**Claim**:  $(\exists m \in N)(\exists n \in N)(\exists m + 5n = 12)$ 

**Proof**: By direct proof.

First, we test the equation using different values for m and n.

Note: m & n cannot be 0.

A. Let 
$$m = 2$$
 and  $n = 1$ . Then  $3(2) + 5(1) = 11$ 

B. Let 
$$m = 1$$
 and  $n = 2$ . Then  $3(1) + 5(2) = 13$ 

Check the difference (B - A) to determine the number that can't be reached using natural numbers: 13 - 11 = 2

Check the divisibility of 3 and 5 by 2:

- -3/2 = 1.5
- -5/2 = 2.5

Both the above answers are not natural numbers.

Test above values to determine whether m & n should be 1.5 or 2.5:

Let 
$$m = 1.5$$
 and  $n = 1.5$ . Then  $3(1.5) + 5(1.5) = 12$ 

Let 
$$m = 2.5$$
 and  $n = 2.5$ . Then  $3(2.5) + 5(2.5) = 20$ 

**Conclusion**: Therefore, natural numbers are insufficient to solve the claim, the claim is false.

**Claim**: The sum of any five consecutive integers is divisible by 5.

**Proof**: By induction.

Step 1: Check A(1) by doing some examples.

Let 
$$A = n1 + n2 + n3 + n4 + n5$$
.

Let a = (1,2,3,4,5)

- 
$$A(a) = 1+2+3+4+5 = 15$$

$$-15/5=5$$

Let b = (10,11,12,13,14)

- 
$$A(b) = 10+11+12+13+14 = 60$$

$$-60/5 = 12$$

Both a and b are divisible by 5.

Step 2 (Induction Step): Assume A(1) holds, therefore  $(\forall n \in Z)[A(n) => A(n+1)]$ .

Let (a+1) = (2,3,4,5,6)

- 
$$A(a) = 2+3+4+5 = 20$$

$$-20/5=4$$

Let (b+1) = (11,12,13,14,15)

- 
$$A(b) = 11+12+13+14+15 = 65$$

$$-65/5=13$$

**Conclusion**: Hence, by the principal of mathematical induction, the identity holds for all n. Thus, the claim is true.

**Claim**: For any integer n, the number  $n^2 + n + 1$  is odd. That is  $(\forall n \in Z)[n^2 + n + 1 = a \mid a/2 = p/q + r]$ .

**Proof**: by Induction.

Step 1: Check A(1) by doing some examples.

Le n = 3.

Then: (3)2 + 3 + 1 = 13.

Then: 13/2 = [12/2 + 0.5] – Written in the form p/q + r.

Le n = 5.

Then: (4)2 + 4 + 1 = 21.

Then: 21/2 = [20/2 + 0.5] – Written in the form p/q + r.

Step 2 (Induction Step): Assume A(1) holds, therefore  $(\forall n \in Z)[A(n) => A(n+1)]$ .

Then:  $(n+1)^2 + (n+1) + 1$ 

Then: (n+1)(n+1) + (n+1) + 1

Then:  $n^2 + 2n + 1 + n + 1 + 1$ 

Then:  $n^2 + 3n + 3$ 

Using the quadratic formula:  $x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$ 

$$n = (-3 \pm \sqrt{-3})/2$$

This indicates that n cannot be divided into pairs.

**Conclusion**: Hence, by mathematical induction, the identity holds for all n. Thus, the claim is true.

**Claim**: Every odd natural number is of one of the forms 4n + 1 or 4n + 3, where n is an integer.

**Proof**: by Induction.

Step 1: Check A(1) by doing some examples.

Let n = 1

Let n = 2

- Then: 4(1) + 1 = 5

& Then: 4(2) + 1 = 9

- 5 is odd.

9 is odd.

- Then: 4(1) + 3 = 7

& Then: 4(2) + 3 = 11

- 7 is odd

11 is odd

Using 1 and 3 we can get four consecutive odd numbers (5,7,9,11).

Step 2 (Induction Step): Assume A(1) holds, therefore  $(\forall n \in Z)[A(n) \Rightarrow A(n+1)]$ .

Then: 4(n+1) + 1

Then: 4n + 4 + 1

Then: 4n + 5

Then (divide by 2 to determine if 5 is divisible evenly):

- -(4n/2) + (5/2)
- 2n + 2.5, thus, 5 is not divisible by two, therefore A(n+1) + 1 remains odd.

Then: 4(n+1) + 3 = 4n + 7

Then (divide by 2 to determine if 7 is divisible evenly):

- Then (4n/2) + (7/2)
- 2n + 3.5: Thus, 7 is not divisible by two, therefore A(n+1) + 3 remains odd.

**Conclusion**: Hence, by mathematical induction, all odd numbers can be obtained by adding 1 or 3 to 4n.

**Claim**: Prove that one and only one out of n, n + 2 and n + 4 is divisible by 3, where n is any positive integer.

**Proof**: by Cases.

Let r = 0, 1, 2,

Let q be the quotient.

Therefore, any number is in the form of 3q, 3q+1 or 3q+2.

Case 1: Let n = 3q

n = 3q = 3(q) is divisible by 3,

n + 2 = 3q + 2 is not divisible by 3.

n + 4 = 3q + 4 = 3(q + 1) + 1 is not divisible by 3.

Case 2: if n = 3q + 1

 $\Rightarrow$  n = 3q + 1 is not divisible by 3.

 $\Rightarrow$  n + 2 = 3q + 1 + 2 = 3q + 3 = 3(q + 1) is divisible by 3.

 $\Rightarrow$  n + 4 = 3q + 1 + 4 = 3q + 5 = 3(q + 1) + 2 is not divisible by 3.

Case 3: if n = 3q + 2

n = 3q + 2 is not divisible by 3.

n + 2 = 3q + 2 + 2 = 3q + 4 = 3(q + 1) + 1 is not divisible by 3.

n + 4 = 3q + 2 + 4 = 3q + 6 = 3(q + 2) is divisible by 3.

Conclusion: Hence, by proof by cases, the identity holds for one and only one.

**Claim**: The only prime triple (i.e. three primes, each 2 from the next) is 3, 5, 7.

**Proof**: by Cases and Contradiction.

Let n, n + 2, n + 4 be three natural numbers that come one after the other.

If it happens that 3 does not divide n.

- Then: n = 3q + 1 or n = 3q + 2, for some q.
- Case 1 (3q + 1): n + 2 = 3q + 3, thus 3 divides n.
- Case 2 (3q + 2): n + 4 = 3q + 6, thus 3 divides n.

The fact that 3 divides two of the three numbers, means they cannot all be prime numbers.

**Conclusion**: Therefore, by proof by cases and contradiction, 3,5,7 are the only prime numbers evenly distributed.

**Claim**: For any natural number n,  $2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + ... + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 2$ .

**Proof**: by Induction.

### Step 1:

Let 
$$n = 4$$
, then  $2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + 2^4 = 2^{4+1} - 2 = 30$ .

Let 
$$n = 5$$
, then  $2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + 2^4 + 2^5 = 2^{5+1} - 2 = 62$ .

Step 2 (Induction Step): Assume A(1) holds, therefore  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{Z})[A(n) => A(n+1)]$ .

We add  $2^{n+1}$  to both sides for A(n+1).

Then:  $2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \ldots + 2^n + 2^{n+1} = 2^{n+1} - 2 + 2^{n+1}$ .

Then: LHS =  $2*2^{n+1} - 2$ .

Then: LHS =  $2^{n+1}$  -2.

**Conclusion**: Hence, by the mathematical principal of induction, the identity holds for any natural number n.

#### Claim:

Prove (from the definition of a limit of a sequence) that if the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  tends to limit L as  $n \to \infty$ , then for any fixed number M > 0, the sequence  $\{Ma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  tends to the limit ML.

**Proof**: by arbitrary number.

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an arbitrary number > 0.

We know that for a sequence  $[\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}]$  tends to the limit [a] as  $n \to \infty$ .

Formally:  $(\forall \xi \ge 0)(\exists n \in N)(\forall m \ge n)[|a_m - a| \le \xi].$ 

Then: If we transform the sequence  $[\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}]$  and the limit [a] by any fixed number M > 0, the difference  $|M^*am - M^*a|$  is still  $< \xi$ .

Formally:  $(\forall \xi > 0)(\exists n \in N)(\forall m \ge n)[|Ma_m - Ma| < \xi].$ 

Therefore:  $[\{M^*a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}]$  tends to the limit  $[M^*a]$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Conclusion**: Hence, for an arbitrary number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the sequence  $[\{Ma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}]$  tends to the limit ML as  $n \to \infty$ .

#### Claim:

Given an infinite collection  $A_n, n = 1, 2, ...$  of intervals of the real line, their intersection is defined to be

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{x \mid (\forall n)(x \in A_n)\}\$$

Give an example of a family of intervals  $A_n, n = 1, 2, ...$ , such that  $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$  for all n and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$ . Prove that your example has the stated property.

#### **Proof**:

Let  $A_n = (0, 1/m)$ 

If m = 1, then  $A_1 = (0, 1)$ 

Then:  $[\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n] \subset A_1$ .

Therefore, any element of the above intersect should be an element within (0, 1).

However, if  $x \in (0, 1)$  then there exists a number m such that 1/m < x.

Then:  $x \in A_n$ , thus  $x \in [\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n]$ 

**Conclusion**: Hence,  $[\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n] = \{ \}.$ 

### Claim:

Give an example of a family of intervals  $A_n, n = 1, 2, ...$ , such that  $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$  for all n and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  consists of a single real number. Prove that your example has the stated property.

#### **Proof**:

Let 
$$A_n = (-1/m, 0]$$

If 
$$m = 1$$
, then  $A_1 = (-1, 0]$ 

Then: 
$$[\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n] \subset A_1$$
.

Therefore, any element of the above intersect should be an element within (-1, 0].

However, if  $x \in (-1, 0]$  then there exists a number m such that x < -1/m < x.

Then: 
$$x \in A_n$$
, thus  $x \in [\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n]$ 

**Conclusion**: Hence,  $[\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n] = \{0\}.$