Tutorial IV.IV - Advanced Solver Options with HiGHS in JuMP Energy System Optimization with Julia

Introduction

Welcome to this tutorial on advanced solver options in JuMP using the HiGHS solver! Don't worry if "advanced solver options" sounds intimidating - we'll break everything down into simple, easy-to-understand concepts.

Imagine you're using a GPS app to find the best route to a new restaurant. Just like how you can adjust settings in your GPS (like avoiding toll roads or preferring highways), we can adjust settings in our optimization solver to help it find solutions more efficiently or to meet specific requirements.

By the end of this tutorial, you'll be able to: 1. Understand what solver options are and why they're useful 2. Set basic solver options like time limits and solution tolerances 3. Interpret solver output to understand how well your problem was solved

Let's start by loading the necessary packages:

using JuMP, HiGHS

Section 1: Understanding Solver Options

Solver options are like the "advanced settings" of our optimization tool. They allow us to control how the solver approaches our problem. Here are a few common options:

- 1. **Time limit**: How long the solver should try before giving up
- 2. Solution tolerance: How precise we need the answer to be
- 3. Presolve: Whether to simplify the problem before solving it

Let's create a model and set some of these options:

```
model = Model(HiGHS.Optimizer)

# Set a time limit of 60 seconds
set_time_limit_sec(model, 60)

# Set the relative MIP gap tolerance to 1%
set_optimizer_attribute(model, "mip_rel_gap", 0.01)

# Turn on presolve
set_optimizer_attribute(model, "presolve", "on")
println("Solver options set successfully!")
```

Solver options set successfully!

Let's break this down:

- set_time_limit_sec(model, 60) tells the solver to stop after 60 seconds if it hasn't found a solution
- set_optimizer_attribute(model, "mip_rel_gap", 0.01) sets how close to the best possible solution we need to be (within 1%)
- set_optimizer_attribute(model, "presolve", "on") tells the solver to try simplifying the problem first

Exercise 1.1 - Set Solver Options

Now it's your turn! Set the following solver options: 1. A time limit of 120 seconds 2. A MIP gap tolerance of 0.5% 3. Turn off presolve

```
# YOUR CODE BELOW

# Test your answer

@assert time_limit_sec(model) == 120 "The time limit should be 120 seconds but is

$\time_\text{(time_limit_sec(model))"}}

@assert solver_name(model) == "HiGHS" "The solver should be HiGHS but is

$\time_\text{(solver_name(model))"}}
```

```
Cassert MOI.get(model, MOI.RawOptimizerAttribute("mip_rel_gap")) == 0.005 "The MIP gap
    should be 0.5% but is $(MOI.get(model, MOI.RawOptimizerAttribute("mip_rel_gap")))"
Cassert MOI.get(model, MOI.RawOptimizerAttribute("presolve")) == "off" "Presolve should
    be off but is $(MOI.get(model, MOI.RawOptimizerAttribute("presolve")))"
println("Great job! You've successfully set advanced solver options.")
```

Section 2: Creating and Solving a Sample Problem

To see how these options affect solving, let's create a simple optimization problem. We'll use a basic production planning scenario.

Imagine you're managing a small factory that produces two types of products: widgets and gadgets. You want to maximize profit while staying within your production capacity.

```
# Define variables
@variable(model, widgets >= 0, Int)
@variable(model, gadgets >= 0, Int)
# Define constraints
@constraint(model,
   production_time,
   2*widgets + 3*gadgets <= 240
@constraint(model,
   widget_demand,
   widgets <= 80
)
@constraint(model,
   gadget_demand,
   gadgets <= 60
# Define objective (profit)
@objective(model,
   Max,
    25*widgets + 30*gadgets
# Solve the problem
optimize!(model)
# Print results
println("Optimization status: ", termination_status(model))
println("Objective value: ", objective_value(model))
println("Widgets to produce: ", value(widgets))
println("Gadgets to produce: ", value(gadgets))
```

Running HiGHS 1.11.0 (git hash: 364c83a51e): Copyright (c) 2025 HiGHS under MIT licence terms

```
MIP has 3 rows; 2 cols; 4 nonzeros; 2 integer variables (0 binary)
Coefficient ranges:
 Matrix [1e+00, 3e+00]
         [2e+01, 3e+01]
  Cost
  Bound [0e+00, 0e+00]
  RHS
         [6e+01, 2e+02]
Presolving model
1 rows, 2 cols, 2 nonzeros Os
1 rows, 2 cols, 2 nonzeros 0s
Objective function is integral with scale 0.2
Solving MIP model with:
   1 rows
   2 cols (0 binary, 2 integer, 0 implied int., 0 continuous, 0 domain fixed)
   2 nonzeros
Src: B => Branching; C => Central rounding; F => Feasibility pump; J => Feasibility jump;
    H => Heuristic; L => Sub-MIP; P => Empty MIP; R => Randomized rounding; Z => ZI Round;
     I => Shifting; S => Solve LP; T => Evaluate node; U => Unbounded; X => User solution;
     z => Trivial zero; l => Trivial lower; u => Trivial upper; p => Trivial point
                   B&B Tree
                                                  Objective Bounds
                                                                                 | Dynamic Constraints
                               Expl. | BestBound
                                                                                     Cuts
Src Proc. InQueue | Leaves
                                                       BestSol
                                                                             Gap |
                                                                                            InLp Confl.
         0
                 0
                               0.00%
                                                        2000
                                                                                               0
 J
                           0
                                       inf
                                                                           Large
                               0.00%
                                       3800
                                                       2780
                                                                          36.69%
                                                                                        0
                                                                                               0
         1
                 0
                           1 100.00%
                                       2800
                                                        2780
                                                                           0.72%
                                                                                        0
                                                                                               0
Solving report
  Status
                    Optimal
  Primal bound
                    2780
  Dual bound
                    2800
                    0.719% (tolerance: 1%)
  Gap
                    0.00037566294773
  P-D integral
  Solution status
                    feasible
                    2780 (objective)
                    0 (bound viol.)
                    0 (int. viol.)
                    0 (row viol.)
                    0.01 (total)
  Timing
                    0.00 (presolve)
                    0.00 (solve)
                    0.00 (postsolve)
  Max sub-MIP depth 0
  Nodes
                    0 (0 feasible; 0 iterations)
  Repair LPs
  LP iterations
                    1 (total)
                    0 (strong br.)
                    0 (separation)
                    0 (heuristics)
Optimization status: OPTIMAL
Objective value: 2780.0
Widgets to produce: 80.0
```

0

0

0

Gadgets to produce: 26.0

This problem determines how many widgets and gadgets to produce to maximize profit, given time constraints and maximum demand.

Exercise 2.1 - Modify and Solve the Problem

Now it's your turn! Modify the problem above by:

- 1. Changing the production time constraint to 300 minutes
- 2. Increasing the profit for widgets to 30
- 3. Solving the modified problem and printing the results

```
# YOUR CODE BELOW
# Hint: Copy the code above and make the necessary changes
model = Model(HiGHS.Optimizer) # Don't forget to re-initialize the model

# Test your answer

@assert termination_status(model) == MOI.OPTIMAL "The termination status should be

OPTIMAL but is $(termination_status(model))"

@assert isapprox(objective_value(model), 3780, atol=1e-6) "The objective value should be

3780 but is $(objective_value(model))"

@assert isapprox(value(widgets), 80, atol=1e-6) "The number of widgets to produce should

be 80 but is $(value(widgets))"

@assert isapprox(value(gadgets), 46, atol=1e-6) "The number of gadgets to produce should

be 46 but is $(value(gadgets))"

println("Excellent work! You've successfully modified and solved the optimization

problem.")
```

Section 3: Interpreting Solver Output

When we solve an optimization problem, the solver gives us information about how it went. Let's look at some key pieces of information:

```
println("Termination status: ", termination_status(model))
println("Primal status: ", primal_status(model))
println("Dual status: ", dual_status(model))
println("Objective value: ", objective_value(model))
println("Solve time: ", solve_time(model))
```

Let's break this down:

- Termination status: Tells if the solver found an optimal solution, ran out of time, etc.
- Primal status: Indicates if we have a valid solution for our original problem
- Dual status: Relates to the mathematical properties of the solution (don't worry too much about this)
- Objective value: The value of our objective function (in this case, our profit)
- · Solve time: How long it took to solve the problem

Conclusion

Well done! You've completed the tutorial on advanced solver options with HiGHS in JuMP. You've learned how to set advanced solver options. Continue to the next file to learn more.

Solutions

You will likely find solutions to most exercises online. However, I strongly encourage you to work on these exercises independently without searching explicitly for the exact answers to the exercises. Understanding someone else's solution is very different from developing your own. Use the lecture notes and try to solve the exercises on your own. This approach will significantly enhance your learning and problem-solving skills.

Remember, the goal is not just to complete the exercises, but to understand the concepts and improve your programming abilities. If you encounter difficulties, review the lecture materials, experiment with different approaches, and don't hesitate to ask for clarification during class discussions.

Later, you will find the solutions to these exercises online in the associated GitHub repository, but we will also quickly go over them in next week's tutorial. To access the solutions, click on the Github button on the lower right and search for the folder with today's lecture and tutorial. Alternatively, you can ask ChatGPT or Claude to explain them to you. But please remember, the goal is not just to complete the exercises, but to understand the concepts and improve your programming abilities.