

Website Vulnerability Scanner Report

✓ <https://coincious-smart-expense.vercel.app/>

! The Light Website Scanner didn't check for critical issues like SQLi, XSS, Command Injection, XXE, etc. [Upgrade to run Deep scans with 40+ tests and detect more vulnerabilities.](#)

Summary

Overall risk level:
Low

Risk ratings:
Critical: 0
High: 0
Medium: 0
Low: 4
Info: 35

Scan information:
Start time: Nov 29, 2025 / 03:54:39 UTC+0530
Finish time: Nov 29, 2025 / 03:55:07 UTC+0530
Scan duration: 28 sec
Tests performed: 39/39
Scan status: **Finished**

Findings

FLAG Missing security header: X-Content-Type-Options

port 443/tcp

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://coincious-smart-expense.vercel.app/	Response headers do not include the X-Content-Type-Options HTTP security header Request / Response

▼ Details

Risk description:

The risk is that lack of this header could make possible attacks such as Cross-Site Scripting or phishing in Internet Explorer browsers.

Recommendation:

We recommend setting the X-Content-Type-Options header such as `X-Content-Type-Options: nosniff`.

References:

<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/X-Content-Type-Options>

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2021 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

FLAG Missing security header: Referrer-Policy

port 443/tcp

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://coincious-smart-expense.vercel.app/	Response headers do not include the Referrer-Policy HTTP security header as well as the <meta> tag with name 'referrer' is not present in the response. Request / Response

▼ Details

Risk description:

The risk is that if a user visits a web page (e.g. "http://example.com/pricing/") and clicks on a link from that page going to e.g. "https://www.google.com", the browser will send to Google the full originating URL in the `Referer` header, assuming the Referrer-Policy header is not set. The originating URL could be considered sensitive information and it could be used for user tracking.

Recommendation:

The Referrer-Policy header should be configured on the server side to avoid user tracking and inadvertent information leakage. The value `no-referrer` of this header instructs the browser to omit the Referer header entirely.

References:

https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/Security/Referer_header:_privacy_and_security_concerns

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2021 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

FLAG Missing security header: Content-Security-Policy

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://coincious-smart-expense.vercel.app/	Response does not include the HTTP Content-Security-Policy security header or meta tag Request / Response

▼ Details

Risk description:

The risk is that if the target application is vulnerable to XSS, lack of this header makes it easily exploitable by attackers.

Recommendation:

Configure the Content-Security-Header to be sent with each HTTP response in order to apply the specific policies needed by the application.

References:

https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Content_Security_Policy_Cheat_Sheet.html

<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/Content-Security-Policy>

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2021 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

FLAG Server software and technology found

UNCONFIRMED

Software / Version	Category
 Lucide	Font scripts
 React	JavaScript frameworks
 React Router 6	JavaScript frameworks
 Vercel	PaaS
 Vite	Miscellaneous
 HSTS	Security

▼ Details

Risk description:

The risk is that an attacker could use this information to mount specific attacks against the identified software type and version.

Recommendation:

We recommend you to eliminate the information which permits the identification of software platform, technology, server and operating system: HTTP server headers, HTML meta information, etc.

References:

https://owasp.org/www-project-web-security-testing-guide/stable/4-Web_Application_Security_Testing/01-Information_Gathering/02-

Classification:

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : A6 - Security Misconfiguration
OWASP Top 10 - 2021 : A5 - Security Misconfiguration

- Website is accessible.
- Nothing was found for vulnerabilities of server-side software.
- Nothing was found for client access policies.
- Nothing was found for robots.txt file.
- Nothing was found for absence of the security.txt file.
- Nothing was found for use of untrusted certificates.
- Nothing was found for enabled HTTP debug methods.
- Nothing was found for enabled HTTP OPTIONS method.
- Nothing was found for secure communication.
- Nothing was found for directory listing.
- Nothing was found for passwords submitted unencrypted.
- Nothing was found for error messages.
- Nothing was found for debug messages.
- Nothing was found for code comments.
- Nothing was found for missing HTTP header - Strict-Transport-Security.
- Nothing was found for passwords submitted in URLs.
- Nothing was found for domain too loose set for cookies.

└ Nothing was found for mixed content between HTTP and HTTPS.

└ Nothing was found for cross domain file inclusion.

└ Nothing was found for internal error code.

└ Nothing was found for HttpOnly flag of cookie.

└ Nothing was found for Secure flag of cookie.

└ Nothing was found for login interfaces.

└ Nothing was found for secure password submission.

└ Nothing was found for sensitive data.

└ Nothing was found for unsafe HTTP header Content Security Policy.

└ Nothing was found for OpenAPI files.

└ Nothing was found for file upload.

└ Nothing was found for SQL statement in request parameter.

└ Nothing was found for password returned in later response.

└ Nothing was found for Path Disclosure.

└ Nothing was found for Session Token in URL.

└ Nothing was found for API endpoints.

└ Nothing was found for emails.

└ Nothing was found for missing HTTP header - Rate Limit.

Scan coverage information

List of tests performed (39/39)

- ✓ Test initial connection
- ✓ Scanned for missing HTTP header - X-Content-Type-Options
- ✓ Scanned for missing HTTP header - Referrer
- ✓ Scanned for missing HTTP header - Content Security Policy
- ✓ Scanned for website technologies
- ✓ Scanned for version-based vulnerabilities of server-side software
- ✓ Scanned for client access policies
- ✓ Scanned for robots.txt file
- ✓ Scanned for absence of the security.txt file
- ✓ Scanned for use of untrusted certificates
- ✓ Scanned for enabled HTTP debug methods
- ✓ Scanned for enabled HTTP OPTIONS method
- ✓ Scanned for secure communication
- ✓ Scanned for directory listing
- ✓ Scanned for passwords submitted unencrypted
- ✓ Scanned for error messages
- ✓ Scanned for debug messages
- ✓ Scanned for code comments
- ✓ Scanned for missing HTTP header - Strict-Transport-Security
- ✓ Scanned for passwords submitted in URLs
- ✓ Scanned for domain too loose set for cookies
- ✓ Scanned for mixed content between HTTP and HTTPS
- ✓ Scanned for cross domain file inclusion
- ✓ Scanned for internal error code
- ✓ Scanned for HttpOnly flag of cookie
- ✓ Scanned for Secure flag of cookie
- ✓ Scanned for login interfaces
- ✓ Scanned for secure password submission
- ✓ Scanned for sensitive data
- ✓ Scanned for unsafe HTTP header Content Security Policy
- ✓ Scanned for OpenAPI files
- ✓ Scanned for file upload
- ✓ Scanned for SQL statement in request parameter
- ✓ Scanned for password returned in later response
- ✓ Scanned for Path Disclosure
- ✓ Scanned for Session Token in URL
- ✓ Scanned for API endpoints
- ✓ Scanned for emails
- ✓ Scanned for missing HTTP header - Rate Limit

Scan parameters

```
target: https://coincious-smart-expense.vercel.app/
scan_type: Light
authentication: False
```

Scan stats

Unique Injection Points Detected:	1
URLs spidered:	4
Total number of HTTP requests:	15
Average time until a response was received:	66ms