

Presentation: P4

Safe and Quasi-Optimal Autonomous Navigation in Environments with Convex Obstacles.

Victor Risager

October 9, 2023

1 Test

The planner is tested and compared to other planners like Dijkstra's algorithm on a visibility tangent graph in 10 different Highly congested two-dimensional spaces. In each space 100 initial conditions are taken and the percentage of perfect matching paths with respect to the optimal Dijkstra planner is shown here. One reason why it occasionally fails to take the optimal path, is because of the nested projections as seen in this figure.

2 Benefits over Dijkstra

The main benefits of this algorithm is that it

- Solves the problem from a feedback control perspective, thus it can navigate in one go.
- This is a closed-form solution, which is more suitable for realtime implementation.
- It is applicable in n dimensions.

3 Simulation

The simulation is initially done in 2D and is compared to two other algorithms: Navigation function and separating Hyperplane. The improvement in length of the paths generated can be seen in this table, where it is evident that there is an overall improvement.

4 Sensor based control strategy

Implementation wise, when the world is considered unknown and only a Lidar is used, then some of the previous implications disappears. When using the lidar for navigation, then it is invariant of the nests generated by the undesired equilibria.

5 Gazebo simulation

Again here the lidar is used again, but implemented on a turtlebot 3 and simulated in Gazebo using ROS noetic. As the Turtlebot is a non-holonomic differential drive robot, they propose a smooth switching between actual control inputs and linear and angular velocities which takes the dynamic limitations of the robot into consideration. This showed promising results as well, where no implications was observed.

6 Conclusion

The algorithm proposed achieves intermediary optimal obstacle avoidance, while the overall path is quasi-optimal but collision free. All of this is achieved on the cost of a somewhat restrictive assumption on the shape of the obstacles, which have to be convex and reasonably curved. For the sensorbased version, problems of undesired equilibria does not occur, which allows it to navigate without any prior knowledge of the environment of sufficiently curved obstacles.