

# Rounding a ROBP Using DP

Prithviraj Ghosh

May 2025

## 1 Proposal

Quoting from the ROBP paper "We can construct  $M^0$  from  $M$  in time  $O(n^2 \log(W) \log(\frac{n}{\varepsilon}) / \varepsilon)$ ." I propose using the dp algorithm learnt from the second paper we can construct  $M^0$  from  $M$  in time  $O(n^3 \log(\frac{n}{\varepsilon}) / \varepsilon)$

## 2 Proof

### 2.1 Idea

According to the claim 3.2 of the ROBP paper "Each vertex  $v_j \in L(M^i, i+1)$  can be computed in time  $O(\log(\frac{n}{\varepsilon}) \log W)$ ." I try to reduce it to  $O(\log(\frac{n}{\varepsilon}))$  using a precomputed dp table as used in the other paper. We will use a similar definition of  $\tau$  and T appropriately for doing the approximation.

### 2.2 Defining $\tau$

Consider we have created  $M^{i+1}$  and creating  $M^i$  and like the assumption of the claim "We have the vertices  $v_j$  of  $L(M^i, i+1)$  stored in a binary tree, and also know their acceptance probabilities  $P_{M^i}(\cdot)$ ." So by the method of rounding used to create  $M^i$  0 must be the first vertex in layer i and the probability of which can be calculated in  $O(\log(\frac{n}{\varepsilon}))$  as discussed in the paper. Now for the upcoming vertices in the layer we will use the following definition of  $\tau$  :

$$\tau : \{0, \dots, n\} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$$

where  $\tau(i, a)$  is defined as is the smallest C such that there exist at least a solutions to the knapsack problem with weights  $w_{i+1}, \dots, w_n$  and capacity C which is the same definition as the paper just with different weights as the orientation of the weights did not matter in the analysis later

**Claim:** Assume we have calculated  $v_j$  in the layer  $i$  along with its probability to reach an accepting state  $p$  and want to find  $v_{j+1}$  then the following equation holds exactly

$$v_{j+1} = C - \tau\left(i, \frac{2^{n-i}p}{1+\varepsilon}\right) + 1$$

**Proof:** By the definition of  $\tau$  the expression  $C - \tau\left(i, \frac{2^{n-i}p}{1+\varepsilon}\right)$  is the maximum  $v$  such that the probability of  $v$  to reach the accepting state is at least  $\frac{p}{1+\varepsilon}$ . So by adding 1 we get exactly  $v_{j+1}$  by the algorithm of rounding discussed in the paper.

### 2.3 Approximating $\tau$

Consider we have the  $T$  table as mentioned in the paper and creating that took time  $O\left(n^3 \log\left(\frac{n}{\varepsilon}\right) / \varepsilon\right)$  then we can take the value  $T\left[i, \left\lfloor \log_Q\left(\frac{2^{n-i}p}{1+\varepsilon}\right) \right\rfloor\right]$  as an appropriate approximation of  $\tau\left(i, \frac{2^{n-i}p}{1+\varepsilon}\right)$  as by the lemma 2.2 of the dp paper

$$T\left[i, \left\lfloor \log_Q\left(\frac{2^{n-i}p}{1+\varepsilon}\right) \right\rfloor\right] \leq \tau\left(i, Q^{\left\lfloor \log_Q\left(\frac{2^{n-i}p}{1+\varepsilon}\right) \right\rfloor}\right) \leq \tau\left(i, \frac{2^{n-\ell}p}{1+\varepsilon}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T\left[i, \left\lfloor \log_Q\left(\frac{2^{n-i}p}{1+\varepsilon}\right) \right\rfloor\right] &\geq \tau\left(i, Q^{\left\lfloor \log_Q\left(\frac{2^{n-i}p}{1+\varepsilon}\right) \right\rfloor - n + i}\right) \\ &\geq \tau\left(i, Q^{\log_Q\left(\frac{2^{n-i}p}{1+\varepsilon}\right) - n + i - 1}\right) \\ &= \tau\left(i, \frac{2^{n-\ell}p}{Q^{n-i+1}(1+\varepsilon)}\right) \\ &\geq \tau\left(i, \frac{2^{n-\ell}p}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}\right) \approx \tau\left(i, \frac{2^{n-\ell}p}{1+2\varepsilon}\right) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\tau\left(i, \frac{2^{n-\ell}p}{1+2\varepsilon}\right) \leq T\left[i, \left\lfloor \log_Q\left(\frac{2^{n-i}p}{1+\varepsilon}\right) \right\rfloor\right] \leq \tau\left(i, \frac{2^{n-\ell}p}{1+\varepsilon}\right)$$

Hence by putting it in the claim in above we get the approximate  $v_{j+1}$  calling it  $(v_{j+1})_{\text{approx}}$  lies between the two approximations always

$$(v_{j+1})_{\varepsilon} \leq (v_{j+1})_{\text{approx}} \leq (v_{j+1})_{2\varepsilon}$$

Therefore, by monotonicity of the probability

$$\frac{p}{1+\varepsilon} \geq p_{v_{j+1}} \geq \frac{p}{1+2\varepsilon}$$

Calling  $p_{v_{j+1}} = \frac{p}{1+k\varepsilon}$  we get a  $k \in [1, 2]$ , storing this  $k$  along with the probability value of  $v_{j+1}$  we can now complete  $M^0$  with the approximation of  $k\varepsilon$  and since  $k$  is a constant in  $[1, 2]$  it is similar to the given approximation in the paper with time complexity  $O\left(n^3 \log\left(\frac{n}{\varepsilon}\right) / \varepsilon + n^2 \log\left(\frac{n}{\varepsilon}\right) / \varepsilon\right) = O\left(n^3 \log\left(\frac{n}{\varepsilon}\right) / \varepsilon\right)$