

Quantum computers running "Shor's algorithm" can efficiently factor integers and compute discrete logarithms, breaking RSA, DSA and ECC. This threatens confidentiality, authentication and digital signatures.

Post quantum alternatives:

- Lattice-based (CRYSTALS-Kyber, Dilithium): Secure via LWE/SVP problems.
- Code-based (McEliece): Relies on decoding random linear codes.
- Hash-based (SPHINCS+): Security from hash functions.
- Multivariate Polynomial Schemes.

Resistance to Quantum Attacks:

These schemes rely on mathematical problems for which no efficient quantum algorithms are known, unlike factorization and DLP.

## A Pseudo-Random Number Generator (PRNG)

Produces deterministic sequences that approximate randomness.

### Design Principles:

- Use current timestamp for entropy.
- Use process ID (PID) for uniqueness.
- Apply modulus to constrain output.

### Python Implementation:

Python

```
import time
import os

def custom_Prng(modulus=1000):
    Seed = int(time.time()) ^ os.getpid()
    a = 1664525
    b = 1013904223
    return (a * Seed + b) % modulus
```

```
print(custom_Prng())
```

## Classical vs Modern Symmetric Ciphers

### Traditional Ciphers:

- Caesar cipher : Shift substitution
- Vigenere cipher : Polyalphabetic
- Playfair cipher : digraph substitution.

### Modern Ciphers:

- DES : 56-bit key block cipher
- AES : 128 / 192 / 256 bit block cipher

Feature	Classical	Modern
Key Length	Small	Large
Security	Weak	Strong
Speed	manual	Optimized
Attacks	Frequency Analysis	Resistant

Classical ciphers are educational; modern ciphers are secure and practical.

IT24640

4

Action of  $S_4$  on 2-Element Subsets.

Let  $S_4$  act on subsets of size 2 by:

$$\sigma(\{i, j\}) = \{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)\}$$

Well-Defined:

- Order irrelevant
- Closure preserved

Orbit of  $\{1, 2\}$ :

$$\{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}\}$$

Orbit size = 6

Stabilizer:

Permutations fixing  $\{1, 2\} \rightarrow$  size = 4

By Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem:

$$|S_4| = 24 = 6 \times 4$$

Finite Field GF(2<sup>3</sup>)

5

Constructed using irreducible polynomial :

$$\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1$$

Elements :

$$\{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha + 1\}$$

i) Multiplicative Group:

- Closed
- Identity = 1
- Inverses exist
- Associative

ii) Cyclic Nature:

$$\alpha^0 = \alpha, \alpha^1 = \alpha + 1, \alpha^3 = 1$$

Thus non-zero elements from a cyclic group.

$\alpha$  generates all non-zero elements  $\Rightarrow$  cyclic of order 3.

IT24640

6

Scalar matrices in  $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$

Scalar matrices:

$$\lambda I, \lambda \neq 0$$

Properties:

- Subgroup under multiplication
- Normal since  $\lambda I$  commutes with all matrices.

Factor group:

$$GL(2, \mathbb{R}) / \{\lambda I\} \cong PGL(2, \mathbb{R})$$

- Form a subgroup
- Normal since they commute with all.

Represents linear transformation up to scaling.

Linear transformations modulo scaling

IT24640

F

## Diffie - Hellman Key exchange:

### Steps:

1. Public Parameters :  $g, p$ .
2. Exchange :  $g^a, g^b$
3. Shared Key :  $g^{ab} \text{ mod } p$ .

### Security:

- Based on discrete logarithm problem.
- Vulnerable to MITM without authentication.
- MITM attack:

Possible without authentication.

Small  $p$ : Vulnerable to brute-force / logarithm attacks.

### Small prime Risk:

- Enables brute-force on Index calculus attacks.

ITR4640

8

Intersection of Subgroups:

Let  $H_1, H_2 \subseteq G$

- Identity exists
- Closed under operation
- Closed under inverses

Thus  $H_1 \cap H_2$  is a Subgroup.

Example:

$$2\mathbb{Z} \cap 3\mathbb{Z} = 6\mathbb{Z}$$

Since  $H_1 \cap H_2$  is non-empty, closed under the group operation, and closed under inverses, it is a subgroup of  $G$ .

$$H_1 \cap H_2 \subseteq G$$

The intersection of any two subgroups of a group is always a subgroup of that group, and this result extends to the intersection of any collection of subgroups.

IT24640

9

Ring  $\mathbb{Z}_n$

- Commutative under addition and multiplication.
- Zero divisors exist if  $n$  is composite.

Field condition:

$\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a field iff  $n$  is prime.

$\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a Commutative Ring:

Addition:

For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,

$$a+b \equiv b+a \pmod{n}$$

Since integer addition is commutative

Thus, addition in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is commutative.

multiplication:

for any,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,

$$ab \equiv ba \pmod{n}$$

Since integer multiplication is commutative.

Thus, multiplication in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is also commutative.

## DES Vulnerabilities and AES Improvements.

### DES Weaknesses:

- 56-bit key  $\rightarrow$  brute-force feasible.
- Vulnerable to Linear/differential cryptanalysis.

### AES Advantages:

- Key sizes: 128-256 bits
- Strong non-linear transformations.
- Efficient and secure.

The Data Encryption Standard (DES) is a symmetric block cipher adopted in the 1970s. Although it was widely used for decades, DES is now considered insecure for modern cryptography applications due to several fundamental vulnerabilities.

- SubBytes (non-linearity)
- ShiftRows (diffusion)
- Mix Columns (strong mixing)

## Differential Cryptanalysis :

### i) DES :-

feistel structure diffuses differences but is insufficient against advanced attacks.

### ii) AES :-

- SubBytes  $\rightarrow$  non-linearity.
- MixColumns  $\rightarrow$  diffusion.
- Resistant by design.

Differential cryptanalysis is a chosen-plaintext attack that studies how differences in plaintext pairs affect differences in ciphertext pairs. The goal is to exploit non-random behavior in a cipher to recover key information.

- Diffusion of Differences.
- Use of - Boxes .
- Multiple Rounds

## Extended Euclidean Algorithm

find integers  $x, y$ :

$$ax + ny = \gcd(a, n)$$

if  $\gcd = 1 \rightarrow x$  is inverse of  $a \pmod{n}$ .

RSA Use:

- Computes Private Key  $d$
- Efficiency crucial for Large keys.
- RSA uses very Large numbers (2048-4096) bits
- EEA runs in Polynomial Time  $O(\log n)$ , making it practical even for Large integers.
- Efficient modular inverse computation ensures:
  - fast key generation.
  - secure real-time encryption
  - $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$
  - scalability for large cryptographic systems.

## Modes of Operation :

i) ECB Insecurity :

$$E(P_i) = E(P_j) \Rightarrow P_i = P_j$$

Reveals Patterns.

ii) CBC Recurrence :

$$C_i = F_k(P_i \oplus C_{i-1})$$

Error Propagation : Limited to two Blocks.

- ECB mode is insecure because it preserves plaintext patterns due to its deterministic encryption.
- CBC mode introduces chaining, hiding patterns and providing better security.
- During decryption, CBC limits error propagation making it practical and robust for secure communications.

14

Vulnerability of LFSRs Due to Linearity and its mitigation :

A Linear Feedback Shift Register (LFSR) is a commonly used component in stream ciphers for generating keystream sequences. Its operation is defined by a linear recurrence relation over  $GF(2)$ , which makes it efficient but also introduces serious security weaknesses.

An LFSR of length  $m$  generates a keystream  $\{k_t\}$  using:

$$k_t = c_1 k_{t-1} \oplus c_2 k_{t-2} \oplus \dots \oplus c_m k_{t-m}$$

where,  $c_i \in \{0, 1\}$

This relation is linear over  $GF(2)$ .

$$k_t = p_t \oplus k_t$$

$$k_t = p_t \oplus c_t$$

Perfect Secrecy and the One-time Pad.

Let,

- $M$  be the set of Plaintexts
- $K$  be the set of keys, and
- $C$  be the set of Ciphertexts in a cryptographic system.

Proof: fix the Plaintext  $m \in M$  and ciphertext  $c \in C$

$$c = m \oplus k \Rightarrow k = m \oplus c$$

- Keys are chosen uniformly at random,
- Each key is equally likely.

$$P(c=c | m=m) = P(k=m \oplus c) = \frac{1}{|K|}$$

$$P(m=m | c=c) = \frac{P(c=c | m=m) P(m=m)}{P(c=c)}$$

Since,  $P(c=c | m=m)$  is constant for all  $m$ ,

$$P(m=m | c=c) = P(m=m).$$

The one time Pad achieves Perfect secrecy.

16

The general formula for an LCG is:

$$x_{n+1} = (a \cdot x_n + c) \bmod m.$$

where,

- $x_0$  = initial seed.
- $a$  = multiplier
- $c$  = increment
- $m$  = modulus.

Let's take an arbitrary example:-

$$a = 5, \quad c = 3, \quad m = 16, \quad x_0 = 7.$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad x_1 = (5 \cdot 7 + 3) \bmod 16 = (35 + 3) \bmod 16 = 38 \bmod 16 = 6$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad x_2 = (5 \cdot 6 + 3) \bmod 16 = (30 + 3) \bmod 16 = 33 \bmod 16 = 1$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad x_3 = (5 \cdot 1 + 3) \bmod 16 = (5 + 3) \bmod 16 = 8 \bmod 16 = 8$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad x_4 = (5 \cdot 8 + 3) \bmod 16 = (40 + 3) \bmod 16 = 43 \bmod 16 = 11$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad x_5 = (5 \cdot 11 + 3) \bmod 16 = (55 + 3) \bmod 16 = 58 \bmod 16 = 10$$

The final 5 numbers of the LCG sequence

are : 6, 1, 8, 11, 10

17

Ring and Abstract Algebra:

A Ring  $R$  is a set equipped with two binary operations, addition ( $+$ ) and multiplication ( $\cdot$ ), satisfying the following properties:

Addition Properties:

1. Closure:  $(a+b) \in R$  for all  $a, b \in R$ .
2. Associativity:  $(a+b)+c = a+(b+c)$
3. Identity element:  $0 \in R$  such that  $a+0=a$ .
4. Inverse element: for each  $a \in R$ , there exists  $-a \in R$  such that  $a+(-a)=0$ .
5. Commutativity:  $a+b=b+a$

Multiplication Properties:

- Multiplication is associative:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$$

- Distributive Laws hold:

$$a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$$

$$(a+b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$$

RSA example:

$$P=5, q=11 \rightarrow n = 55, \phi(n) = 40.$$

- Public key  $(e, n) = (3, 55)$
- Private key  $d = 27$
- message  $M = 2$

$$C = M^e \bmod n.$$

$$C = 2^3 \bmod 55 = 8.$$

Plaintext  $M = 2$

↓ Encrypt using Public key ( $e=3, n=55$ )

Ciphertext  $C = 8$

$$M = C^d \bmod n = 8^{27} \bmod 55 = 2$$

Ciphertext  $C = 8$

↓ Decrypt using Private key  $d = 27$

Recovered  $M = 2$

message successfully recovered  $\rightarrow$  confidentiality maintained.

Elliptic Curve operations step by step :-

We are given,

$$E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b \pmod{p}, a=1, b=1, p=23$$

$$\therefore P = (3, 10), Q = (9, 7)$$

$$\text{if } y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \pmod{23}.$$

$$x = 3, y = 10.$$

Compute LHS:-

$$y^2 \pmod{23} = 10^2 \pmod{23} = 100 \pmod{23}$$

compute  $100 \pmod{23}$ :

$$23 \cdot 4 = 92, 100 - 92 = 8$$

$$y^2 \equiv 8 \pmod{23}$$

Compute RHS:

$$x^3 + ax + b \pmod{23} = 3^3 + 1 \cdot 3 + 1 \pmod{23} = 27 + 3 + 1 \\ = 31$$

$\pmod{23}$ ,

$$31 \pmod{23} = 8.$$

LHS = RHS = 8  $\Rightarrow P = (3, 10)$  lies on the curve.

ECDSA over a finite field -

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + 7x + 10 \pmod{37}$$

- Base Point  $G_2 = (2, 5)$ , order  $n = 19$
- Private Key  $d = 9 \rightarrow$  compute Public key  $G = dG_2$
- message hash  $H(M) = 8$ , random nonce  $k = 3$

① Public key :  $G = dG_2$

②  $R = kG_2 = (x_R, y_R), r = x_R \pmod{n}$

$$s = k^{-1}(H(M) + dr) \pmod{n}$$

Signature =  $(r, s)$

③  $w = s^{-1} \pmod{n}$

$$u_1 = H(M) \cdot w \pmod{n}, \quad u_2 = r \cdot w \pmod{n}$$

compute  $x = u_1 G_2 + u_2 G = (x_x, y_x)$

Signature valid if  $r = x_x \pmod{n}$

## Cryptographic Hash Function :

i) Essential characteristics of a secure hash function :

A cryptographic hash function takes an arbitrary length input and produces a fixed-length output (hash). A secure hash function such as SHA-256 must satisfy:

① Pre-image resistance :  $H(x) = h$ .

② Second pre-image resistance :

$$H(x_1) = H(x_2)$$

③ Collision resistance :  $H(x_1) = H(x_2)$

④ Deterministic and fast computation.

→ Same input always produces the same hash.

⑤ Avalanche effect.

→ A small change in input (even 1 bit) should drastically change the hash output.

## Galois fields (Finite fields)

concept of Galois fields: A Galois field (GF), also called a finite field, is a set of finite elements in which addition, subtraction, multiplication, subtraction, and division (except by zero) are defined and satisfy field axioms.

### GF( $p$ ):

- A finite field with  $p$  elements, where  $p$  is prime.
- Elements:  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ .
- Arithmetic is done modulo  $p$ .
- Example:  $GF(7) \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ .

### GF( $2^n$ ):

- A finite field with  $2^n$  elements.
- Elements are represented as  $n$ -bit binary polynomials.
- Example:  $GF(2^3)$  with irreducible polynomial  $x^3 + x + 1$ .

## Lattice-Based Cryptography:

- i) SVP → find shortest vector in lattice.
- ii) Companion : → RSA / ECC broken by Shor's algorithm.  
→ Lattice problems remain hard.
- iii) Quantum vs Lattice:  
→ Physics-based vs computational hardness.

RSA / ECC	Lattice-Based
Integer factorization	Learning with error.
Broken by Shor's algorithm	Resistant to known quantum attacks.
Hardness of factoring or discrete log	Hardness of SVP.
Smaller	generally larger.

Quantum Cryptography: Achieve provable security using quantum physics.

Maximum Period of an LFSR Keystream.

LFSR definition:

A Linear feedback Shift Register (LFSR) of length  $m$  generates a key stream  $k = (k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots)$  over  $\text{GF}(2)$  using the recurrence relation:

$$k_t = c_1 k_{t-1} \oplus c_2 k_{t-2} \oplus \dots \oplus c_m k_{t-m} \pmod{2}$$

- Here,  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m \in \text{GF}(2)$  are the feedback coefficients.

$$P(x) = 1 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + \dots + c_m x^m$$

This is the characteristic Polynomial of an LFSR is primitive, the keystream period is  $2^m - 1$ .

- This ensures long, pseudo-random sequences for stream ciphers.

## Lattice-Based Digital Signatures using LWE.

- Process of signing a message using an LWE-Based Scheme.

Lattice Based signature schemes rely on the Learning with Errors (LWE) problem, which is considered hard even for quantum computers.

The process includes key generation, signing and verification.

### 1. Key Generation.

- Choose a Public matrix  $A \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times m}$
- Sample a Secret vector,  $s_k \in \mathbb{Z}_q^m$  (Private Key).
- Compute the public key:

$$P_k = A \cdot s_k + e \pmod{q}.$$

where  $e$  is a small error vector.

**Security:** Recovering  $s_k$  from  $P_k$  is equivalent to solving the LWE Problem, which is hard.