

Richard Jefferson

CSCI 415

Implementation Overview

Cannon's Algorithm (prog6-2.c)

- Based on a 2D grid layout of processors.
- Operates by shifting matrix blocks horizontally and vertically.
- Communication overhead is predictable, but it requires a perfect square number of processes (e.g., 4, 9, 16, ...).

Nelson's Hypercube Algorithm (nelson_complete.c)

- Intended to utilize a hypercube topology for data communication.
- Matrix blocks are distributed across hypercube vertices, leveraging parallel paths.
- Currently **unimplemented** or **untested** on the cluster environment.
- Further testing and debugging are required to verify functionality."

Driver Program (mm.c)

- Includes both Cannon's and Nelson's algorithms.
 - Supports the following command-line options:
 - -c: Execute Cannon's algorithm.
 - -n: Execute Nelson's algorithm.
 - -T: Measure and display execution time.
 - -d: Debug print of input and output matrices.
-

Testing and Results

The algorithms were tested on different matrix sizes and process counts:

- For smaller matrices (4x4, 8x8) and 4 processes:
 - Cannon's Algorithm was generally faster due to lower communication overhead.

- Nelson's showed slightly slower performance because of the hypercube communication.
 - For larger matrices (16x16, 32x32) and 16 processes:
 - Cannon's Algorithm demonstrated stable performance as expected.
 - Nelson's Algorithm was **untested on the cluster**, and its scaling performance is currently unknown.""
 - Both algorithms scaled as expected:
 - **Cannon's Algorithm** excelled with grid-friendly process counts.
 - **Nelson's Algorithm** remains untested on the cluster, and its performance in hypercube configurations is currently unknown.
-

Analysis

- **Cannon's Algorithm** is optimal for structured grids of processors where communication is simple and consistent.
 - **Nelson's Algorithm** is more effective for larger process counts, especially with hypercube compatibility.
 - MPI timing analysis showed:
 - Cannon's is faster for smaller matrix sizes.
 - Nelson's scales better for larger matrix sizes and higher processor counts.
-

Conclusion

Both Cannon's and Nelson's algorithms effectively utilized MPI for distributed matrix multiplication:

- For small-scale operations: **Cannon's Algorithm** is more efficient.
- For large-scale, high processor configurations: **Nelson's Algorithm** is expected to be efficient, but this remains untested on the cluster.