

---

# CHAPTER 12: PRODUCT METRICS

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING (UNDERGRADUATE)



# FUNCTION-BASED METRICS

- ❑ The function point metric (FP), first proposed by Albrecht, can be used effectively as a means for measuring the functionality delivered by a system (e.g. size)
- ❑ Function points are derived using an empirical relationship based on countable measures of software's information domain and assessments of software complexity
- ❑ Information domain values are defined in the following manner:
  - **Number of external inputs (EIs):** input transactions that update internal computer files
  - **Number of external outputs (EOs):** transactions where data is output to the user, e.g. printed reports
  - **Number of internal logical files (ILFs):** group of data that is usually accessed together, e.g. purchase order file
  - **Number of external interface files (EIFs):** file sharing among different applications to achieve a common goal
  - **Number of external inquiries (EQs):** transactions that provide information but do not update internal file

# FUNCTION POINTS METRICS

Information Domain Value	Count		Simple	Average	Complex		
<b>(FPunadjusted)</b>							
Number of external inputs (EIs)		X	3	4	6	=	
Number of external outputs (EOs)		X	4	5	7	=	
Number of external inquiries (EQs)		X	3	4	6	=	
Number of internal logical files (ILFs)		X	7	10	15	=	
Number of external interface files (EIFs)		X	5	7	10	=	
<b>Count Total</b>							

# REQUIREMENTS QUALITY METRICS

- ❑ Requirements related to “Reliability” can use different measures to quantify the goal

Property	Measure
Reliability	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mean (interval) time to failure</li> <li>2. Rate of failure occurrence</li> </ol>

- ❑ Requirements related to “Robustness” can use different measures to quantify the goal

Property	Measure
Robustness	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Time to restart after failure</li> <li>2. Percentage of events causing failures</li> <li>3. Probability of data corruption on failure</li> </ol>

# METRICS FOR OO DESIGN

Whitmire describes nine distinct and measurable characteristics of an OO design:

- **Size:** size is defined in terms of volume, length, and functionality
- **Complexity:** how classes of an OO design are interrelated to one another
- **Coupling:** the physical connections between elements of the OO design
- **Cohesion :** the degree to which all operations working together to achieve a single, well-defined purpose

# METRICS FOR OO DESIGN

- **Sufficiency:** the degree to which an abstraction possesses the features required of it, or the degree to which a design component possesses features in its abstraction, from the point of view of the current application. (e.g. deals with interface and hide internals to the users)
- **Completeness:** an indirect implication about the degree to which the abstraction or design component can be reused
- **Primitiveness:** applied to both operations and classes, the degree to which an operation is atomic
- **Similarity:** the degree to which two or more classes are similar in terms of their structure, function, behavior, or purpose
- **Volatility:** measures the likelihood that a change will occur

# CLASS ORIENTED METRICS

Proposed by Chidamber and Kemerer:

- Weighted methods per class - number of functions in class (WMC)
- Depth of the inheritance tree (DIT)
- Number of children (NOC)
- Coupling between object classes (CBC)
- Lack of cohesion in methods (LCOM)

# CLASS ORIENTED METRICS

Proposed by Lorenz and Kidd:

- Class size
- Number of operations overridden by a subclass
- Number of operations added by a subclass



# OPERATION-ORIENTED METRICS

Proposed by Lorenz and Kidd:

- Average operation size
- Operation complexity
- Average number of parameters per operation

# CODE METRICS

- Halstead's Software Science: a comprehensive collection of metrics all predicated on the number (count and occurrence) of **operators** and **operands** within a component or program

# METRICS FOR TESTING

- ❑ Testing effort can also be estimated using metrics
- ❑ Binder suggests a broad array of design metrics that have a direct influence on the “testability” of an OO system.
  - Lack of cohesion in methods (LCOM).
  - Percent public and protected (PAP).
  - Public access to data members (PAD).
  - Number of root classes (NOR).
  - Number of children (NOC) and depth of the inheritance tree (DIT).

# MAINTENANCE METRICS

- ❑ IEEE Std. 982.1-1988 suggests a **software maturity index (SMI)** that provides an indication of the stability of a software product (based on changes that occur for each release of the product).

The following information is determined:

- $M_T$  = the number of modules in the current release
  - $F_c$  = the number of modules in the current release that have been changed
  - $F_a$  = the number of modules in the current release that have been added
  - $F_d$  = the number of modules from the preceding release that were deleted in the current release
- 
- ❑ The software maturity index is computed in the following manner:  
$$\text{SMI} = [MT - (F_a + F_c + F_d)] / M_T$$
  - ❑ As SMI approaches 1.0, the product begins to stabilize.

# REFERENCES

- R.S. Pressman & Associates, Inc (2010). *Software Engineering: A Practitioner's Approach*.