the title given to the video instance of the piece, they'd made sure to include [wond\_r]. That's just a non-overt synonym for their [myst\_ry] symbol. It's a pretty small conceptual neighborhood once you get to know it.

'Welcome to Naght Vale' put out similar messaging practically simultaneously. As in, 'What is a [tell phone]?', which amounts to much the same thing. This is due to the symbol being used by some franchises to reference the 'telepath and prophecier' 'ps onic' capabilities used by [Is s]. Readers will notice in this 'Nat Vale' deployment that while it's ostensibly 'about' merely explaining what a [tel phone] is, the subtext implies that what he's actually referencing has more to do with [sex]. Which'd be the Babylonian [male]-inside-[female] 'joining the quasi-organization' and [Baral-Hadard] [the San]-in-the-wamb-of-[the crescant Man bit. Another [Is s] attempt to [surround] and [enclose] Me in a [rung], if only ideologically. "Sorc ry!" And hardly in keeping with either Divine Will or their own True Nature, so just more of the same 'of course'. But we didn't have to parse all that to know it; while they're still using counter-Divine Will declarators we knew it already, and certainly while this manifest timel ne abundantly dem nstrates that they've been systematically on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis throughout. And from a manifest basis like that, in which they're by definition predicated on being untrustworthy and unreliable, how

could I ever accept them at their implied word? I'm unable to do so, as Divine Will and True Nature alignment are the vital primaries and the prerequisite for anything else that'd follow on. So much for "toking Me for a [for I ]".

The n ws piece also illustrates another pattern I've been encountering [Is s] casually throwing out recently: a [tre sure] [h nt], [b ried] [tre sure], etc..

Seems to be something of a 'do ble meaning' implied there. In one sense, [Is s] is promoting the idea that she's been trying to 'sell' the counter-Divine Will-predicated personnel, or rather the other counter-Divine Will-predicated personnel, on the idea of h nting for ever-more-elaborate and subtle non-overt [cluss] in order to get at her intended meaning, and that this will ostensibly successfully "put them back [into a container] where we can get them under control again so you can do your Divine Will-aligned Authority thing". Except that this is about as trustworthy as anything else she's come out with. The other implied meaning has to do with her promoting a cause or effort to present things, information, etc. of supposed value, and thereby get Me to accept them and thus either gain ideological control over Me or get Me to 'sell out' to a counter-Divine Will basis in accepting them for value.

And this does seem to be the nature of all those instances of [ wl] symbols that we'd been encountering, associated with [Ath na]. That is, non-overt warfare via wisdem and cunning. A wer would just be a controlled situation purpose-built to symbolically [k | | | | people, like the [h | ntress] that she manifestly is, and the [wisdem] would instead appear to invert to that [felly], 'Just kedding!' symbolic trope so redolent of [Is s]. So it's nice to have confirmation that she's given a declaration of war against Myself and Divine Will, not that it wasn't already selfevident by her manifest actions throughout. And despite her Judas-typology methods of be traying with a kess, all while seemingly lauding Me and supporting My Cause and Effort for Divine Will. The manifest usual antics, to their chagrin. But from a Divine Will context all a literal war is is Lawlessness, which fancies that there's no Divine Ultimate Authority to dispense Justice. Or at least prefers for logistics reasons to redirect attention away from that humble fact. We'd therefore appear to have recognition of the same manifest thing here: that the quasiorganization is on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis which is illegitimate, and just continues its crime spree. All the varied implementations of same merely compound their manifest liability for it, and of course intensify the manifest disharm ny between My position and their own. I admit I'm not quite

getting how that in actual fact aids them any, but then it's not Me who routinely declares themselves [the feet] here. Figuratively and symbolically, "It's their feneral." Which appears to be the most interesting thing about her penchant for [hanting]; in investing all this time and effort into the practice, ignoring Divine Will priorities in preference for it, and Lawlessly violating Divinely-conferred rights and their own True Nature in the process, she and the rank and file personnel implementing her various agenda items are instead manifestly and self-evidently Choosing [de th] for themselves. It's a great pity, and yet I can't make their Choices for them. They continue to manifestly Choose their own eternal nonexistence, and they'll get it at this rate. There's nothing I could do to them that'd be any worse nor more severe than that, nor is there any need for Me to. On the basis they're manifestly on, 'they'll tacker themselves out eventually' and the results are guaranteed. In the scape of etarnity all this is just a momentary inconvenience after which they'll be replaced, succeeded, by those who are Divine Will-aligned. Per the Biblical model that's much of the purpose of mankind's Creation: to restack the numbers in the aftermath of the defection in Heaven. In evalutionary terms, the Divine Will-aligned are 'the next iteration' and these guys, by their own manifest Choice, are the obsolete throwbacks. Seems a pretty sally Choice to Me, but there it is.

#### "[Ech es] of [Wisd m]"

Continuing with our investigation into [Isss]' application of the [wisdsm] symbol, Nintsmod released the next in their 'Legsmod of Zelda' franchise late this year. But what's this? Where are the guys? The [ksmg] and [Lsmk] are gone, along with a lot of other people. [Jsged lsme] [crscks] have formed [on the ground], [rsts] or [gsps] which just removed them. Now it's just [Prsncess] Zelda who's become the main character, with some [fasty], [ghst], [spirst] critter as her support. And she's got a [magsckal] [ststff], thus indicating "[Chasts] mode" via the combsnation of overt [male] and non-overt [fssmale] symbolism. Of course she's a she, and therefore her [ststff] is just comprised of [wssyssmolism]. Appropriately enough, the game's logo presents it at a [fsststellar] symbolizing a counter-Divine Will basis. 'Yep, that's our [Isss]!' She uses this [stststff] for a gameplay innovation in which she produces "[echss]", csspies of existing items used to solve pszles.

That got My attention. [Is s] has long used 'c llbacks', symbolic references whose implications were presumably familiar only to Myself and of course to them, for decades now. The logistical advantage of this is that it enables a means of non-overt communication which is easily recognizable to those 'in the know', and not easy to parse for those unfamiliar with the associated context. 'In-i kes', sort of. And once I'd gained familiarity with the Babylonian Kabbalist 'lingo' and the inclusion of the Greek empire and its mythology in their sequence of usurpation, a bit of examining the latter presented the myth of [Ech ] as a very apt summation of the method. In fact it's associated with [Narc ssus], the guy who [full in lave] with his own [reflection]. That'd be [Gemuni] and [twon] in the Babylonian, and a [ketsune] derivative symbol via [twen] in the [Asian] dialect of it. It'd also be a counter-Divine Will declarator symbol via the [reflection] and its tendency to present 'reversed' or 'backwerds'. So its deployment would be a means for [Is s] to figuratively 't lk out of both sides of her mouth', all while remaining very plainly on a counter-Divine Will basis. Not that it was plain to Me due to the lack of symbolism familiarity when she'd approached Me, so that seems a little unfair. In fact much of the supposed value in the [ech ] method had been to establish a means of communication which enabled us to bypass all those 'lay rs' of organizing symbolism and just get right to the pount. Except that it's as trustworthy a basis as anything proffered on a counter-Divine Will basis, which is to say not at all. I gave plenty of literal patience and grace, attempting to infer the best of motives from her as Choosing a Divine Will and True Naturealigned basis only made sense. It would be completely and patently [absurd] for her to Choose otherwise, and indeed it is. So I gave that literal patience, grace and 'due diligence' until it became overwhelmingly obvious that she was just Choosing a counter-Divine Will basis, and her stary fall apart entirely. Alibi gone.

Given that we know her context here is that of a counter-Divine Will basis, let's consider those [j gged l nes] [on the ground] again. Typically they'd be symbolic of [Ze s] and [Jup ter], via [l ghtning]. And we know that [l ghtning] is a major counter-Divine Will declarator symbol. Therefore we can see that when they give supposed respect to [Ze s], [Jup ter], [Osir s] etc., what they're actually honoring instead is a counter-Divine Will basis and he's just the public f gurehe d. And in this media piece, we can see that the support of that counter-Divine Will basis for the incumbent had apparently been withdrawn. 'Sleazy come, sleazy g '' 'Next!' It certainly seems to corroborate the w rking theory that the office is essentially only there as a means to get Divine Will-

aligned melcontents under control and onto a counter-Divine Will basis, after which they're deemed completely expendable. As expendable as the quasi-organization deems *anyone* on such a basis to be.

I've so for refrained from providing the exact definitions of [Is s]' various [ech s] instances she's established with Me, as a matter of 'professional courtesy' and 'benefit of the doubt'. As this continues that 'doubt' is all but gone now, and it's become self-evident that she's in a 'profession' which is the diametric opposite of Mine. Indeed, appears to systematically and deliberately sabatage My interests and violate My Divinely-conferred rights on a consistent basis; the only variation has been in the intensity, as she flectuates it. If this continues I'll likely publish the codewards and their definitions; with credibility gone their only use appears to be as evidence to corroborate My testimony. And it's worth noticing that all that establishing of recognized 'cellbacks' to things I'd been encountering has been furnished to her via her telepathic encroachment, etc., which is the product of violations of My Divinely-conferred rights and thus the result of the counter-Divine Will-aligned basis she's manifestly been Choosing. Thus, how much can I reliably glean from messaging on such a basis? It appears to be on a counter-Divine Will basis, with merely apparently-important but very much irrelevant and untrustworthy additional connotations 'lay red' up on top of it. Which is just plain nasty, and Lawlessness as well. I'd deferred judgement due to the likelihood of 'dur'ss' on her part; necessity excuses quite a large number of things. But it's quite verifiably not there, and right along with it evap rates the grace. But not the liability, which has been compounding all the while.

## [Sat llite] [myst ry]

My, but we do seem to be encountering quite a lot of [wond rs] and [myst ries] in the news recently. 'Nobody knows why' this old deprecated [sat lite] isn't where it's supposed to be. There are supposedly a few "[gravity] [w lls]" involved, which'd be [down] plus [z ro] plus [wat r] again. Symbolically, what's a [sat lite]? A piece of [t chnology] which orb ts a plan t. What's the most well-known [sat lite]? Why, that'd have to be [the M n] of course. All this gets pretty tr vial after a while, doesn't it? Which is especially appropriate given that [Tr vial is another epithet for [Di na], [Art mis] and [Hec te]. You know, 'stuff that's inconsequential, minor, of little to no importance'. 'Just k dding! Just

ignore this!' That appears to be the consistent strategy of [the F l] with [Is s], but of course it only succeeds when it doesn't come at the cost of transgressing against Divine Will, Divinely-conferred rights, vital Divine Will-oriented prioritization, and indeed legitimate Divine Will-aligned Authority. By now of course she's manifestly 'spent that nick l'. And she's "made a [n me]" for herself. And as she's by definition the most 'in the know' of the quasi-organizational subdiv sions, that doesn't particularly recommend it as a whole.

So what have we got here? With the 'inversions' figured in, we'd instead have [the Mann], [Dinna], [Artamis], [Isnas], exactly where you'd expect her to be. 'Nothing surprassing here, nope! Not at all! Everything's fine!' Meanwhile the manifest situation abundantly gains by that.

This piece should likely be regarded in association with this, in which the occupied media hyped that [the Manna got a secondary, minor [two] briefly.

And with this be zzare and symbol-laden 'George Decide'. 'Calm down, [the Menn].' [One-eyed], so it's relevant to [Hores] and [Osires] along with [Ises]. [Bleck] and [whete], so relevant to their 'heroes and villains' routine. And of course [ketsune] and the associated 'treckery' and attempts to prevaricate about whether or not they're on a Divine Will-aligned basis. And with, ah yes, [Hecete]. That is after all what [derkness] plus [the Menn] symbolically reference. [Ises] in her derk aspect.

#### 'The Lady Varnishes'

So get a load of this, while we're on about [Is s]' recent 'tr cksy' efforts.

Remember that she often tends to 'invert' the 'just for show', 'just for public effect!' organizing symbols to read as 'what we're actually in fact up to'? For that and multiple lower-'lay r' organizing symbolism reasons, I've noticed her using the concept of 'stage mag c' and 'pr stidigitation' and the like as one of her preferred 'tr cksy' symbolic declarators. And as we've seen, [z ro] to reference actual, sincere efforts to do a "[r ng] [toss]".

So there was this ledy right, who gained entry into the prestigious '[Magec] [Cercle]' before they'd allowed we men into it. She did it by pretending she was a

man. Then later when they'd revised their admittance policy, she came out and confessed to them that 'Guess what? I've been a war man all this time! Voila! What a great ill sion, right?' And they promptly banned her. She was publicly seen a few more times, but then actually, real-life 'van shed'. And supposedly the naws cycles hyping all this were doing it to request that she make contact, because nobody'd seen her recently. Except of course for the fact that if the actual person involved had wanted to make herself known, she would've been able to do so all this time. So it's more organizing symbolism. [Is s] attempting to do 'a disappe ring ct'.

Because now she's evidently misspent the year non-overtly announcing herself and her nature to the rank and file personnel, giving a sort of 'collective personnel tutorial' while still trying to keep Me from noticing what she's actually up to, and from recognizing that she manifestly remains on a counter-Divine Will basis. She was like, 'Don't worry, everyone! I'm on a counter-Divine Will basis just like you guys! My jab is to keep guys like Him under control and onto a counter-Divine Will basis! And as part of that, I control [Osir s], he's just a fagurehead' etc. etc. And now that that 'tutorial mode' has been accomplished, at tremendous expense to the rights and lives of thousands of people, in order for her to accomplish her task effectively she needs to 'fade into the background' and get into 'alright, nobody notice me now!' mode. 'Who, me? Why, I'm just a humble functionary of [Osires]! I only get active when he's not on a legit, Divine Willaligned basis, supposedly!' Meanwhile she's continuously strategizing to get him onto a counter-Divine Will basis, sab taging his efforts personally and professionally, while placating him and making sure he 'lives the high life' so he's unsuspecting until he's 'sold out' and it's much too late. Repet t as necessary.

Keeping with that "[tre sure] [hant]" motif, the whole naws item appears to be a somewhat oblaque reference to this, a Hatchcack mayie that's supposedly among the top Bratish mayies of all time. Al...right. Hadn't been familiar with it, but obviously had to view it for Wark purposes. Done in 'inverted mode' as you'd expact, and all about a lady who vanishes on a [lacomotave]. Wanders never cease. Includes an emphasis on [stage] [magac], [daves] symbolically referencing [Aphrodate], has a bunch of people swearing for various reasons that the lady who'd disappeared had never actually been there in the first place, and of course we get an emphasis on [katsune] organizing symbolism via [spias] and [espianage]. We also get an important moment when the counter-Divine Will

symbol [te], which is [f] re] plus [food] plus [dr] nk], appears when the pack ging for the specific br nd of te the l dy had brought on the [tra] n] and been used up and discarded, gets [b] wn] onto the outside of the [wind] w], confirming the whole thing and proving that she'd actually been there, and the part w man who'd remembered her wasn't just completely d lusional.

So another of those 'he d trappy' mavies, not entirely unlike our 'he d trappy' real-life.

Incidentally, it's looking like the [lacomotive] symbol, with an additional [fare] symbol inherent, could be just a modernized version of the ancient [crassroads] symbol associated with [Hecate], etc.. You know, multiple branching options of [travall], etc.. And given that, it'd certainly account for why her "[Chats] mode" has a counter-Divine Will declarator symbol inherent in it.

It also makes this a bit more interesting. Promptly for organizing symbolism purposes presumably, on the first of Nov mber the [r f] of a Serbian [tra n] station [coll psed]. 'Gosh!' I was thinking when I'd heard it reported on the international n ws. 'The r f of a tra n station! And there were people under it! That must have been a huge, grandiose coll pse!' Nope. As the sec nd l nk illustrates, it was just some bit of solid awning stuff on the outside of the building. Hardly worthy of international coverage, except that it's for their organizing symbolism purposes of course. And I'd noticed that the whole thing appears to've been constructed not just of [concrete], which'd be another [wat r] plus [earth] "[Cha s] mode" bit of symbolism, but also of diag nall nes. So, even more counter-Divine Will declarator symbolism. And what do you get when a bunch of now-solid material drops from being suspended up in the air? The downward percussion which is a symbolic derivative of [leghtning], of course. And, peripherally, of [Ze s] etc. I suppose. More [de th] then, and even more n ws of the overt 's ckage' which a counter-Divine Will basis always represents.

You know it's curious. All this time manifestly wasted, and plenty of reasons given for why they just can't manage to get onto a legitimate Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis. And yet degree y in and degree out, routinely, casually even, the new waste with this counter-Divine Will declarator stuff on an entirely systematic basis without the slightest problem doing so. And then they just blithely continue, as though they hadn't just committed the biggest faux

pas in Creation. And as though they hadn't lost all credibility, trust and respect for doing so. It's just like the overt politics. The majority of people must absolutely leve the political cerruption, injustice and oppression under which they live, because they just blithely continue to support and perpetuate it.

D'you know, I'd even had the Bratish international naws media make a small nonovert aside to Me about the basis they're on. They made a poant to natter on
about how, 'Look, we know this is upsatting you. Perhaps you'd like to listen to
our 'Happy Naws!' padcast instead. Because all this is just... the naws! It's the
major happenings out there in the warld from day to day! Don't look at us, we
can't halp that it's pretty much all either awful or hideously inconsequential!' To
which I'd immediately sub-vocalized back to [Isas], 'Don't even try it. It's all
awful because you guys are still Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True
Nature basis, and these reporters and anchars are 'in the know' enough to be full
well aware of the nature of the system into which they're giving their routine
support. This specific reporter maght not have directly bambed that building
over there but it's all apiece. What does it matter if they're a prominent executive
or politician, a media non-overt spakesperson or an underling? All same. All on a
counter-Divine Will basis. Same group crime spree.'

# 'Marror, marror, off the wall'

Mid- to late this year more semi-direct [Is s] signaling began showing up in the retro content I happened to be encountering personally, and I've got a Duty to present it openly as evidence.

Seasons are big when you're living rural, and I'd been looking for something that was both overtly appropriate for aut mn and non-overtly consistent with the situation with regard to basic organizing symbolism and the various 'lay rs' of it. Thinking around, we've got [Is s] manifestly on an invalid basis and pretty blatantly counter-Divine Will, so most appropriate would probably be a symbolic combination of [d rkness] plus [w man]. Hmm, something g thy perhaps? Maybe a g thy f male? Considering the imminent Biblical Judgement and how she and the rest of the quasi-organization are manifestly continuing to Choose their own non-existence, something involving [de th] would be pretty appropriate too. Major g thy w men perf rmers with de th references, that's

got to be 'Sio xsie and the B nshees'. Rem xes of her major pieces, anything most relevant? Sure, how about 'C ties in D st' given the rate at which Babylon is coll psing and jettisoning integrity as it does so. Relevant enough to be a personal mnemonic to listen to while I contemplate all of this. Fl pping through various rem xes on YouT be and this appeared. Cool, looks like an authentic image she did and someone added the [b by] as their own logo later on. No problems there I guess. Didn't particularly mean much to Me when I'd encountered it, just the usual authentic organizing symbolism to accompany her content.

Then later I'd been doing more of the same, contemplating what a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis [Is s] is on, full of he rtlessness, arrogance and lack of compassion and emp thy. Also the counter-Divine Will nature of the 'ps onics' she uses. What'd that even look like when presented in media references for personal mnemonics? And I remembered a media figure I'd become familiar with back when I was getting into E ghties stuff and before I'd known that their occult m jo is predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis, and had inferred that it was provided by Divine Will. So I'd been into Annie Lenn x' stuff for a while, but now how t cky and he rtless it looked to Me! Suppose I could repurpose it as a personal mnemonic for that, pretty apt in fact.

Her '[Little] [B rd]' appears particularly appropriate. We've got [Is s]' [b rd] symbolism referencing a campaign to tempt onto a counter-Divine Will basis, and between the [flashing] [laghts] and her extreme [black]-and-[whate] look there's definitely an prominent emphasis on [katsune] organizing symbolism. Between the [famale] and the [staff] there's the [male]-[famale] "[Chaas] mode" declared, and she's using the [staff] like a [walking] [staff] making for the [traval] symbol. Viewers are treated to what amounts to a sort of compilation video, with characters presenting on-stage referencing her various other mustic videos, all preseded over by 'the real Annie Lennax'. They make their impression, strat their stuff, and as the vid continues they mag for the attention of the camera with increasing intensity until the whole thing breaks out into utter challs. A particularly apt overt visual metaphor for the manifest state of [Is s] and of the quasi-organization as a whole. And that's the thing about the media and media personalities; they desperately need attention and buy-in or they'll rapidly lose relevance and soon find themselves defunct. It's always like that with ill sions without substance. What figurative phant sms those on a counter-Divine Will

basis have become. And just like in the video, [Is s] tries this, tries that, tries yet another substanceless ill sion, each competing with the others for viability, but of course without alignment with Divine Will and True Nature it's all just substanceless and compounding the Choice for et rnal non-existence, with everintensifying desperation. [Beh nd] them all of course is [Is s], in her [k tsune] appearance. She appears to 'lose control over the situation', but the unruly bevy of performers are all just [m sks] of herself and cannot exist without her support. The overtly chall tic situation is the product of her own manifest Choices. And the whole thing relies on My 'buy-in' to have any effect, and on the continued grace of Divine Will Itself. When that's withdrawn, she and the rest of them have got nothing, [z ro]. And effects always cease once the cause subsidizing them is withdrawn.

I kept flecking through her videos and encountered this. We rd, there it is again. The vid features her fancying herself in the [merror], a classic symbol for the abilities of the telepaths and propheciers via the traditional practice of [screing] into them. And a rather counter-Divine Will declaring symbol too, given the [fere] from which [gless] is made. She uses the [merror] to [dooble] herself on-screen, and spends much of it finding methods to meg for the camera to maximal effect. We get an interesting moment where she's exploring the options and finds she can breather out on the thing and dress symbols onto it by wiping away the condensation. Keeping with the overt them of the piece, she opts to dress a dollar sign. This gets interesting when regarded in [Asian] symbolism, because the [ketsune] symbol would typically 'invert' it to signal 'debt' or 'liability'. This gets corroborated when regarded through more Western dialects, because to 'exhete' is to 'experc' is to [dee]. Just like 'inspiration' refers to the act of 'breathing in' which references [life]. 'Inspiration', 'expercation'. A [life] or [deete] the Choice here indeed.

'So that's mildly interesting,' I thought to Myself. Two instances of the same thing, apparently retro-forted into the media I'd been watching, all within about a month or so My time. Should I wrote it into a public exposure piece? Ehh, maybe. It's just a [Gemoni] reference on a counter-Divine Will basis, which is pretty much business as usual. Of course the [kotsune] symbolism via [two is supposed to be interpreted by Myself as meaning that instead, it's the counter-Divine Will basis that's the illowing and she's actively striving to cancel it due to the problems it's been manifestly causing. Except of course that such messaging

would be inherently unreliable due to the fact that she and the quasi-organization remain on such a basis, even that bit of messaging does, and not only do they plainly declare it their whole manifest organizational history her ld it before them more clearly than any use of symbolism could ever do. Tho sands of years of it, and of course all throughout My life directly too as they've been violating My Divinely-conferred rights, sab tage My personal, professional and interpersonal efforts and interests and so on. It couldn't get much clearer.

Then I'd encountered something on My social media feed that was on about a classic old felm, 'The rty-S x Hours'. It was another 'he d treppy' piece about an American Warld War Twa saldier who gets abducted by the enamy and brought to a micro- and completely bogus 'American medical care and recuperation' community and convinced he's been in a cama and while he was out, the War had ended with the Allies vectorious. The objective of course being to try to extract the vital military information that he knows, and that the entry wants to know. Except he catches on. After looking up the synopsis both the implications and the overt content with regard to their 'Shaft Back to the Right' stuff looked likely to be relevant, so I was like, 'Yeah, I'll watch this. Duty to investigate.' In it we get to see the parts of the scheme that the protagonist doesn't; the conspiring and organizing for the scheme, the ball noting of the need to ensure personnel loyalties versus the need to present a convincing perfermance. And we get a [wman] who's an all-too-convenient personnel asset who secretly defects as a cheap plat devace. Named [Anna] incidentally, which is of course a [pal ndrome]. You know, the basis counter-Divine Will-predicated types use when they want to seem like they're 'actually on your side!' but effectively by definition aren't. Indeed it's their refusal to get onto a legitimate Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis which is the only 'tell' you actually need. Semplifies things immensely.

And then there's this. 'Alright, three times is a pattern and defies statistic probability greatly. Particularly all within about a menth or so. It's general into My next piece. Guess I should wrete one.'

Eventually when the overt [s]election results were being announced I'd been considering what an [absurd] situation they'd made for themselves. She's been representing [Is s] as a public placeh lder, and they got the [or nge] guy in instead, ostensibly representing [Osir s]. Er, alright. How were they planning on

stage-managing that one? They commit to installing him, I'm still having per Duty to Divine Will to reject the office of [Osires] Myself as things are, and [Ises] is trying to do her 'van shing ct' and have 'team [Osir s]' claim the public focus. Their fundamental errors appear to be putting themselves in yet another publicly awkward situation in rather short order. And it was as I'd been contemplating that farce that, inadvertently, I'd free-associated to a moment of media I'd encountered somewhere or other through the decades, scenes of one cer cresh causing another causing another and so on, all to some rather amusing circles or orch stral mustic. And it'd been so dissociate from the original media context that it took Me a bit to place it. Eventually found it of course; the 'getaw y' sc ne from 'B ralar'; Whoopi G ldberg again. I'd rewatched the sc ne, moderate content advisory incidentally, and there in the bethreem is yet another very conspicuous and emphasized 'I'm looking at the camera via the marror' placement. All the times I'd watched the piece I'd never much noticed that, because of course I hadn't. It wasn't especially relevant then. But obviously 'placed then for relevance now'. And apparently still relevant, meaning she continues to invest in the effort. And it's self-evident what effort that is. Remaining on a counter-Divine Will basis and trying to convince Me from it that she's either not on one, or alternately 'of no consequence'. Nope. Pass.

# 'Mike Tyson's Panch Outage'

Post-[s]election, we'd got the Tyson 'versus' Paul [f ght]. All manner of pre-hype for it, and 'N the x' decided it would present internal streming of the whole thing free. So 'N the x' is a corporate project of the quasi-organization's stereotypical 'organized crime' franchise, which is why we've been continually getting so much hyperliberal ideological commercials and propeganda from it pack ged and sold as entertainment media. Great werk if you can get it, I suppose. They're sort of the current-era version of 'T&T', in that they're a [corporation] which is a counter-Divine Will declarator, and specifically affiliated with organized crime, plenty of [Tiamet] references via all the were and streeming. We've already seen how the quasi-organization uses the [telephone] symbol to reference the 'pseonic' capabilities of [Ises], and there's always been a lot of overlep there. Personnel having 'dual citizenship' in both the organized crime and the [Ises] franchise simultaneously. And as supposed 'anti-Establishment' sub-diversions they have similar reles and purposes. In fact it

would seem to be an example of [recursion], in which the same problems at what's ostensibly 'the higher level' are just plain reperted at the 'lower'. That's not supposed to happen. At any rate they're both also on a counter-Divine Will basis, and we'll encounter more of their recent mutual interaction in a bit when we get into the recent [s]election and what the quasi-organization was pulling there.

In any event loads of people were apparently eager to see the Enghties fighter come back and against a new-gen YouThe performer. As per usual with counter-Divine Will-predicated antics, they were greatly disappointed. But not only were viewers riging at the lagging and glitching, the slow loading and the buffering, it appears that this was non-overtly exactly what had been intended. Notice the use of the [jike] symbol in the legacy media headline of that last link.

Alright, so what's gaing on here? We've got stereotypical organized crime warking with [Isas]. We've got humaliation and embarrassment. We've got 'manly men doing manly things' in a [sparting] event inside a [square] which symbolically represents the supposed authority of their [Osires]-[Zees]-whatever. And we've got a [faght], which is a [death] symbol, and with the vialence inherent in the basic concept we've got implications of their controlled 'resistance' faction. In short, we've got organizing of [Isas] routine sabataging and interfering with My interpersonal dalliances, which are usually arranged via non-overt organizing symbolism, and she's tell graphing it all to the personnel of the organized crime franchise like a public tutorial of 'how to participate in scr wing up My life'. After all, she's on a counter-Divine Will basis, and they're on a counter-Divine Will basis. Just a matter of metriculating the newer personnel at 'the lower lay rs' into how to do the same awful stuff they already do, but on the Babylonian 'layr' instead. And all, supposedly, as part of the effort to try to get people in whom I'm genuinely interested on a romuntic and interpersonal basis to 'play spills' and try to tempt Me into counter-Divine Will-predicated 'resistance' effort with them, all so they can report Me for it. As usual, a crime spree which in violating Divinely-conferred rights makes a scandal and a solemn mockery of justice with the pretense at it. Aand this is why you don't have 'justice' implemented by those on an untrustworthy, unjust, unreliable counter-Divine Will basis. If nothing else it's a massive liability individually, collectively and corporately, and the quasi-organizational instability which results is a major

security vulnerability due to what happens when personnel trust lapses and people start legitimately defecting from it *en masse*.

As if to confirm this read for even the most uncertain among the new recruits, we also got this. The streming froze for quite a while on an image of Tyson in the locker remain minimum with his [posterior] honging out. So a reference to counter-Divine Will-predicated disrespect and international public humiliation via privacy encroachments, same as with the usual telepath-and-prophecier capabilities misused by [Is s] against Me in what was always by rights meant to be My private life. And between Tyson and Paul, we get the [block] and [who te] of a [kontent to be My private life. In the promotional poster. We also get Paul giving the [colors] of their gloves in the promotional poster. We also get Paul giving the [one for given up to his loss] symbolic gesture for both the [ob lisk] symbolizing the naughty bits of [Osir s], and the understand gesture for [secrocy]. All the more amusing given that their 'beeg seekrit!' is out already. What's more, what's the colloquial term for when someones' bare posterior is honging out in public? That's right, a [Mon n]. In this instance a rather [dork] [Mon n] indeed, and we've already seen the organizing symbolism for that and how it amounts to [Hec te].

The whole farce was purpose-built with enough gimmicks and 'oopsies!' preinstalled to get the public tellking about it throughout social media, which is how
you cost-effectively propagate organizing symbolism anymore apparently.

'Neiflex' steck prices took a bit of a heat from it, but only very briefly, it could
afford it, and those 'in the know' surely knew where to put their money. So the
result was that a passive public got some destraction to tellk about, and the quasiorganization got to propagate organizing for its continued counter-Divine Willpredicated agenda items. But as an inadvertent metaphor for the position of the
quasi-organization as a whole, it does reference the fact that they've only
compounded their liability with this. And I'm, what, supposed to accept the office
of [Osires] after it all? Get serious.

But I guess symbolically it only proves what we've known on the basis of Divine Will principles throughout: [corporations] can't do [live].

Wait though, organized crime, [Is s] and a system-wide public outage involving a [b xing m tch]? That would appear to be a rather indirect reference to <u>'Oce</u> n's <u>El ven'</u>. And we all know the moral to that, don't we? In the context of the

Biblical Judgement it applies to the stereotypical 'organized crime' franchise just as much as it does to [Is s]. For their benefit I'll reiterate it here plainly:

'You of all people should know Terry that in your hat tel there's **always** Someone Watching.'

Takes on a whole new meaning doesn't it.

Bonus cred for readers if they can detect the symbolism commonality between Mr. Tyson and the apparent near-ass sination of Donald Trump before I get to it when I address the [s]election. It's a 'common knowledge' thing, too.

I'll do readers one better though. The same dety as the [feight], the United States Department of Defeinse's audit came out. And I should provide some context on it for readers unfamiliar.

Congress back in the Naneties started requiring the military to pass yearly audits for what's by now an eaght handred and twanty-four ballion dollar budget. It casually waited for dades to even make an attempt to. This year it failed its

7th audit in a raw. It has yet to pass even a single audit. It then had the audacity to claim that 'prograss is being made' to pass one in [2028]. The lapse of accountability for the quasi-organization's Knights of Malta-controlled agency is blatantly obvious, and a plain indication of its own misrule.

For its part Congress has apparently claimed that if the Department doesn't manage to pass one by [2028] it could have <u>'serious cons</u> <u>quences'</u>. Which aren't in fact all that severe; they 'm ght' include greater congressional oversight, reduced discretionary spending or stricter budgetary controls on def nse allocations. Each of those are mere basic common sense, while all the waiting in the meanwhile isn't.

It does get clearer however as we consider that, <u>'On at least one handred'</u>
twenty-five occasions, the pres<u>dent has acted [militarily]</u> without prior express
military authorization from Congress.' That's an overly-permissive Congress
which hasn't been doing its duty to its masters the People. This is because
they're in service to a foreign pewer, courtesy of the quasi-organization with
which they're systematically collusive. So the problem is from Babylon, caused by

it, and is just sympt matic of what it so routinely and casually does. The Congress isn't within the Constitution to continue to approve funding, the Pres dents aren't within the Constitution to give unlawful 'orders' for military action without prior explicit approval from Congress, the soldiers themselves have a duty in law to refuse to comply with unconstitutional orders, and all of this continues because the People, ideologically subverted by the quasi-organization, continue to lapse in their basic civic duty to retain control over their own errant public servants. There we have it; a 'closed system' 'elite' currently unaccountable in any earthly sense, paid and 'kept men' who comply with their directives regardless of the law, and a public majority supporting and blithely subsidizing it all. Just like in the non-overt realm. While the quasi-organization remains on their counter-Divine Will basis it's [absurd] to expect genuine and voluntary internal self-correction from them. That leaves only the People catching on and resuming their basic Duty to Divine Will and country, or direct Intervention from Divine Will.

Any guesses?

## [Is s] patterns

This is intended as a brief section to just do a basic rendown on [Ises] organizing symbolism. Not that she needs organizing symbolism herself, but it's evidently useful in co-ordinating with other sub-diversions.

The first is the non-overt trope of 'one degree of separation' from the actual symbols. Belongs right there with 'Didn't actually say it!', 'Just for funsies, pay no attention!' etc. For example she'll use [food] and [drank] symbols, which ordinarily mean 'something we're trying to get you to accept as true!', instead as 'what we're doing, whether you accept it or not!'. Or she'll use 'one degree off' symbols, like the nation [Hungary] when she means the actual symbol of [food]. I'd noticed this in 'Houses tter' for example, and couldn't make out what it was about but filed it for later. Especially after having encountered it from a few other relevant media pieces. Or for example when she wants to throw an [Aphrodate] reference via [France], 'the [caty] of lave, romance and the arts!', she'll often instead reference the nation of [Balgium] whose people are routinely mistaken for being Franch. As in the old Poirat trope. And for afficionados of 'The Hatchhaker's Guide' series, now you know why 'Balgium' was referenced as the most heinous cussward, to be reserved for only the most drastic of circumstances.

organization for you: whoever can manage to get away with whatever they like, that's the recognized authority figure regardless of pretances. How utterly Lawless.

Consistent with that 'one degree of sep ration' motif, she'll often and usually, but not always, use [down] to mean 'promote this cause or effort', and [up] to mean 'deprecate this'. But of course any rule so 'hard and fast' would be unacceptable for her; I've seen her use a [hand] for example to mean [up], particularly when she means to harmanize her agenda with that of [Osiras], and this appears to be partly due to the organizing symbolism used by 'lower layar' franchises such as with the controlled-opposition 'resastance' franchise or the stereotypical 'organized crime' franchise, in which a [misdiraction] symbol is implied by [hand], usually via the concept of [stage magaic]. Incidentally, I find it rather appropriate that in My own native symbolism the concept of [thrand], [magaick], [stage magaic], etc. all have to do with the will of the human [ego] as contrasted with Divine Will, and thus represent an error or penalty symbol. It has therefore been amusing to watch her use [stage magaic] so very casually.

We even got some media instances of that [stage magac] this year to organize [Is s]' antics. At the end of May, [twon]-and-[katsune] media placehalder 'Eminam', he of the [corporation] product stagen me, released 'Houd ni' in which he [twonned] himself yet again. Extreme content advisory of course, for obvious reasons. And it included a few rather symbolically interesting references appropriate to [Is s], along with a major mus cal component from the E ghties which had to've been designed to catch My attention. Specifically, 'Abrac dabra' by Steve Maller. Now of symbolic interest, the surname 'Maller' derives from the profession of [mell]ing. And the prominent symbolic feature of that is a giant, heavy stone [carcle] that's [rotated]. And which ultimately [grands] products into a fine [part culate]. An 'effort in process' symbol to [encorpose], resulting in an integrity lapse. Just so. On the whole the piece looks like non-overt preorganization for [Is s]' use of the year to non-overtly provide an 'introd ction' and 'tutorial mode' for the rank and file personnel unfamiliar with her purpose, all while simultaneously implying to Me that it was all to the purpose of getting those rank and file personnel under control in order to inaugurate Me into the position of [Osires]. Also interestingly, for all its usage in modern [stage magec] 'Abrac dabra' has genuine occult derivation of which any occultist is naturally going to be aware; it literally means 'I manifest as I speak', and there's an old

implementation of it as a *supposed 'ban* shing r tual' in which the letters are removed until there's nothing left of the word. That's got to be among the most appropriate concepts with regard to the manifest situation with the quasi-organization in the context of Divine Will that I've encountered recently, and it certainly applies to [Is s] just as with the various other sub-divesions of it. Moreso, given that she's by definition full well knowing of the counter-Divine Will nature of her *schemes* and can hardly credibly profess ignorance about it.

We encountered another [stage magac] instance again in late Octaber when Tom Cardy put out his music vadeo '3 Hours with a Close-Up Magacian', designed to pre-organize [Is s]' 'van shing ct' when her 'tutorial mode' would lapse, she'd do her 'quick fade', and attempt to make it look like [Osiras] was 'in command' and that personnel loyalties weren't primarily to a counter-Divine Will basis, then secondarily to her, and after that only for pretense to [Osires] in order to accomplish their counter-Divine Will-predicated agenda items. You can easily see him deliberately emphasizing the supposed disaffection of the audience with a [stage magacian] as the result of his needlessly-prolonged tedium. And basically, that's the 'misdir ction' the quasi-organization is deploying via this piece. Except of course that [Is s] appears to have incorrectly presumed that it was only her sub-divesion which'd be discredited by all the misprioritization, rather than 'team' [Osir s]', the rank and file personnel, and the quasi-organization as a whole for reason of it being manifestly predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis, as the overabundance of overt evidence makes all too plain. That's nobody's True Nature of course, but despite [Is s]' claims that isn't an alibi excusing it all. It's just a very great pity, and confirmation of severe and thoroughly systematic manifest Lawlessness. 'Oops!'

Let's continue assessing [Is s]-oriented symbolism in general. Also consistent with her 'one degree of sep ration' motif, we get the usual deployment of [Fid s] symbolism, typically via a [handsh ke], figuratively 'with a twost' applied. For example, in the library scane in this 'Quant m Le p' episode at about saxteen minutes and farty-on seconds in. The characters are about to do a [handsh ke], and then clumsiness knocks the backs off the dask onto the flater as they reach out. Or we're reminded of the car salesman moment with Barnie Mac in 'Ocean's Elaven' for example, which converts into an extartionately crashing grap. Of course that particular motif is commonplace for both organized crime and the 'resastance' franchises' organizing symbolism, even without [Is s]'

involvement. Just some overlop there, apparently.

Speaking of [grap]s, we've been seeing throughout how she uses [carcles], [sphares] and other [zaro] symbolic references to mean 'what she's actually sincerely up to', or at least tends to.

I've also figuratively already covered the [beer] symbol, but I did find that [the Marn] idol [Artemis] was literally named after 'arktes', the Greek for 'beer'. Symbolically then, they're synonyms as used by the quasi-organization at least. Probably apt given that the literal [beer] tends to spend long pariods for much of its life inactive and out of sight, hibernating. That 'inconstancy' appears to be the matic for her.

As we're on animal symbolism, this appears to be why the [beby] [heppo] 'Mee Deng' has been getting so much hype. The dynastic Egeptians were big into how protectively mether happos defend their young, and incorporated that into their mythology and symbolism. [Is s] would of course be 'the mather', with [Horss] 'the child'. Not that there wasn't a specific idol associated with [happos] in Egliptian mythology, or indeed a few. But interestingly, and more appropriately, due to their villence the [happo] was also a symbol associated with [Sat], who eventually became their culture's counter-Divine Will placeholder idol. So we appear to have an allusion to [Is s] in her more blatantly counter-Divine Will aspect there. Also of course, the symbolism of [generations], [chaldren], [prog ny], etc. can sometimes be a reference to implementing something from a 'parent group' but on a 'lower level'; for example, it would appear to be perfectly apt to reference a sort of 'mini-[Is s]' being implemented within a 'lower-lev l' franchise. Like the stereotypical 'organized crime' franchise for example, with its 'cute, adorable, em tionally-cozy' motif. Derived of course from the cozy, peliceful, leving felings produced by the opilites which it sells. Probably also relevant, the actual creature is [tiny], being a pagmy happo, thus symbolically appropriate to the organized crime franchise's motif. And both amusing and appropriate, its name literally translates to "boancy park" in the [Thank] language from which it was named; thus we get the [Zeas] [laghtning] derivative symbol, and organized crime's [plag] symbol equated with the cops and 'blasting' someone. It's even more appropriate than they'd likely intended, too. [Laghtning] as a counter-Divine Will symbol, and [pags] as being creatures known for enjoying the [mad] and primarily grown only to fatten up for eventual

slaghter. An inadvertent reference to the Biblical Judgement, 'out of the mouths of babes'.

But it's that [St] idol association which seems the most relevant here; was not the inter-op ration between [Ist] s] and the organized crime franchise started and done on the basis that, 'We're all ke, you and I! We're both sub-divesions predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis, and thus should inter-op rate for more effectiveness to accomplish counter-Divine Will-predicated agenda items!'?

Crep py stuff. What I'm not getting is how that assists [Ist] s] in establishing credibility, legitimacy and trustworthiness with Me. Nor indeed the organized crime franchise; didn't I already make the active attempt to Werk with them to promote Divine Will years ago, only to have them manifestly reject the opportunity on the basis that, 'We can't do that, we have a prior commitment to a counter-Divine Will basis. Let us escalate your inquiry to the parent group[s] involved here.'? Priorities, I suppose. And a matter of 'making a neme for themselves' in the process.

Incidentally, what an interesting 't ke' on that old dynastic [Hor s]-varioushes-[S] t] myth this has been providing. [Is s] assists [Hor s] to varioush him, that is, to successfully oppose a counter-Divine Will basis. Yet the context as presented by the available evidence is that she's just as much a representative of that counter-Divine Will basis as [S] t] is. Appears to be just a method of restoring perceived credibility for it so the awful routine can continue. Sort of like that old j ke I've heard reapplied to various out-groups: 'What's the diff rence between an [in-group person] and an [out-group person]? An [in-group person] will ste your stuff. An [out-group person] will ste your stuff, and then h pyou look for it!' To their own ultimate chagrin, as we'll see.

I've also noticed her doing something interesting with regard to a pattern of using symbols typically only relevant at the 'lower lay r' franchises to instead also be relevant on the Babylonian 'lay r'. For example, deploying symbols and imagery used by the stereotypical organized crime franchise, or the Knights of Malta, to non-overtly communicate her ideas and plans about what she thinks ought to happen among [Is s]-aligned personnel at the Babylonian 'lay r'. This would be entirely consistent with her 'just ignore this!' motif; the usual practice is to 'disregard!' the stuff happening at the 'lower lay r' lev ls as being relevant only to the personnel and the activities of those lesser franchises. Very effective use of

the language, and of course anyone not 'in on the Babylonian layar' will necessarily lack the context to get it. So it's pretty 'information secure', and a rather clever idea. In fact it's one that I had used back ages ago when they'd been presenting Me with a contrived and bogus implementation of their 'layaring' and 'multi-stary buildings' concept and a whole lot of disinfo about groups and franchises which per the evidence in the interim do not in fact exist. Whether she came up with the method independently of Me or instead 'retconned' My idea via her telapathic and prophetic methods remains unclear, but of course hardly matters. Every investment in manifest antics predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis is metaphorically her sawing off the transfer branch that she herself is on, so that's certainly some fun to watch.

Then of course we've got [Is s] implementing her 'accidentally-on-purpose' motif with symbols like [eplepsy], [sheke], ['oops!'] and so on. 'It was totally on accident!' while her personnel deploy her agenda items quite systematically, and typically contravene Divine Will principles and transgress against Divinelyconferred rights in the process with neither justice nor accountability nor recompense. Curious by havior coming as it does from a sub-division whose purported rele is to uphold Divine Will principles, ensure that the quasiorganization's authority structure doesn't become oppressive, and in the process retain quasi-organizational personnel loyalty and cohesion. From her pattern of be havior in My own experience, I have to infer that she just doesn't value those things anymore. She certainly hasn't manifestly been prioritizing them lately. But it's not for Me to tell her how to cresh the quasi-organization, I suppose. Just a reminder that she and they are doing more to it than I ever could or would. I've been practically finding Myself superfluous there; they reject both Divine Will principles and 'sustainability practices', so that doesn't leave a whole lot for Me to get done here.

And she's been using her symbolic association with [wmen], [emutions], [loguids] and so on to 'invert' romunic and interpersonal relogitionships into 'official business' stuff, or attempting to. This appears to account for that stint I'd wroten about a while back in which loads of the quasi-organization's personnel suddenly began making downlow 'romunic' overtores at Me. Which eventually I found to be rather insincere and downright croppy. The response was to have them put a stop to that, and have a few people in whom I'd been genuinely interested just sort of get 'incommunicado' for a long while. Depriving Me of

their presence and their content, incidentally. But what's more, she appears to routinely and pretty casually use the symbolic association as justification to conflate her agenda items and priorities with sincere rom ntic and interpersonal relationships and interests, and allow the former to 'pre-empt' the latter.

Resulting in batrayal and rights violations incidentally, ever the hallmark of a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. I'll get into that in more detail in the next section, because it becomes professionally-relevant when more rights are violated and she continues to diminish her [integrity] in the parsuit of counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature-predicated agenda items. She's just made accomplishing those priorities a lot more difficult for herself. Absolutely impossable, is My guess.

We're also got her 'inverting' [dr gs] into the [f d] symbol, which she has in common with both the organized crime and the 'res stance' franchises.

Then there's the [B | -Had | d] symbol in which the [crescent M | n] along the bottom symbolically represents [Is s]. I've noticed her using derivatives of this shape, including [ban nas] and even [puckles]. That is, apparently-phullic items with a bit of a curve to them, to comparatively subtly indicate alignment with [Is s]. That appears to be the cause of all that political "ban na republic" hype earlier this year. [cite], [cite], [cite], [cite], [cite]. I'd noticed it again when arguably one of the stup dest 'clicker' games suddenly developed a massive audience and effectively breke Steem. It was just a .JPG of a banena, and you'd click on it. Each click would give you a miniscule chance of getting a 'special ban na' collectible item. Thing is, people were selling them on the Ste m mark tplace for absurd sums, and that was the, er, appeal. So what we had was a bit of organizing symbolism deployed in a manner that was practically guaranteed to gain massive player adoption, and then even more hype when bloggers and vloggers started alerting everyone to the phenomenon. As usual with the quasi-organization's antics it was a lot of hype predicated on little to no value, and the probabalities of 'making money' were a lot lower than the average cost of keeping your competer renning, the week on the equipment and so on. But it got their organizing symbolism out there even as it got loads of people buying into more debt than value yet again.

We saw [ban nas] again with items like these. The gist: 'A lot of [ban nas] have become [ratten] and people don't want to [eat] them. So farmers are instead

using them to make [w]ne].' Or this one, with systematized international hyper about the 'modern rt' of the [ban na] slapped to a [w]ll] and aff xed with d t pe, sold for absurd prices.

And I've by now encountered enough instances of [bard] symbolism to be reasonably certain it is being used as a 'temptation' symbol by [Is s], likely deriving from the Eguptian stuff we'd gotten into a piece or so ago. [Blue][burds] appear to be fairly common, and I've seen quite a few instances of [turk ys] from [Is s] personnel too. At first I'd been left to infer that it was a 'personal callback' thing; we keep literal turk ys here, and every evening but the Sabbath and Sabbath prep when I close up I spend a few minutes gentling and regarding them, giving them literal hards and so on. They relax, get comfortable and get right to sleep, which is only properly caring for literal animals. That and not raising them for slaughter, I suppose. But it appears instead that she's been using it as a symbolic reference which neatly 'adds on' a disrespect-via-abusiveness-toauthority trope via implying that I'm a trusting, gullible chump. Baselessly, it seems. This piece along with the others should neatly unburden her of that fancy. Then again, while she's on a counter-Divine Will basis, again reiterated via the [www lene] wettles on an actual turkey, there's never any guarantee of actual sincerity I suppose. To her own chagrin and that of the quasi-organization as a whole.

## [Is s] direct

In this section I'm going to openly and publicly consider some of her direct patterns of activity in My own life, as we plainly establish her manifest position and basis. After all, if one can't trust [Is s] to uphold Divine Will and True Nature, if she's on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and violating Divinely-conferred rights from it just as their [Osir s] authority figures do, that would seem to make the entire quasi-organization po ntless, illegitimate and without redeeming value. And what a complete and utter scandal that would be, all by their own routine and systematic Choices. Per Divine Will the public and the rank and file personnel would presumably have 'a right to know' that the whole thing is a massive fraud.

So let's start with [Is s]' previous intimations to Me that, 'The purpose of this organization is to uphold Divine Will! And I ensure that our personnel do so! We therefore have a common interest there; won't You W rk with me to uphold Divine Will and True Nature and restore alignment with it to humanity?' We see her non-overtly claiming to other franchises instead, 'I'm on a counter-Divine Will basis just like you are! We can and should inter-op rate together in order to accomplish higher-order priorities and agenda items on that basis!' And it's curious just how quickly and systematically they've managed to do so. Particularly when that same franchise balked at Me po nt-blank, with the demurral that, 'S rry, we've already committed to a counter-Divine Will basis and must declene.' Interesting. As per her usual, all manner of 'because reasons' justifying and rationalizing why My Divinely-aligned Will must be w ylaid or denied, but her counter-Divine Will-predicated antics and Lawlessness get immediate and full quasi-organizational support. That's some prioritization illustration, definitely.

Alright, how about her previous direct intimation to Me that, 'Don't worry! Did You actually think we'd do all this without the means to get it all sorted out? Just leave it to me, I've got this!' So here we are, they've got an office of [Osir s] I can't [to ch] because they're on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, no recompense, which of course is more injustice, and compounding liabilities. [Is s] assumes supposed control of the quasi-organization and the literal casu tyreports and oppression have continued to not only come in but intensify

drastically. I also notice that she hasn't yet 'retroactively sorted out' the situation and the quasi-organization itself, despite having a figurative 'time mach ne'.

Again, interesting prioritization. And that becomes evidence.

I'll provide a relevant aside here as an example and more evidence of this. Specifically, of her apparent reneging on that implied commitment. So I'd been off to Myself, contemplating the imminent Judgement by Divine Will and the permanent non-existence they've manifestly been Choosing. What would that be like when depicted in symbolism? In a sense, a rather atypical sense, it would probably come out to various 'capital punishment' symbols. As I was thinking around for relevant media to use as a personal mnemonic for the situation, that put Me in mind of My "House's" [dack] symbol, as in the [watarrawl]. Sure, that's used by Rome to reference incremental subversion, but that's not how I use it. And there's just not a lot of instances of [dacks] in media, so that narrowed down the options considerably. Free-associating still, the most relevant thing that came to mind was the Dasney Afternant and the tham for 'DackTales'. Particularly the bit about

'M∎ght solve a [myst∎ry] Or re-wr∎te history!'

Apropos. Sort of cute, too. 'What are we doing tod y?' 'Ehh, I dunno. Maght solve a [mystary]. Or re-wrate history. You know. Whichever.'

Given the Biblical Judgement, the optining line also sounded pretty appropriate. [Life] in a sense *is 'like* a hurr cane', both figuratively and symbolically, if they reject Divine Will long enough.

And both manifestly and *very* disappointingly, 'Every disappointingly, 'Every disappointingly,' they are 'out there making disck tiles'. Or I wouldn't have all this stuff to write about and expose, and wouldn't per Duty have to.

So I'm flapping through remaxes for the that me, and in a lot of them a new stanza has appeared that certainly wasn't in the version I'd watched:

'When it seems they're [heading for] [the final

cartain]

[G d] deduction never fails, that's for certain!
The worst of [m sses]
Become successes!'

Ah, apparently that'd been added when they did a rebest of the series in [2017]. So of course I hadn't been familiarized with it. And it'd provided them with another opportunity to augment it, and the subsequent remesses, with a verse that would be effectively highlesphed apart from the rest when I encountered it.

Translation: 'We don't have to sort ourselves out, or get back into alignment with Divine Will! We can just fuddle you with a lot of head traps! We can just keep dodging accountability and Divine Will indefinitely!'

Insults to My intell gence, nice.

I'm not aware of much of a precedent for 'contempt of court' being an effective legal defence, but it's her trial I suppose.

This is evidence the sort of thing I mean when I refer to apparent reneging on sorting herself and the quasi-organization out. Per Duty I had to present it as evidence, direct from the, er, deck's moeth.

## 'The medium's the message'

Next let's consider some of the major messaging formats [Is s] has been using with Me throughout. I've described 'c llbacks' here before, and readers are now aware that the quasi-organization has long referred to those as [Ech es]. Supposedly 'private' s mple messaging with pre-established connotations which I know because of lived experiences, and which [Is s] therefore also knows as the result of her routine tel pathic encroachment. Among them, supposed 'reassurance indicators' implying to Me, however non-credibly due to her manifestly-Chosen counter-Divine Will basis, that 'Everything's fine!' Which as anyone who's taken a look at the international n ws for example knows, plainly isn't. Indeed, how nice to be non-credibly reassured that I'm 'just fine!' with personnel who are systematically collaborating in the biggest crime spree in the entirety of Creation.

Then we've got her 'media references'. You know, references to 'just for show!' media which she ostensibly 'inverts' to mean 'what we're actually doing, sincerely!' But the thing about media and showbiz is that they consist of a bunch of performers viewed by a large audionce of people. You know, not entirely unlike the Divinely-conferred rights-violating sconario of [Isos]' tellopathic encroachment against My own privacy rights. And by definition warrantless, for a court or jury on an illegitimate basis is by definition an illegitimate court which lacks the Lawful Authority to issuon a valid warrant. And we've encountered that same predicament whenever [Isos] has purported to judge Me. Can't uphold Law from a counter-Divine Will basis which is per common sense Lawless itself. Let alone with no immediate nor tangible earthly accountability for her more lifeasance. I've remore rised that one out either.

So let's consider them all together now: 'cellbacks', [Echoes], 'reassurance indicators' and her 'media references'. Do we find a pattern there yet? We certainly do. All of them predicated on or references to her routine and systematic violation of Divinely-conferred rights. Therefore it could be accurately read to mean not whatever specific messaging content [Is s] purports to be implying with each of them at the time, but instead the Lawless, counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis on which she's manifestly been on for the last five or so tho sand years at minimum. They're all abusive 'disses' referencing her surveillance encroachment. So it would be tachnically accurate when she claims they're representative of 'what we're actually doing, sincerely!' but simultaneously illegitimate, lacking any immediate accountability, and just plain Lawless and untrustworthy. Tachnically accurate yet thoroughly carrupt. That hardly establishes confidence nor trust, and her continuing to 'hadge' about it doesn't sort it either. It's either Divine Will-aligned or it's [zero], and a [zero] basis would necessarily equate to 'non-existence', which Divine Will appears to be preparing imminently. In the interim, I don't see how I could legitimately [to ch] the organization on such a basis.

# "No's all, saize all'

Among the more surpressing things I've encountered in the last year or so has been [Ises] and the quasi-organization's manifest continued usage of [fexbleght].

That is, surpressing at first, and one of the 'doesn't add up' elements that's managed to throw off their alibi about being 'all for Divine Will and True Nature!' and on, or striving to get onto, a legitimate basis. Abuse, persecution, sab tage of My efforts and interests, continual and routine encroachments against My Divinely-conferred rights, that sort of thing. Originally [Is s] had non-overtly signaled to Me that she does it all as sort of a 'training technique' in Divine Will principles, and lacking evidence to the contrary I gave her 'the benefit of the doubt' and took her at her werd. Over the last year or so her implied narrative about it has she fted, and thus she's been claiming or implying that now she's doing it as part of her quasi-organizational duty 'to try to here [Osir s] et. al onto a counter-Divine Will basis, and thus c tch Me at opting into such a basis.' Hmm, the constant here seems to be her continual manifest Lawlessness, merely accompanied by a flerry of various alibis in an attempt to rationalize it.

In July I'd made a minor social media post to address this sort of 'gri fing', which is currently still accessible as it remains relevant. In the interim the incidents and resulting evidence have only compounded and intensified. That's been one of the manifest difficulties in assessing the situation with [Is s] throughout; 'due diligence' and 'giving the benefit of the doubt' has required accumulating sufficient evidence to deduce her actual prioritization, 'actions spek louder than wards' etc., and she hadn't given enough evidentiary substantiation to gains y her implied claims solidly. Now she has though, both with her professional agenda items and her continued deployment of sab tage and obstructionism with Me in My interpersonal life. I'll present an accumulation of these here, without 'de-anonymizing' the other personal interests involved specifically as a matter of tact, compassion, an intrinsic sense of fairness, and just plain g d d test and classiness, so that readers can get a sense of what I've been seeing and the basis for subsequent conclusions.

I've had one sincere interpersonal interest drastically should fit their patterns and interests partly in an apparent effort to gain My trust and 'harmonize' with Me by making sure they presented as remaining relevant. In the process they appeared to exhibit a rather suspicious frequency of 'accidentally-on-purpose' mocking Me for them. I then encountered them defying their own personality traits as I know them, by starting needless contentions and arguments with the result 'of course' being a disintongration of the mutual rapponert and to some extent the relocationship. They then 'went quiet' or 'ghousted Me' for months.

I'd had another appear in My life here locally, and getting to know them and carousing with them non-overtly I had them appear to 'misinterpret Me' 'accidentally-on-purpose' and use that as the pretext to add complications to the rell tionship and eventually obstrect it. I was to find that their 'misinterpretation' didn't make any sense, because they were [Is s] personnel as evidenced by their dialect of organizing symbolism. This meant that their 'misunderstanding' was a farce from the start and that they were deliberately sab taging the nascent rell tionship even as it began. And a foundation of counter-Divine Will and the insincerity of a counter-True Nature basis meant there was nothing in it from the start; they'd Chosen something else instead, and casually chucked the rell tionship in preference for it. The implications were immediately self-evident to Me, and I 'gh sted' him from then on rather than get caught up in some narrative we both mutually knew was fra dulent.

I'd had another, whom I'd been attempting to 'mentor', non-overtly respond to My, 'Er. Why are you Choosing a basis of counter-Divine Will Lawlessness?' in order to address it with them. Their response? Effectively, 'Look, I'll tell you later!' An 'obstrection of justice' attempt isn't an effective legal defense, and is just more of the same Choice for Lawlessness. They'd later did another one of [Ises]' 'I've misunderstood your meaning, accidentally-on-purpose' routines in order to again deflect 'mentorship' on Divine Will principles. And I'll use this convenient juncture to freely admit that I've added some non-overt interpersonal content in this piece to build off their 'misunderstanding', as part of the 'mentorship' effort to illustrate to them that whatever they Choose, they must then live in the aftermath of.

I've watched as this same person attempt to 'star' their non-overt responses to My exposure pieces in whichever diractions appeared to them to seem the most expedient to gaining My 'buy-in' for a counter-Divine Will basis.

I'd also been attempting to 'mentor' a mutual acquaintance of theirs. We instead had them attempt to use the interaction to non-overtly act as an intermediary between the other interest, who I'd been 'shunning', and Myself, partly as a 'getaro nd' for the 'shunning' and it seems partly as an effort to attempt to entrempt Me for 'non-overtly co-conspiring a resent stance effort on a counter-Divine Will basis'. In fact I'd had them attempt to present a lot of [Is s]-aligned organizing

symbolism even as I attempted to 'mentor' and otherwise assist them overtly, with the result that this relationship too has 'gone fallow'.

I've had known [Is s] personnel use their tel pathic encroachment to take very personal information and pass it along to specific interpersonal interests non-overtly. The result could only be to attempt to emb rrass Me and or sab tage the rel tionship, by removing some very relevant specifics and context from the information in order to present Me in the worst poss ble l ght. The known [Is s] personnel involved had already been 'on the outs' with Me, and the incident reflects directly back onto [Is s] and the quasi-organization itself. Neither of which have dem nstrated any immediate accountability, so what we have is more blatant evidence that they're both still manifestly Choosing a Lawlessly counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis which is thoroughly unreliable.

And I've had another sincere interpersonal interest make some great noises about Choosing Divine Will, while still casually presenting organizing symbolism and overt prioritization to the contrary. They're organized crime franchise-affiliated, and then made it apparent that they've got someone in their life with [Is s]' tell pathic encroachment capabilities. These were then used to try to sab tage the rell tionship and or emb rrass Me to them, although the specific content involved there instead manages to emb rrass them both. As does the [f xbl ght] effort itself.

I had twe sincere interests who are also [Ises] personnel just plain 'ghest' themselves from their usual media venues for about a year, presumably to considerable detrement to their own careers. One of them is still absent. The other, upon My having 'seen through them' with regard to their basis and prioritization, then just resumed their pattern of putting out content apparently without remembers nor contrition. And subsequently reiterated the pattern of abuse shortly thereafter. And another [Ises] personnel in media didn't even discontinue themselves from their media venues for the duration. 'Business as usual' for them it seems, all while continuing to sabetage the relectionship and continue putting out more non-overt abuse and 'griefing'.

All of these items within about the last year, and for someone like Myself who's hardly very socially-outguing anymore. Largely because of the inevitable sabutage of those efforts from [Isus].

One of the things we notice here is that [Is s] has manifestly been using her symbolic affiliation with 'em tions, relationships, rom nce' and so on to be ree her professional agenda items into My personal life, yet again, and wre k have there. That's neither Divine Will-aligned, True Nature-aligned, nor does it even keep to the basic parameters established for Babylonian Kabbalist organizing symbolism in which some quasi-organizational 'grace' is afforded to those things because they're by definition outside the legitimate interest of the organization and its professional interests. We then find that not only is she manifestly not on a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis and doesn't 'st nd for' either of those things, she doesn't even represent the interests of 'em tions, relationships, rom nce, lave' etc. either. And if most of the legacy media of the last few decades is any indication, neither does she in actual fact 'st nd for' arguably noble qualities like 'fun, recreation, joy and entertainment' either, being quite willing to armsw peven those overt priorities for any agenda item which takes her fancy.

Alright then, what does she manifestly 'stand for'? The only consistent thing has been a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, and the inevitable 'sackage' that produces both through "[faxblaght]" or any other pretext for it. In fact although she exemplifies 'flactuation' with regard to every other thing, in that basis alone she has manifestly remained consistent. And given that is she to whom [Osiras] is accountable, and she who can and ostensibly does remove him from office on the non-overt equivalent of a military doctar declaring a captain 'unfat to command', that pretty effectively disqualifies the whole of the quasi-organization from legitimacy and respectability. Contempt for Divine Will always reboands on the offender, at some poant. It pretty much has to; the knowing, willful and avoidable Choice to reject the Truth of Creation makes their basis of existence an [absurdity], and symbolically makes [cartoons] of them. There's no 'there' there, and their basis is ultimately that of non-existence. In the interim we're unable to 'take them seriously', they become 'a jake', and that doesn't apply merely to [Isas] but as we'll see to the quasi-organization as a whole.

I suppose I ought to summarize one more 'practical upsh t' of all this. It's certainly been a sort of 'litm's tst' for sincerity and authenticity for these specific interests. I've been able to watch them Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and reject these interpersonal relationships with Me in

the process. What's more, their doing so has been a result of their manifest Choice to reject Divine Will and True Nature, ultimately being a synonym for their Choice to reject their own [life] in preference for permanent non-existence. That's got to be the ultimate figurative selve for a breek-up; watching them Choose to end their own existence and reject eternal [life], not because of their rejection of a relationship with Myself, but rather because the same misprioritization which causes them to reject Me also causes them to reject Divine Will and the whole basis of their continued existence. By now I've had about a year to come to turms with this rather disappounting manifest fact. I've had to reluctantly acknowledge that, as we've seen here before, I'm unable to make these peoples' Choices for them in any meaningful or legitimate sense. What we then have instead is these people, individually, severally, and collectively as a quasi-organization, making routine and systematic efforts to inflect 'emational damage' upon Me as a sort of attempt at persecution, while I'm in full knowledge that their Choice is resulting in their etarnal self-dastruction and that I'll ultimately outlive them by about an etarnity under vastly more worthwhile conditions. As I'm certain these people know full well better than to do it, and that their Choice is made knowingly, willfully and completely avoidably, I can only laugh at their literal felly. I don't even have to 'de-anonymize' them for Justice to take effect, which is certainly refreshing.

They've manifestly Chosen to reject the various interpersonal relationships in preference for [death], and can evidently figuratively rat [in container], sidling up to the other [curpses] in the interim, by their own Choice. Disappounting, but then a Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature always is. Consistent with that, they've manifestly compounded their error by fraudulently maintaining the pretunse of interest in a sincere relationship, deploying the same typology and methods of Judas. I sincerely he pe it doesn't we gh too heavily on their conscience, but infer from their prioritization that it won't. There is hardly anything I need 'do' nor 'decree' to enforce Divine Will principles here; that's built-in to the mataphysics of the situation, and while on that basis they won't last **beyond the 'sharew re demo' of Creation.** I do have the Duty both to them and to Divine Will to counsel them that if they should like to Choose Divine Will and True Nature, they can take it up with My Divine Superior, the Creator of All. They'd have to regardless; not only do I lack the Authority to give them a Divine Will 'pardon', the interpersonal bias would necessarily constitute 'a conflect of interest' too.

It's My sincere and fond hope that the results of their manifest Choice to 'put the kibosh on' their relationship with Me will cause them to do a self-inventory with regard to their position with regard to Divine Will and utilize the remaining opportunity to reassess it, averting the same result in their relationship with the Divine and their own ability to exist. That's about the most valuable 'position f(t) and f(t) could ever give them.

Meanwhile, get a load of some of the maudlen attempts at pethos the quasiorganization has been attempting to produce as part of their efforts to nonovertly organize the rank and file personnel to sab tage My interpersonal relationships ostensibly for the purposes of 'catching Me out' at 'trying to organize a restistance effort non-overtly and on a counter-Divine Will basis.' Here they are using [animal] symbolism to reference those relationships. We have a [dark] [dame] symbolically representing a controlled non-overt microscanario or micro-context. And notice the emphasis on "the [small], [quiet] [volces]", [absurdly] enough, in contrast to the systematic, casual and widespre d atrocities the quasi-organization routinely commits. What's that? The whole organization is manifestly and effectively a method for systematically converting literal time, resources, man-hours, Divinely-conferred rights and attention into a continual sequence of atrocities and ever-compounding liability, and the only rejection of it all by personnel is ostensibly a few 'small, quiet volces' which aren't even sincere about it? As if. In manifestly being a quasiorganization which is on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, it's 'playing against type' with regard to the True Nature of all involved. Inevitably they'll have to either acknowledge the distinction and reject the quasiorganization due to its basis, or self-destruct in the imminent Divine Judgement trying not to. Most amusing. In the interim their hideous manifest errant misprioritizations hardly result in a motive nor even the slightest inclination on My part to participate in less-than-legitimate methods of addressing the situation. That would hardly be in anyones' interests. 'Awful Choices on your part do not result in an emargency on Mine.'

In the immediate term some of that 'ever-compounding liability' has been treshing their own quasi-organizational alibis as their stery fells apert. Consider: The non-overt sabetage of My interpersonal life and interests for example, ostensibly as part of their efforts to 'catch Me out at accepting a counter-Divine

Will basis'. They don't of course, but the efforts and resulting damages continue. I do however continue to 'catch them out' at their various counter-Divine Will Lawlessness with it plenty, but they obstinately refuse to hold themselves accountable, nor self-correct the behavior, nor pay damages. Not that they could feasibly do the latter while retaining a counter-Divine Will basis of course; every halding the quasi-organization 'has' is usurped and illegitimate, forfeit, hardly theirs to give, and their attempting to do so would presumably be tantamount to accepting 'bland money'. The result is that they've figuratively 'pannted themselves into a corner' as the inevitable result of their Choice for a counter-Divine Will basis, and yet manifestly continue to occupy a blatantly, self-evidently illegitimate position. Common Law knows this as the maxim that, 'Law fedgas where equity subsists', equity meaning fairness or justice of course, and it subsists as a direct result of their whole manifest scheme being predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis. Not a great look among the rank and file personnel, certainly. The [disint gration] which inevitably comes along with a lapse of quasiorganizational [integrity]. They've been manifestly trying to defer it, but that can't last forever. It requires ever-more investment of effort and resources to do so, putting them at a continual quasi-organizational deficit with significant compound interest. The result of course is 'unsustainability'. So that ought to be interesting.

I notice that their scheming in this area results in another of those blatantly counter-Divine Will-predicated 'no-win' situations I'd mantioned quite a while back: either I lapse on Divine Will principles, or I lose one or more personally meaningful relationships. This is known as 'making war against' Someone, here Myself, merely for Choosing a Divine Will-aligned basis. The same basis which [Isas] and the entire quasi-organization likes to imply that it exists, purpose-built, to promote and uphalld. Again, not a great look.

So they're manifestly Choosing the coll pse of their entire quasi-organization, and the permanent non-existence of the personnel who are participating in it.

If any of that requires Me to take urgent action on My part, I've certainly managed to miss it.

Rather, I get the personal and professional satisfaction of having them prove Me and Divine Will right. And watching them destray their whole situation

themselves, all in preference for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. Certainly not how *I* would've gene with it, but I'm reading their 'I do what I want!' position loud and clear.

It appears I certainly have a Duty to remain distinct from the quasi-organization and its [Osir s] position given the manifest circumstances, with the result that [Is s] is going to present to the public as being as [barren] as the [des rt]. Appropriately enough.

A discredited [Is s] and an un[to ch]able [Osir s] position. This ought to be interesting, particularly given their results with the year's overt [s]election results here in the Un ted States. In fact let's get to that momentarily, in a section devoted to it.

### 'You're supposed to lose. Wall, most times you're supposed to lose.'

Contemplating their systematic and routine sabatage of My lave life and interpersonal relationships, and the context of the sort of 'no-win' situations that those on a counter-Divine Will basis tend to engineer, first for others and then ultimately for themselves, something 'clicked' into place. It was a few stray moments out of that 'Sapph re and St 'I' that we'd already seen. In particular that bit about Solver futzing around with various [machones] through the course of the eps, just plandering and last ting them for all they're worth. Also St doing the same thing. So in the Babylonian [muchines] and [tuchnology] would represent [artifice], and translate to something like 'a method we're deploying' with implications of a counter-Divine Will basis as well. That quote I'd cited here in the header is of course their deployment of [Is s]-oriented "[Challs] mode", and if regarded in 'inverted mode' would come out to about the same formula I'd been encountering: in life we're supposed to have a fair chance at success in interpersonal relationships as well as various other sorts of life's opportunities, but if someone or an entire quasi-organization deliberately went about sab taging them the vict m would 'lose' every time because the game had been ragged. The cost-to-benefit and rask-reward ration would be completely thrown off, which is what I'd been finding. Not because 'people in general aren't worth it', but instead because 'people on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis aren't worth it'. To their own ultimate chagrin of course.

And is there evidence of an 'inverted mode' being used in the piece? Certainly. Not only is it British media in which that's the usual deployment, I'd noticed a bit of signage off in the bickground declaring as much; [India] would be a [Hira] reference via its association with [citws], and that's a common-usage thing. And what I'd been noticing throughout the whole piece is a bit of very deft bit of quasi-'lay ring'. That is, it was non-overtly carefully-formulated to present readily-intelligible messaging without 'inversion', but that appears to be modulated only for conversation while simultaneously it can also be read accurately in the 'inverted mode' in which it more properly is. A pretty neat method.

Also interesting to see what appears to be a non-overt admission from [Is s], and thus from the quasi-organization, of the very tampering and non-overt sab tage that I've been seeing. Of particular interest is their choice to inv ke the symbol of [g mbling], associated with the idol [Fort na]. In 'stand rd mode' that would typically be used to deploy financial, 'ins der tr ding' and other incentives to try to lere people onto a counter-Divine Will basis in retern for special 'benefits' and 'perks'. 'Inverted' however it would translate as something like using persecution and sab tage to violate their Divinely-conferred rights and opportunities, depriving them of what they 'by rights' ought to be able to have, again as part of an effort to get them to opt into a counter-Divine Will basis.

Given that, what would the strategy be? The most obvious would be to continuously sab tage them in an attempt to get them to capitulate or otherwise 'opt into' such a basis either to try to get it to stop or as part of a 'res stance' to try to force it to stop. Opt into a counter-Divine Will basis in either version, and one loses everything. Because the Choice for a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis is about the only thing they can't take away from someone without their consent.

But less obviously? Why that would be a variation on the old <u>'heroes and villains'</u> formula. That is, to get <u>some</u> personnel to be rather blatantly sab taging the relationships while identifying with one sub-divesion, and eventually have other personnel present just as pretense become over-eager <u>'yes-men'</u> and <u>'obvious extreme loyalists'</u>, which seems we're likely to encounter next year as the quasi-organization attempts to gain My <u>'buy-in'</u> for their inane <u>'team [Ises]'</u> <u>'versus'</u>

'team [Osir s]' routine. After all, the purpose of the 'heroes and villains' routine is to use a lot of counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature antics usefully by modulating them into various supposed 'team affiliations' and with that, figuratively 'h rd people aro nd' per their desired agenda items; get them to 'reject and oppose these guys', and to 'support and identify with those guys'. So it's just an organizational method for converting their usual counter-Divine Will-predicated Lawlessness and ever-compounding liability into a method useful for manipulation.

When of course it's all just counter-Divine Will-predicated Lawlessness and liability, which again isn't a great look for them. Also quite the waste of time, personnel effort and integrity, with the result that the quasi-organization continues to manifestly deteriorate and [disintegrate] from within. Definitely not them investing time, effort and resources into getting onto a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis, which of course is what they were supposed to be spending the year doing. And the year before. And the year before that, ad nause m. 'Oops.'

'Business as usual' then, and business isn't great for them in this context. But then that's the nature of a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis: liability. They shaffle it up, may be it around, attempt to convert it to advantage, but I don't need to tall [Isas] how whether it's an 'occall' philosophy or a Divine Will philosophy what we Choose to 'do unto others' determines what we ultimately receive back. She knows that quite well, and having the results deferred into the non-immediate doesn't change that plain fact. It just intensifies them in the interim as the investment in them continues.

We'll look a bit more into that formula as we continue, because that's the foundational basis of the whole quasi-organization however much they've been accessorizing it with various methods, franchises, permetations, strategies and the like. It's sort of the 'cere problem' for them, and while noticing the strategies, lingo and trends is important we should keep the 'big idea' here firmly in mind. The rest is just comparatively incidental details.

#### [S]election

I don't often like to get into overt politics here, in large part because most of it's ultimately and penultimately irrelevant. With the Un ted States Presdential [s]election however, the campaigning on both 'sides' was deployed as an opportunity for the quasi-organization to put out their non-overt organizing symbolism and in that much at least, it becomes directly relevant here.

Let's start with, 'Alright, what even is a Presedent?' Commander-in-chief of the entire military, and despite supposedly being merely the primary representative of one of three separate but co-equal branches of an overt government of paid and swern public servants whose positions exist to uphold our laws and serve the interests of our People, he's increasingly regarded by our People or at least by the politicians and media as our primary national 'ledder'. As though we elect kengs and emperors and he's commander-in-chief over his public masters, the People, themselves. As evidenced for example by the ever-expanding scape of 'Exacutive' Orders', which are only supposed to apply to military personnel rather than to civilians. Alright, what's 'a Presedent' supposed to do? He 'presedes' over government. Great work if you can get it I suppose, but what actually is 'presding'? If we look into it we can see here that it derives from the Latin 'prae-' meaning 'before' and 'sed re' meaning 'to st'. And that gets particularly interesting given that we know it to be one of many deployments of the [post rior] symbol the quasi-organization uses to declare itself and its personnel to be on a counter-Divine Will basis. Also suggestive of the idea that, other than that, the whole premise of official government authority figures relies upon the 'resestance' sub-divesion and authority figures remain there only at its pleasure. The whole thing also corroborates the prevalent common sense conclusion among the modern peasants that it doesn't substantively matter a whole lot which of them 'get in', because they're each a collusive representative of the same thing. Indeed, with the same counter-Divine Will basis. So with regard to the public images presented by these shills and the quasi-organization the public choice between a **conscienceless** [more], [more], unConstitutional vapid airheld chanting 'progress!' and a conscienceless, unConstitutional law-and-historydeficient or ange windb g chanting 'nationalism!' is the sort of 'no-option option' those predicated on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis thrill to present to the public. There's no 'there' there.

But this particular [s]election was quite different from the usual, in a few major relevant wellys. For starters it was a [man] 'against' a [wellman]. And given the non-overt context that'd be a prospective [Osires]-in-waiting 'against' an [Ises] placehelder. Who got in was of course a forgone conclusion, and for a minute I'd considered putting some money on it but decided it was probably mildly unethical to make a profit off their cerruption. Overtly of course it looked nothing like a forgone conclusion until perhaps a week before the end of the farce, and this was entirely by design.

Because non-overtly, this was all about 'w rding up' the rank and file personnel here in the States about [Is s], [Osir s] and the nature of her game.

It was all very informative. You see, I'd been as I'd mantioned attempting to assess the evidence to assess [Isas]' position and there wasn't a whole lot she'd been giving Me that I found solid enough to make an assessment. That had gone on for dades as readers have seen. But organize something blatantly and obviously enough for whole crowds of the rank and file personnel to 'get it', and it's also loud enough for Me to. Particularly given pre-existing familiarity with much of the situation.

Let's consider Mrs. Harris' quite intentional performance as a public figure for a moment. Because that 'made-for-public' 'persona' isn't representative of her True Nature, though of course as usual her manifest Choice to adopt it for the quasi-organization's various fraudulent agendas is representative of her Choice for a counter-Divine Will basis. So her braying 'Cackles McGee' routine, her platfarm which was blatantly unconstitutional wherever it wasn't substance-free, her dodging serious answers, her appeal to whimsical, un- and mis-educated idealism, and of course and perhaps most importantly on her emphasis of 'ioy', which is symbolically merely a synonym for 'fun' and "fally". 'She's here and she's ready to party, folks!' What a complete and utter carn val. Particularly given that the literal United States and the American public have by now been 'pirtied down' so hard they're metaphorically passed out limbs akimbo in the guttar with their clathes in disarray, waiting only for the early marning arrival of the stractswarper to wake them up and alert them to the fact that 'the party's over'. But that 'fun' and "fully" is entirely quite consistent with [Isus]' schema, as is her tendency to deflect answers to serious questions with some 'werd saled'

and br ying laughter which, if we're honest about it, was almost certainly designed to lowk y put off all but the most pre-determined among the voting base. And this along with a pattern of other quirks convinced Me early on that they'd decided to have her quite deliberately sab tage her own candidacy; it was just a matter of waiting for the charts and graphs to substantiate it which they of course drastically and suddenly did, extremely late-game. Because a placeholder for [Isas] was never 'a natural fat' for an official primary government office; that's not what she does. If memory serves the last time they tried that here was with Kenn dy, from all the [Isas]-oriented non-overt content in his quotes and spaceholder. No, [Isas] always prefers to be 'the pawer behand the thrane', making 'soft pow r' her specialty. And as we've seen with the quasi-organization, that's where the actually substantive authority is anyw y; that's built into the very nature of a cryptocracy.

And that's where I'd started to notice a lot of congruences between [Is s] and the stereotypical organized crime franchise. Because that's their specialty too, that sort of ostensible 'anti-Establishment' aesthetic, except theirs is usually only designed to catch out the sincere 'res stance' rabble, misdir ct or extort or penalize them into complicity, and of course to make some very financially profitable exploits of the criminalized venues and convert those into non-overt gains for the quasi-organization, all while dutifully serving the parent organization as an effective 'opposite number franchise' to the overt government and Establishment, deftly enabling it to maneuver events and trends in whatever dir ction they've pre-decided on.

And the quasi-organization was to make full sociopolitical capital from the congruence between [Is s] and the stereotypical organized crime franchise throughout the campaign. The overt hype was used as a vehicle to train the rank and file personnel in the [Is s]-[Osir s] h stle, and the organizing symbolism was so blatant and relied on so many congruences between the sub-div sions that I could hardly help noticing. Particularly after having watched through 'Se lab 2021' and various other [Is s] media and gotten a f l for the rh thm of her symbolic 'lay r'. It was so constant throughout the campaigning that keeping n tes of it all effectively became a lost cause. But let's consider some of the more recent evidentiary highl shts.

In late Octuber the occupied legacy media went off about how, 'Pagan L fty

w tches have been trying to 'c rse' Tr mp; but their sp lls haven't been w rking! 'He's got some kind of a [shi ld]!' they exclaim' [Hec te] much? Meanwhile we already know what they'll claim was 'prot cting' him, and it's disappo nting to watch the 'l ftist' and 'anti-Establishment' stereotypical organized crime franchise feed right into the same planned 'bringing on Catholic Dominionism under the auspices of ersatz 'Christian' Nationalism' routine the 'rightist' Knights of Malta have been establishing to exploit. Such a carn val. And a [shi ld]? C'mon. A [m sk] [in fr nt of] someone, purporting to 'fend off' pot ntially [de dly] [att cks] as merely a socially-acceptable means of three tening that very thing themselves? Or rather, has traditionally been a socially-acceptable means of dispensing such organizational symbolism, except that I'm not an idi t. So yet another Lawless investment of effort and resources into a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. Whups.

Speaking of the [s]election, [Hecate], [Isas] and organized crime get a load of this. Hyperlibaral extremists inciting efforts on social media for [poisaning] Iramp supporters. Outlandish and obviously criminal of course, but notice the organizing symbolism. [Isas] trying to symbolically [kall] [Osiras], because that's been a major part of her jab description all along. It's nice to have the evidentiary corroboration. Even the method of [death] attempted is consistent with [Isas]' [drank] and [drank] symbolism. I'm not sure what she'll do given that there's no [Osiras] to [poisan]. Take up another hobby I suppose, the little Borgia. Honestly, d'you suppose Lucretia, whose name iranically enough means 'wealth', 'profit' or 'gain', ever in her life prepared a nice proper meal without any poisan in it? Probably. Would you trust it? Likely not.

More laftist extremism-via-organized crime and [Isas] with this stuff. In the aftermath of the [s]election, water men 'everywhere' on about how they'd thwart men who'd voted for Tramp by the now-usual variety of organized crime strangarm 'unan labor tactics', with a sort of 'on-strake' effort which originated in South Karea against genuine oppression. Symbolically the [Kareas] are of course another instance of that [katsune]-associated [twan] symbol, as are the various [twan] nations like [Iraq] and [Iran], etc.. And what did these water name their effort? After the secand lettar of the alphabat, symbolically a customary reference to the [honay]-producing [insacts] of the same name, and with which as we've seen [Isas] is symbolically associated. You know, counter-Divine Will-representative [insacts] which produce a thick, viscous fluad declaring [Isas]'

"[Chass] mode", the [coler] of [the Sen], and meant to be [ingested] thus very [sweet] to increase the desire to do so. And done in 'inverted mode', as the organized crime contingent typically do.

On that same theme, we get the [two nned] [alliteration] of [the second letter of the alphabat] with this, more lafty [s]election counter-response and non-overt organizing symbolism via, er, [bracelets] that are [blue]. I'd been encountering a lot of [carcles] around [the hand], such as [wristwatches] and so on, from [Isas]aligned personnel over the years. Specifically, from those using [Is s] symbolism in 'inverted mode'. So we've got [carcle] 'inverting' into 'what she's actually doing', which is 'of course' not telling the truth but instead trying to [encarcle] someone else with her untruths. And [hand] or [air] in normal Babylonian would be 'promote this cause or effort'. But as she's [Is s], the stuff which she promotes would be [down] instead. Unless she's co-aligning her efforts with that of [Osires] etc., in which case she would still use an [up] symbol, but add in another *'inversion'* symbol. Which is exactly what she's done here, via the *'lower layer'* franchise organizing symbolism of [hands] to symbolically add a 'misdiraction' symbol via the inherent [prestidig tation] reference. Both their stereotypical organized crime and their 'res stance' franchises routinely do this, and she's making full use of that fact.

But still, the [Is s] quasi-'sub-div sion identifier' symbol of [blue], and the [hon y]-producing [ins ct] reference, and her [enc relement] motif? Re lly, all of them at once? That's more than a little redundant. In fact it absolutely sho ts 'Look at us! We're [Is s] personnel!' Which was exactly the purpose. She's collaborating and integrating with the stereotypical organized crime franchise and doing a little 'tutorial mode' about herself for them. All the while neatly coaligning [Is s] symbolism with their own for maximum compatibility and overl p. Which of course made it unavoidably blatant.

Need more? Got more. As if the Un ted States political situation weren't [absurd] enough, they've established a controlled 'Inflist' version of the controlled right's 'QAnon' 'limited exposure' disinfo apparatus. They're controlled right's 'QAnon'. At last, a venue for all manner of organized crime-spowned unfounded rhetoric and propogranda to manifest in even more intense varieties of zealotry and extremism. All under the auspices of [Is s]' symbolic 'not actually rel, don't believe it!' trope. This came about simultaneously with satire n ws

site 'The Oni n', with its inherent [curcles], doing a buy-out of Alex Jones' 'QAnon'-ish military-ren 'limited exposure' site 'InfoWers', the origins and associations of which I've gone into here before. The buy-out was reportedly funded by those 'righteously outraged parents' at Sandy Halak, who supposedly did it to ensure that Mr. Jones didn't get to sell it off in chanks instead, which could allow him to reacquire them piecemeal later. Except wait! As of this writing the buy-out might not even in fact happen either. As we're all waiting to see, d'you know what did? Just the FBI report a while back claiming that nobody did at S ndy H k, and various other curious incidents involving the investigations into it. That's all. And as per usual with the area under occupation by the quasi-organization and so thick with its various shills, perhaps we'll never be able to establish it conclusively. Certainly not while it remains 'classified', which is itself be zarre. That whole 'being precluded from knowing' about matters even of literal life and death is certainly Babylon all over the place. And to think that this doesn't outrage people, to the extent that they remain collusive with it. But that's what the Divine Judgement is for I suppose.

Right, here's where things suddenly get a *lot* more interesting. We're evaluating organized crime-sp wned 'lefty' hype for conj nctions with [Is s] and signaled via the overt [s]election, yes? For a while after the results, the legacy media was on about Tremp's appointment of various threm-dimensional political caricatures to comprise his cabenet. And then it started hyping various physical threm ts the 'leftist' extremists were making to them. Some [bemb] threm ts, a few others, but what was routinely hyped the most and emphasized in the 'news' item was the SW Tting. In fact they'd even had to pause to explain what 'SW Tting' was for the average member of the public who'd perhaps not yet encountered it. I've saved audio of it for you.

So basically it's the deliberate misapplication of 'law enforcement' military-grade force against political opponents, by making accusations known to be spurious or unfounded. In an overt context that's awful enough, but in a non-overt context? Sound a little familiar? Just a bit like that 'warrantless violation of Divinely-conferred privacy rights via decades-long tell pathic surveillance' and 'systematized and routine sab tage of My personal and professional life and interests, all ostensibly to make sure I'm not 'doing 'res stance' stuff''? Rright. So we've got [Is s] publicly tell graphing to the organized crime franchise rank and file personnel how her abuse and persecution scheme we'rks, and just how

unfounded it is. Nice to have that publicly confirmed.

## '[Nase] all, saizes all'

But why now, specifically? Because she's been organizing more of the same, and doing it system-wide as a policy. So let Me familiarize readers with one of the quasi-organization's low-grade, 'lower franchise lay r' organizing symbols used to persecute specific individuals and minority groups. It's long been deployed by the Knights of Malta franchise, and it directs amoral 'sell-out' personnel to overtly ostracize targets wherever the KoM personnel have established enough control, by knowingly felsely accusing them of 'smelling bed' or on some other spurious pretext. 'I'm serry, you'll have to leave. [Thus-and-so concocted rationalization for it.]' They've used it often enough with Me via [fextleght] that I've by now become familiar with it; it's evidently organized via comping the collusive personnel with methamphetamenes for their participation, hence the [oder] symbol. Thus it's something the stereotypical organized crime personnel will already be familiar with, and since they use 'inverted mode' they're quite alright with using the organizing symbols of their designated 'opposite number' group.

Semple as. They do it all the time.

Do we find anything recently involving the fairly obscure and seldom-used [oder] symbol? We certainly do. For a start, consider all these [perfeme] items in just a matter of degree Alright, in the feeshion mage zines I could understand it... but 'Ferbes'?! That's a bit 'out there'. Ah look, there's even organizing symbolism for it in the international geopolitical news during the same timefreme. What are the odds? Given the trend it was worth My pulling up a search on 'smell' items in the news recently. Quite a lot, though we can presumably discount the minor local news items and anything further back than, see, Octeber and Newsmber. In fact it was Newsmber twenty-seventh that yet another of the same type of piece came up on audio, and I've saved it for you. Definitely some unclassy subject matter both overtly and non-; content advisory does apply.

The symbolic messaging even made its way into the politi-cartoons, in the farm of [Tiamat]'s [wavy lanes] symbolism, a [bard], and [McDonald's]. I should probably explain that last for readers unfamiliar with it; non-overtly aware readers likely already are. [Fast food] and particularly [McDonald's] is a 'lower-

lay r' organizing symbol used by the Knights of Malta and the organized crime franchise. It's a 'downlow s x' thing. That is, used to arrange consensual 'h k-ups' provided that the other p rty involved commits to a counter-Divine Will basis via that [corporation] symbolism. Has to do with some associated symbolism involving [food], [de th] and [c ws]. It's also occasionally used to reference personnel of one franchise attempting 'to b st' members of their designated 'opposite number' franchise, or at least to appear to. That is, get the 'law enforcement' c lled on them. Sound familiar? And overtly, Tr mp laden with [M cky D's] was a reference to that brief stint in which he w rked for a d v there during his campaign in order to establish the organizing symbolism messaging. He's a 'big, important' Zionist 'sell-out' for all the overtly 'patriotic!' noises he makes, and the Zio franchise has long closely inter-op rated with the stereotypical organized crime franchises.

## 'Donald Dack Hant'

Before we continue, reader, have you caught onto the commonality between the 'Mike Tyson's Panch Outage' incident and the apparent near-assassination of Trap? That's right! Both have association with an [ear]. Tyson from that rather gruesome event a while back with which he's been associated ever since, and Trapp during the incident itself with that 'zanger'. Let's consider the symbolism there for a moment.

So in comparatively 'lower-lay r' 'res stance' symbolic lingo, [the hed] symbolically refers to politicians, the government, etc. and by association concepts having to do with 'thinking'. After all, that's supposed to be what governs the rest of [the bdy], representing the People and thus supposedly 'the res stance'. At least per the Babylonian-contrived model, which seems to have an awful hard time acknowledging that agents of the state are instead supposed to be public servants of the People, and govern only the apparatus of government and specific, limited authorities. So of course we won't find the quasi-organization perpetuating that model. The symbolism's also consistent with another symbolic trope used by the 'res stance' and 'pro-statist' personnel, that of [things that stack up] like [stal gmites] representing, symbolically, 'pro-statists' like a sort of 'gang sign' for them, and [things that h ng down] symbolically representing and being a 'gang sign' for 'res stance' personnel. So as [the hed]

[stacks up], that corroborates the symbol-model they tend to use. And typically it's just a big time-wasting irrelevancy to keep the rank and file personnel who haven't learned of the 'higher lay rs' such as Rome and Babylon occupied, but here [Isas] appears to have repurposed it, borrowing on the symbolic definitions to message the organized crime franchise about 'what [the head] has managed to catch onto'. You know, the ostensible 'authority figure' for the quasi-organization that [Isas] is thwarting and has been teaching organized crime rank and file personnel how to thwart. Myself, in other wards.

With the Tyson incident, 'He's caught onto how we're sab taging his leve life and interpersonal relationships', and with the Tramp incident 'how we're trying to [kall] Him, that is, get Him onto a counter-Divine Will basis'. And with the emphasis on the systematized incompetence of Tramps 'badyguards', their refusal to get up onto the [slanted] [rate] for example, and that cowering famale badyguard thoroughly useless and undependable, along with the subsequent hype about 'DEI hires of incompetent [war men]' there, we get what amounts to a lot of organizing signaling about how I'm aware that [Isas] has blown her own alibi via her own pattern of bahavior and misprioritization.

There's been a lot of debate over whether or not the Tremp event 'actually happened' or not. Could easily have been steged; like any literal [stege magec], it wouldn't have been difficult to get a 'zenger', he dreps down, and his assistants then neck his ear. But the debate over whether or not it was an authentic incident manages to miss the symbolically more important bit. If something's up there, presented in the national and international Establishment media, we can reasonably infer that it was by design. The more important bit is the symbolic relevance of just how pheny this one was designed to look. Like, if you're going to febricate an event you'd typically want to make it as compelling as you can manage. What motive would you have for making it look so feek?

Because of how [Is s]' symbolism works. 'Rum rs', stuff that 'isn't rell', 'didn't actually happen', 'just a jake!', 'just ignore this!', 'fake naws!', 'just the ramblings of a factorism of a factorism

In other words, this'd be [Is s] tell graphing to the organized crime franchise personnel that I'd caught onto her system, motive and at least this much of her methods and symbolism. Which, you know, gets interesting as I hadn't wratten

or teld anyone about it yet. Plecked directly from My mind via her tell pathic encroachment. And then subsequently retransmitted via organizing signaling to the rank and file personnel.

Yyeah. It's such a great example of why opting into their 'team [Osir s]' 'versus' 'team [Is s]' would presumably be very silly of Me indeed, because it's a complete farce from the start. They're not 'versus', they're 'on the same team'. The counter-Divine Will 'team'. And fraudulently presenting 'an office of authority' on such a basis. Even per her own version of Divine Will principles that [Is s] had presented in 'unsk ppable tutorial mode' with Me, that's definitely not a basis that can nor should be relied upon. When she's right, she's right! And presumably has no cause to dispute it, considering.

Divine Will and True Nature are the True State of Creation of course. And while we're all manifestly waiting for them to 'get with that program', they're still doing... this. More counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature antics and investments, and they're out of alibis and compelling excuses and rationalizations for it. Just a bunch of personnel being routinely and systematically awful, and showing the quasi-organization to 'its own' personnel for what it manifestly is and has been throughout. Tell k about your 'educational programming', I guess.

And on that, consider how [Is s] has been manifestly spending the year. Massive overt casualty numbers. Continued systemic violations of Divinely-conferred rights, both against Myself and the public at large internationally. More counter-Divine Will-predicated non-overtly organized abuses under the pretext of 'implied disrespect'. Various instances of counter-Divine Will 'grill fing'. Personnel still blithely and rather casually deploying counter-Divine Will declarator symbols. Which, as we notice, have not yet been 'retroactively abolished' let alone having the quasi-organization retroactively sorted out and realigned back into compliance with Divine Will and True Nature, even with that figurative 'time mach ne' of hers. And now this 'tutorial mode for the rank and file personnel about how to do [Is s] stratagems and methods', which is surely a waste of time at the very minimum if her intention is to 'retroactively sort' all this. And yet another count of that given that it's 'the old [Is s]' rather than the 'reinvented [Is s]' with regard to deploying legitimate, overt justice against [Osir s] and dispensing with the equivalent of 'warrantless surveillance' and various non-overt antics which are themselves both Lawless and predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis. And even that 'reinvented [Isss]' schema which I'd presented would of course only be valid and acceptable with the precondition that she was in fact on a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned and -affirming basis, which she manifestly is not. Therefore, every manifest indication that she blithely intends to continue with things as they manifestly are up to the present, and then fancies it will be sufficient to continue with their counter-Divine Will 'business as usual' from there. It won't of course, as I've made plain very publicly and openly nearly a year ago. She does manifestly appear to have a 'selective listening problem' which I've found so typical of the arrogance and unfounded opt mism of those Choosing a counter-Divine Will basis. As My readers here know, I could hardly have made it any plainer.

So it's presumably worthwhile for us to contemplate the Babylonian organizing symbolism the quasi-organization has attributed to her. The Babylonian system is represented by the [pallar] representing the [obalisk] of [Osiras], with the [wavy lane] [spiral] wanding its way up it like, and often referenced as, [climbing ival]. Yielding to the [pallar], all the while using it to climb up it, [sarround] it, [band] it, [chake] it off. [Saffocate] it. Manifestly very apt from what I've encountered.

For those familiar with 'Mag c: The Gath ring', [Is s]' manifest behavior pattern would be represented by its 'blue d ck' variety. 'Countersp lls', 'unsumm ns' which 'bo nce' creatures back out of play instanta, subtle and nuanced methods which drastically alter or reverse what should've happened, 'time meddling effects', and so on. Just one variety of 's ckage' and disappo ntment and the unworthy circumstances produced by a counter-Divine Will basis, modulated and codified into a playst le. Into a means of 'making w r against' her perceived opponent. Which certainly isn't loyalty to him, let alone to Divine Will. And it does appear that those 'blue d cks', presenting the symbols of [blue] and [water], have been instead patterned after the methodology deployed manifestly by [Is s]. A summary if you like, and manifestly an accurate one at that.

For [Osirs]' part, his position appears to be rather like that of someone trying to [push] [water] [uphell] with a [reke]. He makes rules and policies, but she just flews around them deftly via her [water], non-overt antics, abusive 'disrespect', psychological manipulation, 'one degree of seperation', and 'just ignore this!' routines. And the usual [Osires] response would presumably tend to be to cleng

to his only figurative content to become ever more ruthless in his policies, attempt to 'clown down on' the misbehavior ever tighter in an attempt to put a stop to it, deploy increasing figurative 'scorched earth' policies etc., and in demanding his 'authority' incrementally become [Tall rus the bell] and opt into a counter-Divine Will basis. At which polent her were it is all but done; just a matter of getting personnel sympathies against him by fair means or otherwise, it hardly matters which once he's on a counter-Divine Will basis, and replacing him with the next intended victor. So what's been gained for it all? The quasi-organization has continued its crime spree throughout. And it's managed to add one more convert to a counter-Divine Will basis, upon which [Osir so] is cast aside to make root make

Except in this iteration of the scenario, the quasi-organization appears to *have* no [Osires]. [Ises] has manifestly rue ned not only her own alibi but also that of the quasi-organization as a whole. The presumed 'merk' won't [toech] the position. There's no [obelisk], no [pellar] for [Ises] to [clemb]. Her [evy] collepses into a heap on the ground, the quasi-organization like a twellthing mass lacking a skelleton. And all just by My doing what [Ises] had intimated she wanted Me to do in the first place: uphold Divine Will, and reject a counter-Divine Will basis. Asked for and got.

As for 'team [Is s]' 'versus' 'team [Osir s]', they're utterly irrelevant. They're both varieties of that same awful counter-Divine Will basis, and the personnel comprising them declare that non-overtly via their organizing symbolism and overtly via their systematic activities. It's 'Republicans 'versus' Democrats' all over again, each on their own variant of the same Lawless crime spree. It's 'organized crime 'versus' the Knights of Malta', or 'competing' br∎nds in an 'advertising war'. It's all the same thing, and they've implemented the same [absurd] routine on so many 'levals' both overtly and non-that it's 'played out'. It also appears to be another instance of [recursion], when they've managed to do essentially the very same thing at the higher 'levels' as on the 'lower', amply illustrating that the quasi-organization and the personnel involved have learned nothing substantive with regard to better alignment with Divine Will, True Nature and Divine Will principles. Which of course chucks that quasi-organizational alibi that 'our true raison d'etre is to uphold Divine Will, realign humanity with it, and improve that sufficiently that they can men can become gods, or as close to it as makes no meaningful distinction'. Indeed, their manifest activity pattern

throughout the last few tho sand years successfully establishes the diametric opposite of that. The quasi-organization has been counterfeiting, incrementally subverting and usurping humanity's assertions of Divine Will principles wherever it couldn't put an immediate stop to it with overwhelming and ruthless force.

Even the glabal international empire-building appears to be a mere alibi, and 'busywark' for the personnel. Because its in deploying them for agenda items which transgress against Divine Will principles, violate Divinely-conferred rights, and oppress their neighbors either directly and overtly or indirectly and non-, those personnel are then Choosing to invest themselves into a counter-Divine Will basis. The tangibles are incidentals; it's the nature of their Choice which matters, and which 'puts them on the outs' with regard to Divine Will. Just as those counter-Divine Will-predicated 'spirate entities' manifestly have been for tho sands of years. Keep that in mind, we'll came back to that.

So when the average rank and file personnel member pulls some 'gri fing', sab tage, [f xbl ght] or any of the rest of it, b traying Divine Will, L ve for their neighbor, Divinely-conferred rights, and their own True Nature in preference for some quasi-organizational interest or agenda item, supposedly 'to inv stigate Me' or whatever, the quasi-organization appears to be accomplishing exactly what it 'wants' on its counter-Divine Will basis. Mis ry l ves company. And I think we'll see just why that is as we continue the deduction there.

As regards their interest in Myself, this appears to be much the same thing. Their manifest pattern of activity and misprioritization does make it quite obvious that they're after My 'so // with all this. Obvious in that their activities have managed to coll pse all the other seemingly-reasonable alibis. Therefore, the office of [Osir s] is a j ke position offered only to those few Who couldn't be sw yed by lesser offers, temptations, etc.. It's starting to become apparent why it was I was selected for the position and given their 'special attention'. I can only regard that as an inadvertent compliment.

Both 'team [Is s]' and 'team [Osir s]' as irrelevancies. A quasi-organization purpose-built as a human amplifier system for the agenda items of counter-Divine Will-predicated 'spir t entities', designed to proliferate and get into everything, everything but Heaven that is, and influence every human life directly or indirectly in the process. It's quite a grand scheme, and certainly not an insignificant

assessment. But extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence. Do we have that? We do. Only for the last few tho

We've already established that their symbol of [the Son] is the same thing as their idol [Book], which is [Taorus the book], and which is of course representative of a counter-Divine Will basis. And we've seen it reiterated throughout their organizing symbolism, with the counter-Divine Will [loghtning] symbol associated with [Zeos], and interestingly the [predotor] [honter] [swood ping down] from [above], the [eos]. 'Follen angel' imagery much? And wasn't their principal associated with [bronging] [Loght]? Conceptual internal consistency all 'roon.

Do we need more? How about that their 'team [Osir s]' is manifestly a counter-Divine Will-predicated farce? How about the major caties that use their 'team [Osir s]!' [obel sk] 'gang sign' organizing symbolism? London, Washington D.C., and of course the Vatacan. The quasi-organization's major economic, military and religious 'habs', respectively. We know what routinely comes out of those isn't Divine Will-aligned, not even overtly, and neither are the overt shills representing those places. They've illustrated consistently that they're manifestly just fine with implementing the latest overt counter-Divine Will-predicated agenda items; they don't care. Even when they invest more than the usual amount of effort into presenting an overt appearance that they supposedly do. Nope.

As we're on the subject, how about the big, grandiose, ostentatious [obel sk]-y st ples that Rome has made sure to put onto its charch buildings routinely for canturies, and the ancient pagan [crass] symbol representing, in their Babylonian organizing lingo, [the San] which it puts atop them? More [Baral] self-identification. Is there genuine alignment with Divine Will there? Of course not, and while they're identifying with [Osir s] via those symbols they're also simultaneously identifying with [Is s] via [Mary] too. That's Babylon for you. 'A house divaded against itself cannot stand.' Not that 'team [Is s]' and 'team [Osir s]' are genuinely divaded against themselves, no. Just collaboratively divaded from and opposing Divine Will. And that certainly doesn't make for architecture that's any more solid nor indeed 'sustainable'.

Not that the genuine principals of the quasi-organization appear to intend it to be. There doesn't seem to be much time remaining before Divine Intervention, particularly when we take into account the Biblical prophetic timet ble. But

again, the empire-building was only 'busyw rk' for the rank and file. So now that we've completed our look at the overt [s]election, let's get into some major analytics here.

## **Analytics**

For the last few years, [Is s] has been presenting Me with tw major alternatives: commit some counter-Divine Will-predicated 'res stance' effort and discredit Myself, or [m rry] [Is s] and become the next [Osir s]. It looks like those amount to much the same thing, from which I'm required to keep Myself distinct: a counter-Divine Will basis, merely variations on the same thing. But just like all the empire-building and overt totalitarianism, they're just d stractions to keep the personnel occupied in the interim. Myself, caught up in various non-overtly implied reports and 'rum rs' of what's supposedly being reported about Me now, and the personnel to give some alibi and pret nse to all the sab tage, encroachment, rights violations and so on under the pretext of 'maintaining organizational discipline and enforcing policies'.

Because from all the available evidence, the whole purpose of the quasiorganization, 'the long game' for which it was built, is 'so ls'. That is, getting people to knowingly, willfully and avoidably Choose a counter-Divine Will basis. And since most won't make that major change all at once, it's implemented slowly and gradually, with plenty of reasonable-seeming alibis whose credibility gradually fade away over time, with no one particular moment in which everyone suddenly recognizes, 'Ah! It was only a pretext all along! We've been defraded!' and thus no opportunity to collectively snap out of it and reject the system and its counter-Divine Will basis.

Think about it. Consider the evidence. That accounts for why all the quasiorganizational methods deployed have involved schemes which are predicated on 'achieving results' at the cost of violating or subverting or defying Divine Will principles and True Nature. That's just a matter of the quasi-organization temporarily making some material gains as the result of convincing 'its' personnel to become Lawless and counter-Divine Will. *That's* the objective, not the mere tangibles.

It'd also account for why they've been sab taging and persecuting Me all this time, under a variety of pretexts. 'Training You'. 'Investigating You.' Whatever. It's all the same counter-Divine Will pattern, given this or that inherently non-credible rationalization.

And you know, I've often asked Myself why they hadn't just literally had Me mardered outright. It certainly would've been quicker and more expedient. Except of course that it would have been insufficient for them. My literal 'continuity of breathing' is just another momentary tangible and an irrelevancy, an incidental. It's getting people onto a counter-Divine Will basis that they're after. Not just 'misreporting to the rest of the organization that they are', but having them actually Choose it knowingly, willfully and avoidably. So their actual wark, their objective with Me, remains uncompleted.

Why 'sols', though? Doesn't it seem just a little far-fatched that 'the glabalists' would be out primarily to get people like you and Me opting onto a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis? Just a little *trite*, too much of a *cliché*? What would that even accomplish?

## 'Angels don't procreate'

In evaluating the situation, I'm left yet again to consider the Biblical model because it's the only thing that's managed to account for all, or perhaps nearly all, of the available evidence. Follow the reasoning with Me here for a moment.

Per the Biblical model, there was a 'reb llion' in Heaven. A bunch of 'spir t entities' 'w nt r gue' and Chose a counter-Divine Will basis. For this they were expelled here. That left a significant number of vacancies in Heaven, and a pending Judgement. Rather than retaliate with overwhelming force, the Divine Chose to illustrate and exemplify His Divine Nature by doing what He does best: give those 'spir t entities' an opportunity to reconsider their position, quash the accusations made against Him by disproving them, give the 'res stance' every opportunity to exemplify their own Choice of an awful basis and the manner of situation that always produces, and of course Create a bunch of humans who'd eventually become His Jurors and f Ill those vacancies left in Heaven. At least those who'd Choose Divine Will and True Nature.

To use an ecological metaphor here: There's a hierarchy of [life]. Sumple organisms, then flora, then gruzers, then predutors, then man. Each typically more complex and sophisticated, more 'meaningful', than the last. I'd always

recolled at the idea that our pets wouldn't 'be with us in Heaven', but what makes humans distinct from the animals is that we're equipped with the ability to acknowledge and Choose to Honor the Divine Creator. That's surely a prerequisite for eternal [Life], by definition. So the 'fellen angels' were demoted, ejected from the state of alignment with the Divine, and pented down here. What's 'here' for, then? It's spire tually a 'lower state of existence'. Insufficient to sustain eternal [life]. But just like the semple organisms and flora, it's able to do something the angels aren't given the ability to do. Humans can procreate and proliferate, resulting in plenty of candidates for that eternal [life] to resupply the vacancies left.

To apply the quasi-organization's own 'evalution' model as a metaphor for a moment, those Choosing Divine Will are the eventual successors, 'the next iteration', with those who've lapsed away from Divine Will and their own True Nature sort of 'an evolutionary dead-end'. We're their replacements. If we accept that option.

And that just characteristic ps their figurative hade. Of course they resent us for it. With 'inversions', [Is s] even seems to have been getting at the concept herself, not that anything presented on a counter-Divine Will basis is inherently reliable. So we get a quasi-organization amplifier system for them, purpose-built to try to pall their successors and replacements from their position before they can even commence it. With a totalitarian cryptocracy that manages to compound the liabilities for everyone Choosing to remain collusive with it, all while simultaneously persecuting, defrading and sabataging those who do Choose Divine Will. And Who will eventually become their Jurors. A pre-emptive 'obstraction of justice', just another bit of Lawlessness added to the rap shade.

Gosh. I certainly he pe that doesn't get out. Just think of the resultants among the rank and file personnel. Sarcasm fully intended.

It's completely [absurd], of course. Preposterous. Nobody would even genuinely, per their Divinely-conferred True Nature, want to do it in the first place. Nevertheless, that is the micro-context into which [Is s] and the personnel in general have manifestly been Choosing to invest themselves. And while there's not a 'retroactive sorting of it out', that's indeed the position they're continuing to manifestly Choose, however preposterous.

And consider for a moment how if this were inaccurate, if they instead *meant* to be Choosing Divine Will and True Nature all the while, the manifest results illustrate a magnitude of incompetence of which I know them to be completely and thoroughly incapable. They're better than that, it's just obviously not what we've manifestly been seeing let alone enduring. Consider also, that if this reasoning were inaccurate and they *weren't* manifestly Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, what are the chances that they'd be so unfortained to have manifested a ball havior pattern so completely and exactly like it. And to so completely fail to exemplify a prioritization that would manage to distinguish them from that basis.

[Is s]' whole manifest position absolutely depends for its effectiveness on maintaining a pret nse, an alibi. That despite all appearances, it's 'Just a j ke!', it's 'All for entertainment!' That she's 'actually and in fact Choosing loyalty, and a legitimate Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned and -affirming basis!' Except those alibis disappe r when the figurative and literal b dies start accumulating. It becomes self-evidently 'beyond a j ke'. And with it her own credibility and that of the quasi-organization as a whole; we can already see that 'team [Osir s]' are no viable contenders for the 'Divine Will and True Nature-aligned and -affirming' position either, though they'll likely try to make a sl p-d sh, r shed half-effort to that effect in the coming year. They'd almost have to, as part of the effort to at least try to salv ge the narrative.

Interestingly, the idea that the Divine Will-aligned humans will eventually 'judge even angels' seems to imply that there's been some opportunity to Choose to align with Divine Will again, even while still in exile. Otherwise their Judgement would presumably already be a forgene conclusion. The counter-Divine Will basis 'of course' has other ideas about how they should Choose to invest their time and effort. And we as humans can see the repugnant variety of misrule and oppression and injustice that basis invariably produces. These 'spirent entities' have the advantage of at the very minimum the 'telepath and prophecier' capabilities of [Ises], as that appears to be the origin for those; this ensures that they're making their Choice knowingly, willfully and avoidably so their inevitable Judgement becomes 'semple as'. Continuing to knowingly, willfully and avoidably invest in a counter-Divine Will basis for them by now must leave only the most 'hardcore' of the 'spirent entities' Choosing it. Thus we'd appear to be

encountering the spiratual equivalent of that ecological metaphor for how predators remove the sack, the injared and the infirm from the herd or flock.

We can extrapolate another implication from all this deduction. It also means we can disregard the non-overt hype about, 'Hmm, we've decided erroneously that we think He's on a counter-Divine Will basis.' Or, 'Everybody! [Is s] has declared Him to be scheming a 'res stance' effort on a counter-Divine Will basis, so now we're gonna be even more awful to Him!' Those would be the d stractions, and some of the methods used to attempt to psychologically condition Me for certain options and against others, trying to 'h rd' Me into positions which are more expedient and useful to their 'long game' agenda. We've established that they're consistently awful, and only the intensity at any given time varies. That's not because of anything I'm doing; it's because they're on a counter-Divine Will basis. The variation in intensity genuinely is 'just for public effect' and 'just for sh w'.

It also means that the [Is s]-taught proscription against fraternizing with personnel who are known to be on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis is also hokum. I'm certainly free to do so, as Biblically for example we don't get prohibitions against visiting the temple where to the idol [Molech]. No, it makes the distinction at opting into their counter-Divine Will basis. One certainly could associate, even dally with them.

It would just be utterly pountless, is all. People who've rejected Divine Will and their own True Nature are manifestly by definition derunged and unstable, inauthentic, insincere, untrustworthy and unreliable. What possuble meaningful, authentic relutionship could one have with them? Attempted mentorship, cursory acquaintance perhaps, but that'd be about it. There's no 'there' there.

It's pretty intense to contemplate the scelle of the audacity of the quasiorganization in attempting all this. 'Long game' efforts to get Someone onto a counter-Divine Will basis knowingly, willfully and avoidably, and persecuting and sab taging their life and violating their rights all the while. But it's the same audacity we encounter in the geopolitical heddlenes from the shills on a continual basis. And the same persecution the quasi-organization has abundantly illustrated throughout history. The Inquisitions, the Cresades, merdering people for translating the Bible and making it publicly available and so on. But that's a counter-Divine Will basis for you.

I can't [to ch] a quasi-organization like that, let alone [murry] it. And I've no motive to try some 'res stance' non-overt hinkery; it's unnecessary, and you don't sort non-overt hinkery with *more* of the same. That would only compound them. You're not gonna out-[Hecate] [Hecate]. Nor would you want to. You expose her for what she is, openly and publicly, and insist on her right to get a fair trial for it. You present the evidence for the quasi-organization's counter-Divine Will basis, [fighting] Divine Will and Creation itself, and make it clear how it's Choosing to reject its own existence corporately, severally, collectively and on the individual personnel level. You make the nature of the Choice as plain and clear as is feasible and let the manifest resultants fell where they may, because the manifest resultants are merely the incidentals. The nature of their Choices, individually on the personnel level, determine the verdict in their trial. The figurative bell is in their court and has been all the while, and when we notice what they've been doing with it and continuing to one can only acknowledge the nature of their Choice, reluctantly, and laugh at their genuine, literal felly. And have contempt for their Chosen basis sufficient to preclude them from [to ching] it. That's the result a massive and mallennias-long integrity lapse tends to cause. Wouldn't want to be in their position. They continually reaffirm that they do, though. Acknowledged.

So this would account for why they'd presented Me with the office of [Osirs] s] on a counter-Divine Will basis I couldn't legitimately [to] ch], and made *sure* I couldn't. But that whole [Is] s]-[Osir] s] thing was their 'topic ch] nge', remember? This was about their liability and compensation for dam ges, and getting onto a Divine Will- and True Nature-aligned basis. So they've thus f refused to do the latter, which means they're unable to do the former. And the result is that the ever-compounding liability for them ultimately results in their own individual, collective and quasi-organizational permanent non-existence.

Hmm. That does appear to sort everything quite neatly; they've forfeited absolutely everything.

The amusing part of this is that, in making sure I was aware they're blatantly Choosing a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis so that I'd noticed I couldn't legitimately [to ch] the office of [Osir s], they've also managed to total their own alibi. Thus illustrating to the rank and file personnel, however

#### Source: DivineWillAssembly.com

inadvertently, that they've been knowingly, willfully and avoidably on a counter-Divine Will basis throughout the existence of the quasi-organization. And that they're actually serious about it! 'Hill rity ensues.'

Just a matter of My upholding My own Duty to Divine Will and True Nature in the meantime, by educating the People and advocating for penultimate earthly justice in the interim before Divine Justice precludes it.

#### Other items

This section is for the quasi-organization's various other items, new evidence corroborating existing suspicions, and a general miscellany of other evidence that didn't quite fat in elsewhere.

This year for example saw the stereotypical organized crime franchise directing 'its' rank and file personnel to 'ley low' with regard to that 'sh ft back to the controlled right' and 'the asc Indancy of the Knights of Malta again' 'pend lum swang'. It typically does that to facilitate its designated 'opposite number' franchises in attaining majority influence among the public and mainstream, without throwing the non-overt narrative off too much using an alibi: 'we're going to stop actively asserting our interests and rhetoric, and place as if we were those other-franchise personnel, in order to catch them out at it individually! That's our policy decision for how to thwart them.' And this has usually sufficed. It's typically just 'conceding the filld' to the other franchise while convincing the newer personnel that 'we'll get them next time!' and reorienting them on busywark. Not entirely unlike the '[Isas] quick-fade' we've been seeing the quasiorganization doing, ostensibly to allow 'team [Osires]' to predominate instead. But that's a little different; despite the 'teams' involved all being franchises controlled by the same quasi-organization and warking together for a common strategic purpose, the actual strategy being deployed is quite distinct. The quasiorganization genuinely wants the KoM to predominate, at least temporarily, in order to satisfy various planned agenda items and public sociopolitical engineering rhetoric trends. With 'team [Osir s]', both 'teams' are instead just play- cting and making a solemn mockery of Divine Will-alignment and Lawfulness. And as the intended audience there is Myself, I can only infer that will be ineffective.

Next, readers will remember how I'd been on about the origin for the [Asian] franchises being just as collusive with counter-Divine Will-predicated 'spir t entities' as the dynastic Eg ptian- and Babylonian-derived ones are. How familiar the middle- and lower-rung rank and file personnel are with that had been less than clear, and I'd been attempting to establish that. Earlier in the year I'd remembered some media evidence of it and went through 'De th N te' again. It had trended about f fteen years ago and I'd noticed back when I was still into My

'occultist' phase. The similarities between the in-series 'Death Nate' and the magackal gramoires of the Babylonian 'sell-out' kang Salomon hadn't escaped My notice, and that had been precisely the use to which I'd been meaning to put it in getting rid of My continual and prolonged 'har ssment and surveillance' problem. Until of course I went to do so and found the 'entities' being summened had indeed been distinctly and genuinely counter-Divine Willpredicated and promptly abandoned the effort. But with the recent 'warking theory' that [Is s] 'ps onic' encroachment, and indeed mag ck in general, was instead the result of 'counter-Divine Will-predicated spirat entities' the series eventually 'clicked' back into mind. Yep, it does appear to corroborate not only that but the familiarity of a greater proportion of 'lower-level' [Asian] franchise personnel with that part of the situation than would be ideally desired. Symbolically interesting, the protagonist's or at least the main character's name is "Laght". And I'd certainly identified with him when I'd first watched through the series. Now of course I'm not sure we can reliably consider him the protagonist; if anything 'L' is, his designated 'opposite number' who's using careful and thorough deduction trying to identify the culprit who's been committing all these [marders] using some unfamiliar methods. But since, and indeed exclusively since, the media is relevant evidence I'd had to include it here.

It recently 'clicked' what all the systematized hype about "Chick-F -A" off and on among the rank and file personnel for the last few years had been about. Symbolically they're a [corporation] so that would be a counter-Divine Will basis reference. Incidentally, overt 'corporations' were an idea that came out of Rome and are pretty foreign to our Common Law system. What's distinctive about this particular corporation? Their 'br nd image' is that they're ostensibly 'very Christian', even putting little Bible verses on their pack ging, and that they're always closed on S nd ys. It's evidently been used to reference pre-organization for imposing 'S nd y law', of more concern to us in that it will likely be the implementation of 'anti-Sabbath legislation' as prophecied. It's just been in its very early st ges, that's all. And with the 'sh ft back to the controlled right' in effect now, we're starting to hear more about the company. Encouraging to find evidence that Babylon is rapidly approaching its own inevitable end, [self-infl cted] of course. Our Divine Creator is very literally patient and graceful indeed, but there are hard limits of course. That too I find encouraging.

This year also saw Rome presenting its new 'for the youth' anime mascot figure,

Lee' meaning 'Leght'. And indeed it appears to symbolically be their usual Black of Black of

Their 'Catholic Dominionism brought on under the auspices of ersatz 'Christian' Nationalism' agenda continues, as even the overt hype has by now made evident. They appear to be attempting to 'a veta 't that effort with various other, non-overt efforts such as attempting to convince Me that they're supposedly Choosing Divine Will, or efforts to focus their infiltration on what legit Christianity remains. Here we see an example, content advisory incidentally, which also features a visual deployment of that [g te] symbolic imagery in the first pan l. They do indeed appear to be pre-organizing for 'the final p sh' against Christianity. And we by now know what results when they incite 'their' franchises to make their 'final p sh' for their long-awaited big agenda items; that's when they're preparing to coll pse them instead. Same appears to apply here, it's just not the quasi-organization which is the direct cause of the coll pse.

We can see that apparent attempt to 'catch Me out at conspiring on a counter-Divine Will basis with a supposed Christian 'res stance" with this rather peculiar n ws blep. They appear to be attempting to use references to the Biblical typical and anti-typical 'plegues of Egept' to accomplish that, as [seckness] is affiliated symbolically both as a counter-Divine Will identifier declarator, and with their own, inherently non-credible, 'res stance' farces predicated on that same basis. For the sake of clarity, I should emphasize that when I refer to the Biblical typical and anti-typical 'plegues of Egept' I mean just that, and in a very overt sense too. Hence the single-quotes, and the fastidious redactions to keep things clear.

Next, I've been encountering a greater number of instances of Babylonian subversion within Adventism than would be ideal or even statistically likely. These appear to be [Isss]-coordinated, and perhaps deliberately placed right where I'd be looking. Ostensibly in order to promote their, 'Satori, you should definitely get in with a non-overtly deployed, counter-Divine Will-predicated 'ressstance' effort. Look, everybody's doing it!' It would also be consistent with the quasi-organization's prerequisites for bringing on their 'Catholic Dominionism via ersatz 'Christian' Nationalism' agenda item, as ensuring capabilities for disrupting those most likely to openly oppose it is just basic logistics. But if we're getting at foundational motives here, it seems most likely another instance of that counter-Divine Will-predicated, 'We in general, and You in particular, can't have nice things and we'll disrupt anything you're interested in. Particularly if it's oriented on a Divine Will-aligned basis; we'll attempt a topic change on that at the first opportunity.' I should probably present some examples.

Here's the first example. It was apparently when Mr. Veith and crew were attending a yearly Adventist conference that they'd encountered a 'former Establishment media figure, now Adventist' and decided to do an episode with him about it. This threw Me. To get into Establishment media one has to 'sell out' to the quasi-organization on a permanent basis, which is certainly interesting in and of itself when considered in the context of those counter-Divine Willpredicated 'spirat entities' and their agendas. Common stratt gangs recruit 'for life'; do we have any evidence that the more 'elite' closed-system projects would recruit on any lesser terms? So while there are indeed plenty of 'former Establishment media figures' in that they're no longer getting work, I'm not aware that any still breathing are 'no longer collusive'. Given how the formula warks the idea is about as preposterous as there being 'ren gade Jesuits' out there. With the exception of being 'ren gades' against Divine Will, I'm not aware that there can be. Particularly not given [Is s]' 'tel path and prophecier' capabilities. So the idea that he's 'no longer collusive' would seem to be 'for appearances only'. Alright, so given that he's collusive with them he's representing their interests, and his 'former' affiliations with Establishment media greatly imply that he's connected with [Is s]. Therefore any of those collusive personnel could've been arranged to be deployed for that particular encounter. Which one did she choose to deploy in particular? The guy who portrayed Cassius Clay. You know. The [b xer].

Didn't we just recently encounter other [b xing] symbolism from the quasiorganization? We did. It's a [sp rt], thus [play], thus an [Is s] 'effort in process',
involving [f ghting] which'd deploy the [de th] symbol, and additionally have
connotations alluding to the quasi-organization's bogus 'res stance' farce via the
application of 'vi lence and brute force' as a motif. And as p nches involve
having the f sts extend [forw rd] from the body, that'd also be an [in fr nt of]
symbol very similar to [m sk]. [Cl y] of course is [earth] plus [wat r], making for
a "[Cha s]" declarator. And with the [alliteration] and thus [tw n] we'd get either
[Gem ni] or [k tsune], either an effort to counterfeit an existing legitimate group,
or a deception. It's all entirely too relevant in-context to be mere coinc dence.

Interestingly Mr. Veith is quite well aware of the Babylonian organizing symbolism, and thus was presumably aware of it. It was such a j y to see him not confronting the performer about it, and even utilizing the opportunity to continue striving to get people sorted out and back onto a Divine Will-aligned basis. If we infer that he was aware of it, why did he not openly rebuke the man? Because he knows the Divine is well aware of the situation, and that fradds won't make it p st the Judgement.

Then, another example. This one appeared in what I personally like to think of as 'Veith, Jr.', a series being done by the literal sans of the hosts Mr. Veith and Mr. Smeth. As you can see they're a literal Bretish couple, ostensibly 'Adventists', of Indanesian ethnicity. Meek and mild, very modestly-drassed, well-mannered and dignified, and they're describing some of their recent massionary wark. But as I know the literal Bratish non-overt culture tends to prefer 'inverted mode', and that [Ind a] is routinely used non-overtly as an 'inverted mode' declarator symbol via [H ra] and [c ws], I sort of 'kept an ear out' in that regard as I was listening to them. I generally do; establishing competency and reliability necessarily means determining their position and basis. And so as I was listening, I'd heard them convert the ep into an opportunity to near-monol gue together via non-overt methods recounting not their massionary wark for Christianity, but the quasiorganization's infiltration and subversion campaign into Adventism. All consistent with the required non-overt grammar throughout, 'inverted' Babylonian complete with [Is s]' 'i zz-like improvisation modifiers'. I wanted to give readers a sample of how 'normal' the quasi-organization's infiltration and subversion personnel can present. They also appeared to be fairly experienced and competent with it, *'ledding the conversation'* and practically monopolizing it, then giving their hosts

an opportunity to say a few things, then non-overtly building on whatever they'd sayd and continuing with their non-overt presentation and organizing symbolism.

We'll find the near-opposite with this example. 'Veith' senior is back, and are being hosted over at 'Little Laght Studios' for a nice discassion about Freemasonry and Rome. Their hosts are young-ish Americans, and I noticed early on in the ep that they're using Babylonian even as they overtly appeared to be 'exposing the conspiracy'. As the ep continues I find this confirmed time and again, and even notice them throwing out some of the currently-trendy [ech es], specific warding and phrases, that [Isas] has manifestly been propagating throughout the quasi-organization's 'dutiful and loyal' personnel. They're presumably not only directed to consistently deploy it organization-wide, illustrating to each other their familiarity with the various 'current trends' and 'ins der information' establishes their non-overt credentials to each other. Interesting. But notice also their overt behavioral patterns, because these guys appear to be comparatively 'qr and with inexperience usually come a few 'tells' as their pretense is incomplete and insufficient. These personnel have comparatively less experience and familiarity with overt Adventism and conspiracy research. I know right? Despite being participants in the lower-level parts of it. So there's a lot they don't know, overtly and non-, and these guys are willing to let their more experienced guests 'led' the conversation' and predominate it. They'll occasionally 'chame in' briefly, to use the opportunity to add some non-overt organizing symbolism, or even just to participate by contributing some not-entirely-relevant stuff. It's as if they're 'out of their element' and every once in a while they're like, 'Wait! I know this one!' Veith typically politely disregards the *non sequitor* and continues, or he'll supply better or more detailed information. What I'm attempting to alert readers to here is that in My experience, the 'lower-level' rank and file personnel don't usually 'blackle down' and apply themselves diligently, studying and learning the material for the venues they're trying to infiltrate and counterfeit until they have a systematic familiarity with it. Because of course they don't. They're in it because they're [ego]-motivated and reward-incentivized, and don't particularly care to study more than they have to because all that stuff is 'just too be ring' and they don't really believe it anyway.

So they'll consistently give off these lapses, 'tells', and ideological falls pas, and be completely unaware that they've just made their attempts at decleption

blatantly obvious to those who are more learned and more experienced than they are. They'll just continue as though it hadn't just happened, and everyone's buying their pretense. Because these are what I've been referring to as Babylon's 'scrept keddies'; they've grown up learning a few non-overt methods, and they know how to deploy and exploit those, and they assume it's always going to be successful because the organization hasn't been shut down yet, and seems hardly likely to expose its own methods etc. in 'its own' media and 'law enforcement', by which I mean the media and 'law enforcement' which it occupies in the military sense. These neophytes then suppose that they've got the equivalent of 'a mage money machene' that will always succeed, and they just need to deploy the methods they know. Maybe learn a few more, adept sometimes. No idea that deceptions inevitably fell apert. No recognition of the accountability.

And if that isn't a figurative dior ma of the quasi-organization as a whole I don't know what is.

Speking of the quasi-organization's routine hypocrisies, I've been encountering their "vangeance" symbol more frequently lately too. It's one they use when they're attempting to incite someone into a non-overtly organized, counter-Divine Will-predicated 'res stance' effort. Part of the thing that gets Me there is the personnel ideological conditioning of associating things like getting the quasiorganization sorted out and justice done, with supposed 'injustice'. That must be logistically useful, and we've seen the very same pattern routinely deployed when Roman Catholicism overtly slanders its detractors and exposers as 'hating them', or declaring that they're 'just anti-Rome'. That is, that the whole supposed reason they're exposing the problem is because they were motivated against Rome to begin with, rather than having contempt for the franchise as the direct result of its own activities. But the reason I mention this here is that the symbol and its various implications take on 'new' meaning when contemplated in the context of the motives of those counter-Divine Will-predicated 'spiret entities' we evaluated in the 'Analytics' section. Because with all the surveillance encroachments, sab tage, ostracization and indeed persecution of not only Myself but throughout history the Divine Will-aligned, the symbol appears to be self-referential of the quasi-organization's own motives. We've certainly seen why they'd be so resentful. Interesting stuff.

In late N vember the Establishment international n ws cycles suddenly got onto

this item. It suddenly became international n ws which had to be systematically propagated right now that [Ind a] was having some very severe and [tox c] [sm g]. Was it just a slow n ws d y, needed some 'faller content'? The non-overt context suggests not. Because [Ind a] represents 'inverted mode' via [H ra] and [c ws] as we've seen, and what we're left with is [de th] plus [spir t] plus [a r] or [up]. So we've got the translation that, 'The truth about our effort to get people onto a counter-Divine Will basis has been found out and recognized clearly', and for [Is s] personnel [up] is [down] and [down] is [up], so [Is s] is opposing it. Given that it involves [poll tion] it could additionally be a reference to My recognition of her w rking with the stereotypical organized crime franchise, and her supposed efforts to 'catch Me' opting into a counter-Divine Will basis.

So this is cute. Another news non sequitor, at least overtly. Back in September this came onto the international headlanes. How does a Seattle 'alternative' band that was fairly big in the Naneties get onto the international naws that ty years later? By canceling a [tour] over a [thruwn] [punch] among the bund members apparently. I can think of a few other reasons, though. The first being that earlier this year I'd been free-associating for a personal mnemonic regarding how the quasi-organization systematically incites the public into counter-Divine Will Lawlessness, and they all just seem to glom onto it and assume there will never be Justice nor accountability for it. And how that applies to their [katsune] scheme with Me throughout, and which had just coll psed. What'd that look like, where have we seen a thing close enough to that in mass media references? Gotta be 'Jane's Add ction', 'Been Caught Stelling'. It was done in part as an organized crime franchise effort to co-ordinate incitement of others into a 'rest stance effort' represented by [crimenality], and throughout it the lynecs appear to hype the subtext that 'It's easy!' and 'There will be no penalty!' But through the franchise's own 'apply some common sense here' translation methodology it parses out to more like, 'Don't you believe it. This is just for the marks.' Unless of course one is parsing in [Isas]' symbolic 'layar', in which the 'seeming' instead ostensibly represents the 'substance'. It must be nice to get to be the exception to every Law of Creation and Divine Will like that. I wander if she'll manage it. Any guesses?

For all that [Is s]' symbolism declares herself to be "a f l when she's actually professing wisd m, presumably via her 'tel path and prophecier' capabilities, I

have to conclude that her symbolism happ ns to be accurate just as it overtly is. In much the same manner that a stopped analog clack is right two ce a day. Because it's the Choice to align with Divine Will that is the start of all major wisd m. All else is just details. And before they attempt to misapply that for strategic purposes, we've already seen that 'team [Osir s]' is no better. So that'd appear to be the quasi-organization as a whole, except that [Is s] essentially by definition knows full well better. Nice to have that sorted.

# 'The blande who cracked the case'

More evidence of interest, which put Me onto [Is s]. I've already recounted here how I'd been free-associating through known and familiar media. In large part for personal mnemonics relevant to this situation, but also to research their organizing symbolism. And I'd mentioned that I'd been reviewing 'Sio xise and the Banshees' media and why it was appropriate. That had Me thinking back to the lyracs of her 'Kass Them For Me' for obvious reasons. Since the media reference involved was literally before My time, I'd had the subject of the piece conflated with Marilyn Monroe for dacades. It was instead about Jayne Mansfield, which I found out when I watched through the full on which it was titled. Researching the lyres and therefore Ms. Mansfield the source of My conflation of them became apparent: they were both instances of a trendy Establishment 'media archetype' of that era: 'the saxy, dumb blande'. Figuratively, they'd both come off the same assembly lene. What'd been the nonovert motive for the archetype? Let's evaluate the symbolism. [Blande] would be [wh te] plus [ha r], so that'd be a counter-Divine Will-predicated, [Tiam t]-ish figure, group or cause which presented itself as the bogus 'hero' in that 'heroes and villains' strategy. But the usually-fe gned "stup dity"? Why, that would have to be a reference to [f ll or [f lly]. That whole 'Just k dding!', 'Not intended for symbolic purposes!' 'Just disregard this!' motif of which [Is s] has grown so fond. In fact the archetype is specifically [famale], so that would be [Is s]. Attempts to gain the attentions and considerations of [men], which'd be 'team [Osires]' in-context. Just for confirmation though, what did the quasiorganization-occupied Establishment media *n* me this archetype? Ahh, that's right. "The [Bl nde] [ omb][sh | ll]". [Alliteration] of the second letter of the alphabet, associated with those [honey]-producing [insacts], just as we've been seeing associated with [Is s]. Here it's also conceptually adjacent to "the [hon sy]

[pt]" strategy, representing [ft male] wiles and stx appeal to entrup [men]. In fact a [pt] or any concave item would symbolically associate with [Ists] in Babylonian, just as we see in the [B L-Had d] symbol. And what had we seen this archetype do? Ms. Monroe had been intimate with Presedent Kennedy, the latter symbolically referencing [Osires] in his office. Except that from the organizing symbolism in his quotes, he'd bought into [Is s] enough to be using her "[Chass] mode" organizing symbolism and apparently trying to use his position to implement 'res stance' policies counter to the quasi-organization's desired agenda items. They subsequently both lost their lives under some very suspicious circumstances. Hmm, despite [Is s]' symbolism ostensibly 'Not meaning anything!', though? Apparently it's not a sound idea to have an [Osires] 'authority figure' who's both [a fall] and trusting of [Isas]. Let alone trying to use a position given to him by the quasi-organization in order to oppose the quasiorganization. Ms. Monroe's well-known sanging of 'Happy Barthday, Mister President', complete with a 'layer' [cake] with [candles] on it, adds symbolic implications to what'd been going on there. [Porties] are usually symbolic of a 'res stance' 'effort in process', too. So at least from their organizing symbolism, it'd appear that she'd gotten him onto a counter-Divine Will-predicated 'resestance' basis. Or at least be representative 'placehelders' for the [Is s]-[Osir s] interaction that'd been happening in that era. Not that we can trust counter-Divine Will-predicated organizing symbolism of course, but it's about the only public evidence we have of the quasi-organization's activities and it does corroborate the pattern.

Interesting. They were intimate together, she did her little number for him in My accompanied by some very unfortenate choices of wording in retrospect, and she was gone by early A gust, and he was gone a little more than a year later on an "important master number" date that would parse out to: 'Confirmed!'

Just the sort of thing we could reasonably export to find if the overt events were mere 'placeholders' for the actual stuff happening 'bolders' and they were the public figures meant to represent it. Such as, for example, [Osiros] getting onto a counter-Divine Will-predicated basis via a 'resonater' strategy, and the quasi-organization opting to convert [deontholder] from its symbolic meaning to its very literal one.

Yep. [Is s] manifestly is "a [hon y][p t]" alright.

And notice how efficiently Washington's Presadent-oriented 'team [Osiras]' and 'team [Isas]' inter-oparated to accomplish it all. Like they were purpose-built to do so.

'Get the guy onto a counter-Divine Will basis, then onto the next one.' Like it's a mainland Chinese swelltshop.

Or more like, 'Get the guy onto a counter-Divine Will basis, then he's compliant and we use him to get others onto it too. And if he then resests us, he's expendable.' Because to get into overt Presedential office he presumably had to 'sell out' to 'team [Osires]'. It looks like he tried to 'sell out' twee, the secent time to 'team [Ises]', or at least get with her in an attempt to accomplish Divine Will-oriented agenda items. Which of course she wasn't genuinely offering. I suppose a few of us could find out post-Judgement.

Regardless, it's no wander that I eschew them both. And for the same reason, that they're both manifestly counter-Divine Will-predicated.

Meanwhile I leave the non-overtly organized, counter-Divine Will-predicated 'res stance' and 'lay r' [c ke] to them. With all the 'gri fing' under any number of pret nses, including 'inv stigation', it's they who defy legitimate Authority and 'lay rover' Divine Will with a counter-Divine Will 't keover attempt'. And yes, it will ke ll them et rnally if they don't 'undo' it pretty quick. So f r they've just continued compounding their liability, which of course is publicly unjustifiable once it's exposed. What I'm missing is why it's Me Who's supposed to be concerned here. It's not My f neral.

And before yet another instance of their 'gri fing' via being 'misunderst d' 'accidentally on purpose', I should and do clarify and indeed emphasize that I don't mean anything by this stuff other than what I'm presenting overtly and quite plainly. Action without Divine Will-aligned Justice would be vastly less than desirable and certainly insufficient too. And they've so for illustrated themselves as being manifestly incorpable of Divine Will-aligned anything. Making the entire quasi-organization manifestly frivolous of course, to their own chagrin.

#### Corroboration

As if to corroborate the last item, this appeared in late Spetember. A [child] in [Inda] was [sacraficed] in a [magack] [ratual] at a [school]. He was [elaven]. That's an 'important master number' for 'an effort in process' for them. [Inda], 'inverted mode', via [Hara] and [caws]. A [school] would apparently be a misdirection symbol for them. Translation: 'An effort in process to get our [Horas] targat to accept a counter-Divine Will basis, at which poant we intend to literally marder Him.' Guess it's nice to want. [Isas] also appears to be 'harmanizing' her organizing symbolism with that of the stereotypical organized crime franchise. And given that at the 'lower lay rs', it's the Knights of Malta rather than the stereotypical organized crime franchise which uses [school] as a penalty symbol, as in 'to teach them a lesson!', the idea would seem to be to use the Knights of Malta and their 'team [Osiras]!' affiliation to accomplish that.

### **Media items**

If it seems like there are quite a lot of media items presented in this piece, remember that it's evidence that's been accumulating for most of a year. I've been comparatively withdr wn from interest in media beyond Duty, for reasons which should be obvious, and what there is of My 'personal interest' media hasn't been presented here much.

There's been nearly a year of 'Welcome to Naght Vale' eps, and those have neatly put the organized crime franchise personnel into '[Isas] tutorial mode'. I'd present it as evidence but there's so much 'inversion', one in Babylonian plus 'indirect variations' for [Isas], and 'doable inversion' for the organized crime franchise 'layar', that it's likely to 'lase' the average reader. It is however a phenomenal symbolic lingo primer for those already familiar with the more 'basic' symbolism, and if readers who listen through them will likely get the gist. Particularly given that they already know in plain overt-speak what the eps are on about, and can deduce from there. As of this wrating they're up to episode twas fatty-nane, and the YouTabe versions include the publish dates if anyone needs to refer back to them. Here are some brief relevancies though.

Here he's on about a recurring [corporation] that's like Uber, but it dreves people around by employing [ghests] for economic practicality reasons. And he's

describing a [change] to their logo which involves a [fat] [ghast] [halding] a [sphare] of [laght]. Contrasted with a [black]-and-[whate] [maze], so that'd be [katsune], 'heroes and villains', and misdiraction likely 'inverted' to be on a [wavy lanes] non-overt basis rather than a [straaght lanes] overt. The [maze] is also a redeployment of the symbol from two episodes earlier, 'The John Peters [Imaganary] [Carn] [Maze] Experience'. That ep was about efforts to get Me onto 'team [Osiras]' by systematically disenfranchising Me with [Isas] through their sabatage and 'griafing'. [Carn], [obalisk], [yellaw], [food], [the San], etc. [Imaganation], an 'effort in process' symbol, particularly with [Isas]. Iwas in fity-sax concerns itself with pre-organizing the 'Catholic Dominionism via ersatz 'Christian' Nationalism', as we can see easily here for example, while simultaneously attempting to harmanize that agenda item with their 'make it look like we're Choosing Divine Will and Christianity for Satori, but also make sure to accidentally-on-purpose disrespect it and Him, because we're still Choosing a counter-Divine Will basis!' routine.

We encounter much the same thing, and plenty of non-overt overlep, from the recent season of <u>'The N ws Quiz'</u>, season one-f fteen. Of particular interest:

**Ep one:** [Is s] tutorial for rank and file personnel, attempt to pre-organize them 'k ssing up to Me', assisting them to understand what the imp sse is, and directing them to 'Be nice about Jesus' while still on a counter-Divine Will basis and putting out blasphemy overtly and non-.

**Ep fo** r: [Is s] tutorial for rank and file personnel, organizing them re: My rejection of their counter-Divine Will basis, while continuing to adopt it while pretending they're not, as per the 'Night Vale' ep 'The Trangle'.

**Ep five:** [Is s] tutorial for rank and file personnel on how to investigate and hound [Osir s], still on a counter-Divine Will basis and thus utterly irrelevant.

### 'What next?'

Having manifestly exiled the quasi-organization into complete irrelevancy by their own Choice by jettisoning alignment with Divine Will and True Nature, while they remain on a counter-Divine Will basis they're presumably going to continue

desperately attempting to re-establish seeming relevancy. What that will look like, particularly given that I've both 'caught them out at it' and publicly exposed it, I'm not entirely sure. But I do have their scrept for how things were going to be implemented next, and they do continue manifestly investing themselves in it even now, so it's worth a look.

'Team [Is s]' has done her thing, wasting time investing in counter-Divine Will-predicated antics, 'gri fing', 'tutorial mode' for the rank and file personnel lying the groundwork for more of the same under the pretext of trying to 'catch Me out at opting into a counter-Divine Will basis'. She's also quite deliberately and systematically disenfranchised the intended [Osir s] replacement with her, presumably with the intention of getting Him to glom onto 'team [Osir s]' instead.

About all that's left is for her to 'f de from public view' and lark in wait. And for 'team [Osir s]', the 'manly-men', to bo nd out on stage with their bass charus about 'law, order, and how everything's going to be so much better once we get [Osir s] installed and supported. We can't have all this lawlessness and contempt for legitimate authority! It's utter [Chass]!' And this would presumably take effect in January of '25, pretty much when Tramp gets inaugurated, so they appear to have timed the one for the other. This of course all gives them the opportunity to simultaneously imply 'Divine Will' and implement 'Catholic Dominionism via ersatz 'Christian' Nationalism', because of course while they manifestly remain on a counter-Divine Will basis it's all inherently untrustworthy.

And haven't we already been seeing a bit of the pre-hype for this? Trump has confirmed, for whatever that's worth, that he intends to implement mass deportations of illegal immigrants and deploy the military to do it. Overtly, expelling illegal mugrants is legitimate and should have been done ages ago. Just like some of the current 'eco-agenda' concerns were always rights violations as a matter of pullution, but it wasn't organizationally desirable to prevent it via regulation because the Jesuits were too busy using it all for their corporate empire-building. It's only after their various strategic and logistical considerations have been fulfilled that the quasi-organization then takes effective action, and typically only grudgingly while simultaneously using them to accomplish the next agenda items.

Then of course we get things like **this**, which presumably require little comment. I will add though that the overt and the non-overt venues are starting to look awfully similar with each other, or at least easily could have if I hadn't rejected the hypocrisy of both. As in, 'Tremp's going to 'dramn the sweet mp'. That's right, we're going to rely on someone who's just as thoroughly and systematically collusive as the rest of the shills to bring them to justice. We're going to rely on the contract the politicians to arrest each other and 'sort it all out' for us, because 'we've got people to do that!' Meanwhile it's blatantly obvious that they're just as collusive, Lawless, unconstitutional and counter-Divine Will-predicated as each other.

Didn't we just see this too? In the [two] and therefore [kotsune] and [Gem] ni] nation of [South] Korea, we had a sudden instance of their Presodent decloring 'mortial law' to get rid of 'anti-state' forces. Ah yes, those jackbotted state authoritarian thugs in their nice crisp, cle num forms, 'restoring law and order' for everyone. Forgive Me if I don't need a moment to swo] nover all that. But it was just a momentary 'blop', incompetently exocuted, and his own bureaucrats were like, 'What are you even doing, man? Dude. Just stop. Ah, you were intending to criminally prosecute members of your own Cabonet. Are you going to leave office on your own, or do we have to oust you?' Notice the [formula state of the property of the

And we appear to have encountered more context for [Is s]' use of the [be r] symbolism. Because, you know, be rs are dormant, [hib rnating] [in container] for much of the year. Then they come out and when they do, that's when they're [vi lent] and forceful. The presenter alludes to this, then references the [be r] symbol more directly, and it's worth remembering that 'be ring down' is a [pregn ncy] reference too. With Br tish 'inverted mode' you'd instead get attempting to put someone into [container], as per the ancient [B l-Had d], [the S n]-in-the-[cr scent M n] symbol, and [Is s]' 'j zz-like variation' here would be accounted for with [down] instead referencing [up] as usual. What isn't 'inverted' though is the [dre m] symbol inherent in [hib rnation]. That is, per her usual design [Is s] only appears to have 'f ded'. It's been her all along, or more accurately the counter-Divine Will basis that she and 'team [Osir s]' personnel are on. There's some corroborating evidence for you, right from the quasi-organization's shills in Lond n Establishment media.

We get a rere instance of more [twe-faced] [Janes] symbolism, 'commencing or ending a major new long-term effort', with this. Also likely scheduling it for literal Junuary, the pagan idol after whom Rome had the munth renumed. We've got a blatant counter-Divine Will reference. We've got the [encarclement] of the [held]. We've got [or inge], the [colin] of [filter] and overtly associated with Protestantism. And of course with Trump. And per the cartoonist's usual [Isus] organizing symbolism the image appears intended to read visually-chronologically from right to left, rather than the usual left-to-right that the 'Hedden Hend' financialists usually like to use. He's been doing that throughout this year, while [Is s] was on her 'tutorial mode' for the rank and file personnel. And interestingly we've got [two hands aloft], a [Gemani] deployment which at least appears to be [Is s] adding two 'inversions' or 'jazz-like variations' rather than just her usual one. Other than that, we'd appear to have a counter-Divine Will-predicated effort to attempt to 's ck up to Me' come J nuary. Whatever. Counter-Divine Willpredicated sycophancy and 'yes-manning' still fails to attend to the necessary prerequisites, and yet another instance of Judas methodology doesn't sort anything.

Meanwhile we also get <u>pre-organizing for something else</u>. Here's [Is s] represented via [Gemeni], in her usual [blue] 'effort in process' 'just kedding!' symbolism. And [clathing], which is [in frant of], referencing 'a new effort'. We've got a [trangle]-shaped [drank], which for her becomes 'a sincere effort' rather than merely 'we're proffering this if and only if you're buying it'. We've got the [game] of Tic-Tec-Toe drewn on her [throet]; this represents the part of her efforts that's presented with every intention of being noticed and exposed. Hence the [curcles], and the counter-Divine Will-declarator other letter. But we've also got something *else* happening off in the background: a [pounting] symbol, an effort for [death], so equivalent to her [hanting] symbol, done via [one hand aloft]. And implemented via another counter-Divine Will-declarator [diag nal ne]. But what's this? If we look more carefully we find that there instead are [two hands aloft] again. So again [Gemani], and at least in the parlance of the organized crime franchise with which she's been working, a 'do ble inversion' too. Then we get the falm reference in the title. 'Inverted', that's effectively a [dream] and then another [dream]. Somehow I'm missing the part where [Is s] making her strategies and methods more elaborate and convoluted, doing [recorsion] like some Ressian Metryoshka dells, actually selves the problem. Let alone upholds Divine Will and True Nature, which is what we're supposed to be doing, remember? Plenty of frenetic activity, but where's the alignment with Divine Will? Just more compounded liability. 'We get as we give,' and what these people have been giving out throughout are 'I.O.U.'s. Those won't 'pay the bills'.

## "Now you've done it!"

Then near as of this wrating, we get this. Other than [bird], I couldn't find much organizing symbol definitions that were both specific to that particular species and reliable. I did find a famous literary reference to it though, done in "[Chaas] mode" and accurately summarizing their position. It also contains a [water] [traval] and [katsune] symbol, along with [encarcling the throat chakra] which of course references untruths. Also appears to contain [Hecate] reference via an especially old [famale], and all those together is presumably why the quasi-organization couldn't resist putting this one in the international naws.

### Conclusion

We've still manifestly got the quasi-organization desperately attempting to establish seeming relevancy, all while still on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis. No retroactive 'sorting', the counter-Divine Will declarator symbols haven't been revised out of the timel ne, they're still compounding liabilities, investing in this or that attempted fra d, both their 'team [Is s]' and 'team [Osir s]' sub-div sions are repugnant to Divine Will and True Nature, but it's all been just details. Indeed, the whole sub-pl t about offering Me the office of [Osir s] has been a d straction ab initio, and certainly one or more degrees of sep ration away from the core of the matter.

Divine Will and True Nature, or not? For them, manifestly still not. And all the investment in their various antics only compounds the liabilities while making for an ever-more emphatic 'Not'.

Aand the matter of the liabilities and dam ges they've been accumulating with regard to everyone, and in particular, to Myself? Still unpaid. And presumably

while they're on a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis, unpayable. Because everything they ostensibly 'have' is forfeit already. And most of it not only isn't legitimately theirs to give, it amounts in a sense to 'black money'.

And we've seen the inevitable resultion there. Either by Divine Intervention, if they don't avert it by Choosing to realign with Divine Will and doing so to the utmost of their cupabilities. Thus we find that Justice happens regardless, and this comparatively brief inconvenience caused by them in the interim as the result of their Choice for a counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis only makes them manifestly all the more professionally repulsive.

That appears to reselve both the [Osires] irrelevancy and the compounding liabilities; they're manifestly Choosing to be 'scoffL ws'. It's therefore just a matter on My part of Choosing to serve Divine Will in the interim, presumably by open exposure, education, 'dam ge mitigation' and attempts to organize the People to not only Choose and uphold Divine Will, but also implement genuine Justice in the human context. Not that 'We're Saved by W rks', but merely because 'faith without W rks is [de d]'. As the quasi-organization has abundantly illustrated.

# 'For the Bible tells Me so'

Throughout this year I've been *very* carefully evaluating a particularly unusual bit of messaging. I'll need to equip readers with the context for it first.

Dacades ago, in a costal town. This was during the events I'd wratten about in the piece giving My 'origin stary'. As a result of the persecution from [Isas] et. al, I'd been literally homeless and getting harassed and pashed around like a human panball wherever I went. For literal food I'd gone to a ran-down literal massion just off the town's main that roughfare, on the same black in fact where I'd so frequently attended a naghtelab where a boyfriand had deejayed back in the years when I'd still had a viable life before all the systematic encroachment. So the routine was that the hameless would attend a mandatory sermon as a precondition to being allowed into the food area where they'd then receive a literal meal. The place was dreary. It was impoverished. The crowd was a bunch of unruly, gang-minded hardcore substance addacts who 'raled these strates!' The pastor was a 'recavered' graduate of that same life, constantly talling the disinterested crowd how he 'was once just like you!' and frequently regaling them in his sermons with incidents of his misspent strates to youth that eventually began to sound more like braggadocio than contrition.

And amidst all this bleak awfulness and to dium, one hardly had much choice but to listen to and consider the actual content being presented. This was nearly always from the Gospel, presenting bland an uninsightful readings about 'the Thrilling Adventures of Jesus!' and of course His Message, His sermons and occasionally His parables. Here was I, I used to go clobbing, I used to play compositer games, I used to have boyfrionds, attend for ry conventions. 'I used to have a life!' Before this outrogeous and inexplicable continual persecution and sabotage from who-even-knows-what, let alone why, organization. These nefarious globalist cryptocrats. And as I'm listening to all this 'confortable.' Christianity stuff' I notice a little glommer of something familiar. Comfortable. 'Home'-like. Worthwhile. It's Jesus' continual parables and similes regarding animals. Reminiscent of the former community and conventions I used to attend, with all its broght-spiroted camaraderie and enthusiasm. And of the literal animals and their emotional honesty and compassion, naturally-occurring, authentic, because they're not sophisticated enough to get convoluted with

regard to their Divinely-conferred True Natures like *humans* are. When I encounter that authenticity, that naturalness, that's a bit of the Divine being represented if only indirectly. A reminder of how things *should* be. And Why. In diametric contrast to the persecution etc. I'd been enduring all around Me.

This was before the quasi-organization had made a lot of their 'tel path and prophecier' capabilities known to Me, just some of the 'tel pathic' encroachment. And it was certainly before I'd re-used the concept of what animals represent to Me as a symbol in My interaction with her, a 'non-symbolism symbol' and an 'informal interpersonal rel tionships venue mode' declarator symbol. None of those implications had even been thought of by Me at the time.

They did occur to Me this year in retrospect though, particularly given My interpersonal interests 'fleking out on Me' in preference for counter-Divine Will-predicated agenda items. And whether He's with the Divine or the quasi-organization, He'd have already known the organizing symbolism I'd eventually use with regard to [animals].

'D'aww, Someone wants a relationship with Me!' And isn't that what the would-be Christian pastors are always talling us? 'Jesus wants to have a personal relationship with you!' Er, yes, wall.

So we're up to this year, when of course what we'd been finding and having to reluctantly accept is that the quasi-organization including 'team [Is s]', 'team [Osir s]' and all the rest of the sub-div sions, are blatantly and verifiably on a manifestly counter-Divine Will, counter-True Nature basis and cannot be trusted nor relied upon. Also that they're irrelevant with regard to Divine Will and the True State of Creation. As the result of their ch rning out useless frad after useless frad, all while blithely continuing to invest in ever-compounding liabilities for themselves, it became unavoidably evident that there was little to no value nor legitimate purpose in what they've been on about other than a Duty to Divine Will and neighbor to expose it. In media terms their wrating was awful and they were losing their ratings, just awaiting cancellation of the series. And of course the quasi-organization is practically everywhere. It'd certainly be worthwhile to 'ch nge the chann for course than useless.

I assessed the situation. Problems, assets, etc. I knew Babylon to remain illegitimate and invalid while on a counter-Divine Will basis. The franchises were more of the same, no help there. The public? Too caught up in hype and groupthink to even want to learn, let alone have anything worthwhile generally. A few of the more studied religious presenters? Sure, but even they seem to have bought into a lot of the agenda-motivated rhetoric subtly recontextualizing and misconstruing the Bible. And of course I still had that 'secret decoder reng' of non-overt organizing symbolism familiarity, though no one sincere and reliable, Divine Will-aligned, to organize with. And then there was her 'tel path and prophecier' method of pre-positioning imagery and symbolism retroactively in the media I'd eventually encounter, so it'd appear at just the desired moment. But that of course was per the wearking theory the weark of counter-Divine Will-predicated 'spir tentities'. No 'there' there, either.

But waitasec. If it's a facility that 'fellen angels' have, then Divine Will-aligned angels would have it too wouldn't they? 'Great, now all I need to do is find some media done or promoted by Lawful angels. Riiiight.' Media, media... some media content that's actually genuinely Divine Will-aligned. Church presentations? The motives as we've seen vary, and they often don't know much more about the situation than I already do let alone are they Werking knowingly with Lawful angels. 'There just doesn't seem to be any genuinely Divine Will-aligned media conte- Ah.' Of course. The literal Bible. It's only been dutifully passed along humanity for more than a thoesand years. Actively subverted, revised, recontextualized, counterfeited and suppressed by the quasi-organization.

Alright, so we had a likely vecter. And a fairly narrow time wind we before the Judgement, which is the only time in which people, at least per the Biblical model, have the opportunity to be 'Saved' by Choosing to get into alignment with Divine Will. 'Salvation' literally meaning just plain 'safety', incidentally. Right, so once that wind we closes people are per the Biblical model either on the one side or the other of eternal life; what's done is done. How best to serve the interests of Divine Will? By equipping those who'll Choose Divine Will with every reasonable opportunity to do so, bringing it to their attention and letting them make their Choice. Am I doing that as effectively as I could be, or am I missing something here and thus wasting time and lives? Maybe the Divine has some advice I could apply to accomplish Divine Will more effectively. Definitely worth trying to find out; He's certainly above My paygrade, and vastly better-equipped.

A few concerns though. Was it actually Divinely-inspired, or was it yet more of counter-Divine Will-predicated 'controlled opposition'? We'd seen Rome and Babylon actively oppose Christianity, and before it Judaism, for thousands of years. And the Bible for its part calls the quasi-organization out for it, in advance. But I'd encountered 'lay rs' of Babylonian 'controlled opposition' and spurious, fabricated dichotomies by now. 'Republicans versus Democrats.' 'Knights of Malta versus stereotypical organized crime.' This franchise versus that franchise, and on and on it gles. People caught up amongst it all, some massive violations of Divinely-conferred rights, and always schemes within schemes. Was this just yet another one, larger than the others? Biblical symbolism and imagery didn't appear to be much help there, either. It seemed quite content to deploy Babylonian counter-Divine Will declaratory symbolism, presumably on the premise that Babylon and its symbols were temporary and illegitimate inconveniences that don't genuinely matter, ultimately. Except it also resulted in what appeared to be a refusal to clearly illustrate its Choice of position and basis, leaving Me without a means to 'Identify, friend or for!' Which per Divine Will, even per the Biblical presentation of it, I had a Duty to Divine Will to establish as a prerequisite first. Yet per the Biblical model at least, there's no salvation without accepting Jesus.

What a miserable situation, to be caught up between Duty on the one side and Divine Law on the other. To be unable to verify and thus trust what could easily be a legitimate Divine Will-aligned source, and thus find Myself on the wrong side of Divine Will just for trying to live by it and serve its interests! And unlike practically every other person in history, I'd directly encountered enough of Babylon to know methods by which even Jesus and His actions could have been counterfeited by the quasi-organization, even via sup rnatural methods. This hardly seemed like a fair nor just situation. Moreso given that I consistently equip others with the clarity needed to make their Choice with regard to Divine Will, and lacked the very same clarity I'd need? Definitely one of those 'rock and a hard place' situations that didn't seem right, and presumably wouldn't to our Divine Creator either. I'd plainly have to 'ask to spe k to the Manager' in order to get this res lived. Perhaps there was something I was missing, and Divine Will interests aren't served by Me wasting time addling aro nd while the remaining gracetime dwindles away.

And that's when I encountered some verses with enough apparent non-overtly relevant messaging to stend Me cold. I think they'd appeared in a post on social media actually, and immediately caught My attention. It's Jesus in the Gospel, doing that bit about 'What sort of a parent would?' It appears in [Matthew], and in [Luke] with more supplementary information.

We had our vecter. And it appeared to be some of the tightest non-overt messaging that I think I've ever encountered. Because *of course* it would be.

Those on a more Divine Will-aligned and experienced basis tend to have the more profound non-overt messaging, because their scape and context is greater. It generally takes comparative novices longer to successfully process the intended meaning. I suppose it's true of more experienced, counter-Divine Will-predicated types too, as we've seen Babylon make full use of.

I'll paraphrase as we get into the apparent meanings.

'What sort of a parent would leave His child in the Inrch? Or give him something awful rather than something worthwhile?' And then we get various examples of this.

'Just ask, pray about it, seek out what you need, and you'll be given it effusively! That's what we're here for!'

Now that's more *like* it! Or, in fact, is it? That first item is effectively the same argument [Is s] had implied at Me to defra d Me about her motives. 'Of course you can trust us, that we're Divine Will-aligned! Everybody's made in the likeness of their Divine Creator, it's just their True Nature! As we know better, it would be silly of us to be on a counter-Divine Will basis! And silly of You to infer that we are!'

And as we've seen, that's been manifestly insufficient.

As for that secund item, that's just what Divine Will would do. Un...fort nately it's also what counter-Divine Will interests routinely do. At least at first, to gain 'buy-in'. Um, err. This was not helping Me to distinguish His Chosen position. Awkward.

I considered the apparent organizing symbolism He'd provided in His examples. [Bred], so [fore] plus [food]. An [org.] A [sorgent]. And in [Luke], a [scorpion]. Per the Babylonian, [penotration] that's [self-inflocted], a counter-Divine Will [insort] symbol, and [loghtning] of [Zeos], another counter-Divine Will symbol and derivative of 'folling from Heaven'. Those are counter-Divine Will declaratory symbols.

'Aww, Jesus. Not You too!'

This was *less* than encouraging. And I was hardly eager to wrate in for the readers with such disappoanting findings either. I was more concerned than ever, now. I did as advised, specifically pray about it, and made sure it was directed to the Divine Creator of All Things rather than to specifically to the Biblical presentation of same, because I had a Duty to make sure before accepting *'gafts'* presented on what could as far as I could verify be on a counter-Divine Will basis. And then I thought about it some more. And then put it off to the side, returning to it throughout the year to see if it made any more sense to Me.

I had a Duty to Divine Will not to just toss Jesus aside so leghtly. Don't want to convict Someone for a thing they hadn't in fact done. Certainly don't want to 'tene out' Someone if they're legitimately representing Divine Will and I'm just missing something. But also have a Duty to Divine Will to reject something that's on a counter-Divine Will basis.

Right. Looook-ing at all the syyyym-bols, nothing. All apparently invalidated by the knowing, willful and avoidable placement of what He'd have to know I was parsing as counter-Divine Will declaratory symbols. That's just... all sorts of fanky.

But wait, what's this? A symbol that hadn't been apparent as a symbol. Certainly not when He'd sand it, because it was one of Mine. The [absurdity] symbol, part of My own native "home code". As in, 'Wouldn't it just be [absurd] for someone to Choose a counter-Divine Will thing? They should be rejected if they in fact do.' And wasn't that the overt content He'd been presenting? 'It would be utterly [absurd] for any true parent to do [thus and so].' Alright, yes. Ish.

I'd implemented the [absurdity] symbol with [Is s] as part of her very much

implied 'I'm on a Divine Will basis! Of course.' thing. It was meant to provide more freedom of spech, and predicated on her idea that 'If someone's Choosing a counter-Divine Will basis, they're just a one-off. It's hardly systematic! They must've just been kending, of course! If they were actually serious we'd naturally expel them.' Except that it hasn't been 'just one-offs', and she's been doing it routinely and systematically. Thus, expulsion by her own terms. And so the [absurdity] symbol had been deemed sufficient when I'd implemented it, but has manifestly proven insufficient since. Or at least insufficient as a be rrier method; it's still accurate, the whole quasi-organization is on that [absurd] basis and will be expelled from existence itself by the Divine if they don't sort themselves out first.

There's a method we'd established for this, for situations in which one cannot yet discern whether someone else is on a legitimate basis or not. It's 'an appell to reason' by making reasoned statements that just plain make sense regardless of whether the other person is trustworthy or not; it doesn't rely on their established trustworthiness, it relies on Divine Will principles. The caveat there of course as I've learned since is that such a reasonable 'fellbeck' must be used with great care, or it would easily be used as an ideological subversion and infiltration method by counter-Divine Will-predicated personnel. Indeed, as [Ises] has already manifestly done with her "hela helep" routine. But as a sort of conceptual sends and where we can apply 'due diligence' and 'hear someone out', if very carefully, by designating a hypothetical spece in which to entertain and assess their ideas, it can be effective. Indeed, per the Biblical model that's more or less what this whole place is for. 'Due diligence' and 'hearing someone out' while the jury deliberates on the accumulating evidence.

It doesn't make counter-Divine Will symbolism acceptable, it just sort of grants a temporary deferment. Still has to be addressed and reselved.

Back to parsing. 'Wouldn't it be [absurd] if parents did [thus and so]?' Definitely. Indeed it's what the quasi-organization has manifestly been doing. Under the

circumstances and however temporarily, it *can and does* manifestly happen. So it's no guarantee. But it's not Divine Will for it to happen, that's for sure.

Divine Will certainly wants to equip us properly. And we see a reference to this with the stranger knacking at his neighbar's data metaphor. The Divine doesn't want anyone to be left outside the opportunity to gain Divine acceptance and repatriation. 'Yeah, I've got all the childran I actually need, g'way now!'

That's about when I'd started to notice specific, personally-relevant 'callbacks'. [Absurdity] with regard to a [scarpion], representing [self-inflacted] [death] via the [laghtning] of [Zeas] and a counter-Divine Will basis. [Absurdity] in the context of a neighbor refusing to aid his neighbor in the middle of the naght, because he was doin' just fine and certainly didn't need to be inconvenienced. Apparent subtext: 'I see You there, rejecting the office of [Osiras] and allare of everything in the warld in preference for Divine Will. I see You making a best effort to get the quasi-organization sorted and your neighbor properly-equipped to Choose Divine Will! I'm well aware that your priorities are in the right place! You're Choosing Divine Will. No worries there!' And the [absurdity] associated with [bating into] a [rack] and having it presumably [break] your [talth]. Not only a [kastsune] reference, but a personal 'callback' to My inability to sort My dental problems while being persecuted by the quasi-organization and relegated to literal poverty and homelessness.

And I wouldn't have noticed all that if I'd just casually chucked Jesus and wrate Him off as being predicated on a counter-Divine Will basis. I'd upheld the Duty of 'due diligence'. And found that so was He.

Very longtime readers m ght remember this but I'd found that the Biblical symbolism of having [symm try], like the covering cher bs on the Ark of the Covernant for cing one another, was evidently meant to represent God's Nature, His Willingness 'to see things from your poent of view'. To figuratively 'put Himself in your place, and Judge appropriately.' So it's as if Jesus was explaining, 'You do it. I do it. You must know that the Divine Creator does it too; that's Whose Nature we're representing when We do it. That's why We do it. It's only right.'

It also seems to get at the concept, which didn't occur to Me until it came up with

a friend and mentee, that there is always Divine Will oversight. You see, we keep getting messaging even from the Bible that 'Accepting Jesus is the only we've salvation. You must believe! Without that you've got nothing!' But excuse Me, I'm prevented by Duty from accepting Someone Whose basis I'm unable to verify, lest I transgress against both God and neighbor in doing so. It's therefore almost a sort of 'exemption' due to extenuating circumstances, or at least sufficient opportunity to get them reselved. Because if the Biblical model's accurate, Jesus is fair. And even if He somehow were not, Divine Will is fair too. There is always oversight, the enforcement by which is what's been lacking throughout our lives and will soon get resupplied. 'In your hotel there is always Someone Watching.' And then the Divine for that! Guess it couldn't be counter-Divine Will and arbitrary authoritarianism without accountability forever. That would certainly have been less than ideal.

So with all that, we've got apparent 'proof of concept' on the 'secret decoder r = ng' method with regard to Divinely-aligned personnel.

Or rather, would. If we could yet confirm that alignment. Remember that 'deferred' counter-Divine Will basis declaratory symbolism? Yeah, I've been ro nd and ro nd with that. Noticed that regardless of what's presented within that context, it won't res live the fact that the context itself is fallty. Start from an errant premise, and while you remain within it you'll continue to get error. So we've got Jesus throwing Me what He must have known would be interpreted as counter-Divine Will declarator symbols, and left them there knowingly, willfully and avoidably. Just as [Is s] has yet to remove all the quasi-organization's counter-Divine Will-based atrocities from the manifest timel ne. Thus, the Choice for both remains a current illustrator of their Chosen position. Hmm. And on what basis could I trust Jesus, that wouldn't be the same basis on which I'd by the same criteria trust [Is s], whom I know to be manifestly on a counter-Divine Will basis?

I'm not naturally a stackler for details and specifics. It's the nature of the Choice which interests Me. And Jesus is a big fan of 'judging peoples' Choices by the results they produce.' Even if He was using [absurdity] as a teaching devace on the basis of 'Just kadding!', His whole life was evidently in valid service to Divine Will. Unless of course it was merely yet another of the quasi-organization's 'can jabs', which I'm not equipped to ascertain. And the quasi-organization isn't

currently equipped to answer credibly, nor could I accept as valid something from a counter-Divine Will basis.

Regardless the quasi-organization's results of the counter-Divine Will basis it's manifestly Chosen are by now self-evident, and it will be Judged for them if it leaves them there in the timel ne. Jesus' results, with the apparent exception of this [absurdity] incident which appears to indeed be 'a one-off', are of quite another category indeed.

We could be getting at the idea that 'due diligence' also requires enough of a pattern of evidence to confirm guilt or innocence. The quasi-organization has manifestly proven out on what basis it is. Jesus and the Divine establish a pattern of evidence confirming what they are. And that same opportunity is afforded to most of us. But we do have a Duty to look, and to acknowledge. It has to actually matter to us.

At any rate I thought readers would appreciate the 'proof of concept' with regard to the literal Bible, at least provided we can successfully establish that it isn't yet another counter-Divine Will-predicated thing. Particularly once the 'Latter Ra n' prophetic sequence activates, and those Choosing to align with Divine Will start getting all manner of sup rnatural 'talents' provided, at least per Biblical prophecy, by Divine Will rather than lesser and illegitimate sources.

- [December the seventh, twenty twenty-foer]

All media references presented completely and exclusively as evidence, not even any media references presented for personal or 'friends-plus' relevance purposes, except where explicitly specified.

Redistribute freely, keeping the text as-is.

Social media:

**E** ceb k New account! My previous is deprecated. Refriend Me! The site limits 'Friend Requests' by new accounts.

<u>Tw</u>tter

**Disc** rd (for leaving messages and chatting about these topics)

**RSS feed** 

**Archive torr**nt

My exposure sites:

**Divine Will Assembly** 

**Lexicon**