# CompSci-230: Homework 3 Jitao Zhang

### 1. Introduction

Main Calculation:  $C_{i,j} = C_{i,j} + A_{i,k} \times B_{k,j}$ 

Explanation: i means the row index of matrix A and j means the column index of B. k means the kth element in each row or column.

Two ways of mapping 2d matrix data to memory:

Row-major order (lexographical access order)

Address	Access	Value
0	A[0][0]	$a_{11}$
1	A[0][1]	$a_{12}$
2	A[0][2]	$a_{13}$
3	A[1][0]	$a_{21}$
4	A[1][1]	$a_{22}$
5	A[1][2]	$a_{23}$

Column-major order (colexographical access order)

Address	Access	Value
0	A[0][0]	$a_{11}$
1	A[0][1]	$a_{21}$
2	A[1][0]	$a_{12}$
3	A[1][1]	$a_{22}$
4	A[2][0]	$a_{13}$
5	A[2][1]	$a_{23}$

In order to make more detailed analyze, I give the big O notation a constant prefix.

#### 2. Single Processor Computer Analyze

All the cases below can be row major or column major because it is running in a single processor computer.

Calculation Time Complexity is O(n<sup>3</sup>)

Communication Time Complexity is 0

Space Complexity is O(3n<sup>2</sup>)

1) i, j, k

Every time the innermost k moves 1, Ci,j gets updated. So after each iteration of innermost k, a new element Ci,j can be generated.

2) i, k, j

Every time the innermost j moves 1, a different Ci,j gets updated. So after the whole inner k and inner j loop once for a specific i, a new set of elements Ci,j for a certain i can be generated. So it is generated by row.

3) j, i, k

Nearly the same as i, j, k. But the difference is that the outermost is j, so the generating sequence of new element of C is different. In (i, j, k), the new element in C is generated by each row, but in (j, i, k), the new element in C is generated by each column.

4) j, k, i

Nearly the same as i, k, j. But the difference is that the outermost is j. So after the whole inner k and inner i loop once for a specific j, a new set of elements Ci,j for a certain j can be generated. So it is generated by column.

5) k, i, j

Every time the innermost j moves 1, a different Ci,j gets updated. After the whole 3 loops finish, all the new elements are generated simultaneously.

6) k, j, i

The same as (k, i, j), because all the new elements are generated after the whole 3 loops. The difference is the update sequence. For (k, i, j), the Ci,j gets updated by row. For (k, j, i), the Ci,j gets updated by column.

## 3. N-Processor Rings Analyze

1) i, j, k

We store a certain row of A and a certain column of B in each processor. So for each processor, it needs to run a two for loop (j and k), but when the inner k loop once, the processor needs to get a column of B from a certain processor. So it needs time to communicate. But the space is saved a lot(otherwise we need to store whole B for each processor). And we also need to store n new elements for ith row of C. So the memory should be row major for convenience.

Calculation Time Complexity is O(n<sup>2</sup>)

Communication Time Complexity is O(n<sup>2</sup>)

Space Complexity is O(3n)

2) i, k, j

We store a certain row of A and all B in each processor. This case is different from with previous one because the inner loop is for j so we need all the B to calculate for each innermost for loop. And we also need to store n new elements for ith row of C. So the memory should be row major for convenience.

Calculation Time Complexity is O(n<sup>2</sup>)

Space Complexity is O(n<sup>2</sup>)

3) j, i, k

Nearly the same as (i, j, k). We store a certain column of B and a certain row of A in each processor. So for each processor, it needs to run a two for loop (i and k), but when the inner k loop once, the processor needs to get a row of A from a certain processor. And we

also need to store n new elements for jth column of C. So the memory should be column major for convenience.

Calculation Time Complexity is O(n<sup>2</sup>)

Communication Time Complexity is O(n<sup>2</sup>)

Space Complexity is O(3n)

4) j, k, i

Nearly the same as (i, k, j). We store a certain column of B and all A in each processor. And we also need to store n new elements for jth column of C. So the memory should be column major for convenience.

Calculation Time Complexity is O(n<sup>2</sup>)

Space Complexity is O(n<sup>2</sup>)

5) k, i, j

We need to store all the information of A or B in each processor, no matter in row major or column major. After  $O(n^2)$  time calculation, each processor only gets partial result for each Ci,j. So in order to get the final result, we need to choose one of n processors to be the "result" processor to store final result. But the case is worst because all the n processors contain only a "partial" result, we still need to recalculate all the partial matrix in another processor, which needs another total  $O(n^3)$  calculation time and  $O(n^2)$  communication time.

Calculation Time Complexity is O(n<sup>3</sup>)

Space Complexity is  $O(3n^2)$ 

6) k, j, i

Totally the same as k, i, j.

Calculation Time Complexity is O(n<sup>2</sup>)

Space Complexity is O(3n<sup>2</sup>)

# 4. Summary

To sum up, the i, j, k (the same as j, i, k) in n processors and i, k, j (the same as j, k, i) in n processors are both good. And the single processor case is next. The worst one is k, i, j (the same as k, j, i) in n processors, it is even worse than single processor.