Report

COS 314 Artificlical Intelligence - Assignement 3

TEAM MEMBERS:

Hlungwani T.

Makhene T.

Mapheto T.

Motsepe O.J.

Introduction

The purpose of this assignement was to implement and evaulate Machine Learning models, namely: Genetic Programming (GP), Multi-Layer Perceptron. (MLP) and Decision tree (DT) to predict (classification) whether a financial stock should be purchased based on historical data.

The models were each built with Java programming lanaguage ensuring no model was built using a supirior language.

The models were trained and tested on the same input data, namely BTC_test and BTC train, ensuring fairness across the models

This report includes a detailed design specification for each model – including specific parameters used , as well as a results table to measure the accuracy (ACC) and F-Score of each model to evaluate their respective performance as well as a detailed Wilcoxon signed-rank test carried out between the GP and MLP evaluate the significance of the differences in their respective performances.

Genetic Programming (GP)

The GP model is built using Java with several classes namely:

Dataset:

Loads data from CSV file into an object where we can retrieve data and lables.

GPAlgorithm:

Core Engine of GP model.

- Builds the GP algoritm using paremters mentioned below.
- Creates runs for Individuals and fills them into a list: populations.
- The algorithm initialized the population and evaluates the fitness using respective functions.
- · Selects two parents.
- Applies cross over and mutatation on the parents and replaces them with their child individuals in the population.

Individual:

Built using the Tree Object and the fitness evaluation.

GPNode:

- · Creates Nodes for the tree.
- Attributes a function to them.

Tree:

 Generates a random expression tree using the GP nodes and allows for mutation, crossover and evaluation.

Main:

Explained in detail below

Design Specifications:

The main program executes as follows:

Input Collection

Program asks user for input for:

- Seed value for reproducability.
- Absolute paths to training and testing CSV datasets.

Data loading

Dataset and Buffer Objects are used to load both datasets.

Model Configuration

The GPAlgorithm is configured using the created GPAlgorithm object with the following parameters:

- Train Dataset Object
- Seed value.
- Population Size = 50
- Max Depth = 5
- Generations = 30
- Mutation Rate = 0,3
- Crossover Rate = 0,9
- TournamentSize = 5

Model Traininn

A best Individual is then created from running GPAlgorithm

Model Evaluation

- An Evaluation Function that uses the best individual and test Dataset as paremeters is used to compare the score of the individual and the predicated score.
- Data labels are also extracted from the test Dataset.

Metrics used are:

- Accuracy: Percentage of correctly classified instances.
- **F1 Score**: Weighted harmonic mean of precision and recall, especially useful for imbalanced datasets.

Results

Results are outputed to terminal.

Multi-Layer Perecptron (MLP)

Implemented in Java using the Weka machine learning library.

Design Specifications:

The model executes briefly in the following steps:

Input Collection

Program asks user for input for:

- Seed value for reproducability.
- Absolute paths to training and testing CSV datasets.

Data loading

- Instance Objects are used to laod data from dataset CSV files.
- The last coloumn is set to the class index and converted to a nominal value

Model Configuration

The MLP is configured using the Percepetron object with the following parameters:

- Seed value.
- The model sets Hillden layers- which prevents the MLP from modeling a linear relationshiop between data. The dimension parameters of the matrix are set to 10x10 indicating 10 hidden layer neurons and 10 input vectors to the hidden layer.
- The learing rate (α) is the parameter that controls the rate at which the model learns, is set to 0.3, this medium rate is to ensure steady convergence in the model.
- The training time variable which is used to denote the time the model should take to learn and find an optimal solution is set to 500ms.

Model Training

Model is then trained using the buildClassifier() built in function to test the performance on both training and testing datasets.

Model Evaluation

An Evaluation Object is created to evaluate the trailing and test data respectively.

Metrics used are:

- Accuracy: Percentage of correctly classified instances.
- F1 Score: Weighted harmonic mean of precision and recall, especially useful for imbalanced datasets.

Results

Results are outputed to terminal.

Decision Tree (DT)

Implemented in Java using the Weka machine learning library.

Design Specifications:

The model executes briefly in the following steps:

Data loading

- Instance Objects from Weka are used to load both dataset CSV files.
- The last coloumn of "convert" variable used to set format of training data is set to the class index and converted to a nominal value.
- Training data is filtered using the "convert" nominal variable.

Model Configuration

The is is configured using the J48 Decision Tree Classifier Object with the following parameters:

- Enabled Pruning to remove branches which are not significant in finding an optimal solution.
- Confidence Factor of Pruning- used to control how much pruning is done to tree is set to 0.25f for moderate error-based pruning.
- Minimum instances per leaf which specifies the number of traing instances a node must have to be split is set to 2.

Model Training

Model is then trained using the buildClassifier() built in function with paramter of training data.

Model Evaluation

An Evaluation Object is created to evaluate the training and test data respectively.

Metrics used are:

- Accuracy: Percentage of correctly classified instances.
- F1 Score: Weighted harmonic mean of precision and recall, especially useful for imbalanced datasets.
- Confusion Matrix: of evaluation object is created.

Results

Results are outputed to terminal.

Results

The results for each model were collected and tabulized in *figure 1*. The table represents the seed value used, accuracy(Acc) an F-score (Fi) for both training and test data for each model.

| | | Training | | Test | |
|-------|------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| Model | Seed Value | Acc (%) | F1 | Acc(%) | F2 |
| GP | 140233 | 65,33 | 0.6239 | 47.53 | 0.3551 |
| MLP | 141025 | 70.94 | 0.6759 | 95.82 | 0.9581 |
| DT | 140123 | 58.32 | 0,5452 | 57.03 | 0.5143 |

Figure 1

Wilcoxon signed-rank test

As mentioned earlier, the test was carried out between the GP and MLP evaluate the significance of the differences in their respective performance (accuracy and f-score) across the same dataset.

This test was automated using the **wsr.py** program which uses pythons built wilcoxon library under the the /wilcoxon signed-rank test/ folder

Inputs:

```
accuracy_gp = [0.7094, 0.9582, 0.7294, 0.9782, 0.6914, 0.8612]
accuracy_mlp = [0.6533, 0.4753, 0.6033, 0.5053, 0.5733, 0.5142]
fscore_gp = [0.6239, 0.3551, 0.6014, 0.3312, 0.6701, 0.4010]
fscore_mlp = [0.6759, 0.9581, 0.6169, 0.9010, 0.6099, 0.9011]
```

 $\mathbf{H_0}$: Median difference between GP and MLP performance (accuracy or F-score) is zero-neither method consistently outperforms the other.

 $\mathbf{H_1}$: The median difference is **not** zero - there *is* a consistent difference between GP and MLP

Results:

Accuracy Wilcoxon: stat=0.0, p=0.03125 F-Score Wilcoxon: stat=3.0, p=0.15625

Accuracy:

Wilcoxon Statistic: 0.0

p-value: 0,03125

• Interpretation: p < 0.05, reject null hypothesis.

F-Score:

Wilcoxon statistic: 3.0

p-value: 0.15625

• Interpretation: p > 0.05, we cannot reject the null hypothesis.

Conclusion:

Based on the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, **GP shows significantly better performance** than **MLP** in terms of accuracy, but there is no significant difference between the two methods in terms of F-score. Therefore, while GP may offer better overall

| classification accuracy, there is no confirmed statistical advantage in terms of balanced precision and recall (F-score). | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Conclusion

The performance of Genetic Programming (GP), Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP), and Decision Tree (DT) models was evaluated using both training and test data. In addition to raw performance metrics, a Wilcoxon signed-rank test was conducted to statistically assess the differences between GP and MLP.

The results reveal the following:

- Wilcoxon test on accuracy showed a statistically significant difference (*p* = 0.03125), indicating that **GP and MLP differ in classification accuracy**. The test accuracy values in Figure 1 support this, showing GP with **47.53%** and MLP with a notably higher **95.82%** accuracy.
- However, the Wilcoxon test on F-score did not show a significant difference (p = 0.15625), suggesting that GP and MLP have comparable performance in terms of F-score. This is reflected in the test F-scores (F2) from Figure 1: GP = 0.3551, MLP = 0.9581. While the raw values differ, the variation across multiple seeds (as in the Wilcoxon test) was not consistent enough to conclude statistical significance.
- The Decision Tree model performed moderately, with a test accuracy of 57.03% and F-score of 0.5143, falling between GP and MLP in terms of accuracy, but outperforming GP on the F-score.