

Exercise 2.16 (Martingale formulation of Bellman's optimality principle) Suppose your winning per unit stake on game n are ϵ_n , where the ϵ_n are i.i.d. r.v.s with

$$\beta_n \quad P\{\epsilon_n = 1\} = p = 1 - P\{\epsilon_n = -1\},$$

with $p > 1/2$. Your bet β_n on game n must lie between 0 and Z_{n-1} , your capital at time $n-1$. Your object is to maximise your 'interest rate' $E \log(Z_N/Z_0)$, where $N = \text{length of the game}$ is finite and Z_0 is a given constant. Let $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ be your 'history' upto time n . Let $\{\alpha_n\}_n$ be an admissible strategy, i.o.w. a predictable sequence. Show that $\log(Z_n) - n\alpha$ is a supermartingale with α the entropy given by

$$\alpha = p \log p + (1-p) \log(1-p) + \log 2.$$

Hence $E \log(Z_n/Z_0) \leq N\alpha$. Show also that for some strategy $\log(Z_n) - n\alpha$ is a martingale. What is the best strategy?

$0 \leq \beta_n \leq Z_{n-1}$. By definition $\log(Z_n) - n\alpha$ is a supermartingale. If

$$E[\log(Z_n) - n\alpha \mid Z_{n-1}, \dots, Z_0] \leq \log(Z_{n-1}) - (n-1)\alpha.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow E[\log(Z_n) \mid Z_{n-1}, \dots, Z_0] \leq \log(Z_{n-1}) + \alpha$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \textcircled{1} E\left[\log\left(\frac{Z_n}{Z_{n-1}}\right) \mid Z_{n-1}, \dots, Z_0\right] \leq \alpha.$$

We know that $0 \leq Z_n = \epsilon_n \beta_n + Z_{n-1} \leq 2Z_{n-1}$, let g_n be the proportion of the portfolio being bet, then:

$$E\left[\log\left(\frac{Z_n}{Z_{n-1}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right] = p \log\left(\frac{\beta_n + Z_{n-1}}{Z_{n-1}}\right) + (1-p) \log\left(\frac{-\beta_n + Z_{n-1}}{Z_{n-1}}\right)$$

$$\text{where } 0 \leq \beta_n \leq Z_{n-1}. \quad = p \log(1+g) + (1-p) \log(1-g)$$

$$= p \log\left(\frac{1+g}{2}\right) + (1-p) \log\left(\frac{1-g}{2}\right)$$

$$+ \log 2$$

Max of cross entropy.

$$\leq p \log p + (1-p) \log(1-p) + \log 2.$$

$$\text{(achieved by picking } 2p = 1+g = \frac{\beta_n + Z_{n-1}}{Z_{n-1}} \Rightarrow \boxed{\beta_n = Z_{n-1}(2p-1)} \text{.)}$$