

Species turnover reveals hidden effects of decreasing Nitrogen deposition in mountain hay meadows

Tobias Roth^{1, 2}, Lukas Kohli², Christoph Bühler², Beat Rihm³, Reto Giulio Meuli⁴, Reto Meier⁵, and Valentin Amrhein¹

¹Zoological Institute, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

²Hintermann Weber AG, Austrasse 2a, 4153 Reinach, Switzerland

³Meteotest, Fabrikstrasse 14, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

⁴Agroscope, Swiss Soil Monitoring Network NABO, 8046 Zurich, Switzerland

⁵Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN), Air Pollution Control and Chemicals Division, 3003 Bern, Switzerland

Corresponding author:

Tobias Roth^{1,2}

Email address: t.roth@unibas.ch

ABSTRACT

Nitrogen (N) deposition is a major threat to biodiversity of many habitats. The recent introduction of cleaner technologies in Switzerland has led to reductions in the emissions of nitrogen oxides, with affiliated decrease in N deposition. We considered different drivers of plant community change (i.e. N deposition, climate warming, land-use change) in Swiss mountain hay meadows using data from the Swiss biodiversity monitoring. For this purpose, we compared indicator values of species that disappeared or colonized a site (turnover) with the indicator values of randomly chosen species from the same site. While oligotrophic plant species were more likely to colonize compared to random expectation, we found only weak shifts in plant community structure. Particularly, the average nutrient value of plant communities remained stable over time (2003–2017). Despite this, we found that the nutrient values of colonization species showed largest deviations from random expectation suggesting that N deposition or other factors that change the nutrient content of soils were important drivers of the species composition change over the last 15 years in Swiss mountain hay meadows. In addition, we observed an overall replacement of species with lower indicator values for temperature by species with higher values. Apparently, the community effects of the replacement of eutrophic species with oligotrophic species was outweighed by climate warming. Our results add to the increasing evidence that inferring species turnover will generate a far more reliable understanding of the biotic response to changing environments than solely tracking average community composition.

INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen (N) deposition is the entry of reactive nitrogen compounds into soil, water and vegetation input from the atmosphere to the biosphere. Since nitrogen is an essential plant nutrient and many species-rich communities are adapted to conditions of low nitrogen availability (Vitousek et al. 1997), the addition of nitrogen is likely to alter these communities. Indeed, N deposition together with land-use and climate change is one of the major threats to biodiversity (Sala et al. 2000; Bobbink et al. 2010; Murphy and Romanuk 2013). While there is strong evidence for the reduction in diversity of species-rich grasslands due to increased N deposition (Stevens et al. 2004; Duprè et al. 2010; Maskell et al. 2010; Wesche et al. 2012), mountain grasslands have received less attention (Humbert et al. 2016).

Since the late 1980s measures to reduce atmospheric pollution have successfully diminished emissions of nitrogen oxides, with affiliated decrease in N deposition in many parts of Europe (Tørseth et al. 2012; Fowler et al. 2007). However, there are a number of factors that may prevent the recovery of plant communities that suffered from increased N deposition. First, N deposition is still high at many sites, since, in contrast to nitrogen oxides, the recent decrease of ammonia emissions was only minor. Thus, even if N deposition is reduced, large areas might still be above the critical load (Slootweg, Posch, and Hettelingh 2015; Rihm and Achermann 2016). Second, there is a

potential that communities reach an alternative stable state after decades of increased N deposition and these species are unlikely to disappear subsequent to reduced N deposition (Stevens 2016). Therefore, it is an open question whether and how fast the reduction in N deposition rates will lead to the recovery of existant plant communities.

Recovery of existant plant communities from high N deposition would imply that the state of communities measured at different points in time is improving over time (i.e. improving biodiversity endpoints sensu Rowe et al. (2017)). Species richness, a biodiversity endpoint that can be assessed relatively simple and communicated easily, is often negatively related to nitrogen deposition (Maskell et al. 2010; Field et al. 2014; Rowe et al. 2017). Other metrics that are potentially more useful to reflect favourable changes can be derived from the traits of the species in a community. In Europe, environmental preference of plants has often been expressed using indicator values assigned to each plant species (Ellenberg et al. 1992; Landolt et al. 2010). Examples of such metrics would be the number of oligotrophic species or the average indicator value of the species in a community (Roth et al. 2013; Rowe et al. 2017). However, the lack of a temporal trend in such biodiversity endpoints – particularly in species richness – does not necessarily mean that species composition remains unchanged. This is because immigration and extinction might be equally frequent and may cancel each other out (Hillebrand et al. 2018). Thus a useful approach to understanding biodiversity change is through estimates of species turnover reflecting both colonization and local extinction (Hillebrand et al. 2018), especially if colonization and local extinction is compared to random expectation (Chase and Myers 2011).

In Switzerland, grassland accounts for 70% of the agricultural land. With extensive cultivation, permanent grassland has a very high biodiversity. This applies in particular to the meadows in the alpine region, where meadows with a high plant diversity are also of agronomical importance (Leiber et al. 2006). In mountain hay meadows, the spatial variation of species richness in vascular plants is negative correlated with N deposition (Roth et al. 2013), suggesting that mountain grasslands suffered from increased N deposition. However, between 1990 and 2010 NO_x emissions in Switzerland decreased by 46% and NH₃ emissions by 14% (Maas and Grennfelt 2016). What may have led to a partial recovery of plant communities.

In addition to N deposition, Swiss mountain ecosystems are threatened also by other global change drivers. In Switzerland, temperatures increased from 1959 to 2008 at all altitudes, with an average warming rate of 0.35°C per decade, which is about 1.6 times the northern hemispheric warming rate (Ceppi et al. 2012). This climate warming is likely to directly affect or interact with N deposition to drive plant community changes (Humbert et al. 2016). Indeed, in an earlier study we found that at the landscape scale plant communities responded to climate warming even within a comparably short time period (Roth, Plattner, and Amrhein 2014). Particularly the shift of plant communities at mountain summits are the result of recent climate warming and the authors assume an interacting with airborne N deposition (Steinbauer et al. 2018). Furthermore, traditional management regimes are currently changing, which also has major impacts on plant communities in mountainous regions of Europe (Niedrist et al. 2009; Homburger and Hofer 2012). Management regimes of accessible mountainous areas are frequently intensified, while poorly accessible mountainous areas are being abandoned (Tasser and Tappeiner 2002; Strebel and Bühler 2015).

Here, we used data from the Swiss biodiversity monitoring (Weber, Hintermann, and Zangger 2004) to address the following questions: (1) Did biodiversity endpoints that are likely to reflect temperature, precipitation, N deposition or land-use intensity change over the last 15 years, (2) was species turnover correlated with the average temperature, precipitation, N deposition or inclination (we expect steep areas to be less intensively managed), and (3) did species that newly colonized or disappeared (local extinction) from sites differ from random expectation according to their indicator values for temperature, humidity, nutrients or light.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Monitoring data and community measures

We analysed the presence/absence of vascular plants sampled within the scope of Switzerland's Biodiversity Monitoring (BDM) programme that was launched in 2001 to monitor Switzerland's biodiversity and to comply with the Convention on Biological Diversity of Rio de Janeiro (Weber, Hintermann, and Zangger 2004). The sampling sites were circles with a size of 10 m² and data collection was carried out by qualified botanists who visited each sampling site twice within the same season. During each visit all the vascular plant species detected on the plot were recorded. For details on the field methods see Plattner, Birrer, and Weber (2004), Roth et al. (2013) and Roth et al. (2017).

After the sampling of the plant data the botanists also assigned a habitat type to each sampling site according to the classification system developed for Switzerland (Delarze and Gonseth 2008). We matched the habitat types of the Swiss classification system with the categories from the EUNIS system (level-3 classification; Davies, Moss, and Hill 2004) and selected all sampling sites in mountain hay meadows (EUNIS E2.3). We analysed the data from 2003

to 2017. During that study period each sampling site was surveyed once per five-year period: the first period lasted from 2003 to 2007, the second from 2008 to 2012 and the third from 2013 to 2017. These selection criteria yielded a sample of 129 sites.

For each survey (a survey consists of two visits per season) we calculated the following biodiversity endpoints (Rowe et al. 2017): (1) The number of recorded species (species richness), the community mean of indicator values of recorded species for (2) temperature, (3) humidity, (4) nutrients and (5) light. The indicator values were obtained from the recalibrated indicator values for the Swiss Flora (Landolt et al. 2010). In addition to these five biodiversity endpoints that describe the state of plant communities for each site at a given time point, we also estimated the temporal turnover (i.e. species exchange ratio sensu Hillebrand et al. (2018)) as the proportion of species that differ between two time points to describe the community change between two points in time.

To test data quality, independent replicate surveys are routinely performed within the BDM program by botanists who were not involved in the regular BDM surveys. The regular surveyors did not know if and which sites were replicated (Plattner, Birrer, and Weber 2004). We used the data from 14 such replicated surveys that were available to calculate the pseudo-turnover, which is the proportion of species that differed between two surveys that were conducted by two different surveyors during the same year on the same site.

Environmental gradients

We expected different global change patterns to simultaneously cause temporal change in mountain hay communities. To better disentangle the importance of these mechanisms we ordered the sites along four main environmental gradients. First, we expected communities to response to climate warming with this response being dependent on the position of the site along the temperature gradient (Roth, Plattner, and Amrhein 2014). To describe the temperature gradient, we used the mean annual temperature per site from the WordClim database (Fick and Hijmans 2017). The average \pm SD mean annual temperature at our sites was 5.85 ± 2.16 °C. From the same database we used the annual precipitation per site, another key driver for plants that is likely to be affected by climate change (Beier et al. 2012). The average annual precipitation at our sites was 1284.71 ± 196.53 mm. Further, we estimated atmospheric N deposition for each site using a pragmatic approach that combines monitoring data, spatial interpolation methods, emission inventories, statistical dispersion models and inferential deposition models (Rihm and Achermann 2016). The average nitrogen deposition at our sites was 17.54 ± 6.47 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 2000 and 14.84 ± 6.12 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 2015. Finally, we used inclination as proxy for land-use intensity because we expected that steeper sites are likely to be less intensively managed (Strebel and Bühler 2015). The average inclination at our sites was 15.87 ± 9.66 °. ## Statistical analyses {-} To estimate the linear trend over time for each of the five biodiversity endpoints we applied linear mixed models (LMM) with normal distribution except for species-richness with Poisson distribution. We specified site specific trends with the assumption that the between-site differences in intercepts and slopes can be described with normal distributions (i.e. a random intercept random slope model, Gelman and Hill 2006). Model parameters were estimated in a Bayesian framework using the R-Package *rstanarm* (Stan Development Team 2016, Muth, Oravecz, and Gabry (2018)).

To infer whether species turnover was changing along the gradient, we used a Binomial-GLMM with the proportion of species that differed between two surveys as dependent variable and the site gradients and period (first/second vs. second/third surveys) as predictors and site-ID as random effect. Model parameters were estimated in a Bayesian framework using the R-Package *rstanarm* (Stan Development Team 2016, Muth, Oravecz, and Gabry (2018)).

To infer whether species that colonized or disappeared from a site had particular indicator values that differed from the other species at that site, we produced for each site a list with all species that were recorded during the three surveys (total community). We then calculated the community mean (CM) of the indicator value for all species that colonized the site during the three surveys (i.e. not recorded during first survey and recorded during second or not recorded during second and recorded during third). We then randomly selected the same number of species from the total community and also calculated the community mean of the value for these species (random-CM). We repeated the random selection of species 1000 times. Then we calculated the differences of the CM minus the average of the random-CMs to obtain a standardized difference (standardized-CM) of how different the colonizing species were from random expectation. For example, a difference < 0 would suggest that the indicator values of colonizing species were lower than might be expected from random colonisation from the species-pool for this site. We applied this method for both colonizing and disappearing species and for the indicator values for temperature, humidity, nutrients and light (see Appendix A). We then tested whether this standardized difference is changing along the corresponding gradient (inferred from independent datasets, see Environmental gradients section) using linear models. Model parameters were estimated in a Bayesian framework using the R-Package *rstanarm* (Stan

Table 1. Average measures of the biodiversity endpoints for the three sampling periods (period 1: 2003-2007; period 2: 2008-2012; period: 2013-2017). The temporal trends are given as change per 10 years and were estimated from linear mixed models with normal distribution (except for species richness with Poisson distribution and a log-link function). The measure of precision for the temporal trend is given as the 5% and 95% quantiles of the marginal posterior distribution of the linear trend. The column 'Prob. for trend' gives the probability that the linear trend is > 0 . Indicator values according to Landolt et al. (2010).

Measures	Period 1	Period 2	Period 3	Trend	5%	95%	Prob. for trend
Species-richness	45.72	46.02	45.74	0.00	-0.03	0.03	0.53
Temperature value	3.11	3.13	3.13	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.97
Humidity value	2.99	2.98	2.99	0.01	-0.01	0.02	0.80
Nutrients value	3.20	3.20	3.20	0.00	-0.02	0.01	0.33
Light value	3.56	3.55	3.55	-0.01	-0.02	0.00	0.07

Development Team 2016, Muth, Oravec, and Gabry (2018)).

We used logistic-GLMM to analyse whether the colonization probability or local survival probability was changing along the Nitrogen deposition gradient and whether this change depended on the species indicator value for nutrients. To analyse the colonization probability of species, we selected all species that were not observed during the first survey. For each of these species we asked if they were observed (then Occ = 1) or not observed (then Occ = 0) during the second survey. The same was also done for all species that were not observed during the second survey if they were observed or not observed (then Occ = 0) during the third survey. The variable 'occ' was then used as dependent variable in the logistic-GLMM. As predictor variables the model contained the N deposition of the site, the indicator value for nutrients of the species and the interaction of these two variables. Additionally, species-ID and site-ID were included as random effects. The same logistic-GLMM was also used to investigate local survival probabilities. In that case, however, we selected all species that were recorded during the first or second survey and the variable 'occ' then indicated whether or not the species was also observed during the next survey. Model parameters were estimated using an approximate Bayesian approach using the R-Package *arm* (Gelman and Su 2018).

To estimate the effect of N deposition on total species richness at a given point in time, we described the plant species richness at the sites using a generalized linear model with Poisson distribution and the logarithm as link function. As predictors we used the four environmental gradients as described above. Model parameters were estimated using an approximate Bayesian approach using the R-Package *arm* (Gelman and Su 2018).

Fully executable R code and a description to produce this manuscript are provided at <https://github.com/TobiasRoth/NDep-Trend>.

RESULTS

Temporal change in community structures

Species richness and four measures of plant community structure according to Landolt indicator values (i.e. biodiversity endpoints) suggested that plant communities in mountain hay meadows were rather stable between 2003 and 2017 and did not show a clear increase or decrease over time (Table 1): for each of the three 5-year survey periods the averages of species-richness and the average indicator values for temperature, humidity, nutrients and light did not vary much among the three sampling periods and the estimated trends were rather small. Except for average indicator value for temperature, the 90% credible-interval of the temporal trend contained zero. The results from the linear mixed models suggest that a linear temporal change was most likely for the community mean of the indicator value for temperature (probability of increase: 0.97), followed by the community mean of the indicator value for light (probability of decrease: 0.93). A linear temporal change was least likely for the species richness (probability of increase: 0.53). The chance that the community mean of the nutrient value decreased between 2003 and 2017 was 0.67 (0.33 gives the probability for an increase).

Species turnover

The average \pm SD percentage of species that differed between replicated surveys was $28.96 \pm 8.43\%$. This pseudo-turnover was lower than the observed temporal turnover: the average percentage of species that differed between the first and second survey at a site was $37.65 \pm 10.43\%$ and the percentage of species that differ between the second

Table 2. Change of species turnover along the four gradients. The slopes along the gradients (estimate) are given as the change per 10 years of the logit-probability of species that differed between two surveys. Estimates and the 5% and 95% quantiles of the marginal posterior distribution obtained from a Binomial-GLMM.

Gradient	Estimate	5%	95%
Annual mean temperature	0.04	-0.02	0.10
Annual mean precipitation	-0.03	-0.12	0.07
Nitrogen deposition	-0.14	-0.30	0.02
Inclination	-0.03	-0.10	0.03

Table 3. Difference in the average indicator value of species that (a) disappeared from site or (b) newly colonized a site compared to the same number of species that were randomly selected from all species recorded at a site. Shown are the results from linear model with the difference between disappeared/colonized species and random species as dependent variable and the site-measure (gradient) as predictor variable.

Indicator value	Gradient	Difference from random			Change along gradient		
		Estimate	5%	90%	Estimate	5%	90%
<i>(a) Plants that disappeared from a site</i>							
Temperature	Annual mean temperature	-0.012	-0.033	0.010	0.007	-0.002	0.017
Humidity	Annual mean precipitation	-0.006	-0.041	0.031	0.011	-0.010	0.032
Nutrients	Nitrogen deposition	-0.025	-0.061	0.011	0.014	-0.027	0.056
Light	Inclination	-0.023	-0.050	0.005	-0.003	-0.026	0.020
<i>(b) Plants that newly colonized a site</i>							
Temperature	Annual mean temperature	0.017	0.001	0.033	-0.001	-0.008	0.006
Humidity	Annual mean precipitation	0.020	-0.012	0.051	-0.003	-0.021	0.016
Nutrients	Nitrogen deposition	-0.076	-0.108	-0.044	0.061	0.022	0.098
Light	Inclination	-0.041	-0.065	-0.018	0.011	-0.009	0.030

and third survey was $35.66 \pm 10.36\%$. Thus, it seemed that the turnover from the first/second survey to the turnover of the second/third survey moderately decreased (90% Credible interval of the change in turnover estimated from the Binomial generalized linear mixed model: -0.14 - -0.03). Along the N deposition gradient variation in species turnover was largest, with highest species turnover at sites with low N deposition (Table 2). The other three gradients were less conclusive to explain the variation in species turnover among sites.

High species turnover at a site is the result of species that disappeared from the site and species that newly colonized the site. To better understand the factors that drive these changes we were particularly interested whether the species that disappeared or colonized the sites differed in indicator values compared to what would be expected if the same number of species randomly disappeared or colonized the sites (i.e. random disappearance and random colonization) and whether there is a spatial variation along the environmental gradients. It seems that the indicator values of newly colonizing species differed more from random colonization than the indicator values of disappearing species (Table 3). For colonizing species, we found the largest differences from random colonization in the indicator value for nutrients: at sites with relatively low N deposition of $10 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ the newly colonizing species had in average a lower indicator value for nutrients than species under random colonization (column "Difference from random" in Table 3), but this differences between colonizing species and random colonization decreased with increasing N deposition (column "Change along gradient" in Table 3). Thus, at high N deposition colonizing species did not differ from random species (see Figure 3b in Appendix A).

While colonizing species had higher temperature values compared to what we would expect under random colonization, the differences between colonizing species and random colonization was about four times smaller than the difference in indicator value for nutrients between colonizing species and random species. Nevertheless, the variation in indicator value for temperature is revealed to be important to explain the total species turnover. This is because disappearing species tend to have lower temperature values than random species as well as colonizing species tend to have higher temperature values than random species; both processes lead to an overall replacement of species with lower temperature value with species with higher temperature values. This was not the case for the




Figure 1. (a) The nitrogen (N) deposition in 2000 and (b) the change in N deposition between 2000 and 2015 along the N deposition gradient of the study sites in 2000.

indicator value for nutrients: species with lower nutrients values tended to be more likely to disappear from as well as to colonize sites compared to random species (Table 3). See also Appendix A where we present detailed results for the comparison between colonizing or disappearing species with randomly selected species.

Potential effects of reduction in Nitrogen emissions

Nitrogen deposition decreased with increasing elevation (Fig. 1a). In 2000 only 11.63% of sites had a N deposition rate of less than 10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, all situated above 1000m. Between 2000 and 2015 the N deposition decreased in average by -2.70 ± 1.74 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ with slightly higher net decreases at lower elevation (Fig. 1b).

In Fig. 2 we compare the colonization and local survival probability of oligotrophic species (indicator value of nutrients <3) and eutrophic species (indicator value of nutrients > 3) along the N deposition gradient. Local survival probability was the same for oligotrophic and eutrophic species at a deposition rate of 13.07 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; colonization probability was the same for oligotrophic and eutrophic species at a deposition rate of 12.41 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. In 35.66% of the sites the deposition rate was below 12.5 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ where the replacement of eutrophic with oligotrophic species is likely.

While we could not detect a consistent decrease in average indicator values for nutrients (Table 1), the higher colonization rate of species with low nutrient value at the few sites with low N deposition rate seems to affect the spatial pattern of oligotrophic species richness: sites with low N deposition are likely to become more species rich over time. This likely results in steeper slope of the negative relationship between N deposition and oligotrophic species richness, when comparing this relationship with a spatial approach as in Roth et al. (2013). Indeed, if we apply such an approach at different points in time to infer the effects of N deposition on the spatial variation of oligotrophic species richness, the resulting effect size (i.e. the slope) became more negative over time (Fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

Although N deposition declined between 2000 and 2015 (Fig. 1), we could detect only weak shifts in plant community structure (i.e. biodiversity endpoints sensu Rowe et al. (2017)) during the same time period (Table 1). While the slight increase in average temperature indicator values suggests that plant communities adopted to increasing temperatures, the constant average nutrients value suggests that the decrease in N deposition did not yet affect plant communities. However, this apparent stability in community composition was accompanied by marked temporal turnover in species identities. It seems unlikely that this temporal turnover can entirely be explained by methodological issues such as overlooked species. First, pseudo-turnover of species entities in independent surveys of the same site during the same season was smaller than the observed temporal turnover between two surveys from different years. Second, spatial variation of turnover showed patterns that can hardly be explained by methodological issues. For instance species turnover varied along the N deposition gradient with highest species turnover at sites with low N deposition (Table 2). Taken together, our results add to the increasing evidence that contemporary communities are often relatively stable at community level, but this apparent stability is often accompanied by marked turnover of species (Vellend et al. 2013; Dornelas et al. 2014; Hillebrand et al. 2018).




Figure 2. Colonization (a) and local survival (b) of oligotrophic species (indicator value for nutrients < 3; red line) and eutrophic species (indicator value for nutrients > 3) species along the N deposition gradient. Given are means and 95%-Credible Intervals from logistic linear mixed models. The vertical lines indicate the deposition rate with equal colonization or survival probabilities for oligotrophic and eutrophic species with the solid line indicating the median and the dashed lines the 5% and 95% quantiles of the marginal posterior distribution.




Figure 3. Effect size of N deposition on oligotrophic species richness estimated from applying the Poisson-GLM with species richness as dependent variable and N deposition plus other site covariates (elevation, precipitation, inclination, mean indicator values for humidity and light) as predictors using only the surveys from one five-year interval. Note that within every five-year interval all plots were sampled once.

Species communities are shaped by a range of factors, including deterministic processes such as environmental filtering or competitive interactions (Götzenberger et al. 2012; Janeček et al. 2013). Such factors select for species with specific characteristics. Community assembly theories thus suggest that the factors that drive the composition of species in a community can be inferred from comparing the characteristics of the species in the community with random expectation (Chase and Myers 2011). We adopted this idea and compared the indicator values of species that disappeared or colonized a site with the indicator values from randomly chosen species from the same site (Appendix A). We found that the nutrient values of colonizing species showed largest deviations from random expectation (Table 3) suggesting that N deposition or other factors that change the nutrient content of soils were the most important drivers of the change in species composition over the last 15 years in Swiss mountain hay meadows.

Nitrogen deposition at our plots decreased by $-2.70 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ on average between 2000 and 2015. This is only about one tenth of the decrease in England, where N deposition decreased by $24 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ from 1996 to 2011 (Storkey et al. 2015). This comparatively low change in N deposition in Swiss mountain hay meadows might explain why we observed no change in average nutrient value of communities. Additionally, other anthropogenic pressures such as climate change might have overruled effects of N deposition on community composition. Particularly, we found that the species disappearing from the sites tend to have below average indicator values for temperature, while species that newly colonize sites have above average indicator values for temperature (Table 3). Thus, the effect of disappearing and the effect of colonizing species on the community mean for temperature is additive resulting in increasing average temperature values (Tab. 1). This is in contrast to how N deposition is affecting disappearing and colonization of species: It seems that both species disappearing from the sites as well as species colonizing the sites tend to have below average indicator values for nutrients (Table 3). Thus, the effect of disappearing and colonizing species on the average community value for nutrients partially cancel each other out. Furthermore, eutrophic species had rather high local survival across the entire deposition gradient, while oligotrophic species have much reduced local survival at higher N deposition rates. This suggests that mountain hay meadow communities can reach alternative stable states with eutrophic species that are unlikely to disappear even if N deposition is reduced (Stevens 2016). Taken together, these reasons might explain why the composition of mountain hay meadow communities responded stronger to climate warming than to nitrogen reduction, although the reduction in nitrogen resulted in above average colonization of oligotrophic species.

Observational studies along a gradient of N deposition often conclude how the spatial variation in species richness is related to N deposition (Stevens, Thompson, et al. 2010; Roth et al. 2013; chapter 4 in Vries, Hettelingh, and Posch 2015). They assume that the spatial variation in species richness (or other metrics of community composition) arose because of unequal species loss of different areas over time resulting from elevated N deposition chronically experienced by some areas. Although there is evidence supporting the pertinency of such a ‘space for time substitution’ for detecting the effects of N deposition on plant diversity (Stevens, Duprè, et al. 2010), this approach can not replace studies that relate temporal patterns in species composition with N deposition (De Schrijver et al. 2011). There are only a limited number of studies directly relating temporal trends of plant species diversity to varying amounts of N deposition in existant communities (Clark and Tilman 2008; Storkey et al. 2015; Stevens 2016). In a recent study, we used the mountain hay meadow data from a single survey only and estimated the critical load along the N deposition gradient at which species richness of oligotrophic species richness start to decrease with increasing N deposition (Roth et al. 2017). Using this spatial variation in species richness and N deposition we estimated a critical load for mountain hay meadows of $13.1 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. In the current study we estimated the rate of N deposition at which local survival probability or colonization probability was equal for oligotrophic and eutrophic species. This rate could also be interpreted as a critical load. With this temporal approach we obtained very similar results as the spatial approach. Our results are thus validating the space for time approach, at least for Swiss mountain hay meadows.

However, the results of Fig. 3 also show that the results of spatial comparisons must be interpreted carefully. When we applied the same model as in Roth et al. (2017) for different study periods to infer how oligotrophic species richness is decreasing along the N deposition gradient, the relationship showed to vary between study periods. The decrease gradually became steeper (more negative) over time, except for the first two study periods. Our first interpretation was that the N deposition effect became stronger over time. This was against our prediction that the effect of N deposition should become weaker over time since N deposition is decreasing during the study period. Then we realized that species turnover was highest at low N deposition sites (Tab. 2). At low N deposition rates colonizing species have below average indicator values for nutrients. It seems that the decrease in N deposition resulted in oligotrophic species replacing eutrophic species especially at sites with low N deposition. This explains why the decline in oligotrophic species richness inferred from spatial patterns of species richness and N deposition is becoming steeper over time. This may be interpreted as evidence that plant communities are recovering at least at

low deposition sites rather than inferring that the negative N deposition effects have become stronger over time.

CONCLUSIONS

Comparing the indicator values of colonizing and disappearing species with random expectation we found that oligotrophic species are currently more likely to colonize mountain hay meadows than eutrophic species, which might be the result of decreasing atmospheric N deposition recently observed. However, our results also indicate that re-transferring mountain hay meadows to oligotrophic species communities after high N deposition might take much longer than transferring an oligotrophic into an eutrophic community. This is because eutrophic species have high local survival probabilities even after N deposition decreases again. However, these results are hidden if only the temporal trends of species composition are analysed instead of species turnover, which can better reveal ongoing processes in this case. Our study thus adds to the understanding of contemporary biodiversity change where some key findings are still missing (Magurran et al. 2018), and it supports the notion of Hillebrand et al. (2018) that considering species turnover will generate far more reliable view of the biotic response to changing environments than solely tracking community composition.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the dedicated and qualified botanists who conducted fieldwork for the Swiss Biodiversity Monitoring BDM. The Swiss Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN) kindly provided biodiversity monitoring data and topographic data. This work was supported by the FOEN, the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant no. 31003A_156294), the Swiss Association Pro Petite Camargue Alsacienne, the Fondation de bienfaisance Jeanne Lovioz, and the MAVA Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Beier, Claus, Carl Beierkuhnlein, Thomas Wohlgemuth, Josep Penuelas, Bridget Emmett, Christian Körner, Hans Boeck, et al. 2012. "Precipitation Manipulation Experiments—challenges and Recommendations for the Future." *Ecology Letters* 15 (8): 899–911.
- Bobbink, Roland, K Hicks, J Galloway, T Spranger, Rob Alkemade, M Ashmore, Mercedes Bustamante, et al. 2010. "Global Assessment of Nitrogen Deposition Effects on Terrestrial Plant Diversity: A Synthesis." *Ecological Applications* 20 (1): 30–59.
- Ceppi, Paulo, Simon C Scherrer, Andreas M Fischer, and Christof Appenzeller. 2012. "Revisiting Swiss Temperature Trends 1959–2008." *International Journal of Climatology* 32 (2): 203–13.
- Chase, Jonathan M., and Jonathan A Myers. 2011. "Disentangling the Importance of Ecological Niches from Stochastic Processes Across Scales." *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 366 (1576): 2351–63.
- Clark, Christopher M, and David Tilman. 2008. "Loss of Plant Species After Chronic Low-Level Nitrogen Deposition to Prairie Grasslands." *Nature* 451 (7179): 712–14.
- Davies, Cynthia E, Dorian Moss, and Mark O Hill. 2004. "EUNIS Habitat Classification, Revised 2004. Report to European Environment Agency, European Topic Centre on Nature Protection and Biodiversity."
- De Schrijver, An, Pieter De Frenne, Evy Ampoorter, Lotte Van Nevel, Andreas Demey, Karen Wuyts, and Kris Verheyen. 2011. "Cumulative Nitrogen Input Drives Species Loss in Terrestrial Ecosystems." *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 20 (6): 803–16.
- Delarze, Raymond, and Yves Gonseth. 2008. *Lebensräume Der Schweiz: Ökologie - Gefährdung - Kennarten*. Ott. <https://books.google.ch/books?id=8kFrAAAACAAJ>.
- Dornelas, Maria, Nicholas J Gotelli, Brian McGill, Hideyasu Shimadzu, Faye Moyes, Caya Sievers, and Anne E Magurran. 2014. "Assemblage Time Series Reveal Biodiversity Change but Not Systematic Loss." *Science* 344 (6181): 296–99.
- Duprè, Cecilia, Carly J Stevens, Traute Ranke, Albert Bleeker, Cord Pepler-Lisbach, David JG Gowing, Nancy B Dise, Edu Dorland, Roland Bobbink, and Martin Diekmann. 2010. "Changes in Species Richness and Composition in European Acidic Grasslands over the Past 70 Years: The Contribution of Cumulative Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition." *Global Change Biology* 16 (1): 344–57.
- Ellenberg, H, HE Weber, R Dull, V Wirth, W Werner, and D Paulissen. 1992. "Zeigerwerte von Pflanzen in Mitteleuropa." *Scripta Geobot* 18: 1–258.
- Fick, Stephen E, and Robert J Hijmans. 2017. "WorldClim 2: New 1-Km Spatial Resolution Climate Surfaces

for Global Land Areas.” *International Journal of Climatology* 37 (12): 4302–15.

Field, Chris D, Nancy B Dise, Richard J Payne, Andrea J Britton, Bridget A Emmett, Rachel C Helliwell, Steve Hughes, et al. 2014. “The Role of Nitrogen Deposition in Widespread Plant Community Change Across Semi-Natural Habitats.” *Ecosystems* 17 (5): 864–77.

Fowler, David, Rognvald Smith, Jennifer Muller, John Neil Cape, Mark Sutton, Jan Willem Erisman, and Hilde Fagerli. 2007. “Long Term Trends in Sulphur and Nitrogen Deposition in Europe and the Cause of Non-Linearities.” *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution: Focus* 7 (1-3): 41–47.

Gelman, Andrew, and Jennifer Hill. 2006. *Data Analysis Using Regression and Multilevel/Hierarchical Models*. Cambridge university press.

Gelman, Andrew, and Yu-Sung Su. 2018. *Arm: Data Analysis Using Regression and Multilevel/Hierarchical Models*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=arm>.

Götzenberger, Lars, Francesco de Bello, Kari Anne Bråthen, John Davison, Anne Dubuis, Antoine Guisan, Jan Lepš, et al. 2012. “Ecological Assembly Rules in Plant Communities—approaches, Patterns and Prospects.” *Biological Reviews* 87 (1): 111–27.

Hillebrand, Helmut, Bernd Blasius, Elizabeth T. Borer, Jonathan M. Chase, John A. Downing, Britas Klemens Eriksson, Christopher T. Filstrup, et al. 2018. “Biodiversity Change Is Uncoupled from Species Richness Trends: Consequences for Conservation and Monitoring.” *Journal of Applied Ecology* 55 (1): 169–84. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12959.

Homburger, Hermel, and Gabriela Hofer. 2012. “Diversity Change of Mountain Hay Meadows in the Swiss Alps.” *Basic and Applied Ecology* 13 (2): 132–38.

Humbert, Jean-Yves, John M Dwyer, Aline Andrey, and Raphaël Arlettaz. 2016. “Impacts of Nitrogen Addition on Plant Biodiversity in Mountain Grasslands Depend on Dose, Application Duration and Climate: A Systematic Review.” *Global Change Biology* 22 (1): 110–20.

Janeček, Š, F Bello, J Horník, M Bartoš, T Černý, J Doležal, M Dvorsky, et al. 2013. “Effects of Land-Use Changes on Plant Functional and Taxonomic Diversity Along a Productivity Gradient in Wet Meadows.” *Journal of Vegetation Science* 24 (5): 898–909.

Landolt, Elias, Beat Bäumler, Andreas Erhardt, Otto Hegg, Frank Klötzli, Walter Lämmler, Michael Nobis, et al. 2010. *Flora Indicativa. Ecological Indicator Values and Biological Attributes of the Flora of Switzerland and the Alps*. Haupt Verlag.

Leiber, Florian, Michael Kreuzer, Hans Leuenberger, and Hans-Rudolf Wettstein. 2006. “Contribution of Diet Type and Pasture Conditions to the Influence of High Altitude Grazing on Intake, Performance and Composition and Renneting Properties of the Milk of Cows.” *Animal Research* 55 (1): 37–53.

Maas, R, and P (eds) Grennfelt. 2016. “Towards Cleaner Air. Scientific Assessment Report 2016. Emep Steering Body and Working Group on Effects of the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution, Oslo.”

Magurran, Anne E, Amy E Deacon, Faye Moyes, Hideyasu Shimadzu, Maria Dornelas, Dawn AT Phillip, and Indar W Ramnarine. 2018. “Divergent Biodiversity Change Within Ecosystems.” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115 (8): 1843–7.

Maskell, Lindsay C, Simon M Smart, James M Bullock, KEN Thompson, and Carly J Stevens. 2010. “Nitrogen Deposition Causes Widespread Loss of Species Richness in British Habitats.” *Global Change Biology* 16 (2): 671–79.

Murphy, Grace EP, and Tamara N Romanuk. 2013. “A Meta-Analysis of Declines in Local Species Richness from Human Disturbances.” *Ecology and Evolution* 4 (1): 91–103. doi:10.1002/ece3.909.

Muth, Chelsea, Zita Oravecz, and Jonah Gabry. 2018. “User-Friendly Bayesian Regression Modeling: A Tutorial with Rstanarm and Shinystan.” *The Quantitative Methods for Psychology* 14 (2): 99–119.

Niedrist, Georg, Erich Tasser, Christian Lüth, Josef Dalla Via, and Ulrike Tappeiner. 2009. “Plant Diversity Declines with Recent Land Use Changes in European Alps.” *Plant Ecology* 202 (2): 195.

Plattner, Matthias, Stefan Birrer, and Darius Weber. 2004. “Data Quality in Monitoring Plant Species Richness in Switzerland.” *Community Ecology* 5 (1): 135–43.

Rihm, Beat, and Beat Achermann. 2016. “Critical Loads of Nitrogen and Their Exceedances. Swiss Contribution to the Effects-Oriented Work Under the Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (Unece). Federal Office for the Environment, Bern. Environmental Studies No. 1642: 78 P.”

Roth, Tobias, Lukas Kohli, Beat Rihm, and Beat Achermann. 2013. “Nitrogen Deposition Is Negatively Related to Species Richness and Species Composition of Vascular Plants and Bryophytes in Swiss Mountain Grassland.” *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 178: 121–26. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2013.07.002.

Roth, Tobias, Lukas Kohli, Beat Rihm, Reto Meier, and Beat Achermann. 2017. “Using Change-Point Models

to Estimate Empirical Critical Loads for Nitrogen in Mountain Ecosystems.” *Environmental Pollution* 220: 1480–7.

Roth, Tobias, Matthias Plattner, and Valentin Amrhein. 2014. “Plants, Birds and Butterflies: Short-Term Responses of Species Communities to Climate Warming Vary by Taxon and with Altitude.” *PloS One* 9 (1): e82490.

Rowe, EC, L Jones, NB Dise, CD Evans, G Mills, J Hall, Carly J Stevens, et al. 2017. “Metrics for Evaluating the Ecological Benefits of Decreased Nitrogen Deposition.” *Biological Conservation* 212: 454–63.

Sala, Osvaldo E, F Stuart Chapin, Juan J Armesto, Eric Berlow, Janine Bloomfield, Rodolfo Dirzo, Elisabeth Huber-Sanwald, et al. 2000. “Global Biodiversity Scenarios for the Year 2100.” *Science* 287 (5459): 1770–4.

Slootweg, Jaap, Maximilian Posch, and Jean-Paul Hettelingh. 2015. “Modelling and Mapping the Impacts of Atmospheric Deposition of Nitrogen and Sulphur : CCE Status Report 2015.”

Stan Development Team. 2016. “Rstanarm: Bayesian Applied Regression Modeling via Stan.” <http://mc-stan.org/>.

Steinbauer, Manuel J, John-Arvid Grytnes, Gerald Jurasinski, Aino Kulonen, Jonathan Lenoir, Harald Pauli, Christian Rixen, et al. 2018. “Accelerated Increase in Plant Species Richness on Mountain Summits Is Linked to Warming.” *Nature* 556 (7700): 231.

Stevens, Carly J. 2016. “How Long Do Ecosystems Take to Recover from Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition?” *Biological Conservation* 200: 160–67.

Stevens, Carly J, Nancy B Dise, J Owen Mountford, and David J Gowing. 2004. “Impact of Nitrogen Deposition on the Species Richness of Grasslands.” *Science* 303 (5665): 1876–9.

Stevens, Carly J, Cecilia Duprè, Edu Dorland, Cassandre Gaudnik, David JG Gowing, Albert Bleeker, Martin Diekmann, et al. 2010. “Nitrogen Deposition Threatens Species Richness of Grasslands Across Europe.” *Environmental Pollution* 158 (9): 2940–5.

Stevens, Carly J, Ken Thompson, J Philip Grime, Christopher J Long, and David JG Gowing. 2010. “Contribution of Acidification and Eutrophication to Declines in Species Richness of Calcifuge Grasslands Along a Gradient of Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition.” *Functional Ecology* 24 (2): 478–84.

Storkey, Jonathan, AJ Macdonald, PR Poulton, T Scott, IH Köhler, H Schnyder, KWT Goulding, and MJ Crawley. 2015. “Grassland Biodiversity Bounces Back from Long-Term Nitrogen Addition.” *Nature* 528 (7582): 401–4.

Strebel, Nicolas, and Christoph Bühlér. 2015. “Recent Shifts in Plant Species Suggest Opposing Land-Use Changes in Alpine Pastures.” *Alpine Botany* 125 (1): 1–9.

Tasser, Erich, and Ulrike Tappeiner. 2002. “Impact of Land Use Changes on Mountain Vegetation.” *Applied Vegetation Science* 5 (2): 173–84.

Tørseth, Kjetil, Wenche Aas, Knut Breivik, Ann Mari Fjæraa, Markus Fiebig, Anne-Gunn Hjellbrekke, C Lund Myhre, Sverre Solberg, and Karl Espen Yttri. 2012. “Introduction to the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (Emep) and Observed Atmospheric Composition Change During 1972–2009.” *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 12 (12): 5447–81.

Vellend, Mark, Lander Baeten, Isla H Myers-Smith, Sarah C Elmendorf, Robin Beauséjour, Carissa D Brown, Pieter De Frenne, Kris Verheyen, and Sonja Wipf. 2013. “Global Meta-Analysis Reveals No Net Change in Local-Scale Plant Biodiversity over Time.” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110 (48): 19456–9.

Vitousek, Peter M, John D Aber, Robert W Howarth, Gene E Likens, Pamela A Matson, David W Schindler, William H Schlesinger, and David G Tilman. 1997. “Human Alteration of the Global Nitrogen Cycle: Sources and Consequences.” *Ecological Applications* 7 (3): 737–50.

Vries, Wim de, Jean-Paul Hettelingh, and Maximilian Posch. 2015. *Critical Loads and Dynamic Risk Assessments: Nitrogen, Acidity and Metals in Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecosystems*. Vol. 25. Springer.

Weber, Darius, Urs Hintermann, and Adrian Zangger. 2004. “Scale and Trends in Species Richness: Considerations for Monitoring Biological Diversity for Political Purposes.” *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 13 (2): 97–104.

Wesche, Karsten, Benjamin Krause, Heike Culmsee, and Christoph Leuschner. 2012. “Fifty Years of Change in Central European Grassland Vegetation: Large Losses in Species Richness and Animal-Pollinated Plants.” *Biological Conservation* 150 (1): 76–85.