



Base H V=2, e=1, +=1

Enler formuler: V-e+f=2-1+1=2

IH: V-e++ = 2 is true for every planar graph let's say we have a see tree. G

V= e+1 , +=1

V-e++ = (e+1)-e+1 = 2

let c. be the leaf of G

Then (G-e,) has (V-1) edges, (+-1) taxes

Put it in IH.

V-C++ -> V-Le-1)+4-1) = V- e+X ++-1 - V- C++ =2 /

.: V-e++ is true for every planer graph

I this on should an edge 1.2 For figure 3 Enler tormalar. V- e ++ =2 + = 2+ e-V We know that a tranquistion looks like this: which has If, 3v, 3e By contradiction, assume we have which has If 4V, 4e if this is an edge maximum planer then, += 2+ e-V 1 = 2 + 4 - 4 is not an edge maximum planar and miss I edge .. By contridiction, any plenar triangulation, every the is a triangle, and surround by 3 colges += L+ e -V Also. Since we have connected planar graph 2734 26 2 3 L2 + C - V) .. summed by 3 edge 2e 2 6 +5e -3J e < 31-6

1.25 V- e. +f = L tor every edge, we have 2 forces for every face, we have 3 versus 2 = 2 = 3 f 2 = 3 (2 + e - V) 2 = 5 + 3 e - 3 V e = -b + 3 V e = 3 V - b

First, we say are deg at writer in triangulation greater or equal to b.

Are deg:  $\frac{2|E|}{|V|}$ assume:  $\frac{2|E|}{|V|} \ge b$ we know from 1.3. |E| = 3|V| - b  $\frac{2|3|V| - b|}{|V|} \ge b$   $\frac{b|V| - 12}{|V|} \ge b$   $6 - \frac{12}{|V|} \ge b$ 

In this case, 12 must be negative. However, 11 cannot be regative.

.. By contradiction, are deg at vertex in transpolation will always < 6

1.5 Base case; stos since graph has 1, 2,3,4,5 or 6 vertices the result is invedirle, then we only need to grave when we have I or more reduces let's say we have a graph G has V= k+1 vertices G that been removed a story s , which means G only have k vertices. also colored using only budons the vertex & back to G and we can color vertex s to It is clear that we can color 5 wing different color than its reighbors. isy induction, all planar graph with k vertices can be colored using b colors, then K+1 vertices can also be colored at the same way

it N is number of reviews N=4 Every time, you make a match
you cannot match the same one
tor the next vertice 4. (4-1). (4-1-1). (4-1-1-1) · · N close as possible to 1 It N is number of Mice an each side of it N=3, each vertice vill have Nedges and vertices on the other side share the same edge with first side · maximum edges ; N x N = 1/2

23 Nº-N edges let's make N=4 N2-N = 12 Clearly, this is not a perfect matching. 2.4 Starting at it re randomly put edges Hotal match: 1b (1) =  $(N^{2})$  =  $(N^{2}$ It IEI edges added between A & B, the perfect match tes From 2.4, we know perfect match is .. expect number of perfect match is

It IEI chys added between A & B, the perfect and oh toon from 2.4, we know perfect match is expect number of gentect match is (IEI) · N! M(IEI) IT IEI = 3N ELXI: N! (N) 12NN (E) - 3 ( 3 ) N JETUNE (LN) = (2) N . J = (21) N . Jin Ne lm (2) 12 (21) N Jin Ne 5 12 (4) · Jin Ne (eN) N 1/200 A 12 ( 27 ) ~ Jan Ne · · 4e² > 27 · · (4e²) goes to : J3 · O · Joh Ne g Ocs to O · expert goes to

it IE = 4N N! (4N)

(N)

(N)

- NIKN (E) N 1 12 (44)

NOTEN 12 (44) JANU LEND -(-1) N. J4 (256) N. NZE NE = 14 (256) N / 120 Ne - 1 - 14 (256) N- Ne Lin 14 (256) N Jin Ne ·: 21e2 < 25b (256) as goes to do .. It . co. Ich. Ne goes to co : As N - > 00, the expect modely numbers -> 00

PC = K-winer): N. E(K).pk. (1-p) n-1-k 3.5 By using the code, K= b2 0000 if N=100, P(x, ... X100) = 100. 5 ( p) . ( =) PP < 1 when k = bz, the result will be 0.772 From 3.5, we know that when N: 4000 100, K: 62 the probability of having k-winer is 0.772. That means the probability of having k - winer is 1-0.772 = 0.228 i he can argue that there exist possible tournaments with no k-winner, since the probability of no k-winner 18 2.228

## **Bonus**

## Question 2 Bonus

- 1. we know that  $3<\alpha<4$ , and I assume  $\alpha=3.5$
- 2. From 3.6, we know that when  $\mbox{IEI} = 3\mbox{N}$ , the expected number of matchings goes to 0.

so the the probability that has single line is very close to 0, but not 0, because our expect number is 0, and sometimes things get out of our expectation.

## Question 3 Bonus

N-1 N-1

From 3.4, we know that p(exist k-winner) = N  $\sum$  ( k ) \* (1/2)^(N-1)

k

if N goes to ∞, that mean N-1 also goes to ∞

∞ o

which means p(exist k-winner) =  $\infty * \Sigma (k) * (1/2)^{\wedge} \infty$ 

k

we know that  $(1/2)^{\wedge} \infty = 1^{\wedge} \infty / 2^{\wedge} \infty = 1/2^{\wedge} \infty$  which goes to 0

∞ ∞

so the equation =  $\infty^*\Sigma$  ( k) \* 0 which = 0

k

so there exist tournaments without  $\alpha N\text{-winners},$  for all sufficiently large N