Statistical Sciences Honours Matrix Methods

Lecture 5 - Generalised Inverses and Linear Equations

Stefan S. Britz stefan.britz@uct.ac.za

Department of Statistical Sciences University of Cape Town



5.1 Solutions to different types of sets of linear equations

- In lecture 3 we considered the inverse of a matrix as a way to solve a system of linear equations.
- But what if the $m \times n$ matrix A is not invertible?
- This could either be because A is square but singular, or non-square (rectangular).
- Can we still say something about solutions to Ax = b, for fixed b, where x and b are n- and m-vectors respectively?
- Let's look at the 3 different scenarios where $m < n, \ n > m$, and n = m.

5.1 m < n and $rank(\mathbf{A}) = m$

- Note that we assume A is of full row rank.
- We have m linear equations, so we will aim to solve for m elements of x, by arbitrarily setting n-m elements to 0.
- ullet We will now partition $oldsymbol{A}$ as follows:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} m B_m & m N_{n-m} \end{bmatrix}$$

where \boldsymbol{B} forms the so-called basis for \boldsymbol{A} and the remaining columns non-basic.

5.1 m < n and $rank(\mathbf{A}) = m$

Now we can write:

$$egin{aligned} m{A}m{x} &= m{b} \ m{B} & m{N} m{igg[} m{x}_B \ m{x}_N m{igg]} &= m{b} \ m{B}m{x}_B + m{0} &= m{b} \ m{x}_B &= m{B}^{-1}m{b} \end{aligned}$$

5.1 m < n and $rank(\mathbf{A}) = m$

Now we can write:

$$egin{aligned} m{A}m{x} &= m{b} \ m{B} m{N} m{N} m{x}_B m{x}_B &= m{b} \ m{B}m{x}_B + m{0} &= m{b} \ m{x}_B &= m{B}^{-1}m{b} \end{aligned}$$

Adding the zeros back in, we can express this as

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{x} &= oldsymbol{G} oldsymbol{b} \ &= egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{B}^{-1} \ oldsymbol{0} \ b_m \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} b_1 \ oldsymbol{b} \ b_m \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Exercises

Exercise 5.1

Show that AGA = A and that GAG = G where rank(A) = m.

5.1 m > n and $rank(\mathbf{A}) = n$

- Note that we assume A is of full column rank.
- Since we have more linear equations (m) than variables (n) to solve, there will be no general solution unless some redundancy exists.
- We could find a "closest" approximation to a solution in a least squares sense, by finding the vector x that minimises (b-Ax)'(b-Ax).

5.1 m > n and $rank(\mathbf{A}) = n$

 Differentiating with respect to x (i.e. with respect to each element of x in turn, and expressing the results in vector form) gives the condition:

$$2A'(b-Ax)=0$$

Yielding

$$A'b - A'Ax = 0$$

$$A'Ax = A'b$$

$$x = (A'A)^{-1}A'b$$

$$= Gb$$

• Note that it can be shown that the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A'A}$ has rank n and is therefore invertible.

Exercises

Exercise 5.2

Show that AGA = A and that GAG = G where rank(A) = n.

5.1 m = n, i.e. \boldsymbol{A} is square, but $rank(\boldsymbol{A}) < m$

- We know that a solution to Ax = b exists for $b \neq 0$ if A is of full rank.
- When rank(A) < m, a solution will only exist if the linear relationships between the rows of A are mirrored by equivalent relationships between the elements of b.
- This is illustrated in the following example.

Let

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ 6 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Since $a_{(3)}=2a_{(1)}-a_{(2)}$, we know that rank(A)<3.
- However, on the RHS we also have 22 = 2(14) 6.
- Now we effectively have 2 equations and 3 unknowns, so solutions can certainly be found.
- In general, if $rank([A \ b]) = rank(A)$, then the aforementioned mirrored relationship is present.
- This ensures the existence of a solution to the equation Ax = b, which is then said to be consistent.

Any $m \times n$ matrix G related to A through AGA = A and/or GAG = G plays an important role in finding solutions to Ax = b.

Note the following definitions:

Any $m \times n$ matrix G related to A through AGA = A and/or GAG = G plays an important role in finding solutions to Ax = b.

Note the following definitions:

ullet Generalised inverse If AGA=A then G is a generalised inverse of A, often written as A^- .

Any $m \times n$ matrix G related to A through AGA = A and/or GAG = G plays an important role in finding solutions to Ax = b.

Note the following definitions:

- ullet Generalised inverse If AGA=A then G is a generalised inverse of A, often written as A^- .
- Reflexive generalised inverse If AGA = A and GAG = G, then G is a generalised inverse of A and A is a generalised inverse of G. We then say that G is a reflexive generalised inverse of A.

Any $m \times n$ matrix G related to A through AGA = A and/or GAG = G plays an important role in finding solutions to Ax = b.

Note the following definitions:

- ullet Generalised inverse If AGA=A then G is a generalised inverse of A, often written as A^- .
- Reflexive generalised inverse If AGA = A and GAG = G, then G is a generalised inverse of A and A is a generalised inverse of G. We then say that G is a reflexive generalised inverse of A.
- Pseudo inverse (Moore-Penrose inverse)
 If G is a reflexive generalized inverse of A and if AG and GA are symmetric, then G is the pseudo inverse of A.

One method of constructing a generalized inverse starts by reducing \boldsymbol{A} to the form:

$$m{R} = egin{bmatrix} m{D}_r & \mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $r = rank(\mathbf{A})$ such that \mathbf{D}_r is of full rank.

One method of constructing a generalized inverse starts by reducing \boldsymbol{A} to the form:

$$m{R} = egin{bmatrix} m{D}_r & \mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $r = rank(\mathbf{A})$ such that \mathbf{D}_r is of full rank.

- Note that this is done in the same way as when we reduced a matrix to canonical form, expect that D_r isn't necessarily I_r .
- ullet Therefore, R=PAQ, where P and Q are the products of the relevant elementary operators.

One method of constructing a generalized inverse starts by reducing \boldsymbol{A} to the form:

$$m{R} = egin{bmatrix} m{D}_r & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $r = rank(\mathbf{A})$ such that \mathbf{D}_r is of full rank.

- Note that this is done in the same way as when we reduced a matrix to canonical form, expect that D_r isn't necessarily I_r .
- ullet Therefore, R=PAQ, where P and Q are the products of the relevant elementary operators.
- Then

$$G = Q egin{bmatrix} D_r^{-1} & X \ Y & Z \end{bmatrix} P$$

is a generalised inverse of A for any arbitrary matrices (of the required sizes) X, Y and Z.

Exercises

Exercise 5.3

- a) Demonstrate that G as defined above is a generalised inverse of A, i.e. AGA = A, by invoking the relationship $A = P^{-1}RQ^{-1}$.
- b) Also show that G is a reflexive generalised inverse if and only if $Z = YD_rX$.

Consider the following special case:

Let

$$oldsymbol{A} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{B} & oldsymbol{S} \ oldsymbol{T} & oldsymbol{U} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $B: r \times r$ is the non-singular sub-matrix of A.

If $oldsymbol{U} = oldsymbol{T} oldsymbol{B}^{-1} oldsymbol{S}$, then

$$G = egin{bmatrix} B^{-1} & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a generalised inverse of A.

- First consider non-trivial solutions to Ax = 0.
- ullet If A is non-singular, then the trivial solution is unique.
- A general solution can be expressed as

$$x = (GA - I)z$$

for any arbitrary vector z, where G is a generalised inverse of A.

- First consider non-trivial solutions to Ax = 0.
- ullet If A is non-singular, then the trivial solution is unique.
- A general solution can be expressed as

$$x = (GA - I)z$$

for any arbitrary vector z, where G is a generalised inverse of A.

• Showing that this is a solution:

$$Ax = A(GA - I)z = (AGA - A)z = 0$$

ullet If $oldsymbol{A}$ is of less than full column rank, then this solution will in general be non-trivial.

- Now let's return to Ax = b, which we suppose is consistent.
- ullet For any arbitrary matrix G of the appropriate size, we have

$$AGAx = AGb$$

- Now let's return to Ax = b, which we suppose is consistent.
- ullet For any arbitrary matrix G of the appropriate size, we have

$$AGAx = AGb$$

ullet If G is a generalised inverse of A, then this becomes

$$Ax = AGb$$

 $b = A(Gb)$

ullet Thus if the equations are consistent, then a solution is given by x=Gb.

- Now, since x = (GA I)z is a solution to Ax = 0 for any arbitrary vector z, and G is a generalised inverse of A, Gb + (GA I)z is also a solution.
- This characterises all solutions which can exist.
- The solution is unique if and only if GA = I.

Class exercise

Consider again

$$\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ 6 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$$

Class exercise

Consider again

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ 6 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$$