

Head First Design Patterns

A Brain-Friendly Guide

Avoid those
embarrassing
coupling mistakes

Free Sampler


Learn why everything
you friends know about
Factory pattern is
probably wrong





Discover the secrets
of the Patterns Guru



Find out how
Starbuzz Coffee doubled
their stock price with
the Decorator pattern



Load the patterns
that matter straight
into your brain




See why Jim's
love life improved
when he cut down
his inheritance

Eric Freeman & Elisabeth Robson
with Kathy Sierra & Bert Bates

Head First Design Patterns

What's so special about design patterns?

At any given moment, somewhere in the world, someone struggles with the same software design problems you have. But better yet, someone has already solved your software design problems. *Head First Design Patterns* shows you the tried-and-true, road-tested, successful patterns used by developers to create functional, elegant, reusable, and flexible software. By the time you've finished reading this book, you'll be able to take advantage of and communicate the best design practices and experiences of those who have fought the beast of software design, and triumphed.



What's so special about this book?

We think your time is too valuable to spend struggling with new concepts. Using the latest research in cognitive science and learning theory to craft a multi-sensory learning experience, *Head First Design Patterns* uses a visually rich format designed for the way your brain works, not a text-heavy approach that puts you to sleep.

Software Development/Java

US \$59.99

CAN \$62.99

ISBN: 978-0-596-00712-6



9 780596 007126

5 5 9 9 9

"I received the book yesterday and started to read it...and I couldn't stop. This is très 'cool.' It is fun, but they cover a lot of ground and they are right to the point. I'm really impressed."

—Erich Gamma,
IBM Distinguished Engineer,
and coauthor of
Design Patterns

"I feel like a thousand pounds of books have just been lifted off of my head."

—Ward Cunningham,
inventor of the Wiki and
founder of the Hillside Group

"*Head First Design Patterns* manages to mix fun, belly laughs, insight, technical depth and great practical advice in one entertaining and thought-provoking read."

—Richard Helm,
coauthor of Design Patterns



twitter.com/headfirstlabs
facebook.com/HeadFirst

[oreilly.com
headfirstlabs.com](http://oreilly.com/headfirstlabs.com)

O'Reilly Ebooks—Your bookshelf on your devices!



When you buy an ebook through oreilly.com you get lifetime access to the book, and whenever possible we provide it to you in five, DRM-free file formats—PDF, .epub, Kindle-compatible .mobi, Android .apk, and DAISY—that you can use on the devices of your choice. Our ebook files are fully searchable, and you can cut-and-paste and print them. We also alert you when we've updated the files with corrections and additions.

Learn more at ebooks.oreilly.com

You can also purchase O'Reilly ebooks through the iBookstore, the [Android Marketplace](#), and [Amazon.com](#).

O'REILLY®

Spreading the knowledge of innovators

oreilly.com

Head First Design Patterns

by Eric Freeman, Elisabeth Robson, Kathy Sierra, and Bert Bates

Copyright © 2004 O'Reilly Media, Inc. All rights reserved.

Printed in the United States of America.

Published by O'Reilly Media, Inc., 1005 Gravenstein Highway North, Sebastopol, CA 95472.

O'Reilly Media books may be purchased for educational, business, or sales promotional use. Online editions are also available for most titles (safaribooksonline.com). For more information, contact our corporate/institutional sales department: (800) 998-9938 or corporate@oreilly.com.

Editors: Mike Hendrickson, Mike Loukides

Cover Designer: Ellie Volckhausen

Pattern Wranglers: Eric Freeman, Elisabeth Robson

Facade Decoration: Elisabeth Robson

Strategy: Kathy Sierra and Bert Bates

Observer: Oliver



Printing History:

July 2014: Second release.

October 2004: First release.

The O'Reilly logo is a registered trademark of O'Reilly Media, Inc. Java and all Java-based trademarks and logos are trademarks or registered trademarks of Sun Microsystems, Inc., in the United States and other countries. O'Reilly Media, Inc. is independent of Sun Microsystems.

Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks.

Where those designations appear in this book, and O'Reilly Media, Inc. was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed in caps or initial caps.

While every precaution has been taken in the preparation of this book, the publisher and the authors assume no responsibility for errors or omissions, or for damages resulting from the use of the information contained herein.

In other words, if you use anything in *Head First Design Patterns* to, say, run a nuclear power plant, you're on your own. We do, however, encourage you to use the DJ View app.

No ducks were harmed in the making of this book.

The original GoF agreed to have their photos in this book. Yes, they really are that good-looking.

ISBN: 978-0-5960-07126

[LSI]

[2014-06-30]

Table of Contents (summary)

	Intro	xxv
1	Welcome to Design Patterns: <i>an introduction</i>	1
2	Keeping your Objects in the Know: <i>the Observer Pattern</i>	37
3	Decorating Objects: <i>the Decorator Pattern</i>	81
4	Baking with OO Goodness: <i>the Factory Pattern</i>	111
5	One of a Kind Objects: <i>the Singleton Pattern</i>	171
6	Encapsulating Invocation: <i>the Command Pattern</i>	193
7	Being Adaptive: <i>the Adapter and Facade Patterns</i>	243
8	Encapsulating Algorithms: <i>the Template Method Pattern</i>	283
9	Well-Managed Collections: <i>the Iterator and Composite Patterns</i>	323
10	The State of Things: <i>the State Pattern</i>	393
11	Controlling Object Access: <i>the Proxy Pattern</i>	437
12	Patterns of Patterns: <i>Compound Patterns</i>	505
13	Patterns in the Real World: <i>Better Living with Patterns</i>	583
14	Appendix: <i>Leftover Patterns</i>	617

Table of Contents (the real thing)

Intro

Your brain on Design Patterns. Here you are trying to *learn* something, while here your *brain* is doing you a favor by making sure the learning doesn't *stick*. Your brain's thinking, "Better leave room for more important things, like which wild animals to avoid and whether naked snowboarding is a bad idea." So how *do* you trick your brain into thinking that your life depends on knowing Design Patterns?



Who is this book for?	xxvi
We know what you're thinking.	xxvii
And we know what your brain is thinking.	xxviii
We think of a "Head First" reader as a learner.	xxviii
Metacognition: thinking about thinking	xxix
Here's what WE did	xxx
Here's what YOU can do to bend your brain into submission	xxxii
Read Me	xxxii
Tech Reviewers	xxxiv
Acknowledgments	xxxv

intro to Design Patterns

1

Welcome to Design Patterns

Someone has already solved your problems. In this chapter, you'll learn why (and how) you can exploit the wisdom and lessons learned by other developers who've been down the same design problem road and survived the trip. Before we're done, we'll look at the use and benefits of design patterns, look at some key OO design principles, and walk through an example of how one pattern works. The best way to use patterns is to *load your brain* with them and then *recognize places* in your designs and existing applications where you can *apply them*. Instead of *code reuse*, with patterns you get *experience reuse*.



It started with a simple SimUDuck app	2
But now we need the ducks to FLY	3
But something went horribly wrong	4
Joe thinks about inheritance	5
How about an interface?	6
What would you do if you were Joe?	7
The one constant in software development	8
Zeroing in on the problem	9
Separating what changes from what stays the same	10
Designing the Duck Behaviors	11
Implementing the Duck Behaviors	13
Integrating the Duck Behavior	15
Testing the Duck code	18
Setting behavior dynamically	20
Test the MiniDuckSimulator	21
The Big Picture on encapsulated behaviors	22
HAS-A can be better than IS-A	23
The Strategy Pattern	24
Overheard at the local diner	26
Overheard in the next cubicle	27
The power of a shared pattern vocabulary	28
How do I use Design Patterns?	29
Tools for your Design Toolbox	32

Your BRAIN
Your Code, now new and improved with design patterns!


the Observer Pattern

2


Keeping your Objects in the Know

Don't miss out when something interesting happens!

We've got a pattern that keeps your objects in the know when something they might care about happens. Objects can even decide at runtime whether they want to be kept informed. The Observer Pattern is one of the most heavily used patterns in the JDK, and it's incredibly useful. Before we're done, we'll also look at one-to-many relationships and loose coupling (yeah, that's right, we said coupling). With Observer, you'll be the life of the Patterns Party.



The Weather Monitoring application	39
Meet the Observer Pattern	44
Publisher + Subscribers = Observer Pattern	45
The Observer Pattern defined	51
A day in the life of the Observer Pattern	46
The power of Loose Coupling	53
Designing the Weather Station	56
Implementing the Weather Station	57
Power up the Weather Station	60
Using Java's built-in Observer Pattern	64
How Java's built-in Observer Pattern works	65
The dark side of java.util.Observable	71
Other places you'll find the Observer Pattern in the JDK	72
Tools for your Design Toolbox	75





the Decorator Pattern

3

Decorating Objects

Just call this chapter “Design Eye for the Inheritance Guy.” We’ll re-examine the typical overuse of inheritance and you’ll learn how to decorate your classes at runtime using a form of object composition. Why? Once you know the techniques of decorating, you’ll be able to give your (or someone else’s) objects new responsibilities *without making any code changes to the underlying classes.*



Welcome to Starbuzz Coffee	82
The Open-Closed Principle	88
Meet the Decorator Pattern	90
Constructing a drink order with Decorators	91
The Decorator Pattern defined	93
Decorating Our Beverages	94
Writing the Starbuzz code	97
Real World Decorators: Java I/O	102
Decorating the java.io classes	103
Writing your own Java I/O Decorator	104
Tools for your Design Toolbox	107


the Factory Pattern

4

Baking with OO Goodness

Get ready to bake some loosely coupled OO designs.

There is more to making objects than just using the **new** operator. You'll learn that instantiation is an activity that shouldn't always be done in public and can often lead to *coupling problems*. And you don't want *that*, do you? Find out how Factory Patterns can help save you from embarrassing dependencies.



When you see the “new” think “concrete”	112
Identifying the aspects that vary	114
Encapsulating object creation	116
Building a simple pizza factory	117
The Simple Factory defined	119
A framework for the pizza store	122
Allowing the subclasses to decide	123
Declaring a factory method	127
Meet the Factory Method Pattern	133
Parallel class hierarchies	134
Factory Method Pattern defined	136
A very dependent Pizza Store	139
Looking at object dependencies	140
The Dependency Inversion Principle	141
Applying the Dependency Inversion Principle	142
Inverting your thinking	144
Families of ingredients	147
Looking at the Abstract Factory	155
Abstract Factory Pattern defined	158
Factory Method and Abstract Factory compared	162
Tools for your Design Toolbox	164

the Singleton Pattern

5

One of a Kind Objects

The Singleton Pattern: your ticket to creating one-of-a-kind objects, for which there is only one instance.

You might be happy to know that of all patterns, the Singleton is the simplest in terms of its class diagram; in fact, the diagram holds just a single class! But don't get too comfortable; despite its simplicity from a class design perspective, we are going to encounter quite a few bumps and potholes in its implementation.

So buckle up.

One and only one object	172
The Little Singleton	173
Dissecting the classic Singleton Pattern implementation	175
The Chocolate Factory	177
Singleton Pattern defined	179
<small>Hershey, PA</small> Houston, we have a problem	180
Dealing with multithreading	182
Can we improve multithreading?	183
Meanwhile, back at the Chocolate Factory	185
Tools for your Design Toolbox	188




the Command Pattern

6

Encapsulating Invocation

In this chapter, we take encapsulation to a whole new level: we're going to encapsulate method invocation.

That's right; by encapsulating method invocation, we can crystallize pieces of computation so that the object invoking the computation doesn't need to worry about how to do things, it just uses our crystallized method to get it done. We can also do some wickedly smart things with these encapsulated method invocations, like save them away for logging or reuse them to implement undo in our code.



Home Automation or Bust	194
Taking a look at the vendor classes	196
A brief introduction to the Command Pattern	199
The Objectville Diner roles and responsibilities	201
From the Diner to the Command Pattern	203
Our first command object	205
The Command Pattern defined	208
The Command Pattern and the remote control	211
Implementing the Remote Control	212
Implementing the Commands	213
Putting the remote control through its paces	214
Time to write that documentation	217
Using state to implement Undo	222
Every remote needs a Party Model	226
Using a macro command	227
The Command Pattern means lots of command classes	230
Simplifying the Remote Control with lambda expressions	231
More uses of the Command Pattern: queuing requests	237
More uses of the Command Pattern: logging requests	238
Tools for your Design Toolbox	239


the Adapter and Facade Patterns

7


Being Adaptive

In this chapter we're going to attempt such impossible feats as putting a square peg in a round hole. Sound impossible? Not when we have Design Patterns. Remember the Decorator Pattern? We **wrapped objects** to give them new responsibilities. Now we're going to wrap some objects with a different purpose: to make their interfaces look like something they're not. Why would we do that? So we can adapt a design expecting one interface to a class that implements a different interface. That's not all; while we're at it, we're going to look at another pattern that wraps objects to simplify their interface.


British Wall Outlet



Standard AC Plug



Adapters all around us	244
Object-oriented adapters	245
If it walks like a duck and quacks like a duck, then it must might be a duck turkey wrapped with a duck adapter	246
An Adapter in action	248
The Adapter Pattern explained	249
Adapter Pattern defined	251
Object and class adapters	252
Real-world adapters	256
Adapting an Enumeration to an Iterator	257
Home Sweet Home Theater	263
Lights, Camera, Facade	266
Constructing your home theater facade	269
Facade Pattern defined	272
The Principle of Least Knowledge	273
How NOT to Win Friends and Influence Objects	274
The Facade and the Principle of Least Knowledge	277
Tools for your Design Toolbox	278




the Template Method Pattern

8

Encapsulating Algorithms

We've encapsulated object creation, method invocation, complex interfaces, ducks, pizzas...what could be next?

We're going to get down to encapsulating pieces of algorithms so that subclasses can hook themselves right into a computation anytime they want. We're even going to learn about a design principle inspired by Hollywood.



It's time for some more caffeine	284
Whipping up some coffee and tea classes (in Java)	285
Sir, may I abstract your Coffee, Tea?	288
Abstracting prepareRecipe()	290
What have we done?	293
Meet the Template Method	294
What did the Template Method get us?	296
Template Method Pattern defined	297
Hooked on Template Method...	300
Using the hook	301
The Hollywood Principle and Template Method	305
Template Methods in the Wild	307
Sorting with Template Method	308
We've got some ducks to sort	309
Comparing Ducks and Ducks	310
Let's sort some Ducks	311
The making of the sorting duck machine	312
Swingin' with Frames	314
Applets	315
Tools for your Design Toolbox	319


the Iterator and Composite Patterns

9

Well-Managed Collections

There are lots of ways to stuff objects into a collection.

Put them into an Array, a Stack, a List, a Hashmap, take your pick. Each has its own advantages and tradeoffs. But at some point your client is going to want to iterate over those objects, and when he does, are you going to show him your implementation? We certainly hope not! That just wouldn't be professional. Well, you don't have to risk your career; you're going to see how you can allow your clients to iterate through your objects without ever getting a peek at how you store your objects. You're also going to learn how to create some super collections of objects that can leap over some impressive data structures in a single bound. And if that's not enough, you're also going to learn a thing or two about object responsibility.




Objectville Diner and Objectville Pancake House Merge	324
Comparing Menu implementations	325
Can we encapsulate the iteration?	332
Meet the Iterator Pattern	334
Adding an Iterator to DinerMenu	335
Looking at the design	339
Cleaning things up with java.util.Iterator	342
Iterator Pattern defined	345
Single Responsibility	348
Adding another menu	351
What did we do?	355
Iterators and Collections	357
Is the Waitress ready for prime time?	359
The Composite Pattern defined	364
Designing Menus with Composite	367
Implementing the Menu Component	368
Implementing the Composite Menu	370
Flashback to Iterator	376
The Composite Iterator	377
The Null Iterator	380
The magic of Iterator & Composite together	382
Tools for your Design Toolbox	388

10

the State Pattern

The State of Things

A little known fact: the Strategy and State Patterns were twins separated at birth. As you know, the Strategy Pattern went on to create a wildly successful business around interchangeable algorithms. State, however, took the perhaps more noble path of helping objects to control their behavior by changing their internal state. He's often overheard telling his object clients, "Just repeat after me: I'm good enough, I'm smart enough, and doggonit..."



Java Breakers	394
State machines 101	396
A first attempt at a state machine	398
You knew it was coming...a change request!	402
The messy STATE of things	404
The new design	406
Defining the State interfaces and classes	407
Implementing our State classes	409
Reworking the Gumball Machine	410
Implementing more states	412
Reviewing the states	415
The State Pattern defined	418
We still need to finish the Gumball 1 in 10 game	421
Demo for the CEO of Mighty Gumball, Inc.	423
Sanity check...	425
State versus Strategy	426
We almost forgot!	428
Tools for your Design Toolbox	431



the Proxy Pattern


11

Controlling Object Access

Ever play good cop, bad cop? You're the good cop and you provide all your services in a nice and friendly manner, but you don't want everyone asking you for services, so you have the bad cop control access to you. That's what proxies do: control and manage access. As you're going to see, there are lots of ways in which proxies stand in for the objects they proxy. Proxies have been known to haul entire method calls over the Internet for their proxied objects; they've also been known to patiently stand in the place for some pretty lazy objects.



Monitoring the gumball machines	439
The role of the “remote proxy”	442
RMI Detour	445
GumballMachine remote proxy	457
Remote Proxy behind the scenes	465
The Proxy Pattern defined	467
Get ready for Virtual Proxy	469
Designing the CD cover Virtual Proxy	471
Virtual Proxy behind the scenes	477
Using the Java API’s Proxy to create a protection proxy	481
Five-minute drama: protecting subjects	485
Creating a Dynamic Proxy	486
The Proxy Zoo	494
Tools for your Design Toolbox	497
The code for the CD Cover Viewer	501




12

Compound Patterns

Patterns of Patterns

Who would have ever guessed that Patterns could work together? You've already witnessed the acrimonious Fireside Chats (and you haven't even seen the Pattern Death Match pages that the editor forced us to remove from the book), so who would have thought patterns can actually get along well together? Well, believe it or not, some of the most powerful OO designs use several patterns together. Get ready to take your pattern skills to the next level; it's time for compound patterns.



Compound Patterns	506
Duck reunion	507
Adding an adapter	510
Adding a decorator	512
Adding a factory	514
Adding a composite and iterator	519
Adding an observer	522
Patterns summary	529
A bird's duck's eye view: the class diagram	530
MVC: King of Compound Patterns	532
Meet the Model-View-Controller	535
Looking at MVC through patterns-colored glasses	538
Using MVC to control the beat	540
The Model	543
Building the pieces	543
The View	545
The Controller	548
Putting it all together	550
Exploring Strategy	551
Adapting the Model	552
MVC and the Web	555
Model 2: DJ'ing from a cell phone	557
Putting Model 2 to the test	561
Design Patterns and Model 2	563
Tools for your Design Toolbox	566

Better Living with Patterns


13

Patterns in the Real World

Ahhhh, now you're ready for a bright new world filled with Design Patterns. But, before you go opening all those new doors of opportunity, we need to cover a few details that you'll encounter out in the real world—that's right, things get a little more complex than they are here in Objectville. Come along, we've got a nice guide to help you through the transition on the next page...




Your Objectville guide	584
Design Pattern defined	585
Looking more closely at the Design Pattern definition	587
May the force be with you	588
Patterns catalogs	591
So you wanna be a Design Patterns writer	593
Organizing Design Patterns	595
Thinking in Patterns	600
Your Mind on Patterns	603
Don't forget the power of the shared vocabulary	605
Top five ways to share your vocabulary	606
Cruisin' Objectville with the Gang of Four	607
Your journey has just begun	608
Other Design Patterns resources	609
The Patterns Zoo	610
Annihilating evil with Anti-Patterns	612
Tools for your Design Toolbox	614
Leaving Objectville	615



14

Appendix: Leftover Patterns

Not everyone can be the most popular. A lot has changed in the last 10 years. Since *Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software* first came out, developers have applied these patterns thousands of times. The patterns we summarize in this appendix are full-fledged, card-carrying, official GoF patterns, but aren't always used as often as the patterns we've explored so far. But these patterns are awesome in their own right, and if your situation calls for them, you should apply them with your head held high. Our goal in this appendix is to give you a high level idea of what these patterns are all about.




Bridge	618
Builder	620
Chain of Responsibility	622
Flyweight	624
Interpreter	626
Mediator	628
Memento	630
Prototype	632
Visitor	634

**Index**

3 the Decorator Pattern

Decorating Objects



I used to think real men subclassed everything. That was until I learned the power of extension at runtime, rather than at compile time. Now look at me!

Just call this chapter “Design Eye for the Inheritance Guy.”

We’ll re-examine the typical overuse of inheritance and you’ll learn how to decorate your classes at runtime using a form of object composition. Why? Once you know the techniques of decorating, you’ll be able to give your (or someone else’s) objects new responsibilities *without making any code changes to the underlying classes*.


Welcome to Starbuzz Coffee

Starbuzz Coffee has made a name for itself as the fastest growing coffee shop around. If you've seen one on your local corner, look across the street; you'll see another one.




Because they've grown so quickly, they're scrambling to update their ordering systems to match their beverage offerings.

When they first went into business they designed their classes like this...



In addition to your coffee, you can also ask for several condiments like steamed milk, soy, and mocha (otherwise known as chocolate), and have it all topped off with whipped milk. Starbuzz charges a bit for each of these, so they really need to get them built into their order system.

Here's their first attempt...



Whoa!
Can you say
"class explosion"?

Each cost method computes the cost of the coffee along with the other condiments in the order.



It's pretty obvious that Starbuzz has created a maintenance nightmare for themselves. What happens when the price of milk goes up? What do they do when they add a new caramel topping?

Thinking beyond the maintenance problem, which of the design principles that we've covered so far are they violating?

Hint: they're violating two of them in a big way!



This is stupid; why do we need all these classes? Can't we just use instance variables and inheritance in the superclass to keep track of the condiments?


Well, let's give it a try. Let's start with the Beverage base class and add instance variables to represent whether or not each beverage has milk, soy, mocha, and whip...

Beverage	
description	
milk	
soy	
mocha	
whip	
getDescription()	
cost()	
hasMilk()	
setMilk()	
hasSoy()	
setSoy()	
hasMocha()	
setMocha()	
hasWhip()	
setWhip()	
// Other useful methods..	

New boolean values for each condiment.

Now we'll implement cost() in Beverage (instead of keeping it abstract), so that it can calculate the costs associated with the condiments for a particular beverage instance. Subclasses will still override cost(), but they will also invoke the super version so that they can calculate the total cost of the basic beverage plus the costs of the added condiments.

These get and set the boolean values for the condiments.




Sharpen your pencil

Write the `cost()` methods for the following classes (pseudo-Java is okay):

```

public class Beverage {
    public double cost() {
    }
}

public class DarkRoast extends Beverage {
    public DarkRoast() {
        description = "Most Excellent Dark Roast";
    }
    public double cost() {
    }
}
  
```



Sharpen your pencil

What requirements or other factors might change that will impact this design?

Price changes for condiments will force us to alter existing code.

New condiments will force us to add new methods and alter the cost method in the superclass.

We may have new beverages. For some of these beverages (iced tea?), the condiments may not be appropriate, yet the Tea subclass will still inherit methods like hasWhip().

As we saw in Chapter 1, this is a very bad idea!

What if a customer wants a double mocha?

Your turn:



Master and Student...

Master: Grasshopper, it has been some time since our last meeting. Have you been deep in meditation on inheritance?

Student: Yes, Master. While inheritance is powerful, I have learned that it doesn't always lead to the most flexible or maintainable designs.

Master: Ah yes, you have made some progress. So, tell me, my student, how then will you achieve reuse if not through inheritance?

Student: Master, I have learned there are ways of "inheriting" behavior at runtime through composition and delegation.

Master: Please, go on...

Student: When I inherit behavior by subclassing, that behavior is set statically at compile time. In addition, all subclasses must inherit the same behavior. If however, I can extend an object's behavior through composition, then I can do this dynamically at runtime.

Master: Very good, Grasshopper, you are beginning to see the power of composition.

Student: Yes, it is possible for me to add multiple new responsibilities to objects through this technique, including responsibilities that were not even thought of by the designer of the superclass. And, I don't have to touch their code!


Master: What have you learned about the effect of composition on maintaining your code?

Student: Well, that is what I was getting at. By dynamically composing objects, I can add new functionality by writing new code rather than altering existing code. Because I'm not changing existing code, the chances of introducing bugs or causing unintended side effects in pre-existing code are much reduced.

Master: Very good. Enough for today, Grasshopper. I would like for you to go and meditate further on this topic... Remember, code should be closed (to change) like the lotus flower in the evening, yet open (to extension) like the lotus flower in the morning.

The Open-Closed Principle

Grasshopper is on to one of the most important design principles:



Come on in; we're *open*. Feel free to extend our classes with any new behavior you like. If your needs or requirements change (and we know they will), just go ahead and make your own extensions.



Sorry, we're *closed*. That's right, we spent a lot of time getting this code correct and bug free, so we can't let you alter the existing code. It must remain closed to modification. If you don't like it, you can speak to the manager.

Our goal is to allow classes to be easily extended to incorporate new behavior without modifying existing code. What do we get if we accomplish this? Designs that are resilient to change and flexible enough to take on new functionality to meet changing requirements.

there are no
Dumb Questions

Q: Open for extension and closed for modification? That sounds very contradictory. How can a design be both?

A: That's a very good question. It certainly sounds contradictory at first. After all, the less modifiable something is, the harder it is to extend, right?

As it turns out, though, there are some clever OO techniques for allowing systems to be extended, even if we can't change the underlying code. Think about the Observer Pattern (in Chapter 2)... by adding new Observers, we can extend the Subject at any time, without adding code to the Subject. You'll see quite a few more ways of extending behavior with other OO design techniques.

Q: Okay, I understand Observable, but how do I generally design something to be extensible, yet closed for modification?

A: Many of the patterns give us time-tested designs that protect your code from being modified by supplying a means of extension. In this chapter you'll see a good example of using the Decorator Pattern to follow the Open-Closed principle.

Q: How can I make every part of my design follow the Open-Closed Principle?

A: Usually, you can't. Making OO design flexible and open to extension without the modification of existing code takes time and effort. In general, we don't have the luxury of tying down every part of our designs (and it would probably be wasteful). Following the Open-Closed Principle usually introduces new levels of abstraction, which adds complexity to our code. You want to concentrate on those areas that are most likely to change in your designs and apply the principles there.

Q: How do I know which areas of change are more important?

A: That is partly a matter of experience in designing OO systems and also a matter of knowing the domain you are working in. Looking at other examples will help you learn to identify areas of change in your own designs.

While it may seem like a contradiction, there are techniques for allowing code to be extended without direct modification.

Be careful when choosing the areas of code that need to be extended; applying the Open-Closed Principle EVERYWHERE is wasteful and unnecessary, and can lead to complex, hard-to-understand code.

Meet the Decorator Pattern

Okay, we've seen that representing our beverage plus condiment pricing scheme with inheritance has not worked out very well—we get class explosions and rigid designs, or we add functionality to the base class that isn't appropriate for some of the subclasses.

So, here's what we'll do instead: we'll start with a beverage and "decorate" it with the condiments at runtime. For example, if the customer wants a Dark Roast with Mocha and Whip, then we'll:

- 1 Take a `DarkRoast` object**
- 2 Decorate it with a `Mocha` object**
- 3 Decorate it with a `Whip` object**
- 4 Call the `cost()` method and rely on delegation to add on the condiment costs**


Okay, but how do you "decorate" an object, and how does delegation come into this? A hint: think of decorator objects as "wrappers." Let's see how this works...

Okay, enough of the "Object Oriented Design Club." We have real problems here! Remember us? Starbuzz Coffee? Do you think you could use some of those design principles to actually help us?




Constructing a drink order with Decorators

- 1 We start with our DarkRoast object.**



Remember that DarkRoast inherits from Beverage and has a cost() method that computes the cost of the drink.


- 2 The customer wants Mocha, so we create a Mocha object and wrap it around the DarkRoast.**



The Mocha object is a decorator. Its type mirrors the object it is decorating; in this case, a Beverage. (By "mirror," we mean it is the same type.)

So, Mocha has a cost() method too, and through polymorphism we can treat any Beverage wrapped in Mocha as a Beverage, too (because Mocha is a subtype of Beverage).


- 3 The customer also wants Whip, so we create a Whip decorator and wrap Mocha with it.**



Whip is a decorator, so it also mirrors DarkRoast's type and includes a cost() method.

So, a DarkRoast wrapped in Mocha and Whip is still a Beverage and we can do anything with it we can do with a DarkRoast, including call its cost() method.

- ④ Now it's time to compute the cost for the customer. We do this by calling `cost()` on the outermost decorator, **Whip**, and **Whip** is going to delegate computing the cost to the objects it decorates. Once it gets a cost, it will add on the cost of the **Whip**.



Okay, here's what we know so far...

- Decorators have the same supertype as the objects they decorate.
- You can use one or more decorators to wrap an object.
- Given that the decorator has the same supertype as the object it decorates, we can pass around a decorated object in place of the original (wrapped) object.
- The decorator adds its own behavior either before and/or after delegating to the object it decorates to do the rest of the job.
- Objects can be decorated at any time, so we can decorate objects dynamically at runtime with as many decorators as we like.

Key point!


Now let's see how this all really works by looking at the **Decorator Pattern** definition and writing some code.

The Decorator Pattern defined

Let's first take a look at the Decorator Pattern description:


The Decorator Pattern attaches additional responsibilities to an object dynamically. Decorators provide a flexible alternative to subclassing for extending functionality.

While that describes the *role* of the Decorator Pattern, it doesn't give us a lot of insight into how we'd *apply* the pattern to our own implementation. Let's take a look at the class diagram, which is a little more revealing (on the next page we'll look at the same structure applied to the beverage problem).



Decorating our Beverages

Okay, let's work our Starbuzz beverages into this framework...




And here are our condiment decorators; notice they need to implement not only `cost()` but also `get>Description()`. We'll see why in a moment...



Before going further, think about how you'd implement the `cost()` method of the coffees and the condiments. Also think about how you'd implement the `get>Description()` method of the condiments.

Cubicle Conversation

Some confusion over Inheritance versus Composition



Sue: What do you mean?

Mary: Look at the class diagram. The CondimentDecorator is extending the Beverage class. That's inheritance, right?

Sue: True. I think the point is that it's vital that the decorators have the same type as the objects they are going to decorate. So here we're using inheritance to achieve the *type matching*, but we aren't using inheritance to get *behavior*.

Mary: Okay, I can see how decorators need the same "interface" as the components they wrap because they need to stand in place of the component. But where does the behavior come in?

Sue: When we compose a decorator with a component, we are adding new behavior. We are acquiring new behavior not by inheriting it from a superclass, but by composing objects together.

Mary: Okay, so we're subclassing the abstract class Beverage in order to have the correct type, not to inherit its behavior. The behavior comes in through the composition of decorators with the base components as well as other decorators.

Sue: That's right.

Mary: Ooooh, I see. And because we are using object composition, we get a whole lot more flexibility about how to mix and match condiments and beverages. Very smooth.

Sue: Yes, if we rely on inheritance, then our behavior can only be determined statically at compile time. In other words, we get only whatever behavior the superclass gives us or that we override. With composition, we can mix and match decorators any way we like... *at runtime*.

Mary: And as I understand it, we can implement new decorators at any time to add new behavior. If we relied on inheritance, we'd have to go in and change existing code any time we wanted new behavior.


Sue: Exactly.

Mary: I just have one more question. If all we need to inherit is the type of the component, how come we didn't use an interface instead of an abstract class for the Beverage class?

Sue: Well, remember, when we got this code, Starbuzz already *had* an abstract Beverage class. Traditionally the Decorator Pattern does specify an abstract component, but in Java, obviously, we could use an interface. But we always try to avoid altering existing code, so don't "fix" it if the abstract class will work just fine.

New barista training

Make a picture for what happens when the order is for a “double mocha soy latte with whip” beverage. Use the menu to get the correct prices, and draw your picture using the same format we used earlier (from a few pages back):



Sharpen your pencil

Draw your picture here.

Okay, I need for you to make me a double mocha, soy latte with whip.




Starbuzz Coffee

Coffees

House Blend	.89
Dark Roast	.99
Decaf	1.05
Espresso	1.99

Condiments

Steamed Milk	.10
Mocha	.20
Soy	.15
Whip	.10



Writing the Starbuzz code

It's time to whip this design into some real code.

Let's start with the Beverage class, which doesn't need to change from Starbuzz's original design.

Let's take a look:



```
public abstract class Beverage {
    String description = "Unknown Beverage";

    public String getDescription() {
        return description;
    }

    public abstract double cost();
}
```

Beverage is an abstract class with the two methods `getDescription()` and `cost()`.

`getDescription` is already implemented for us, but we need to implement `cost()` in the subclasses.

Beverage is simple enough. Let's implement the abstract class for the Condiments (Decorator) as well:

First, we need to be interchangeable with a Beverage, so we extend the Beverage class.

```
public abstract class CondimentDecorator extends Beverage {
    public abstract String getDescription();
}
```

We're also going to require that the condiment decorators all reimplement the `getDescription()` method. Again, we'll see why in a sec...

Coding beverages

Now that we've got our base classes out of the way, let's implement some beverages. We'll start with Espresso. Remember, we need to set a description for the specific beverage and also implement the cost() method.

```
public class Espresso extends Beverage {
```

```
    public Espresso() {
        description = "Espresso";
    }

    public double cost() {
        return 1.99;
    }
}
```

First we extend the Beverage class, since this is a beverage.

To take care of the description, we set this in the constructor for the class. Remember, the description instance variable is inherited from Beverage.

```
public class HouseBlend extends Beverage {
    public HouseBlend() {
        description = "House Blend Coffee";
    }

    public double cost() {
        return .89;
    }
}
```

Finally, we need to compute the cost of an Espresso. We don't need to worry about adding in condiments in this class, we just need to return the price of an Espresso: \$1.99.

Okay, here's another Beverage. All we do is set the appropriate description, "House Blend Coffee," and then return the correct cost: 89¢.

You can create the other two Beverage classes (DarkRoast and Decaf) in exactly the same way.

Starbuzz Coffee		
Coffees		
House Blend	.89	
Dark Roast	.99	
Decaf	1.05	
Espresso	1.99	
Condiments		
Steamed Milk	.10	
Mocha	.20	
Soy	.15	
Whip	.10	

Coding condiments

If you look back at the Decorator Pattern class diagram, you'll see we've now written our abstract component (**Beverage**), we have our concrete components (**HouseBlend**), and we have our abstract decorator (**CondimentDecorator**). Now it's time to implement the concrete decorators. Here's Mocha:

```

Mocha is a decorator, so we
extend CondimentDecorator.

Remember, CondimentDecorator
extends Beverage.

public class Mocha extends CondimentDecorator {
    Beverage beverage;

    public Mocha (Beverage beverage) {
        this.beverage = beverage;
    }

    public String getDescription() {
        return beverage.getDescription() + ", Mocha";
    }

    public double cost() {
        return beverage.cost() + .20;
    }
}

Now we need to compute the cost of our beverage
with Mocha. First, we delegate the call to the
object we're decorating, so that it can compute the
cost; then, we add the cost of Mocha to the result.

```

We're going to instantiate Mocha with a reference to a Beverage using:

- (1) An instance variable to hold the beverage we are wrapping.
- (2) A way to set this instance variable to the object we are wrapping. Here, we're going to pass the beverage we're wrapping to the decorator's constructor.

We want our description to not only include the beverage – say “Dark Roast” – but also to include each item decorating the beverage (for instance, “Dark Roast, Mocha”). So we first delegate to the object we are decorating to get its description, then append “, Mocha” to that description.

On the next page we'll actually instantiate the beverage and wrap it with all its condiments (decorators), but first...



Exercise

Write and compile the code for the other Soy and Whip condiments. You'll need them to finish and test the application.

Serving some coffees


Congratulations. It's time to sit back, order a few coffees, and marvel at the flexible design you created with the Decorator Pattern.

Here's some test code* to make orders:

```
public class StarbuzzCoffee {  
  
    public static void main(String args[]) {  
        Beverage beverage = new Espresso();  
        System.out.println(beverage.getDescription()  
            + " $" + beverage.cost());  
  
        Beverage beverage2 = new DarkRoast(); ← Make a DarkRoast object.  
        beverage2 = new Mocha(beverage2); ← Wrap it with a Mocha.  
        beverage2 = new Mocha(beverage2); ← Wrap it in a second Mocha.  
        beverage2 = new Whip(beverage2); ← Wrap it in a Whip.  
        System.out.println(beverage2.getDescription()  
            + " $" + beverage2.cost());  
  
        Beverage beverage3 = new HouseBlend(); ← Finally, give us a HouseBlend  
        beverage3 = new Soy(beverage3); with Soy, Mocha, and Whip.  
        beverage3 = new Mocha(beverage3);  
        beverage3 = new Whip(beverage3);  
  
        System.out.println(beverage3.getDescription()  
            + " $" + beverage3.cost());  
    }  
}
```

Now, let's get those orders in:

* We're going to see a much better way of creating decorated objects when we cover the Factory and Builder Design Patterns. Please note that the Builder Pattern is covered in the Appendix.



```
File Edit Window Help CloudsInMyCoffee  
% java StarbuzzCoffee  
Espresso $1.99  
Dark Roast Coffee, Mocha, Mocha, Whip $1.49  
House Blend Coffee, Soy, Mocha, Whip $1.34  
%
```

there are no
Dumb Questions

Q: I'm a little worried about code that might test for a specific concrete component—say, HouseBlend—and do something, like issue a discount. Once I've wrapped the HouseBlend with decorators, this isn't going to work anymore.

A: That is exactly right. If you have code that relies on the concrete component's type, decorators will break that code. As long as you only write code against the abstract component type, the use of decorators will remain transparent to your code. However, once you start writing code against concrete components, you'll want to rethink your application design and your use of decorators.

Q: Wouldn't it be easy for some client of a beverage to end up with a decorator that isn't the outermost decorator? Like if I had a DarkRoast with Mocha, Soy, and Whip, it would be easy to write code that somehow ended up with a reference to Soy instead of Whip, which means it would not include Whip in the order.

A: You could certainly argue that you have to manage more objects with the Decorator Pattern and so there is an increased chance that coding errors will introduce the kinds of problems you suggest. However, decorators are typically created by using other patterns like Factory and Builder. Once we've covered these patterns, you'll see that the creation of the concrete component with its decorator is "well encapsulated" and doesn't lead to these kinds of problems.

Q: Can decorators know about the other decorations in the chain? Say I wanted my getDescription() method to print "Whip, Double Mocha" instead of "Mocha, Whip, Mocha." That would require that my outermost decorator know all the decorators it is wrapping.

A: Decorators are meant to add behavior to the object they wrap. When you need to peek at multiple layers into the decorator chain, you are starting to push the decorator beyond its true intent. Nevertheless, such things are possible. Imagine a CondimentPrettyPrint decorator that parses the final description and can print "Mocha, Whip, Mocha" as "Whip, Double Mocha." Note that getDescription() could return an ArrayList of descriptions to make this easier.



Sharpen your pencil

Our friends at Starbuzz have introduced sizes to their menu. You can now order a coffee in tall, grande, and venti sizes (translation: small, medium, and large). Starbuzz saw this as an intrinsic part of the coffee class, so they've added two methods to the Beverage class: setSize() and getSize(). They'd also like for the condiments to be charged according to size, so for instance, Soy costs 10¢, 15¢, and 20¢, respectively, for tall, grande, and venti coffees. The updated Beverage class is shown below.


How would you alter the decorator classes to handle this change in requirements?

```
public abstract class Beverage {
    public enum Size { TALL, GRANDE, VENTI };
    Size size = Size.TALL;
    String description = "Unknown Beverage";
    public String getDescription() {
        return description;
    }
    public void setSize(Size size) {
        this.size = size;
    }
    public Size getSize() {
        return this.size;
    }
    public abstract double cost();
}
```

Real World Decorators: Java I/O


The large number of classes in the `java.io` package is... *overwhelming*. Don't feel alone if you said "whoa" the first (and second and third) time you looked at this API.

But now that you know the Decorator Pattern, the I/O classes should make more sense since the `java.io` package is largely based on Decorator. Here's a typical set of objects that use decorators to add functionality to reading data from a file:



BufferedInputStream and **LineNumberInputStream** both extend **FilterInputStream**, which acts as the abstract decorator class.

Decorating the java.io classes



You can see that this isn't so different from the Starbuzz design. You should now be in a good position to look over the `java.io` API docs and compose decorators on the various *input* streams.

You'll see that the *output* streams have the same design. And you've probably already found that the Reader/Writer streams (for character-based data) closely mirror the design of the streams classes (with a few differences and inconsistencies, but close enough to figure out what's going on).

Java I/O also points out one of the *downsides* of the Decorator Pattern: designs using this pattern often result in a large number of small classes that can be overwhelming to a developer trying to use the Decorator-based API. But now that you know how Decorator works, you can keep things in perspective and when you're using someone else's Decorator-heavy API, you can work through how their classes are organized so that you can easily use wrapping to get the behavior you're after.

Writing your own Java I/O Decorator

Okay, you know the Decorator Pattern, you've seen the I/O class diagram. You should be ready to write your own input decorator.

How about this: write a decorator that converts all uppercase characters to lowercase in the input stream. In other words, if we read in "I know the Decorator Pattern therefore I RULE!" then your decorator converts this to "i know the decorator pattern therefore i rule!"

No problem. I just have to extend the FilterInputStream class and override the read() methods.



Don't forget to import
java.io... (not shown).

First, extend the FilterInputStream, the abstract decorator for all InputStreams.

```
public class LowerCaseInputStream extends FilterInputStream {  
  
    public LowerCaseInputStream(InputStream in) {  
        super(in);  
    }  
  
    public int read() throws IOException {  
        int c = in.read();  
        return (c == -1 ? c : Character.toLowerCase((char)c));  
    }  
  
    public int read(byte[] b, int offset, int len) throws IOException {  
        int result = in.read(b, offset, len);  
        for (int i = offset; i < offset+result; i++) {  
            b[i] = (byte)Character.toLowerCase((char)b[i]);  
        }  
        return result;  
    }  
}
```

REMEMBER: we don't provide import and package statements in the code listings. Get the complete source code from <http://wickedlysmart.com/head-first-design-patterns/>.

Now we need to implement two read methods. They take a byte (or an array of bytes) and convert each byte (that represents a character) to lowercase if it's an uppercase character.

Test out your new Java I/O Decorator

Write some quick code to test the I/O decorator:

```

public class InputTest {
    public static void main(String[] args) throws IOException {
        int c;
        try {
            InputStream in =
                new LowerCaseInputStream(
                    new BufferedInputStream(
                        new FileInputStream("test.txt")));
            while((c = in.read()) >= 0) {
                System.out.print((char)c);
            }
            in.close();
        } catch (IOException e) {
            e.printStackTrace();
        }
    }
}

```

Set up the FileInputStream and decorate it, first with a BufferedInputStream and then our brand new LowerCaseInputStream filter.

I know the Decorator Pattern therefore I RULE!

test.txt file

You need to make this file.

Just use the stream to read characters until the end of file and print as we go.

Give it a spin:

```

File Edit Window Help DecoratorsRule
% java InputTest
i know the decorator pattern therefore i rule!
%

```



Head First: Welcome, Decorator Pattern. We've heard that you've been a bit down on yourself lately?

Decorator: Yes, I know the world sees me as the glamorous design pattern, but you know, I've got my share of problems just like everyone.

HeadFirst: Can you perhaps share some of your troubles with us?

Decorator: Sure. Well, you know I've got the power to add flexibility to designs, that much is for sure, but I also have a *dark side*. You see, I can sometimes add a lot of small classes to a design and this occasionally results in a design that's less than straightforward for others to understand.

HeadFirst: Can you give us an example?

Decorator: Take the Java I/O libraries. These are notoriously difficult for people to understand at first. But if they just saw the classes as a set of wrappers around an InputStream, life would be much easier.

HeadFirst: That doesn't sound so bad. You're still a great pattern, and improving this is just a matter of public education, right?

Decorator: There's more, I'm afraid. I've got typing problems: you see, people sometimes take a piece of client code that relies on specific types and introduce decorators without thinking through everything. Now, one great thing about me is that *you can usually insert decorators transparently and the client never has to know it's dealing with a decorator*. But like I said, some code is dependent on specific types and when you start introducing decorators, boom! Bad things happen.

HeadFirst: Well, I think everyone understands that you have to be careful when inserting decorators. I don't think this is a reason to be too down on yourself.

Decorator: I know, I try not to be. I also have the problem that introducing decorators can increase the complexity of the code needed to instantiate the component. Once you've got decorators, you've got to not only instantiate the component, but also wrap it with who knows how many decorators.

HeadFirst: I'll be interviewing the Factory and Builder patterns next week—I hear they can be very helpful with this?

Decorator: That's true; I should talk to those guys more often.


HeadFirst: Well, we all think you're a great pattern for creating flexible designs and staying true to the Open-Closed Principle, so keep your chin up and think positively!

Decorator: I'll do my best, thank you.




Tools for your Design Toolbox

You've got another chapter under your belt and a new principle and pattern in the toolbox.



We now have the Open-Closed Principle to guide us. We're going to strive to design our system so that the closed parts are isolated from our new extensions.



And here's our first pattern for creating designs that satisfy the Open-Closed Principle. Or was it really the first? Is there another pattern we've used that follows this principle as well?



BULLET POINTS

- Inheritance is one form of extension, but not necessarily the best way to achieve flexibility in our designs.
- In our designs we should allow behavior to be extended without the need to modify existing code.
- Composition and delegation can often be used to add new behaviors at runtime.
- The Decorator Pattern provides an alternative to subclassing for extending behavior.
- The Decorator Pattern involves a set of decorator classes that are used to wrap concrete components.
- Decorator classes mirror the type of the components they decorate. (In fact, they are the same type as the components they decorate, either through inheritance or interface implementation.)
- Decorators change the behavior of their components by adding new functionality before and/or after (or even in place of) method calls to the component.
- You can wrap a component with any number of decorators.
- Decorators are typically transparent to the client of the component; that is, unless the client is relying on the component's concrete type.
- Decorators can result in many small objects in our design, and overuse can be complex.



Sharpen your pencil

Solution

Write the cost() methods for the following classes (pseudo-Java is okay). Here's our solution:

```

public class Beverage {

    // declare instance variables for milkCost,
    // soyCost, mochaCost, and whipCost, and
    // getters and setters for milk, soy, mocha
    // and whip.

    public double cost() {

        float condimentCost = 0.0;
        if (hasMilk()) {
            condimentCost += milkCost;
        }
        if (hasSoy()) {
            condimentCost += soyCost;
        }
        if (hasMocha()) {
            condimentCost += mochaCost;
        }
        if (hasWhip()) {
            condimentCost += whipCost;
        }
        return condimentCost;
    }
}

public class DarkRoast extends Beverage {

    public DarkRoast() {
        description = "Most Excellent Dark Roast";
    }

    public double cost() {
        return 1.99 + super.cost();
    }
}

```

Sharpen your pencil

Solution

New barista training



"double mocha soy latte with whip"

- 1 First, we call `cost()` on the outmost decorator, Whip.

- 2 Whip calls `cost()` on Mocha

- 3 Mocha calls `cost()` on another Mocha.

- 4 Next, Mocha calls `cost()` on Soy.

- 5 Last topping! Soy calls `cost()` on HouseBlend.


- 6 HouseBlend's `cost()` method returns .89 cents and pops off the stack.

- 7 Soy's `cost()` method adds .15 and returns the result, and pops off the stack.

- 8 The second Mocha's `cost()` method adds .20 and returns the result, and pops off the stack.

- 9 The first Mocha's `cost()` method adds .20 and returns the result, and pops off the stack.

- 10 Finally, the result returns to Whip's `cost()`, which adds .10 and we have a final cost of \$1.54.





Sharpen your pencil

Solution

Our friends at Starbuzz have introduced sizes to their menu. You can now order a coffee in tall, grande, and venti sizes (for us normal folk: small, medium, and large). Starbuzz saw this as an intrinsic part of the coffee class, so they've added two methods to the Beverage class: setSize() and getSize(). They'd also like for the condiments to be charged according to size, so for instance, Soy costs 10¢, 15¢, and 20¢, respectively, for tall, grande, and venti coffees.

How would you alter the decorator classes to handle this change in requirements? Here's our solution.

```
public abstract class CondimentDecorator extends Beverage {
    public Beverage beverage;
    public abstract String getDescription();

    public Size getSize() {
        return beverage.getSize();
    }
}

public class Soy extends CondimentDecorator {
    public Soy(Beverage beverage) {
        this.beverage = beverage;
    }

    public String getDescription() {
        return beverage.getDescription() + ", Soy";
    }

    public double cost() {
        double cost = beverage.cost();
        if (beverage.getSize() == Size.TALL) {
            cost += .10;
        } else if (beverage.getSize() == Size.GRANDE) {
            cost += .15;
        } else if (beverage.getSize() == Size.VENTI) {
            cost += .20;
        }
        return cost;
    }
}
```

We moved the Beverage instance variable into CondimentDecorator, and added a method, getSize() for the decorators that simply returns the size of the beverage.

Here we get the size (which propagates all the way to the concrete beverage) and then add the appropriate cost.

Want to read more?

You can [buy this book](#) at [oreilly.com](#)
in print and ebook format.

Buy 2 books, get the 3rd FREE!

Use discount code: OPC10

All orders over \$29.95 qualify for **free shipping** within the US.

It's also available at your favorite book retailer,
including the iBookstore, the [Android Marketplace](#),
and [Amazon.com](#).



O'REILLY®

Spreading the knowledge of innovators

[oreilly.com](#)