

PEER AND SELF EVALUATION RUBRIC – ECE 6530

YOUR NAME: Luke Hartung

PROJECT TITLE: Project #2: FIR Filters

GROUP MEMBERS: Keaton Davis, Tara Zamani, Todd Renner, Luke Hartung

Please rate the contribution of yourself and your team members in the group and on a scale from 1 – 5 (please include names below).

- 5:** Always demonstrated the attribute
4: Frequently demonstrated the attribute
3: Sometimes demonstrated the attribute
2: Seldom demonstrated the attribute
1: Never demonstrated the attribute

Attribute	Myself	Todd	Tara	Keaton
Contributed useful ideas and action	5	5	5	5
Help keep the group on task	5	5	5	5
Willing to work with others for the group success	5	5	5	5
Participated in group discussions	5	5	5	4
Accepted responsibilities determined by the group	5	5	5	5
Respected differences of opinion and backgrounds	5	5	5	5
Acknowledged other members' good work	5	5	5	5
Met team deadlines	5	5	5	5
Understood problems and responded with helpful comments	5	5	5	5
Contributed high quality work throughout the project	5	5	5	5
Contributed high quality work for the <i>computer codes</i>	5	5	5	5
Contributed high quality work for the <i>final poster</i>	4	5	5	5

PEER AND SELF EVALUATION RUBRIC – ECE 6530

What were the successful aspects of your group and group dynamics?

We all on page as far as starting early and refining the product; we finished the code quickly and had a good amount of time for the project.

If you were to repeat the project, would you and/or your group do anything differently?

Probably not, we are pleased with how it turned out. If anything, I would have spent more time, myself, reading the textbook section on our subject. I have a decent understanding, but it could be better.

Do you have any additional comments about your group and/or the success of the project?

The group was a lot of fun to work with. Everyone was on board.
