Algorithms and Data Structures Divide and Conquer, Master theorem

Prof. Dr. Rolf Backofen

Bioinformatics Group / Department of Computer Science

Algorithms and Data Structures, December 2018

Structure

Divide and Conquer

Concept

Maximum Subtotal

Recursion Equations

Substitution Method

Recursion Tree Method

Master theorem

Master theorem (Simple Form)

Master theorem (General Form)

Introduction

Introduction

Concept:

▶ Divide the problem into smaller subproblems

Introduction

- Divide the problem into smaller subproblems
- Conquer the subproblems through recursive solving. If subproblems are small enough solve them directly

Introduction

- Divide the problem into smaller subproblems
- Conquer the subproblems through recursive solving. If subproblems are small enough solve them directly
- ► Connect all subsolutions to solve the overall problem

Introduction

- Divide the problem into smaller subproblems
- Conquer the subproblems through recursive solving. If subproblems are small enough solve them directly
- Connect all subsolutions to solve the overall problem
- ▶ Recursive application of the algorithm on smaller subproblems

Introduction

- Divide the problem into smaller subproblems
- Conquer the subproblems through recursive solving.
 If subproblems are small enough solve them directly
- Connect all subsolutions to solve the overall problem
- ▶ Recursive application of the algorithm on smaller subproblems
- Direct solving of small subproblems

Structure

Divide and Conquer

Concept

Maximum Subtotal

Recursion Equations

Substitution Method Recursion Tree Method

Master theorem

Master theorem (Simple Form)

Master theorem (General Form)

Maximum Subtotal

Input:

Output:

Maximum Subtotal

Input:

► Sequence *X* of *n* integers

Output:

Maximum Subtotal

Input:

► Sequence *X* of *n* integers

Output:

► Maximum sum of an uninterrupted subsequence of *X* and its index boundary

Maximum Subtotal

Input:

► Sequence *X* of *n* integers

Output:

Maximum sum of an uninterrupted subsequence of X and its index boundary

Table: input values

Output: Sum: 187, Start: 2, End: 6

Maximum Subtotal



Maximum Subtotal

Idea:



► Solve the left / right half of the problem recursively

Maximum Subtotal



- ► Solve the left / right half of the problem recursively
- ► Combine both solutions into an overall solution

Maximum Subtotal




- ► Solve the left / right half of the problem recursively
- ► Combine both solutions into an overall solution
- ► The maximum is located in the left half (A) or the right half (B)

Maximum Subtotal




- ► Solve the left / right half of the problem recursively
- Combine both solutions into an overall solution
- ► The maximum is located in the left half (A) or the right half (B)
- ► The maximum interval can overlap with the border (C)

Maximum Subtotal




Maximum Subtotal

Principle:




▶ Small problems are solved directly: $n = 1 \Rightarrow \max = X[0]$

Maximum Subtotal




- ▶ Small problems are solved directly: $n = 1 \Rightarrow \max = X[0]$
- ▶ Big problems are decomposed into two subproblems and solved recursively. Subsolutions *A* and *B* are returned.

Maximum Subtotal



- ▶ Small problems are solved directly: $n = 1 \Rightarrow \max = X[0]$
- ▶ Big problems are decomposed into two subproblems and solved recursively. Subsolutions *A* and *B* are returned.
- ► To solve C we have to calculate rmax and lmax

Maximum Subtotal



- ▶ Small problems are solved directly: $n = 1 \Rightarrow \max = X[0]$
- ▶ Big problems are decomposed into two subproblems and solved recursively. Subsolutions *A* and *B* are returned.
- ► To solve C we have to calculate rmax and lmax
- ▶ The overall solution is the maximum of A, B and C

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
```

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j: # trivial case
        return (X[i], i, i)

# recursive subsolutions for A, B
    m = (i + j) // 2
```

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j: # trivial case
        return (X[i], i, i)

# recursive subsolutions for A, B
m = (i + j) // 2
A = maxSubArray(X, i, m)
B = maxSubArray(X, m + 1, j)
```

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j: # trivial case
        return (X[i], i, i)
    # recursive subsolutions for A, B
    m = (i + j) // 2
    A = \max SubArray(X, i, m)
    B = \max SubArray(X, m + 1, j)
    # rmax and lmax for cornercase C
    C1, C2 = rmax(X, i, m), lmax(X, m + 1, j)
    C = (C1[0] + C2[0], C1[1], C2[1])
```

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j: # trivial case
        return (X[i], i, i)
    # recursive subsolutions for A, B
    m = (i + j) // 2
    A = \max SubArray(X, i, m)
    B = \max SubArray(X, m + 1, j)
    # rmax and lmax for cornercase C
    C1, C2 = rmax(X, i, m), lmax(X, m + 1, j)
    C = (C1[0] + C2[0], C1[1], C2[1])
    # compute solution from results A, B, C
    return max([A, B, C], key=lambda i: i[0])
```

```
#Alternative trivial case
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
```

```
#Alternative trivial case
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    # trivial: only one element
    if i == j:
        return (X[i], i, i)
```

```
#Alternative trivial case
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    # trivial: only one element
    if i == j:
        return (X[i], i, i)
    # trivial: only two elements
    if i + 1 == j:
        return max([
            (X[i], i, i).
            (X[i], i, i),
            (X[i] + X[j], i, j)
        ], key=lambda item: item[0])
    ... # continue as before
```

```
#Implementation max
def max(a, b, c):
```

```
#Implementation max
def max(a, b, c):
    if a > b:
        if a > c:
            return a
    else:
        return c
```

```
#Implementation max
def max(a, b, c):
    if a > b:
        if a > c:
             return a
        else:
             return c
    else:
        if c > b:
             return c
        else:
             return b
```

Maximum Subtotal - Python

#Alternative implementation max

```
#Alternative implementation max

def max(a, b):
    if a > b:
        return a
    else:
        return b
```

```
#Alternative implementation max
def max(a, b):
    if a > b:
        return a
    else:
        return b
def maxTripel(a, b, c):
    return max(max(a,b),c)
```

```
#Implementation left maximum
def lmax(X, i, j):
    maxSum = (X[i], i)
    s = X[i]
    # sum up from the lower index going up
    # (from left to right)
    for k in range(i+1, j+1):
        s += X[k]
        if s > maxSum[0]:
            maxSum = (s, k)
    return maxSum
```

return maxSum

```
#Implementation right maximum
def rmax(X, i, j):
    maxSum = (X[j], j)
    s = X[i]
    # sum up from the upper index going down
    # (from right to left)
    for k in range(j-1, i-1, -1):
        s += X[k]
        if s > maxSum[0]:
            maxSum = (s, k)
```

Maximum Subtotal

Table: Imax example

index	i	i + 1			<i>j</i> − 1 -41 49 90	j
X	58	-53	26	59	-41	31
sum	58	5	31	90	49	80
lmax	58	58	58	90	90	90

Maximum Subtotal

Table: Imax example

▶ The sum and lmax are initialized with X[i]

Maximum Subtotal

Table: Imax example

index	i	i + 1			<i>j</i> − 1 -41 49 90	j
X	58	-53	26	59	-41	31
sum	58	5	31	90	49	80
lmax	58	58	58	90	90	90


- ▶ The sum and lmax are initialized with X[i]
- ▶ We iterate over X from i + 1 to j and update sum


Maximum Subtotal


Table: Imax example


index	i	i + 1			<i>j</i> − 1 -41 49 90	j
X	58	-53	26	59	-41	31
sum	58	5	31	90	49	80
lmax	58	58	58	90	90	90


- ▶ The sum and lmax are initialized with X[i]
- ▶ We iterate over X from i + 1 to j and update sum
- ▶ If *sum* > *lmax*, then *lmax* gets updated











```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j:
        return (X[i], i, i)
    m = (i + j) // 2
    A = \max SubArray(X, i, m)
    B = \max SubArray(X, m + 1, j)
    C1 = rmax(X, i, m)
    C2 = lmax(X, m + 1, j)
    C = (C1[0] + C2[0], C1[1], C2[1])
    return max([A, B, C], \
        key=lambda item: item[0])
```

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j:
        return (X[i], i, i)
    m = (i + j) // 2
    A = \max SubArray(X, i, m)
    B = \max SubArray(X, m + 1, j)
    C1 = rmax(X, i, m)
    C2 = lmax(X, m + 1, j)
    C = (C1[0] + C2[0], C1[1], C2[1])
    return max([A, B, C], \
        key=lambda item: item[0])
```

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j:
                                          # 0(1)
        return (X[i], i, i)
    m = (i + j) // 2
    A = \max SubArray(X, i, m)
    B = \max SubArray(X, m + 1, j)
    C1 = rmax(X, i, m)
    C2 = lmax(X, m + 1, j)
    C = (C1[0] + C2[0], C1[1], C2[1])
    return max([A, B, C], \
        key=lambda item: item[0])
```

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j:
                                          # 0(1)
        return (X[i], i, i)
                                          # 0(1)
    m = (i + j) // 2
    A = \max SubArray(X, i, m)
    B = \max SubArray(X, m + 1, j)
    C1 = rmax(X, i, m)
    C2 = lmax(X, m + 1, j)
    C = (C1[0] + C2[0], C1[1], C2[1])
    return max([A, B, C], \
        key=lambda item: item[0])
```

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j:
                                          # 0(1)
        return (X[i], i, i)
                                          # 0(1)
    m = (i + j) // 2
                                          # 0(1)
    A = \max SubArray(X, i, m)
    B = \max SubArray(X, m + 1, j)
    C1 = rmax(X, i, m)
    C2 = lmax(X, m + 1, j)
    C = (C1[0] + C2[0], C1[1], C2[1])
    return max([A, B, C], \
        key=lambda item: item[0])
```

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j:
                                          # 0(1)
        return (X[i], i, i)
                                          # 0(1)
    m = (i + j) // 2
                                          # 0(1)
    A = \max SubArray(X, i, m)
                                          \# T(n/2)
    B = \max SubArray(X, m + 1, j)
    C1 = rmax(X, i, m)
    C2 = lmax(X, m + 1, j)
    C = (C1[0] + C2[0], C1[1], C2[1])
    return max([A, B, C], \
        key=lambda item: item[0])
```

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j:
                                          # 0(1)
        return (X[i], i, i)
                                          # 0(1)
    m = (i + j) // 2
                                          # 0(1)
    A = \max SubArray(X, i, m)
                                          \# T(n/2)
    B = \max SubArray(X, m + 1, j)
                                          \# T(n/2)
    C1 = rmax(X, i, m)
    C2 = lmax(X, m + 1, j)
    C = (C1[0] + C2[0], C1[1], C2[1])
    return max([A, B, C], \
        key=lambda item: item[0])
```

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j:
                                           # 0(1)
        return (X[i], i, i)
                                           # 0(1)
    m = (i + j) // 2
                                           # 0(1)
    A = \max SubArray(X, i, m)
                                           \# T(n/2)
    B = \max SubArray(X, m + 1, j)
                                           \# T(n/2)
    C1 = rmax(X, i, m)
                                           # O(n)
    C2 = lmax(X, m + 1, j)
    C = (C1[0] + C2[0], C1[1], C2[1])
    return max([A, B, C], \
        key=lambda item: item[0])
```

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j:
                                           # 0(1)
        return (X[i], i, i)
                                           # 0(1)
    m = (i + j) // 2
                                           # 0(1)
    A = \max SubArray(X, i, m)
                                           \# T(n/2)
    B = \max SubArray(X, m + 1, j)
                                           \# T(n/2)
    C1 = rmax(X, i, m)
                                           \# O(n)
    C2 = lmax(X, m + 1, j)
                                           # O(n)
    C = (C1[0] + C2[0], C1[1], C2[1])
    return max([A, B, C], \
        key=lambda item: item[0])
```

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j:
                                          # 0(1)
        return (X[i], i, i)
                                          # 0(1)
    m = (i + j) // 2
                                          # 0(1)
    A = \max SubArray(X, i, m)
                                          \# T(n/2)
    B = \max SubArray(X, m + 1, j)
                                          \# T(n/2)
    C1 = rmax(X, i, m)
                                          \# O(n)
    C2 = lmax(X, m + 1, j)
                                          # O(n)
    C = (C1[0] + C2[0], C1[1], C2[1])
                                          # 0(1)
    return max([A, B, C], \
        key=lambda item: item[0])
```

```
def maxSubArray(X, i, j):
    if i == j:
                                           # 0(1)
        return (X[i], i, i)
                                           # 0(1)
    m = (i + j) // 2
                                           # 0(1)
    A = \max SubArray(X, i, m)
                                           \# T(n/2)
    B = \max SubArray(X, m + 1, j)
                                           \# T(n/2)
    C1 = rmax(X, i, m)
                                           \# O(n)
    C2 = lmax(X, m + 1, j)
                                           # O(n)
    C = (C1[0] + C2[0], C1[1], C2[1])
                                           # 0(1)
    return max([A, B, C], \
                                           # 0(1)
        key=lambda item: item[0])
```

Maximum Subtotal - Number of steps T(n)

Recursion equation:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \underbrace{\frac{\Theta(1)}{n}}_{\text{trivial case}} & n = 1 \\ \underbrace{2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}_{\text{solving of subproblems}} + \underbrace{\Theta(n)}_{\text{combination of solutions}} & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Maximum Subtotal - Number of steps T(n)

Recursion equation:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \underbrace{\Theta(1)}_{\text{trivial case}} & n = 1 \\ \underbrace{2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}_{\text{solving of subproblems}} & \underbrace{\Theta(n)}_{\text{combination of solutions}} & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

There exist two constants a and b with:

$$T(n) \le \begin{cases} a & n = 1 \\ 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + b \cdot n & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Maximum Subtotal - Number of steps T(n)

Recursion equation:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \underbrace{\Theta(1)}_{\text{trivial case}} & n = 1 \\ \underbrace{2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}_{\text{solving of subproblems}} & \underbrace{\Theta(n)}_{\text{combination of solutions}} & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

There exist two constants a and b with:

$$T(n) \le \begin{cases} a & n = 1 \\ 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + b \cdot n & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

ightharpoonup We define $c := \max(a, b)$:

$$T(n) \leq \begin{cases} c & n=1\\ 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + c \cdot n & n>1 \end{cases}$$

Maximum Subtotal - Illustration of T(n)



Figure: illustration of the runtime

Maximum Subtotal - Illustration of T(n)




Figure: illustration of the runtime




Figure: illustration of the runtime




Figure: illustration of the runtime




Figure: illustration of the runtime


Maximum Subtotal - Illustration of T(n)



1 node processing *n* elements $\Rightarrow c \cdot n$

Figure: recursion tree method


Maximum Subtotal - Illustration of T(n)



- 1 node processing *n* elements $\Rightarrow c \cdot n$
- 2 nodes processing $\frac{n}{2}$ elements $\Rightarrow 2 c \cdot \frac{n}{2} = c \cdot n$


Figure: recursion tree method

Maximum Subtotal - Illustration of T(n)



- 1 node processing *n* elements $\Rightarrow c \cdot n$
- 2 nodes processing $\frac{n}{2}$ elements $\Rightarrow 2 c \cdot \frac{n}{2} = c \cdot n$
- 4 nodes processing $\frac{n}{4}$ elements $\Rightarrow 4 c \cdot \frac{n}{4} = c \cdot n$

Figure: recursion tree method



- 1 node processing *n* elements $\Rightarrow c \cdot n$
- 2 nodes processing $\frac{n}{2}$ elements $\Rightarrow 2 c \cdot \frac{n}{2} = c \cdot n$
- 4 nodes processing $\frac{n}{4}$ elements $\Rightarrow 4 c \cdot \frac{n}{4} = c \cdot n$
- 2^i nodes processing $\frac{n}{2^i}$ elements $\Rightarrow 2^i c \cdot \frac{n}{2^i} = c \cdot n$

Figure: recursion tree method




Figure: recursion tree method

Maximum Subtotal - Illustration of T(n)

Depth:

Maximum Subtotal - Illustration of T(n)

Depth:

► Top level with depth i = 0

Maximum Subtotal - Illustration of T(n)

Depth:

- ▶ Top level with depth i = 0
- ▶ Lowest level with $2^i = n$ elements

$$\Rightarrow i = \log_2 n$$

Maximum Subtotal - Illustration of T(n)

Depth:

- ▶ Top level with depth i = 0
- ▶ Lowest level with $2^i = n$ elements

$$\Rightarrow i = \log_2 n$$

Runtime:

Maximum Subtotal - Illustration of T(n)

Depth:

- ▶ Top level with depth i = 0
- ▶ Lowest level with $2^i = n$ elements

$$\Rightarrow i = \log_2 n$$

Runtime:

▶ A total of $\log_2 n + 1$ levels costing $c \cdot n$ each
The costs of merging the solutions and solving the trivial problems are the same in this case

Maximum Subtotal - Illustration of T(n)

Depth:

- ▶ Top level with depth i = 0
- ▶ Lowest level with $2^i = n$ elements

$$\Rightarrow i = \log_2 n$$

Runtime:

A total of log₂ n + 1 levels costing c ⋅ n each The costs of merging the solutions and solving the trivial problems are the same in this case

$$T(n) = c \cdot n \log_2 n + c \cdot n \in \Theta(n \log n)$$

Maximum Subtotal - Summary

Maximum Subtotal - Summary

Summary:

▶ Direct solution is slow with $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$

Maximum Subtotal - Summary

- ▶ Direct solution is slow with $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$
- ▶ Better solution with incremental update of sum was $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

Maximum Subtotal - Summary

- ▶ Direct solution is slow with $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$
- ▶ Better solution with incremental update of sum was $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$
- ▶ Divide and conquer approach results in $O(n \log n)$

Maximum Subtotal - Summary

- ▶ Direct solution is slow with $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$
- ▶ Better solution with incremental update of sum was $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$
- ▶ Divide and conquer approach results in $O(n \log n)$
- There is an approach running in $\mathcal{O}(n)$, under the assumption that all subtotals are positive

Maximum Subtotal




Figure: scanning the array in linear time

```
#Implementation - linear runtime
def maxSubArray(X):
```

```
#Implementation - linear runtime
def maxSubArray(X):
    # sum, start index
    rMax, irMax = 0, 0 # current maximum
    tMax, itMax = 0, 0 # total maximum
```

```
#Implementation - linear runtime
def maxSubArray(X):
    # sum, start index
    rMax, irMax = 0, 0 # current maximum
    tMax, itMax = 0, 0 # total maximum

for i in range(len(X)):
    if rMax == 0:
        irMax = i
    rMax = max(0, rMax + X[i])
```

```
#Implementation - linear runtime
def maxSubArray(X):
    # sum, start index
    rMax, irMax = 0, 0 # current maximum
    tMax, itMax = 0, 0 # total maximum
    for i in range(len(X)):
        if rMax == 0:
            irMax = i
        rMax = max(0. rMax + X[i])
        if rMax > tMax:
            tMax, itMax = rMax, irMax
    return (tMax, itMax)
```

Structure

Divide and Conquer Concept Maximum Subtotal

Recursion Equations

Substitution Method Recursion Tree Method

Master theorem

Master theorem (Simple Form)
Master theorem (General Form)

Recursion Equation

Recursion equation:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} f_0(n) & n = n_0 \\ \underbrace{a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right)}_{\text{solving of } a} + \underbrace{f(n)}_{\text{slicing and subproblems}}_{\text{splicing of with reduced}} \text{subsolutions} \\ \text{input size } \frac{n}{b} \end{cases}$$

Recursion Equation

Recursion equation:

Recursion Equation

Recursion equation:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} f_0(n) & n = n_0 \\ a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) & n > n_0 \end{cases}$$

Recursion Equation

Recursion equation:

Runtime descripion for recursive functions:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} f_0(n) & n = n_0 \\ a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) & n > n_0 \end{cases}$$

▶ n_0 is usually small, $f_0(n_0) \in \Theta(1)$

Recursion Equation

Recursion equation:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} f_0(n) & n = n_0 \\ a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) & n > n_0 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ n_0 is usually small, $f_0(n_0) \in \Theta(1)$
- ▶ Usually, a > 1 and b > 1

Recursion Equation

Recursion equation:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} f_0(n) & n = n_0 \\ a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) & n > n_0 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ n_0 is usually small, $f_0(n_0) \in \Theta(1)$
- ▶ Usually, a > 1 and b > 1
- ▶ Dependent on the strategy of solving T(n) f_0 is ignored

Recursion Equation

Recursion equation:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} f_0(n) & n = n_0 \\ a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n) & n > n_0 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ n_0 is usually small, $f_0(n_0) \in \Theta(1)$
- ▶ Usually, a > 1 and b > 1
- ▶ Dependent on the strategy of solving T(n) f_0 is ignored
- T(n) is only defined for integers of $\frac{n}{b}$, which is often ignored in benefit of a simpler solution

Structure

Divide and Conquer

Concept Maximum Subtotal

Recursion Equations

Substitution Method

Recursion Tree Method

Master theorem

Master theorem (Simple Form)

Master theorem (General Form)

Substitution Method

Substitution Method:

Substitution Method

Substitution Method:

Guess the solution and prove it with induction

Substitution Method

Substitution Method:

- Guess the solution and prove it with induction
- Example:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Substitution Method

Substitution Method:

- Guess the solution and prove it with induction
- Example:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Assumption: $T(n) = n + n \cdot \log_2 n$

Substitution Method

Substitution Method

Induction:

▶ Induction basis (for n = 1): $T(1) = 1 + 1 \cdot \log_2 1 = 1$

Substitution Method

- ▶ Induction basis (for n = 1): $T(1) = 1 + 1 \cdot \log_2 1 = 1$
- ▶ Induction step (from $\frac{n}{2}$ to n):

Substitution Method

- Induction basis (for n = 1): $T(1) = 1 + 1 \cdot \log_2 1 = 1$
- ▶ Induction step (from $\frac{n}{2}$ to n):

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

Substitution Method

- Induction basis (for n = 1): $T(1) = 1 + 1 \cdot \log_2 1 = 1$
- ▶ Induction step (from $\frac{n}{2}$ to n):

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$\stackrel{IA}{=} 2 \cdot \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \cdot \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

Substitution Method

- Induction basis (for n = 1): $T(1) = 1 + 1 \cdot \log_2 1 = 1$
- ▶ Induction step (from $\frac{n}{2}$ to n):

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$\stackrel{!A}{=} 2 \cdot \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \cdot \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$= 2 \cdot \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \cdot (\log_2 n - 1)\right) + n$$

Substitution Method

- Induction basis (for n = 1): $T(1) = 1 + 1 \cdot \log_2 1 = 1$
- ▶ Induction step (from $\frac{n}{2}$ to n):

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$\stackrel{IA}{=} 2 \cdot \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \cdot \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$= 2 \cdot \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \cdot (\log_2 n - 1)\right) + n$$

$$= n + n \log_2 n - n + n$$

Substitution Method

- Induction basis (for n = 1): $T(1) = 1 + 1 \cdot \log_2 1 = 1$
- ▶ Induction step (from $\frac{n}{2}$ to n):

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$\stackrel{!A}{=} 2 \cdot \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \cdot \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$= 2 \cdot \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \cdot (\log_2 n - 1)\right) + n$$

$$= n + n \log_2 n - n + n$$

$$= n + n \log_2 n$$

Substitution Method

Substitution Method:

Substitution Method

Substitution Method:

Alternative assumption

Substitution Method

Substitution Method:

- ► Alternative assumption
- Example:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n & n > 0 \end{cases}$$

Substitution Method

Substitution Method:

- ► Alternative assumption
- Example:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1\\ 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n & n > 0 \end{cases}$$

► Assumption: $T(n) \in O(n \log n)$

Substitution Method

Substitution Method:

- Alternative assumption
- Example:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n & n > 0 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Assumption: $T(n) \in O(n \log n)$
- ► Solution: Find c > 0 with $T(n) \le c \cdot n \log_2 n$

Substitution Method

Substitution Method

Induction:

▶ Solution: Find c > 0 with $T(n) \le c \cdot n \log_2 n$

Substitution Method

- ▶ Solution: Find c > 0 with $T(n) \le c \cdot n \log_2 n$
- ▶ Induction step (from $\frac{n}{2}$ to n):

Substitution Method

- ▶ Solution: Find c > 0 with $T(n) \le c \cdot n \log_2 n$
- ▶ Induction step (from $\frac{n}{2}$ to n):

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

Substitution Method

- ▶ Solution: Find c > 0 with $T(n) \le c \cdot n \log_2 n$
- ▶ Induction step (from $\frac{n}{2}$ to n):

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot \left(c \cdot \frac{n}{2} \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

Substitution Method

- ▶ Solution: Find c > 0 with $T(n) \le c \cdot n \log_2 n$
- ▶ Induction step (from $\frac{n}{2}$ to n):

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot \left(c \cdot \frac{n}{2}\log_2\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$= c \cdot n\log_2 n - c \cdot n\log_2 2 + n$$

Substitution Method

- ▶ Solution: Find c > 0 with $T(n) \le c \cdot n \log_2 n$
- ▶ Induction step (from $\frac{n}{2}$ to n):

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot \left(c \cdot \frac{n}{2}\log_2\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$= c \cdot n\log_2 n - c \cdot n\log_2 2 + n$$

$$= c \cdot n\log_2 n - c \cdot n + n$$

Substitution Method

- ▶ Solution: Find c > 0 with $T(n) \le c \cdot n \log_2 n$
- ▶ Induction step (from $\frac{n}{2}$ to n):

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot \left(c \cdot \frac{n}{2} \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$= c \cdot n \log_2 n - c \cdot n \log_2 2 + n$$

$$= c \cdot n \log_2 n - c \cdot n + n$$

$$\leq c \cdot n \log_2 n, \quad c \geq 1$$

Structure

Divide and Conquer

Concept Maximum Subtotal

Recursion Equations

Substitution Method

Recursion Tree Method

Master theorem

Master theorem (Simple Form)

Master theorem (General Form)

Recursion Tree Method

Recursion tree method:

Recursion Tree Method

Recursion tree method:

► Can be used to make assumptions about the runtime

Recursion Tree Method

Recursion tree method:

- Can be used to make assumptions about the runtime
- Example:

$$T(n) = 3 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + \Theta(n^2) \le 3 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + c \cdot n^2$$

Recursion Tree Method

$$T(n) = 3 \cdot T(\frac{n}{4}) + c \cdot n^2$$



Figure: recursion tree of example

Recursion Tree Method

$$T(n) = 3 \cdot T(\frac{n}{4}) + c \cdot n^2$$




Figure: recursion tree of example

Recursion Tree Method




Figure: recursion tree of example

Recursion Tree Method




Figure: levels of the recursion tree

Recursion Tree Method Costs

Costs of connecting the partial solutions:

(excludes the last layer)

Recursion Tree Method Costs

Costs of connecting the partial solutions:

(excludes the last layer)

► Size of partial problems on level $i: s_i(n) = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^i \cdot n$

Recursion Tree Method Costs

Costs of connecting the partial solutions:

(excludes the last layer)

- ► Size of partial problems on level $i: s_i(n) = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^i \cdot n$
- Costs of partial problems on level *i*:

$$T_{i_p}(n) = c \cdot \left(\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^i \cdot n\right)^2$$

Recursion Tree Method Costs

Costs of connecting the partial solutions:

(excludes the last layer)

- ► Size of partial problems on level $i: s_i(n) = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^i \cdot n$
- Costs of partial problems on level *i*:

$$T_{i_p}(n) = c \cdot \left(\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^i \cdot n\right)^2$$

Number of partial problems on level *i*: $n_i = 3^i$

Recursion Tree Method Costs

Costs of connecting the partial solutions:

(excludes the last layer)

- ► Size of partial problems on level i: $s_i(n) = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^i \cdot n$
- Costs of partial problems on level *i*:

$$T_{i_p}(n) = c \cdot \left(\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^i \cdot n\right)^2$$

- Number of partial problems on level i: $n_i = 3^i$
- Costs on level *i*:

$$T_i(n) = 3^i \cdot c \cdot \left(\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^i \cdot n\right)^2 = \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^i \cdot c \cdot n^2$$

Recursion Tree Method Costs

Costs of solving partial solutions: (only the last layer)

Recursion Tree Method Costs

Costs of solving partial solutions: (only the last layer)

▶ Size of partial problems on the last level: $s_{i+1}(n) = 1$

Recursion Tree Method Costs

Costs of solving partial solutions: (only the last layer)

- ▶ Size of partial problems on the last level: $s_{i+1}(n) = 1$
- ▶ Costs of partial problem on the last level: $T_{i+1_p}(n) = d$

Recursion Tree Method Costs

Costs of solving partial solutions: (only the last layer)

- ▶ Size of partial problems on the last level: $s_{i+1}(n) = 1$
- ▶ Costs of partial problem on the last level: $T_{i+1_p}(n) = d$
- ▶ With this the depth of the tree is:

$$\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^i \cdot n = 1 \qquad \Rightarrow n = 4^i \qquad \Rightarrow i = \log_4 n$$

Recursion Tree Method Costs

Costs of solving partial solutions: (only the last layer)

- ▶ Size of partial problems on the last level: $s_{i+1}(n) = 1$
- ▶ Costs of partial problem on the last level: $T_{i+1_p}(n) = d$
- With this the depth of the tree is:

$$\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^i \cdot n = 1 \qquad \Rightarrow n = 4^i \qquad \Rightarrow i = \log_4 n$$

▶ Number of partial problems on the last level:

$$n_{i+1} = 3^{\log_4 n}$$

Recursion Tree Method Costs

Costs of solving partial solutions: (only the last layer)

- ▶ Size of partial problems on the last level: $s_{i+1}(n) = 1$
- ▶ Costs of partial problem on the last level: $T_{i+1_p}(n) = d$
- With this the depth of the tree is:

$$\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^i \cdot n = 1 \qquad \Rightarrow n = 4^i \qquad \Rightarrow i = \log_4 n$$

Number of partial problems on the last level:

$$n_{i+1} = 3^{\log_4 n} = n^{\log_4 3} \leftarrow \text{next slide}$$

Recursion Tree Method Costs

Costs of solving partial solutions: (only the last layer)

- ▶ Size of partial problems on the last level: $s_{i+1}(n) = 1$
- ▶ Costs of partial problem on the last level: $T_{i+1_p}(n) = d$
- With this the depth of the tree is:

$$\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^i \cdot n = 1 \qquad \Rightarrow n = 4^i \qquad \Rightarrow i = \log_4 n$$

▶ Number of partial problems on the last level:

$$n_{i+1} = 3^{\log_4 n} = n^{\log_4 3} \leftarrow \text{next slide}$$

► Costs on the last level: $T_{i+1}(n) = d \cdot n^{\log_4 3}$

Fun with logarithm

Logarithm

► Transforming 3^{log₄ n} using general log rules

$$\log_4 n = \log_4 \left(3^{\log_3 n} \right) \qquad \text{using } n = 3^{\log_3 n}$$

Fun with logarithm

Logarithm

► Transforming 3^{log₄ n} using general log rules

$$\log_4 n = \log_4 \left(3^{\log_3 n}\right) \qquad \text{using } n = 3^{\log_3 n}$$

$$= \log_3 n \cdot \log_4 3 \qquad \text{using } \log a^b = b \cdot \log a$$

Logarithm

► Transforming 3^{log₄ n} using general log rules

$$\log_4 n = \log_4 \left(3^{\log_3 n}\right)$$
 using $n = 3^{\log_3 n}$
= $\log_3 n \cdot \log_4 3$ using $\log a^b = b \cdot \log a$

► This proves the general log rule $\log_b c = \log_a c \cdot \log_b a$

Logarithm

► Transforming 3^{log₄ n} using general log rules

$$\log_4 n = \log_4 \left(3^{\log_3 n}\right)$$
 using $n = 3^{\log_3 n}$
= $\log_3 n \cdot \log_4 3$ using $\log a^b = b \cdot \log a$

- ► This proves the general log rule $\log_b c = \log_a c \cdot \log_b a$
- ▶ Now the whole expression:

$$3^{\log_4 n} = 3^{\log_3 n \cdot \log_4 3}$$
 using reformulation above

Logarithm

► Transforming 3^{log₄ n} using general log rules

$$\log_4 n = \log_4 \left(3^{\log_3 n}\right)$$
 using $n = 3^{\log_3 n}$
= $\log_3 n \cdot \log_4 3$ using $\log a^b = b \cdot \log a$

- ► This proves the general log rule $\log_b c = \log_a c \cdot \log_b a$
- ▶ Now the whole expression:

$$3^{\log_4 n} = 3^{\log_3 n \cdot \log_4 3}$$
 using reformulation above
$$= \left(3^{\log_3 n}\right)^{\log_4 3}$$
 using $x^{a \cdot b} = (x^a)^b$

Logarithm

► Transforming 3^{log₄ n} using general log rules

$$\log_4 n = \log_4 \left(3^{\log_3 n}\right)$$
 using $n = 3^{\log_3 n}$
= $\log_3 n \cdot \log_4 3$ using $\log a^b = b \cdot \log a$

- ► This proves the general log rule $\log_b c = \log_a c \cdot \log_b a$
- ► Now the whole expression:

$$3^{\log_4 n} = 3^{\log_3 n \cdot \log_4 3}$$
 using reformulation above
$$= \left(3^{\log_3 n}\right)^{\log_4 3}$$
 using $x^{a \cdot b} = (x^a)^b$
$$= n^{\log_4 3}$$

Logarithm

► Transforming $3^{\log_4 n}$ using general log rules

$$\log_4 n = \log_4 \left(3^{\log_3 n}\right)$$
 using $n = 3^{\log_3 n}$
= $\log_3 n \cdot \log_4 3$ using $\log a^b = b \cdot \log a$

- ► This proves the general log rule $\log_b c = \log_a c \cdot \log_b a$
- ► Now the whole expression:

$$3^{\log_4 n} = 3^{\log_3 n \cdot \log_4 3}$$
 using reformulation above
$$= \left(3^{\log_3 n}\right)^{\log_4 3}$$
 using $x^{a \cdot b} = (x^a)^b$
$$- n^{\log_4 3}$$

► This term will recur in the master theorem

Total costs

Total costs:

Total costs

Total costs:

► Costs of level i: $T_i(n) = \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^i \cdot c \cdot n^2$

Total costs

Total costs:

- ► Costs of level i: $T_i(n) = \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^i \cdot c \cdot n^2$
- ▶ Costs of last level: $T_{i+1}(n) = d \cdot n^{\log_4 3}$

Total costs

Total costs:

- ► Costs of level i: $T_i(n) = \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^i \cdot c \cdot n^2$
- ► Costs of last level: $T_{i+1}(n) = d \cdot n^{\log_4 3}$

$$T(n) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^{(\log_4 n) - 1} \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^i \cdot c \cdot n^2}_{\text{geometric series,}} + \underbrace{d \cdot n^{\log_4 3}}_{\text{grows a lot}} \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^{(\log_4 n) - 1} \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^i \cdot c \cdot n^2}_{\text{geometric series,}} + \underbrace{d \cdot n^{\log_4 3}}_{\text{log}_4 3 < 1,} \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^{(\log_4 n) - 1} \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^i \cdot c \cdot n^2}_{\text{geometric series,}} + \underbrace{d \cdot n^{\log_4 3}}_{\text{grows a lot}} \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$$

Total costs

Total costs:

- ► Costs of level i: $T_i(n) = \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^i \cdot c \cdot n^2$
- ► Costs of last level: $T_{i+1}(n) = d \cdot n^{\log_4 3}$

$$T(n) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^{(\log_4 n) - 1} \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^i \cdot c \cdot n^2}_{\text{geometric series,}} + \underbrace{d \cdot n^{\log_4 3}}_{\text{grows a lot}} \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^{(\log_4 n) - 1} \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^i \cdot c \cdot n^2}_{\text{geometric series,}} + \underbrace{d \cdot n^{\log_4 3}}_{\text{log}_4 3 < 1,} \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^{(\log_4 n) - 1} \left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^i \cdot c \cdot n^2}_{\text{geometric series,}} + \underbrace{d \cdot n^{\log_4 3}}_{\text{grows a lot}} \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$$

Here: The costs of connecting the partial problems dominate

Geometric Series

▶ Geometric progression:

Quotient of two neighboring sequence parts is constant

$$2^0, 2^1, 2^2, \dots, 2^k$$

Geometric Series

▶ Geometric progression:

Quotient of two neighboring sequence parts is constant

$$2^0, 2^1, 2^2, \dots, 2^k$$

► Geometric series:

The series (cumulative sum) of a geometric sequence

Geometric Series

▶ Geometric progression:

Quotient of two neighboring sequence parts is constant

$$2^0, 2^1, 2^2, \dots, 2^k$$

Geometric series:

The series (cumulative sum) of a geometric sequence

► For | *q* |< 1:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_0 \cdot q^k = \frac{a_0}{1-q} \implies \text{constant}$$

Recursion Equations Proof of $O(n^2)$

Proof of $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$:

Proof of $O(n^2)$

Proof of $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$:

▶ We know:

$$T(n) = 3T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + \Theta(n^2)$$

$$\leq 3T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + c \cdot n^2$$

Proof of $O(n^2)$

Proof of $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$:

▶ We know:

$$T(n) = 3T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + \Theta(n^2)$$

$$\leq 3T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + c \cdot n^2$$

Assumption: $T(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$, so there exists a k > 0 with

$$T(n) \leq k \cdot n^2$$

Proof of $O(n^2)$

Proof of $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$:

Proof of $O(n^2)$

Proof of $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$:

▶ Presumption: $T(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$, so there exists a k > 0 with

$$T(n) < k \cdot n^2$$

Proof of $O(n^2)$

Proof of $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$:

▶ Presumption: $T(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$, so there exists a k > 0 with

$$T(n) < k \cdot n^2$$

Proof of $O(n^2)$

Proof of $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$:

▶ Presumption: $T(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$, so there exists a k > 0 with

$$T(n) < k \cdot n^2$$

$$T(n) \leq 3 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + c \cdot n^2$$

Proof of $O(n^2)$

Proof of $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$:

▶ Presumption: $T(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$, so there exists a k > 0 with

$$T(n) < k \cdot n^2$$

$$T(n) \le 3 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + c \cdot n^2$$

 $\le 3 k \cdot \left(\frac{n}{4}\right)^2 + c \cdot n^2$

Proof of $O(n^2)$

Proof of $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$:

▶ Presumption: $T(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$, so there exists a k > 0 with

$$T(n) < k \cdot n^2$$

$$T(n) \le 3 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + c \cdot n^2$$
$$\le 3 k \cdot \left(\frac{n}{4}\right)^2 + c \cdot n^2$$
$$= \frac{3}{16} k \cdot n^2 + c \cdot n^2$$

Proof of $O(n^2)$

Proof of $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$:

▶ Presumption: $T(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$, so there exists a k > 0 with

$$T(n) < k \cdot n^2$$

$$T(n) \le 3 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + c \cdot n^{2}$$

$$\le 3 k \cdot \left(\frac{n}{4}\right)^{2} + c \cdot n^{2}$$

$$= \frac{3}{16} k \cdot n^{2} + c \cdot n^{2}$$

$$\le k \cdot n^{2} \qquad \text{for } k \ge \frac{16}{13} c$$

Structure

Divide and Conquer

Concept
Maximum Subtotal

Recursion Equations

Substitution Method Recursion Tree Method

Master theorem

Master theorem (Simple Form) Master theorem (General Form

Master theorem

Master theorem

Master theorem:

▶ Solution approach for a recursion equation of the form:

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n), \quad a \ge 1, b > 1$$

Master theorem

Master theorem:

▶ Solution approach for a recursion equation of the form:

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n), \quad a \ge 1, b > 1$$

ightharpoonup T(n) is the runtime of an algorithm ...

Master theorem

Master theorem:

► Solution approach for a recursion equation of the form:

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n), \quad a \ge 1, b > 1$$

- ightharpoonup T(n) is the runtime of an algorithm ...
 - ▶ ... which divides a problem of size *n* in *a* partial problems

Master theorem

Master theorem:

► Solution approach for a recursion equation of the form:

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n), \quad a \ge 1, b > 1$$

- ightharpoonup T(n) is the runtime of an algorithm ...
 - ... which divides a problem of size *n* in *a* partial problems
 - ... which solves each partial problem recursively with a runtime of $T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right)$

Master theorem

Master theorem:

▶ Solution approach for a recursion equation of the form:

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n), \quad a \ge 1, b > 1$$

- ightharpoonup T(n) is the runtime of an algorithm ...
 - ... which divides a problem of size *n* in *a* partial problems
 - which solves each partial problem recursively with a runtime of $T\left(\frac{n}{h}\right)$
 - \blacktriangleright ... which takes f(n) steps to merge all partial solutions

Master theorem (Simple Form)

Master theorem (Simple Form)

Master theorem:

▶ In the examples we have seen that ...

Master theorem (Simple Form)

- ▶ In the examples we have seen that ...
 - ► Either the runtime of connecting the solutions dominates

Master theorem (Simple Form)

- ▶ In the examples we have seen that ...
 - ► Either the runtime of connecting the solutions dominates
 - Or the runtime of solving the problems dominates

Master theorem (Simple Form)

- In the examples we have seen that ...
 - ► Either the runtime of connecting the solutions dominates
 - Or the runtime of solving the problems dominates
 - Or both have equal influence on runtime

Master theorem (Simple Form)

- ▶ In the examples we have seen that ...
 - ► Either the runtime of connecting the solutions dominates
 - Or the runtime of solving the problems dominates
 - Or both have equal influence on runtime
- ▶ **Simple form:** Special case with runtime of connecting the solutions $f(n) \in O(n)$

Master theorem (Simple Form)

Simple form:

Master theorem (Simple Form)

Simple form:

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + \underbrace{c \cdot n}_{\text{ls any } f(n)}, \quad a \ge 1, b > 1, c > 0$$

Is any $f(n)$

in general form

Master theorem (Simple Form)

Simple form:

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + \underbrace{c \cdot n}_{\text{ls any } f(n)}, \quad a \ge 1, b > 1, c > 0$$

Is any $f(n)$

in general form

► This yields a runtime of:

Master theorem (Simple Form)

Simple form:

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + \underbrace{c \cdot n}_{\text{ls any } f(n)}, \quad a \ge 1, b > 1, c > 0$$

Is any $f(n)$

in general form

► This yields a runtime of:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) & \text{if } a > b \\ \Theta(n \log n) & \text{if } a = b \\ \Theta(n) & \text{if } a < b \end{cases}$$

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 3, b = 2

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 3, b = 2

Case 1: a > b

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 3, b = 2

Case 1: a > b

▶ Three partial problems with $\frac{1}{2}$ the size

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 3, b = 2

Case 1: a > b

- ▶ Three partial problems with $\frac{1}{2}$ the size
- ► Solving the partial problems dominates (last layer, leaves)

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 3, b = 2

Case 1: a > b

- ▶ Three partial problems with $\frac{1}{2}$ the size
- Solving the partial problems dominates (last layer, leaves)
- ightharpoonup Runtime of $\Theta(n^{\log_b a})$

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 2, b = 2

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 2, b = 2

Case 2: a = b

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 2, b = 2

Case 2: a = b

► Two partial problems with $\frac{1}{2}$ the size

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 2, b = 2

Case 2: a = b

- ► Two partial problems with $\frac{1}{2}$ the size
- Each layer has equal costs, log *n* layers

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 2, b = 2

Case 2: a = b

- ► Two partial problems with $\frac{1}{2}$ the size
- Each layer has equal costs, log *n* layers
- ▶ Runtime of $\Theta(n \log n)$

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 2, b = 3

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 2, b = 3

Case 3: a < b

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 2, b = 3

Case 3: a < b

► Two partial problems with $\frac{1}{3}$ the size

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 2, b = 3

Case 3: a < b

- Two partial problems with $\frac{1}{3}$ the size
- Connecting all partial solutions dominates (first layer, root)

Master theorem (Simple Form)




Figure: simple recursion equation with a = 2, b = 3

Case 3: a < b

- ► Two partial problems with $\frac{1}{3}$ the size
- Connecting all partial solutions dominates (first layer, root)
- ▶ Runtime of $\Theta(n)$

Master theorem (Simple Form)

For a recursion equation like

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + c \cdot n, \quad a \ge 1, b > 1, c > 0$$

Master theorem (Simple Form)

For a recursion equation like

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + c \cdot n, \quad a \ge 1, b > 1, c > 0$$

... yields a runtime of:

Master theorem (Simple Form)

For a recursion equation like

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + c \cdot n, \quad a \ge 1, b > 1, c > 0$$

... yields a runtime of:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) & \text{if } a > b \\ \Theta(n \log_b n) & \text{if } a = b \\ \Theta(n) & \text{if } a < b \end{cases}$$

Master theorem (Simple Form)

For a recursion equation like

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + c \cdot n, \quad a \ge 1, b > 1, c > 0$$

... yields a runtime of:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) & \text{if } a > b \\ \Theta(n \log_b n) & \text{if } a = b \\ \Theta(n) & \text{if } a < b \end{cases}$$

▶ Proof with *geometric series*: Number of operations per layer grows / shrinks by constant factor $\frac{a}{b}$

Structure

Divide and Conquer

Concept

Maximum Subtotal

Recursion Equations

Substitution Method Recursion Tree Method

Master theorem

Master theorem (Simple Form

Master theorem (General Form)

Master theorem (General Form)

Master theorem (general form):

Master theorem (General Form)

Master theorem (general form):

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n), \quad a \ge 1, b > 1$$

Master theorem (General Form)

Master theorem (general form):

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n), \quad a \ge 1, b > 1$$

▶ Case 1: $T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ if $f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon})$, $\varepsilon > 0$ Solving the partial problems dominates (last layer, leaves)

Master theorem (General Form)

Master theorem (general form):

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n), \quad a \ge 1, b > 1$$

- ▶ Case 1: $T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ if $f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n^{\log_b a \varepsilon})$, $\varepsilon > 0$ Solving the partial problems dominates (last layer, leaves)
- ► Case 2: $T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$ if $f(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ Each layer has equal costs, $\log_b n$ layers

Master theorem (General Form)

Master theorem (general form):

► Case 3: $T(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$ if $f(n) \in \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$, $\varepsilon > 0$ Connecting all partial solutions in first layer (root) dominates

Regularity condition:

$$a \cdot f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \le c \cdot f(n), \quad 0 \le c \le 1,$$

 $n > n_0$

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 1

Case 1 - Example:

if

$$f(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}), \ \varepsilon > 0$$

Solving the partial problems dominates (last layer, leaves)

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 1

Case 1 - Example:
$$T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$$

 $f(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}), \ \varepsilon > 0$
Solving the partial problems dominates (last layer, leaves)

if

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 1

Case 1 - Example:
$$T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$$

 $f(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}), \ \varepsilon > 0$
Solving the partial problems dominates (last layer, leaves)

►
$$T(n) = 8 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + 1000 \cdot n^2$$

 $a = 8, \ b = 2, \ f(n) = 1000 \cdot n^2, \ \log_b a = \log_2 8 = 3$
 $f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n^{3-\varepsilon}) \Rightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(n^3)$

54/61

if

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 1

Case 1 - Example:
$$T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$$

 $f(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}), \ \varepsilon > 0$
Solving the partial problems dominates (last layer, leaves)

$$T(n) = 8 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + 1000 \cdot n^{2}$$

$$a = 8, \ b = 2, \ f(n) = 1000 \cdot n^{2}, \ \underbrace{\log_{b} a = \log_{2} 8 = 3}_{n^{3} \text{ leaves}}$$

$$f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n^{3-\varepsilon}) \Rightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(n^{3})$$

$$T(n) = 9 \cdot T(\frac{n}{3}) + 17 \cdot n$$

$$a = 9, \ b = 3, \ f(n) = 17 \cdot n, \ \log_b a = \log_3 9 = 2$$

$$f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n^{2-\varepsilon}) \Rightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$$

54/61

if

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 2

Each layer has equal costs, log n layers

Case 2: if $f(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 2

Case 2:
$$T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$$
 if $f(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$
Each layer has equal costs, $\log n$ layers

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 2

Case 2:
$$T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$$
 if $f(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$
Each layer has equal costs, $\log n$ layers

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + 10 \cdot n$$

$$a = 2, \ b = 2, \ f(n) = 10 \cdot n, \ \log_b a = \log_2 2 = 1$$

$$f(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_2 2}) \Rightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(n \log n)$$

$$n^1 \text{ leaves}$$

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 2

Case 2:
$$T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$$
 if $f(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$
Each layer has equal costs, $\log n$ layers

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + 10 \cdot n$$

$$a = 2, \ b = 2, \ f(n) = 10 \cdot n, \ \log_b a = \log_2 2 = 1$$

$$f(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_2 2}) \Rightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(n \log n)$$

$$n^{1 \text{ leaves}}$$

$$T(n) = T(\frac{2n}{3}) + 1$$

$$a = 1, \ b = \frac{3}{2}, \ f(n) = 1, \ \underbrace{\log_b a = \log_{3/2} 1 = 0}_{n^0 \text{ leaves} = 1 \text{ leaf}}$$

$$f(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_{3/2} 1}) \Rightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(n^0 \log n) = \Theta(\log n)$$

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 3

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 3

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 3

Case 3:
$$T(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$$
 if $f(n) \in \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$, $\varepsilon > 0$ Connecting all partial solutions in first layer (root) dominates

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + n^2$$

$$a = 2, \ b = 2, \ f(n) = n^2, \ \underbrace{\log_b a = \log_2 2 = 1}_{n^1 \text{ leaves}}$$

$$f(n) \in \Omega(n^{1+\varepsilon})$$

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 3

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + n^2$$

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 3

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + n^2$$

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 3

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + n^2$$

$$f(n) \in \Omega(n^{1+\varepsilon})$$

Master theorem (General Form) - Case 3

- $T(n) = 2 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + n^2$
- $f(n) \in \Omega(n^{1+\varepsilon})$
- Check if regularity condition also holds:

$$a \cdot f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \le c \cdot f(n)$$

$$2 \cdot \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 \le c \cdot n^2 \qquad \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \cdot n^2 \le c \cdot n^2 \qquad \Rightarrow c \ge \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$$

Master theorem (General Form)

Master theorem:

Master theorem (General Form)

Master theorem:

► Not always applicable: $T(n) = 2 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + n \log n$

Master theorem (General Form)

Master theorem:

Not always applicable: $T(n) = 2 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + n \log n$

$$a = 2, \ b = 2, \ f(n) = n \log n, \ \underbrace{\log_b a = \log_2 2 = 1}_{n^1 \text{ leaves}}$$

Master theorem (General Form)

Master theorem:

▶ Not always applicable: $T(n) = 2 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + n \log n$

$$a = 2, b = 2, f(n) = n \log n, \underbrace{\log_b a = \log_2 2 = 1}_{n^1 \text{ leaves}}$$

▶ Case 1: $f(n) \notin O(n^{1-\varepsilon})$

Master theorem (General Form)

Master theorem:

Not always applicable: $T(n) = 2 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + n \log n$

$$a = 2, b = 2, f(n) = n \log n, \underbrace{\log_b a = \log_2 2 = 1}_{n^1 \text{ leaves}}$$

- ▶ Case 1: $f(n) \notin O(n^{1-\varepsilon})$
- ► Case 2: $f(n) \notin \Theta(n^1)$

Master theorem (General Form)

Master theorem:

Not always applicable: $T(n) = 2 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + n \log n$

$$a = 2, b = 2, f(n) = n \log n, \underbrace{\log_b a = \log_2 2 = 1}_{n^1 \text{ leaves}}$$

- ▶ Case 1: $f(n) \notin O(n^{1-\varepsilon})$
- ► Case 2: $f(n) \notin \Theta(n^1)$
- **Case 3:** $f(n) \notin \Omega(n^{1+\varepsilon})$

Master theorem (General Form)

Master theorem:

► Not always applicable: $T(n) = 2 \cdot T(\frac{n}{2}) + n \log n$

$$a = 2, \ b = 2, \ f(n) = n \log n, \ \underbrace{\log_b a = \log_2 2 = 1}_{n^1 \text{ leaves}}$$

- ▶ Case 1: $f(n) \notin O(n^{1-\varepsilon})$
- ► Case 2: $f(n) \notin \Theta(n^1)$
- ► Case 3: $f(n) \notin \Omega(n^{1+\varepsilon})$

n log n is asymptotically larger than n, but not polynominal larger

Master theorem - Summary

Master theorem:

Master theorem - Summary

Master theorem:

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

Master theorem - Summary

Master theorem:

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

▶ Three cases depending on the dominance of the terms

Master theorem - Summary

Master theorem:

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

- Three cases depending on the dominance of the terms
- ▶ Case 1: Solving the partial problems is polynominal bigger than merging all solutions

$$T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a}),$$
 $T(n) \in \Theta(\text{number of leaves})$

Master theorem - Summary

Master theorem:

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

- Three cases depending on the dominance of the terms
- Case 1: Solving the partial problems is polynominal bigger than merging all solutions

$$T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a}),$$
 $T(n) \in \Theta(\text{number of leaves})$

► Case 2: Each layer has equal costs

$$T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$$
, $\log n$ layers

Master theorem - Summary

Master theorem:

$$T(n) = a \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

- Three cases depending on the dominance of the terms
- ▶ Case 1: Solving the partial problems is polynominal bigger than merging all solutions

$$T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a}),$$
 $T(n) \in \Theta(\text{number of leaves})$

► Case 2: Each layer has equal costs $T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$, $\log n$ layers

► Case 3: Connecting all partial solutions is polynominal bigger than solving all partial problems

$$T(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$$

Further Literature

General

- [CRL01] Thomas H. Cormen, Ronald L. Rivest, and Charles E. Leiserson. Introduction to Algorithms. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass, 2001.
- [MS08] Kurt Mehlhorn and Peter Sanders.
 Algorithms and data structures, 2008.
 https://people.mpi-inf.mpg.de/~mehlhorn/
 ftp/Mehlhorn-Sanders-Toolbox.pdf.

Further Literature

Master theorem

[Wik] Master theorem

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Master_theorem