Things To Know

 Validate that the values the model predicts quantify the relationship between independent and dependent variables

- Validate that the values the model predicts quantify the relationship between independent and dependent variables
- We have already seen one way of validating a model

- Validate that the values the model predicts quantify the relationship between independent and dependent variables
- We have already seen one way of validating a model
 - GOODNESS OF FIT!

- Validate that the values the model predicts quantify the relationship between independent and dependent variables
- We have already seen one way of validating a model
 - GOODNESS OF FIT!
- But goodness of fit relies on measuring the performance of the model on the data you used to build the model in the first place

- Step 1. Generate a linear regression model on some data (sample)

- Step 1. Generate a linear regression model on some data (sample)
- Step 2. Predict the dependent values of unused data using the fit model

- But first, we split the data into training and testing sets

- But first, we split the data into training and testing sets
- Training data for fitting the model
- Testing data for validating the model performance

- But first, we first split the data into training and testing sets
- Training data for fitting the model
- Testing data for validating the model performance
- We can calculate the R-squared values of the two data sets and compare them

- Say you split your data 70/30 randomly into training and testing sets

- Say you split your data 70/30 randomly into training and testing sets
 - That is, you train your model (fit the best-fit line) on 70% of the data
 - And test your model's performance (predict y values and compare) on 30% of the data

- Say you split your data 70/30 randomly into training and testing sets
 - That is, you train your model (fit the best-fit line) on 70% of the data
 - And test your model's performance (predict y values and compare) on 30% of the data
- In this scenario, there is a possibility that even though the data split is random, a key subset of your data ends up in the training set and is missing from the test set

- Say you split your data 70/30 randomly into training and testing sets
 - That is, you train your model (fit the best-fit line) on 70% of the data
 - And test your model's performance (predict y values and compare) on 30% of the data
- In this scenario, there is a possibility that even though the data split is random, a key subset of your data ends up in the training set and is missing from the test set
 - When looking at NBA Elo data, our training set could have all play off games vs. the test set, with an 80/20 split

- Say you split your data 70/30 randomly into training and testing sets
 - That is, you train your model (fit the best-fit line) on 70% of the data
 - And test your model's performance (predict y values and compare) on 30% of the data
- In this scenario, there is a possibility that even though the data split is random, a key subset of your data ends up in the training set and is missing from the test set
 - When looking at NBA Elo data, our training set could have all play off games vs. the test set, with an 80/20 split
- How could this impact our model's performance?

- Say you split your data 70/30 randomly into training and testing sets
 - That is, you train your model (fit the best-fit line) on 70% of the data
 - And test your model's performance (predict y values and compare) on 30% of the data
- In this scenario, there is a possibility that even though the data split is random, a key subset of your data ends up in the training set and is missing from the test set
 - When looking at NBA Elo data, our training set could have all play off games vs. the test set, with an 80/20 split
- How could this impact our model's performance?
- What is a viable alternative? We don't want to get rid of training and testing sets!

k-Fold Cross Validation

- Instead of splitting the data into a training and testing set and validating the model once, we repeat the process, k times.

k-Fold Cross Validation

- Instead of splitting the data into a training and testing set and validating the model once, we repeat the process, k times.
- So not only are we validating the model multiple times, but with unique training and testing sets every time

k-Fold Cross Validation

- 1. Shuffle the data randomly
- 2. Split the data into k groups
- 3. For each unique group:
 - a. Take the group as test data set
 - b. Combine the remaining groups as training sets
 - c. Fit the model on the training set and evaluate it on the test set
 - d. Record the evaluation score and discard the model
- We can summarize the model using all evaluation scores recorded

k-fold Cross Validation

- Note that each observation (data point) in the data is assigned to an individual group and stays in that group for the duration of the procedure.

k-fold Cross Validation

- Note that each observation (data point) in the data is assigned to an individual group and stays in that group for the duration of the procedure.
- How many times is each group used in training and testing sets?

k-fold Cross Validation

- Note that each observation (data point) in the data is assigned to an individual group and stays in that group for the duration of the procedure.
- How many times is each group used in training and testing sets?
- 1 time for testing and k-1 times for training

- One of the most important assumptions when fitting a linear regression model is that there exists a linear relationship between the independent and the dependent variables

- One of the most important assumptions when fitting a linear regression model is that there exists a linear relationship between the independent and the dependent variables
- Often times the linear relationship is not clear

- One of the most important assumptions when fitting a linear regression model is that there exists a linear relationship between the independent and the dependent variables
- Often times the linear relationship is not clear
- In that case, different transformations can be applied to the data to make the linear relationship clearer

- $\hat{y}_i = b_0 + b_1 x_i$ a unit increase in x is associated with an average of b_1 units increase in y
- $\log(\hat{y}_i) = b_0 + b_1 x_i$ a unit increase in x is associated with an average of b_1 units increase in $\log(y)$
- $\log(\hat{y}_i) = b_0 + b_1 \log(x_i)$ a k-fold increase in x is associated with k^b multiplicative increase in y
 - If x doubles, y changes by a multiplicative factor of 2^b

- When modeling a multiple linear regression model, we might have to deal with independent variables that correspond to different units

- When modeling a multiple linear regression model, we might have to deal with independent variables that correspond to different units
 - Age column on a scale of 20-70 vs. Salary column on a scale of 30,000-70,000

- When modeling a multiple linear regression model, we might have to deal with independent variables that correspond to different units
 - Age column on a scale of 20-70 vs. Salary column on a scale of 30,000-70,000
- When we want to build a model where variables have different units, so a large value in one scale does not overpower a relatively smaller value in a different scale, we standardize our data

- When modeling a multiple linear regression model, we might have to deal with independent variables that correspond to different units
 - Age column on a scale of 20-70 vs. Salary column on a scale of 30,000-70,000
- When we want to build a model where variables have different units, so a large value in one scale does not overpower a relatively smaller value in a different scale, we standardize our data
- Performed by converting data into Z-scores
 - mean = 0, sd = 1

```
- Z \sim N(0,1)
```

- -z = (x mean) / sd
- Done separately for each attribute