

6.1 Training Neural Networks

Machine Learning 1: Foundations

Marius Kloft (TUK)

Kaiserslautern, 26 May – 2 June 2020

Recap

Artificial neural networks (ANN)


Key advantage over SVM, logistic regression, and friends: can learn a good representation of the data,

$$\min_{b \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \boldsymbol{\phi}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left(0, 1 + \exp(-y_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_i) + b))\right).$$

Need to restrict search space of ϕ !

ldea: design ϕ similar to our brain

- multiple neurons in multiple layers with feed-forward connections
- optimize over $W = (W_1, \dots, W_L)!$



How to train ANNs?

Contents of this Class

Training Neural Networks

Deep Learning

Training Neural Networks

2 Deep Learning

How to Train (Deep) ANNs?

In the same way as we trained the SVM: (stochastic) gradient descent!

Recall the ANN optimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}, W} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \|W_l\|_{\text{Fro}}^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(1 + \exp\left(-y_i \mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi_W(\mathbf{x}_i)\right)\right)}_{=:F(\mathbf{w}, W)}$$

How to compute the gradient of F?

For the sake of simplicity, we focus on discussing how to train fully connected ANNs (not CNNs).

The Gradient of F With Respect to \mathbf{w} is Simple:

The function

$$g(x) = \log(1 + \exp(x))$$

has the derivative

$$g'(x) = \frac{\exp(x)}{1 + \exp(x)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)}.$$

Thus, by the chain rule:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} F(\mathbf{w}, W) = \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \log \left(1 + \exp \left(-y_{i} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi_{W}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \right) \right)$$
$$= \mathbf{w} - C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{i} \phi_{W}(\mathbf{x}_{i})}{1 + \exp(y_{i} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi_{W}(\mathbf{x}_{i}))}$$

But how to compute the gradient of F with respect to W?

Gradient of F With Respect to $|W = (W_1, ..., W_L)|$

$$W = (W_1, \ldots, W_L)$$

Analogously, we have, for all l = 1, ..., L:

$$\nabla_{W_{l}}F(\mathbf{w}, W) = W_{l} + C\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{W_{l}} \log \left(1 + \exp\left(-y_{i}\mathbf{w}^{\top}\phi_{W}(\mathbf{x}_{i})\right)\right)$$

$$= W_{l} - C\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{i}\mathbf{w}^{\top}\nabla_{W_{l}}\phi_{W}(\mathbf{x}_{i})}{1 + \exp(y_{i}\mathbf{w}^{\top}\phi_{W}(\mathbf{x}_{i}))},$$

where we applied the chain rule.

From now on, denote the *ij*th entry of W_i by w_{iii} .

Given a data point **x**, how to compute $\nabla_{w_m} \phi_W(\mathbf{x})$?

Computing $\nabla_{W_{iil}} \phi_W(\mathbf{x})$

We have:

$$\nabla_{w_{ijl}} \phi_{W}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla_{w_{ijl}} \sigma \left(\underbrace{W_{L}^{\top} \sigma \left(\dots \sigma \left(\underbrace{W_{1}^{\top} \mathbf{v}_{0}}_{=\mathbf{u}_{1}} \right) \dots \right)}_{=\mathbf{v}_{1}} \right).$$

Need to compute a gradient of a nested function!

Idea: Chain rule

$$\nabla_{w_{ijl}}\phi_{W}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{L}}{\partial w_{ijl}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{L}}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{L}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{L}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{L-1}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{L-1}}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{L-1}} \cdots \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{l+1}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{l}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{l}}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{l}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{l}}{\partial w_{ijl}}$$

- (1)
- 2
- 1

- 2
- 1
- 3

Three Terms Occur by the Chain Rule:

For all $l = 1, \ldots, L$:

- $2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{l}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{l-1}}$

We need to compute all of them!

First Term

We compute the first term as:

where

$$\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
 $\Theta: X \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

is the **heavyside function**, which, for a vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is defined elementwise:

$$\Theta(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{pmatrix} \Theta(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \Theta(x_d) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Second Term

We compute the second term as:

Third Term

We compute the third term as:

where

- \triangleright $v_{i,l-1}$ denotes the *i*th entry of \mathbf{v}_{l-1}
- e_j is a unit vector with entries zero everywhere except in the jth component.

Putting Things Together

Our chain rule formula from Slide 9 thus translates into:

$$\nabla_{w_{ijl}}\phi_{W}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{L}}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{L}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{L}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{L-1}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{L-1}}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{L-1}} \cdots \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{l+1}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{l}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{l}}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{l}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{l}}{\partial w_{ijl}}$$
$$= \Theta(\mathbf{u}_{L})W_{L}^{\top}\Theta(\mathbf{u}_{L-1}) \cdots W_{l+1}^{\top}\Theta(\mathbf{u}_{l})v_{i,l-1}\mathbf{e}_{j}$$

How to code up the computation of

$$\nabla_{w_{ijl}}\phi_{W}(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \forall i,j,l$$

in an efficient algorithm?

Backpropagation Algorithm

Given an input \mathbf{x} , we first compute all variables \mathbf{u}_l and \mathbf{v}_l :

Forward propagation

```
1: initialize \mathbf{v}_0 := \mathbf{x}

2: for I = 1 : (L - 1) do

3: \mathbf{u}_I := W_I^{\top} \mathbf{v}_{I-1}

4: \mathbf{v}_I := \Theta(\mathbf{u}_I)

5: end for
```

Then, we compute the gradient via the chain rule:

Backward propagation

```
1: initialize \delta_L := \Theta(\mathbf{u}_L)

2: \nabla_{W_{ijL}}\phi_W(\mathbf{x}) := \delta_L v_{i,L-1}\mathbf{e}_j \qquad \forall i,j

3: for I = (L-1): 1 do

4: \delta_I := \delta_{I+1}W_{I+1}^\top \Theta(\mathbf{u}_I)

5: \nabla_{W_{ijI}}\phi_W(\mathbf{x}) := \delta_I v_{i,I-1}\mathbf{e}_j \qquad \forall i,j

6: end for
```

Conclusion

How to train ANNs?

Stochastic gradient descent

How to compute gradient?

- ANN is a nested function
- Thus we compute the gradient via the chain rule
- Lead to a recursive algorithm: backpropagation

Outlook

Advanced training algorithms:

- Adagrad
- Adam
- Nesterov momentum