$$\chi_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} \chi_{1} \\ \chi_{2} \\ \chi_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\dot{\chi}_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \chi_{p} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} w$$

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 00 \\ 00 \end{bmatrix} \chi_{p} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u$$

Now, we know the general form
$$x_p = A_p x_p + B_p u + D_p w$$

$$y = (p x_p + B_y u + D_y w)$$

 $Z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \chi_{\Gamma} + 2W$

$$y = (p p + By u + Dy w)$$

$$Z = Mp xp + Dz w$$

$$y = C_{p}x_{p} + Byu + Dyw$$

$$Z = M_{p}x_{p} + D_{z}w$$
Comparing, we get
Since

Mpxp +
$$D_z w$$

we get Since of

Ap =
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$
 \Rightarrow $\overrightarrow{Ap} = Ap + 0.2I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$

Be = $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$A_{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \implies \overline{A_{P}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \implies \overline{A_p} =$$

Since we want CL poles to left of
$$\delta = -0.2$$
, we shift Ap to Apto2I

Apto2I = $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 10 & 2 \\ -1 & 1.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -4.8 \end{bmatrix}$$

Dp =

$$D_z = 2$$

There exists an Ho controller of order $n_c \leqslant n_p$: $\begin{cases} \dot{x_c} = A_c x_c + B_c z \\ u = C_c x_c + D_c z \end{cases}$

Such that CL system is stable and

Ho Dynamic Controller Design in MATLAB s = Higs (A, B, C, D) $\Upsilon = [n_z, n_u]$ [gof G] = hinflmi (s, x)

Tec xx controller

[Ac, Bc, (,, Dc] = Hiss (G)

$$A_{c1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_p + B_p D_c & M_p & B_p C_c \\ B_c M_p & A_c \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_{c_1} = \begin{bmatrix} D_p + B_p D_c D_z \\ B_c D_z \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D_{c1} = D_y + B_y D_c D_z$$

Verification:

Ho norm:
$$S_{ci} = SS(A_{ci}, B_{ci}, (c_i, D_{ci}))$$

hinf-norm = hinf-norm (S_{ci})

MATLAB

Problem 1

CODE:

```
% PROBLEM 1
close all
clear
clc
% Define the system matrices
Ap = [0, 10, 2; -1, 1, 0; 0, 2, -5];
Ap_bar = Ap + 0.2*eye(3);
Bp = [0; 1; 0];
Cp = [1, 0, 0; 0, 0, 0];
Dp = [1; 0; 1];
By = [0; 1];
Dy = [0; 0];
Mp = [0, 1, 0];
Dz = 2;
% Convert to MATLAB notation
A = Ap_bar;
B1 = Dp;
B2 = Bp;
C1 = Cp;
D11 = Dy;
D12 = By;
C2 = Mp;
D21 = Dz;
D22 = 0;
% LTI system
S = ltisys(A, [B1 B2], [C1; C2], [D11 D12; D21 D22]);
% H-infinity LMI
[gopt, G] = hinflmi(S,[1 1])
% Controller matrices
disp('H∞ controller:')
[Ac, Bc, Cc, Dc] = ltiss(G)
```

```
% Closed-loop system matrices
Acl = [Ap_bar+Bp*Dc*Mp, Bp*Cc; Bc*Mp, Ac];
Bcl = [Dp+Bp*Dc*Dz; Bc*Dz];
Ccl = [Cp+By*Dc*Mp, By*Cc];
Dcl = Dy+By*Dc*Dz;
% Closed-loop system
Scl = ss(Acl, Bcl, Ccl, Dcl);
% Scl = slft(S, G);
% Verification
disp('H∞ norm:')
hinf_norm = hinfnorm(Scl)
% hinf_norm = norminf(Scl)
disp('Closed-loop poles:')
eig_Acl = eig(Acl)
if((hinf_norm < gopt) && all(real(eig_Acl) < -0.2))</pre>
    disp('Verification of H∞ norm and pole location constraints successful!')
else
    disp('Verification of H∞ norm and pole location constraints failed!')
end
```

OUTPUT:

```
Minimization of gamma:
```

```
Solver for linear objective minimization under LMI constraints
```

Iterations : Best objective value so far

```
1
 2
 3
 4
                    21.011488
 5
                    17.864947
                    15.385893
 7
                    14.718282
 8
                    14.718282
                    13.310861
9
10
                    13.310861
11
                    11.988542
                    11.988542
12
13
                    11.352617
                    11.352617
14
15
                    11.146445
16
                    11.125254
                    11.110262
17
18
                    11.110262
```

```
19
                       11.110262
                   new lower bound: 10.816366
                        11.081688
   20
                    new lower bound: 11.010885
Result: feasible solution of required accuracy
          best objective value: 11.081688
          guaranteed relative accuracy: 6.39e-03
          f-radius saturation: 0.188\% of R = 1.00e+08
Optimal Hinf performance: 1.108e+01
gopt = 11.0815
G = 4 \times 4
  -4.9470 -6.4084 0.5375
                                   2.0000
  -0.5151 -33.3313 9.0153
-0.3907 -34.4295 5.4533
                                       0
                                        0
      0
            0
                        0
                                   -Inf
H∞ controller:
Ac = 2 \times 2
   -4.9470 -6.4084
   -0.5151 -33.3313
Bc = 2 \times 1
   0.5375
   9.0153
Cc = 1 \times 2
  -0.3907 -34.4295
Dc = 5.4533
H∞ norm:
hinf norm = 11.0780
Closed-loop poles:
eig Acl = 5 \times 1 complex
-2\overline{3}.2063 + 0.0000i
 -1.6126 + 3.4277i
 -1.6126 - 3.4277i
 -4.9193 + 0.0000i
 -4.8744 + 0.0000i
Verification of H∞ norm and pole location constraints successful!
```

SCREENSHOT:

