

## PEER AND SELF EVALUATION RUBRIC – ECE 6530

**YOUR NAME:** Todd Renner

**PROJECT TITLE:** FIR Filters

**GROUP MEMBERS:** Keaton Davis, Luke Hartung, Tara Zamani

Please rate the contribution of yourself and your team members in the group and on a scale from 1 – 5 (please include names below).

- 5:** Always demonstrated the attribute  
**4:** Frequently demonstrated the attribute  
**3:** Sometimes demonstrated the attribute  
**2:** Seldom demonstrated the attribute  
**1:** Never demonstrated the attribute

Attribute	Myself	Keaton	Luke	Tara
Contributed useful ideas and action	5	5	5	5
Help keep the group on task	4	5	5	5
Willing to work with others for the group success	5	5	5	5
Participated in group discussions	5	5	5	5
Accepted responsibilities determined by the group	5	5	5	5
Respected differences of opinion and backgrounds	5	5	5	5
Acknowledged other members' good work	5	5	5	5
Met team deadlines	4	5	5	5
Understood problems and responded with helpful comments	5	5	5	5
Contributed high quality work throughout the project	5	5	5	5
Contributed high quality work for the <i>computer codes</i>	5	5	5	5
Contributed high quality work for the <i>final poster</i>	5	5	5	5

## PEER AND SELF EVALUATION RUBRIC – ECE 6530

**What were the successful aspects of your group and group dynamics?**

---

Interest/enjoyment in the subject matter, willingness to produce quality results.

---

**If you were to repeat the project, would you and/or your group do anything differently?**

---

I would've personally reviewed formatting guidelines to reduce post-formatting time sinks, but overall I think we did really well.

I would've also done at least one dry-run of the presentation to work out kinks.

---

**Do you have any additional comments about your group and/or the success of the project?**

---

I think everyone did really well given the external work loads that they had.

---