Supplementary Material for

"Shrinkage Estimation of Network Spillovers with Factor Structured Errors"

Ayden Higgins University of Surrey, UK Federico Martellosio University of Surrey, UK

July 5, 2021

Contents

В	Identification	1
\mathbf{C}	Assumption 4.2 and Equations (13) and (14)	3
D	Proofs of Propositions 1 and 4	4
${f E}$	Proofs of Lemmas A.1–A.3	10
\mathbf{F}	Proofs of Lemmas A.4–A.6	16
\mathbf{G}	Proofs of Lemmas F.1–F.4	42
Н	Proof of Proposition ID	102
Ι	Verifying Assumptions 1–8	105
J	Additional Tables	106
K	Additional Simulations	113

B Identification

Further to the discussion at the start of Section 3, a few additional remarks are provided regarding identification. The term "identification" is used to refer to the condition that the distribution of the data is unique at the true parameter values. A related identification concept usually encountered when studying extremum estimators requires that the limit of the objective function is uniquely maximised at the true parameter values. This is

referred to as extremum identification. In combination with uniform convergence of the sample objective function, extremum identification is used to establish the consistency of an extremum estimator in an archetypical manner; e.g. Newey and McFadden (1994), Theorem 2.1. The basic intuition behind this approach is that "the limit of the maximum $\hat{\theta}$ should be the maximum of the limit", with the latter being unique (Newey and McFadden, 1994, p. 2120). In models with an increasing number of parameters this intuition becomes difficult to apply because the distribution of the data may change with each observation, and therefore there is typically no fixed population objective function towards which a sequence of sample objective functions can be said to converge. Nonetheless, the loss of intuition does neither preclude consistency nor identification. In the present case consistency is established in Proposition 1, while Assumption ID below sets out conditions that, in addition to Assumptions 1 and 2, can be used to establish identification.

Assumption ID.

ID.1 $R \ge R^0$.

ID.2 $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Z}'(M_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes M_{\Lambda})\mathcal{Z}]$ is positive definite for all $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times R}$.

$$ID.3 \ \mu_1(\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Z}'\mathcal{Z}]) \leq c < \infty.$$

Notice that Assumption ID.1 is the same as Assumption 4.1, and Assumptions ID.2 and ID.3 are counterparts to Assumptions 4.2 and 4.3, and thus share analogous intuition.

Proposition ID (Identification). Under Assumptions 1,2 and ID, the parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}^0$, σ_0^2 and the product $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{F}^{0'}$ are identified.

The proof of Proposition ID follows a similar construction to Theorem 2.1 Moon and Weidner (2015) and establishes that for each (n,T) such that Assumption ID is satisfied, the expected quasi-likelihood is uniquely maximised at the true parameters.¹ This in turn implies that for each of these (n,T) the distribution of the data is unique at $(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0, \sigma_0^2, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{F}^{0'})$ and thereby establishes identification. Moreover, the true number of factors R^0 can be recovered from the rank of the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{F}^{0'}$. One may ask if, for the present model, it is possible to follow the Bramoullé et al. (2009) approach and derive identification conditions in terms of the network structure (see also Kwok, 2019, for the case of multiple weights matrices). The answer seems to be negative, because the error factor structure makes it impossible to derive a reduced form free of fixed effects as in Bramoullé et al. (2009).

¹Since rank $(M_{F^0} \otimes M_{\Lambda}) \leq (T - R^0)(n - R)$ this obviously requires $(T - R^0)(n - R) \geq P$.

C Assumption 4.2 and Equations (13) and (14)

C.1 Assumption 4.2

Assumption 4.2 can be related to analogous conditions appearing elsewhere in the literature by means of the relationship

$$\inf_{\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times R}, \mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times R^{0}}} \mu_{P} \left(\mathbf{\mathcal{H}}_{1}(\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{F}) \right) = \min_{\mathbf{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{P} : ||\mathbf{\alpha}||_{2} = 1} \sum_{r=R+R^{0}+1}^{n} \mu_{r} \left(\frac{1}{nT} (\mathbf{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{\mathcal{Z}}) (\mathbf{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{\mathcal{Z}})' \right) \\
\leq \inf_{\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times R}} \mu_{P} \left(\mathbf{\mathcal{H}}_{1}(\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{F}^{0}) \right), \tag{C.1}$$

where $\alpha \cdot \mathcal{Z} := \sum_{p=1}^{P} \alpha_p \mathfrak{Z}_p$. To establish (C.1), first note that

$$\inf_{\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times R}, \mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times R^{0}}} \mu_{P} \left(\mathcal{H}_{1}(\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{F}) \right)$$

$$= \min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{P} : ||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_{2} = 1} \inf_{\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times R}, \mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times R^{0}}} \frac{1}{nT} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} \boldsymbol{\alpha})' (\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathbf{F}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$$

$$= \min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{P} : ||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_{2} = 1} \inf_{\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times R}, \mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times R^{0}}} \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}) \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathbf{F}} \right)$$

$$= \min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{P} : ||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_{2} = 1} \sum_{r = R + R^{0} + 1}^{n} \mu_{r} \left(\frac{1}{nT} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}) (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}})' \right), \tag{C.2}$$

where the last line follows from Lemma A.1 in Moon and Weidner (2017). The inequality in (C.1) follows since $\inf_{\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times R}} \mu_P(\mathcal{H}_1(\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{F}^0))$ can be no less than if one could also minimise over the space of true factors. The first line of (C.1) shows that Assumption 4.2 is equivalent to Assumption NC in Moon and Weidner (2015), which avoids mention of the unobservable population factors \mathbf{F}^0 . Assumption A in Bai (2009) is equivalent to the requirement that $\inf_{\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times R}} \mu_P(\mathcal{H}_1(\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{F}^0)) > 0$. It is clear from (C.1) that this is a weaker requirement than Assumption 4.2; the need for a stronger condition arises since the consistency result in the present paper assumes that the number of factors is not understated, rather than known as in Bai (2009).

C.2 Equations (13) and (14)

Here, equations (13) and (14) are derived. The intuition is that Assumptions 4.2 and 4.3 provide lower and upper bounds on variation in the data, respectively, from which the inequalities (13) and (14) can be derived. It would usually be assumed that the matrix $\frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Z}$ is positive definite in the limit. However, it is shown next that the p-th eigenvalue of $\frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Z}$ can be no less than the p-th eigenvalue of $\frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{Z}' (\mathbf{M}_F \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda}) \mathbf{Z}$ whereby Assumption 4.2

implies (13) and Assumption 4.3 implies (14). Let $M := M_F \otimes M_\Lambda$. Since the Kronecker product of two symmetric and idempotent matrices is also symmetric and idempotent, both M and $P := I_{n \times T} - M$ are symmetric and idempotent.² From Weyl's inequality, for two $n \times n$ symmetric matrices A, B of the same size $\mu_i(A) + \mu_n(B) \leq \mu_i(A + B)$ for i = 1, ..., n (e.g., Horn and Johnson, 2012, Corollary 4.3.15). As Z'Z = Z'MZ + Z'PZ, and all three of these matrices are real and symmetric, then for p = 1, ..., P,

$$\mu_p\left(\frac{1}{nT}\mathbf{Z}'M\mathbf{Z}\right) + \mu_P\left(\frac{1}{nT}\mathbf{Z}'P\mathbf{Z}\right) \le \mu_p\left(\frac{1}{nT}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z}\right).$$
 (C.3)

By Assumption 4.2, $\mu_P\left(\frac{1}{nT}\mathbf{Z}'M\mathbf{Z}\right) \geq c_1 > 0$ w.p.a.1. Also $\mu_P\left(\frac{1}{nT}\mathbf{Z}'P\mathbf{Z}\right) \geq 0$ since \mathbf{P} is idempotent, and therefore $\frac{1}{nT}\mathbf{Z}'P\mathbf{Z}$ must be positive semidefinite w.p.a.1. Hence (14) follows from (C.3). Similarly, (13) follows from (C.3) since, by Assumption 4.3, $\mu_1(\frac{1}{nT}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z}) \leq c_2 < \infty$ w.p.a.1.

D Proofs of Propositions 1 and 4

This appendix provides a more detailed proof of Proposition 1 and a proof of Proposition 4.

Proof of Proposition 1.

Consistency of the QMLE θ

The average concentrated quasi-likelihood is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \sup_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times R}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}))) - \frac{1}{2} \log(\hat{\sigma}^2(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda})) \right\}.$$
(D.1)

The first step involves establishing a lower bound for $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$. Evaluating (D.1) at $\boldsymbol{\theta}^0$ and substituting in the true data generating process yields

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}) = \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S})) - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\inf_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times R}} \left\{ \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}) \right\} \right). \quad (D.2)$$

Now,

$$0 \leq \inf_{\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times R}} \left\{ \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}) \right\}$$

²If A = A' and B = B', then $(A \otimes B)' = (A' \otimes B') = (A \otimes B)$; if A = AA, and B = BB then $(A \otimes B)(A \otimes B) = A \otimes B$.

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t})' \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t})
= \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} - \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}' \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}. \tag{D.3}$$

By Assumption 1.1, $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}\right] = \sigma_{0}^{2}$ and thus, by the law of large numbers, $\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} = \sigma_{0}^{2} + O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}}\right)$. For the second term in (D.3),

$$\left| \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_t' \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \varepsilon_t \right| = \frac{1}{nT} |\operatorname{tr}(\varepsilon' \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \varepsilon)| \le \frac{1}{nT} R^0 ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_2^2 = O_P \left(\frac{1}{\min\{n, T\}} \right). \tag{D.4}$$

This gives the result that

$$\underline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}) := \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S})) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\sigma_{0}^{2} + O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\min\{n, T\}}\right)\right)
= \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S})) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\sigma_{0}^{2} + O_{P}\left(a_{nT}^{2}\right)\right) \le \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}).$$
(D.5)

Now, in the second step an upper bound for $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$ is established. Substituting the true data generating process into (D.1) yields

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}))) - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\inf_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times R}} \left\{ \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}) - \boldsymbol{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta})' \right. \\
\times \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} (\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}) - \boldsymbol{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta}) \right\} \right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}))) - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\inf_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R+R^{0})}} \left\{ \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{0} + \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} - \boldsymbol{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta})' \right. \\
\times \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} (\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{0} + \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} - \boldsymbol{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta}) \right\} \right), \tag{D.6}$$

where the last expression is obtained by also minimising with respect to $S(\rho)S^{-1}\Lambda^0$ and F^0 since the value of the objective function can be no less than if one was also able to minimise over $S(\rho)S^{-1}\Lambda^0$ and F^0 . Lemma A.1 in Moon and Weidner (2017) then demonstrates the equivalence between this and the second expression as it appears in (D.6), where the expression is now minimised over $\dot{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R+R^0)}$ because the rank of $S(\rho)S^{-1}\Lambda^0F^{0'} - \Lambda F'$ can be no greater than $R + R^0$. Applying Lemma A.2(i) to (D.6) gives

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}))) - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\inf_{\dot{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R+R^0)}} \left\{ \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t)' \right\} \right)$$

$$\times M_{\dot{\Lambda}}(Z_t(\theta^0 - \theta) + S(\rho)S^{-1}\varepsilon_t)$$
 (D.7)

Expanding the term inside of the log in (D.7),

$$\inf_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R+R^{0})}} \left\{ \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t})' \mathbf{M}_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} (\mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}) \right\}$$

$$\geq \inf_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R+R^{0})}} \left\{ \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta}))' \mathbf{M}_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{Z}_{t} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right\} + \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta})' \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}$$

$$- \sup_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R+R^{0})}} \left\{ \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta})' \mathbf{P}_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} \right\} + \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t})' \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}$$

$$- \sup_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R+R^{0})}} \left\{ \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t})' \mathbf{P}_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} \right\}$$

$$=: k_{1} + \dots + k_{5}. \tag{D.8}$$

Consider the probability order of terms $k_1, ..., k_5$.

$$k_{1} = \inf_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R+R^{0})}} \left\{ \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta})' \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right\}$$

$$\geq \inf_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R+R^{0})}} \mu_{P} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}' (\boldsymbol{I}_{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} \right) ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta}||_{2}^{2} \geq c_{1} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta}||_{2}^{2} > 0, \quad (D.9)$$

where the last inequality holds with probability approaching 1 as $n, T \to \infty$ by Assumption 4.2. To see this, notice

$$\inf_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R+R^{0})}} \mu_{P} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{Z}' (\mathbf{I}_{T} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}) \mathbf{Z} \right)$$

$$= \min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{P}: ||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_{2}=1} \inf_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R+R^{0})}} \frac{1}{nT} (\mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\alpha})' (\mathbf{I}_{T} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}) \mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\alpha}$$

$$= \min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{P}: ||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_{2}=1} \inf_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R+R^{0})}} \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{Z})' \mathbf{M}_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{Z}) \right)$$

$$= \min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{P}: ||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_{2}=1} \sum_{r=R+R^{0}+1}^{n} \mu_{r} \left(\frac{1}{nT} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{Z}) (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{Z})' \right), \tag{D.10}$$

where (D.10) is shown to be equivalent to $\inf_{\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times R}, \mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times R^0}} \mu_P(\mathcal{H}_1(\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{F}))$ in (C.2) which in turn is bounded away from zero with probability approaching 1 by Assumption 4.2. Next,

$$|k_2| = \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{p=1}^P |\theta_p^0 - \theta_p| |\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}_p' \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})| \leq \frac{2}{nT} \left(\sum_{p=1}^P |\theta_p^0 - \theta_p|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^P |\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}_p' \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\theta}||_2 O_P \left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{nT}} \right), \tag{D.11}$$

where the last line follows using Lemma A.2(v) and Markov's inequality. For term k_3 ,

$$|k_{3}| = \left| \sup_{\dot{\mathbf{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R+R^{0})}} \left\{ \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_{p}^{0} - \theta_{p}) \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}_{p}' \mathbf{P}_{\dot{\mathbf{A}}} \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{2(R+R^{0})}{nT} \sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta}||_{2} ||\mathbf{Z}_{p}||_{2} ||\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{2(R+R^{0})}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta}||_{2} ||\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{Z}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta}||_{2} O_{P} \left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{\min\{n, T\}}} \right), \tag{D.12}$$

which follows because $||P_{\dot{\Lambda}}||_2 = 1$, since the maximum eigenvalue of any projection matrix is 1, $||S(\rho)S^{-1}||_2 \leq \sqrt{||S(\rho)||_1||S(\rho)||_{\infty}}\sqrt{||S^{-1}||_1||S^{-1}||_{\infty}}$ and both $S(\rho)$ and S are UB by Assumption 2.2, $||\varepsilon||_2 = O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right)$, and Lemma A.2(iv). Next,

$$k_4 = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\mathbf{S}^{-1})'\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\mathbf{S}^{-1}) + O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}}\right)$$
(D.13)

by Lemma 9 in Yu et al. (2008). For the last term,

$$|k_5| = \sup_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R+R^0)}} \left\{ \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \mathbf{P}_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right\},$$

and thus

$$|k_{5}| \leq \frac{(R+R^{0})}{nT} || \mathbf{P}_{\dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} ||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{(R+R^{0})}{nT} || \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} ||_{2}^{2} || \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} ||_{2}^{2} = O_{P} \left(\frac{1}{\min\{n,T\}} \right)$$
(D.14)

using the probability order of $||\varepsilon||_2^2$, and the fact that the matrices $S(\rho)$, S^{-1} are UB. Combining all the above gives

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}))) - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(c_1 ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\theta}||_2^2 + O_P\left(\frac{1}{\min\{n, T\}}\right) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\mathbf{S}^{-1})'\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\mathbf{S}^{-1}) + O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}}\right) + ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\theta}||_2 O_P\left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{nT}}\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}))) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(c_1||\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2^2 + O_P(a_{nT})||\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2 + O_P(a_{nT}^2)\right)$$

$$+ \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1})'\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1})\right)$$

$$=: \bar{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}). \tag{D.15}$$

Now, since $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is a global maximiser, $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \leq \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ and therefore $\underline{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \leq \bar{\mathcal{L}}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$. Using the expressions for these bounds derived in (D.5) and (D.15) gives

$$\frac{1}{n}\log(\det(\boldsymbol{S})) - \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\sigma_0^2 + O_P\left(a_{nT}^2\right)\right)
\leq \frac{1}{n}\log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}))) - \frac{1}{2}\log\left(c_1||\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2^2 + O_P(a_{nT})||\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2 + O_P(a_{nT}^2)\right)
+ \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n}\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}).$$
(D.16)

Multiplying both sides of (D.16) by -2, exponentiating, and then noticing that, by Lemma A.1, $\sigma_0^2 \det((\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})$, results in

$$0 \ge c_1 ||\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2^2 + O_P(a_{nT})||\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2 + O_P(a_{nT}^2).$$
 (D.17)

Completing the square, $0 \ge (\sqrt{c_1}||\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2 + O_P(a_{nT}))^2 + O_P(a_{nT}^2)$, whereby it follows that $||\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2 = O_P(a_{nT})$.

Consistency of the PQMLE $\hat{\theta}$

Since $\hat{\theta}$ is the maximiser of the penalised quasi-likelihood function, $\mathcal{Q}(\theta^0) \leq \mathcal{Q}(\hat{\theta})$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}) = \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}) - \left(\gamma_{\rho} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \omega_{q} |\rho_{q}^{0}| + \gamma_{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \omega_{Q+k} |\beta_{k}^{0}|\right) \\
\leq \mathcal{Q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \\
= \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \left(\gamma_{\rho} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \omega_{q} |\hat{\rho}_{q}| + \gamma_{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \omega_{Q+k} |\hat{\beta}_{k}|\right) \\
\leq \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}). \tag{D.18}$$

Consider the penalty term. Using Assumption 3.1,

$$\gamma_{\rho} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \omega_{q} |\rho_{q}^{0}| + \gamma_{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \omega_{Q+k} |\beta_{k}^{0}| \leq \max\{\gamma_{\rho}, \gamma_{\beta}\} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \omega_{p} |\theta_{p}^{0}|$$

$$= \max\{\gamma_{\rho}, \gamma_{\beta}\} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{1}{|\theta_{p}^{\dagger}|^{\zeta}} |\theta_{p}^{0}|$$

$$\leq c_{2} \max\{\gamma_{\rho}, \gamma_{\beta}\} P^{0} \frac{1}{|\theta_{\underline{p}}|^{\zeta}}$$

$$= c_{2} \max\{\gamma_{\rho}, \gamma_{\beta}\} P^{0} \left(\left| \frac{\theta_{\underline{p}}^{\dagger}}{\theta_{p}^{0}} \right| \right)^{-\zeta} |\theta_{\underline{p}}^{0}|^{-\zeta}, \quad (D.19)$$

where $\underline{p} := \arg\min_{1 \leq p \leq P, \; \theta_p^0 \neq 0} |\theta_p^{\dagger}|$. Since the initial estimate $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\dagger}$ satisfies $||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\dagger} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}||_2 = O_P(c_{nT}) = o_P(1)$, it follows that $|\theta_{\underline{p}}^{\dagger}/\theta_{\underline{p}}^0 - 1| \leq \frac{1}{|\theta_{\underline{p}}^0|} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\dagger} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}||_2 = o_P(1)$ which implies $\theta_{\underline{p}}^{\dagger}/\theta_{\underline{p}}^0 = O_P(1)$. Hence,

$$\gamma_{\rho} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \omega_{q} |\rho_{q}^{0}| + \gamma_{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \omega_{Q+k} |\beta_{k}^{0}| = \max\{\gamma_{\rho}, \gamma_{\beta}\} O_{P}(P^{0}) = O_{P}(a_{nT}^{2}), \tag{D.20}$$

under Assumption 3. Next, using (D.20), and applying the lower and upper bounds derived in (D.5) and (D.15) to (D.18) gives

$$\frac{1}{n}\log(\det(\mathbf{S})) - \frac{1}{2}\log(\sigma_0^2 + O_P(a_{nT}^2)) + O_P(a_{nT}^2) \le \frac{1}{n}\log(\det(\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})))
- \frac{1}{2}\log\left(c_1||\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2^2 + O_P(a_{nT})||\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2 + O_P(a_{nT}^2) + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n}\operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1})'\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1})\right).$$
(D.21)

After rearranging and simplifying this becomes

$$\log \left(\sigma_0^2 \det((\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})' \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{n}} + O_P(a_{nT}^2) \right) + O_P(a_{nT}^2)$$

$$\geq \log \left(c_1 ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2^2 + O_P(a_{nT})||\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2 + O_P(a_{nT}^2) + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})' \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) \right). \tag{D.22}$$

Exponentiating, using the fact that by Assumption 4.4 $O_P(a_{nT}^2) = o_P(1)$, and Lemma A.1 gives the result

$$0 \ge c_1 ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2^2 + O_P(a_{nT})||\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2 + O_P(a_{nT}^2), \tag{D.23}$$

whereby completing the square yields $||\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2 = O_P(a_{nT})$.

Proof of Proposition 4. Let γ^0 be some γ which satisfies Assumptions 3.2 and 5. From Propositions 1 and 2, with probability approaching 1, the true model is selected,

in which case $\gamma^0 \in \Gamma^0$. Moreover, since under γ^0 , $||\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2 = O_P(a_{nT})$, it follows that $\hat{\sigma}^2(\gamma^0) = \sigma_0^2 + o_P(1)$ using Lemma F.2 and Assumption 8.1. Hence,

$$IC^*(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0) = \hat{\sigma}^2(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0) + \varrho_{\rho}Q^0 + \varrho_{\beta}K^0$$
$$= \sigma_0^2 + o_P(1), \tag{D.24}$$

as $Q^0 \varrho_{\rho}, K^0 \varrho_{\beta} \to 0$ by Assumption 8.2. Now, consider some $\gamma \in \Gamma^+$ that produces an overfitted model. It is shown that, as $n, T \to \infty$,

$$\Pr\left(\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{+}} \mathrm{IC}^{*}(\gamma) > \mathrm{IC}^{*}(\gamma^{0})\right) \to 1. \tag{D.25}$$

Recalling that $IC^*(\gamma) := \hat{\sigma}^2(\gamma) + \varrho_{\rho}|\mathcal{S}_{\rho}(\gamma)| + \varrho_{\beta}|\mathcal{S}_{\beta}(\gamma)|$, (D.25) is equivalent to

$$\Pr\left(\inf_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}\in\Gamma^{+}}\{\hat{\sigma}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})+\varrho_{\rho}|\mathcal{S}_{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})|+\varrho_{\beta}|\mathcal{S}_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})|\}>\hat{\sigma}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{0})+\varrho_{\rho}Q^{0}+\varrho_{\beta}K^{0}\right)\to 1.$$
 (D.26)

Let $\gamma^+ := \operatorname{arg\,inf}_{\gamma \in \Gamma^+} \operatorname{IC}^*(\gamma)$. Then (D.26) gives

$$\Pr\Big((\sqrt{Q}a_{nT})^{-1}(\hat{\sigma}^{2}(\gamma^{+}) - \hat{\sigma}^{2}(\gamma^{0})) + (\sqrt{Q}a_{nT})^{-1}\varrho_{\rho}(|\mathcal{S}_{\rho}(\gamma^{+})| - Q^{0}) + (\sqrt{Q}a_{nT})^{-1}\varrho_{\beta}(|\mathcal{S}_{\beta}(\gamma^{+})| - K^{0}) > 0\Big) \to 1.$$
(D.27)

An overfitted model does not exclude any relevant variables and therefore it is straightforward to show, using the same steps as in the proof of Proposition 1, that the estimator under γ^+ - call this $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^+$ - satisfies $||\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^+ - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2 = O_P(a_{nT})$. Thus, using Lemma F.2, $\hat{\sigma}^2(\gamma^+) - \hat{\sigma}^2(\gamma^0) = O_P(\sqrt{Q}a_{nT})$, and so $(\sqrt{Q}a_{nT})^{-1}(\hat{\sigma}^2(\gamma^+) - \hat{\sigma}^2(\gamma^0)) = O_P(1)$. By Assumption 8.2, $(\sqrt{Q}a_{nT})^{-1}\varrho_\rho$, $(\sqrt{Q}a_{nT})^{-1}\varrho_\beta \to \infty$, and since either $|\mathcal{S}_\rho(\gamma^+)| - K^0 > 0$ or $|\mathcal{S}_\beta(\gamma^+)| - Q^0 > 0$, or both, then (D.27) holds as $n, T \to \infty$. Finally, in the case of an underfitted model, one of either $\mathcal{S}_\rho(\gamma) \not\supset \mathcal{S}_{T,\rho}$ or $\mathcal{S}_\beta(\gamma) \not\supset \mathcal{S}_{T,\beta}$ must be true. Then,

$$\inf_{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \Gamma^{-}} \mathrm{IC}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) > \inf_{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \Gamma^{-}} \hat{\sigma}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \xrightarrow{p} \sigma_{-}^{2} > \sigma_{0}^{2}, \tag{D.28}$$

using Assumption 8.3. Hence $\Pr\left(\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{-}} IC^{*}(\gamma) > IC^{*}(\gamma^{0})\right) \to 1$. Combined, (D.25) and (D.28) establish the result.

E Proofs of Lemmas A.1–A.3

This appendix provides proofs of Lemmas A.1-A.3.

Proof of Lemma A.1. Since the trace of a matrix is the sum of its eigenvalues, and the determinant of a matrix is the product of its eigenvalues, it follows that for any positive definite matrix B, $\det(B)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(B)$ by the inequality of arithmetic-geometric means. This inequality is satisfied with equality if and only if of all of the eigenvalues of B are the same, in which case $B = cI_n$, for some constant c. Since B is positive definite, all of its eigenvalues are positive, whereby c must be strictly positive.

Proof of Lemma A.2(i). Recall $S(\rho) := I_n - \sum_{q=1}^Q \rho_q W_q$. Then $S(\rho) + \sum_{q=1}^Q \rho_q W_q = I_n$, and therefore $I_n + \sum_{q=1}^Q \rho_q G_q = S^{-1}(\rho)$. Now,

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1} &= \left(\boldsymbol{I}_n - \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \rho_q \boldsymbol{W}_q \right) \left(\boldsymbol{I}_n + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \rho_q^0 \boldsymbol{G}_q \right) \\ &= \boldsymbol{I}_n + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \rho_q^0 \boldsymbol{G}_q \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} - \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \rho_q \boldsymbol{W}_q \left(\boldsymbol{I}_n + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \rho_q^0 \boldsymbol{G}_q \right) \\ &= \boldsymbol{I}_n + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_q^0 - \rho_q) \boldsymbol{G}_q. \end{split}$$

Proof of Lemma A.2(ii). There are four types of covariate to consider: \mathcal{X}_{κ}^{*} , \mathcal{Y}_{-1} , $W_{q}\mathcal{Y}_{-1}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta^{0} G_{q}\mathcal{X}_{k}$, for some κ and q. First for the κ -th exogenous covariate,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\kappa}^*||_2^2\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\kappa}^*||_F^2\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}\left[(\boldsymbol{x}_{\kappa it}^*)^2\right].$$

By Assumption 2.4 the fourth moment of $x_{\kappa it}^*$ is uniformly bounded, and therefore $\mathbb{E}[||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\kappa}^*||_2^2] = O(nT)$. By Markov's inequality,

$$\Pr\left(||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\kappa}^*||_F^2 > \frac{cnT}{\epsilon}\right) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{cnT} \mathbb{E}\left[||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\kappa}^*||_F^2\right] < \epsilon,$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$ and so $||\mathcal{X}_{\kappa}^*||_F = O_P(\sqrt{nT})$. Note also that for any variables generated as $\mathbf{W}_q \mathcal{X}_{\kappa}^*$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left((\boldsymbol{W}_{q}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{\kappa}^{*})_{it}\right)^{2}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\boldsymbol{W}_{q})_{ij}\boldsymbol{x}_{\kappa jt}^{*}\right)^{2}\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{j'=1}^{n}(\boldsymbol{W}_{q})_{ij}(\boldsymbol{W}_{q})_{ij'}\mathbb{E}\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{\kappa jt}^{*}\boldsymbol{x}_{\kappa j't}^{*}\right]$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}|(\boldsymbol{W}_{q})_{ij}|\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}|(\boldsymbol{W}_{q})_{ij'}|\right)\left(\mathbb{E}\left[(\boldsymbol{x}_{\kappa jt}^{*})^{2}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[(\boldsymbol{x}_{\kappa j't}^{*})^{2}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq c_1,$$
 (E.1)

because the fourth moment of $x_{\kappa it}^*$ is uniformly bounded, and the weights matrix \mathbf{W}_q is UB uniformly over q. It then follows from Markov's inequality that $||\mathbf{W}_q \mathbf{\mathcal{X}}_{\kappa}^*||_F = O_P(\sqrt{nT})$. Next it is shown that the second moment of y_{it} is uniformly bounded over i and t.

$$\mathbb{E}[y_{it}^{2}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\mathbf{S}^{-1})_{ij} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{k}^{0} x_{kjt} + \sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}} \lambda_{jr}^{0} f_{tr}^{0} + \varepsilon_{jt}\right)\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$\coloneqq \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\mathbf{S}^{-1})_{ij} (a_{jt} + b_{jt} + c_{jt})\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j'=1}^{n} (\mathbf{S}^{-1})_{ij} (\mathbf{S}^{-1})_{ij'} (a_{jt} + b_{jt} + c_{jt}) (a_{j't} + b_{j't} + c_{j't})\right]$$

$$=: \mathbb{E}[l_{1} + \dots + l_{6}]. \tag{E.2}$$

First,

$$\mathbb{E}[|l_{1}|] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j'=1}^{n} |(S^{-1})_{ij}||(S^{-1})_{ij'}||a_{jt}||a_{j't}|\right] \\
= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j'=1}^{n} |(S^{-1})_{ij}||(S^{-1})_{ij'}|\left|\sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{k}^{0} x_{kjt}\right|\left|\sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{k}^{0} x_{kjt}\right|\right] \\
\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j'=1}^{n} |(S^{-1})_{ij}||(S^{-1})_{ij'}|\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{k}^{0} x_{kjt}\right|^{2}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_{k'=1}^{K} \beta_{k'}^{0} x_{kj't}\right|^{2}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq c_{2}, \tag{E.3}$$

under Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4. Under Assumption 1.1, 2.3, 2.4 and 2.6, similar steps establish that $\mathbb{E}[l_2], ..., \mathbb{E}[l_6]$ are also uniformly bounded. Thus using Markov's inequality it follows that $||\mathbf{\mathcal{Y}}_{-1}||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{nT})$, and by the same steps as in (E.1) $\mathbf{W}_q\mathbf{\mathcal{Y}}_{-1} = O_P(\sqrt{nT})$. Finally consider covariates of the form $\sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k^0 \mathbf{G}_q \mathbf{\mathcal{X}}_k$.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k^0 (\boldsymbol{G}_q \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_k)_{it}\right)^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j'=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{G}_q)_{ij} (\boldsymbol{G}_q)_{ij'} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k^0 x_{kjt}\right) \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{K} \beta_{k'}^0 x_{k'j't}\right)\right|\right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j'=1}^{n} |(\boldsymbol{G}_q)_{ij}| |(\boldsymbol{G}_q)_{ij'}| \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k^0 x_{kjt}\right)\right|\right| \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{K} \beta_{k'}^0 x_{k'j't}\right)\right|\right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j'=1}^{n} |(G_q)_{ij}| |(G_q)_{ij'}| \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k^0 x_{kjt} \right|^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{k'=1}^{K} \beta_{k'}^0 x_{k'j't} \right|^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq c_3 \tag{E.4}$$

using Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4 which gives the result $||\sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k^0 G_q \mathcal{X}_k||_F = O_P(\sqrt{nT})$.

Proof of Lemma A.2(iii). Follows similar steps to the first part of the proof of Lemma A.2(ii) using Assumption 2.6. □

Proof of Lemma A.2(iv). Follows from Lemma A.2(ii). □

Proof of Lemma A.2(v). In the proof of Lemma 3 in Shi and Lee (2017) it is established that $\mathbb{E}\left[(\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}_p'\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\mathbf{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))^2\right] = O(nT)$ for each p. Minor modification to that lemma yields the result.

Proof of Lemma A.2(vi). Using Assumption 1.1, $\mathbb{E}\left[||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_F^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{it}^2\right] = nT\sigma_0^2 = O(nT)$. The proof is completed using Markov's inequality.

Proof of Lemma A.2(vii).

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T}||\boldsymbol{X}_{t}\boldsymbol{\beta}^{0}||_{2}^{2}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K}\beta_{k}^{0}x_{kit}\right)\left(\sum_{k'=1}^{K}\beta_{k'}^{0}x_{k'it}\right)\right] \\ \leq \sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_{k=1}^{K}\beta_{k}^{0}x_{kit}\right|^{2}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_{k'=1}^{K}\beta_{k'}^{0}x_{k'it}\right|^{2}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq cnT,$$

using Assumption 2.4.

Proof of Lemma A.2(viii). Using Lemma A.2(i), $||S(\rho)S^{-1} - I_n||_2 \le \sum_{q=1}^{Q} |\rho_q^0 - \rho_q|||W_qS^{-1}||_2$. Now,

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q} |\rho_{q}^{0} - \rho_{q}| ||\mathbf{W}_{q}\mathbf{S}^{-1}||_{2} \leq ||\mathbf{S}^{-1}||_{2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} |\rho_{q}^{0} - \rho_{q}| ||\mathbf{W}_{q}||_{2}$$

$$\leq ||\mathbf{S}^{-1}||_{2} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} |\rho_{q}^{0} - \rho_{q}|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\mathbf{W}_{q}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= ||\mathbf{S}^{-1}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\rho}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\rho}||_{2} \sqrt{Q} \sqrt{\left(\max_{1 \leq q \leq Q} ||\mathbf{W}_{q}||_{2}^{2} \right)} = O_{P}(\sqrt{Q} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta}||_{2})$$

using Assumption 2.2 and $||\boldsymbol{\rho}^0 - \boldsymbol{\rho}||_2 \le ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\theta}||_2$.

Proof of Lemma A.3(i).

$$\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} || \mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) ||_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta})(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta})' \mathbf{Z}'_{t})$$

$$= (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta})' \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}'_{t} \mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$\leq || \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta} ||_{2}^{2} \mu_{1} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}'_{t} \mathbf{Z}_{t} \right)$$

$$= || \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta} ||_{2}^{2} O_{P}(1) = O_{P}(|| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta} ||_{2}^{2}),$$

where the last step uses Assumption 4.3. This implies the result.

Proof of Lemma A.3(ii). Recall that $\hat{\sigma}^2(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) := \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^T e_t' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{e}_t$, where $\boldsymbol{e}_t := \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{y}_t - \boldsymbol{X}_t \boldsymbol{\beta}$. Evaluating at $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$, and substituting in the true DGP yields

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{0} + \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} - \boldsymbol{X}_{t} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{0} + \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} - \boldsymbol{X}_{t} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}).$$
(E.6)

Using Lemma A.2(i), $S(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})S^{-1}X_t\beta^0 - X_t\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = Z_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$. Applying this, and expanding (E.6) gives

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} + \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} + \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$=: l_{1}, ..., l_{6}. \tag{E.7}$$

The probability order of terms l_1, l_3, l_5 is established following the same steps as those used for terms $k_1, ..., k_5$ in the proof of Proposition 1. For the remaining terms,

$$l_2 \leq \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} || \boldsymbol{Z}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) ||_2 || \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} ||_2 || \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} ||_2 || \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 ||_2 || \boldsymbol{f}_t^0 ||_2$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{nT}} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{S}^{-1}||_{2} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{nT}} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{F} ||\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{S}^{-1}||_{2} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} O_{P}(\sqrt{n}) O_{P}(\sqrt{T}) O_{P}(a_{nT}) = O_{P}(a_{nT}) \tag{E.8}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii), A.3(i), Proposition 1 and noting that $||M_{\Lambda}||_2 = 1$. Next

$$l_{4} \leq \frac{2}{nT} R^{0} || \mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} ||_{2}^{2} || \mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} ||_{2} || \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} ||_{2} || \mathbf{F}^{0} ||_{2} || \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} ||_{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} O_{P}(\sqrt{n}) O_{P}(\sqrt{T}) O_{P}(\sqrt{\max\{n, T\}}) = O_{P}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\min\{n, T\}}}\right)$$
(E.9)

using similar steps to those for l_2 . For l_6 ,

$$l_{6} \leq \frac{1}{nT} || \mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} ||_{2}^{2} || \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda} ||_{2} || \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} ||_{2}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} || \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} ||_{2} || \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} ||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} || \mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} ||_{2}^{2} || \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda} ||_{2} || \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} ||_{2}^{2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} || \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} ||_{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} || \mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} ||_{2}^{2} || \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda} ||_{2} || \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} ||_{2}^{2} || \mathbf{F}^{0} ||_{F}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} O_{P}(n) O_{P}(T) = O_{P}(1), \qquad (E.10)$$

using Lemma A.2(iii) and because $||M_{\Lambda}||_2 = 1$. Note also that since the projection matrix M_{Λ} is positive semidefinite, the quadratic form $l_6 \geq 0$. Combining all the above results, and ignoring dominated terms,

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) + O_P(1).$$
 (E.11)

Now, by Lemma A.1 $\sigma_0^2 \det((\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})$ and therefore a lower bound on (E.11) can be found where the above inequality is satisfied with equality. This occurs when $(\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1} = c_1\boldsymbol{I}_n$, with $c_1 > 0$. Therefore

$$0 < \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}(c_1 \boldsymbol{I}_n) = c_1 \sigma_0^2 \le \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\hat{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1})' \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\hat{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1}).$$

Next, recall that $|\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{B})| \leq \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{B})||\boldsymbol{B}||_2$. The $n \times n$ matrix $(\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\hat{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\hat{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}$ can have rank no larger than n and so,

$$\frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \text{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) \leq \sigma_0^2 ||(\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}||_2 \leq \sigma_0^2 ||\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}||_2^2 ||\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})||_2^2 = O(1),$$

since the matrices S^{-1} and $S(\hat{\rho})$ are UB. As a result $0 < \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}((S(\hat{\rho})S^{-1})'S(\hat{\rho})S^{-1}) = O(1)$. It then follows that $\hat{\sigma}^{-2}(\hat{\theta}, \Lambda) = O_P(1)$ and is strictly positive for large enough n, T.

F Proofs of Lemmas A.4–A.6

This appendix provides proofs of Lemmas A.4–A.6 for which some intermediary results are required in the form of Lemmas F.1–F.4. The proofs of these intermediary results can be found in Section G. Since Lemmas A.4–A.6 are used to prove Theorem 1 and Proposition 3, both of which only concern the correct model, for notational convenience it is assumed in this section that all of the regressors are relevant, i.e. $K = K^0, Q = Q^0, P = P^0$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{(1)} = \mathcal{Z}$.

Lemma F.1 Under Assumptions 1–2,

(i)
$$||\hat{\bf \Lambda}||_2 = \sqrt{n}$$
, $||\hat{\bf \Lambda}||_F = \sqrt{Rn}$;

(ii)
$$||\mathbf{F}^{0'}\varepsilon'||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{nT}), ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'}\varepsilon||_F = O_P(\sqrt{nT}), ||\mathbf{F}^{0'}\varepsilon\mathbf{\Lambda}^0||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{nT});$$

(iii)
$$||(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_1'\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_O'\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}))||_2 = O(\sqrt{Qn});$$

(iv)
$$||(\text{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_1\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}),...,\text{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_O\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}))||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{QnT});$$

(v)
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{Z}_{p} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}||_{2}^{2}\right] = O(P \max\{n, T\}), where \, \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p} \coloneqq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\mathbf{Z}_{p}];$$

(vi)
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{P}||\varepsilon\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{n}'||_{F}^{2}\right] = O(Pn^{2}T);$$

(vii)
$$\mathbb{E}[\sum_{p=1}^{P}||\mathbf{F}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}||_{F}^{2}] = O(PnT^{2}), \mathbb{E}[\sum_{p=1}^{P}||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}'_{p}||_{F}^{2}] = O(Pn^{2}T), \mathbb{E}[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{p'=1}^{P}||\bar{\mathbf{Z}}'_{p}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}'_{p'}||_{F}^{2}] = O(P^{2}n^{2}T^{2});$$

(viii)
$$||\frac{1}{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} - \sigma_{0}^{2}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{F} = O_{P}(\frac{n}{\sqrt{T}}), ||\frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{F}^{0} - \sigma_{0}^{2}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{F} = O_{P}(\frac{T}{\sqrt{n}});$$

$$\text{(ix)} \ \ \mathbb{E}[\sum_{p=1}^P || \frac{1}{T} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_p' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 - \sigma_0^2 \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_p' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 ||_2^2] = O(Pn^2), \ \mathbb{E}[\sum_{p=1}^P || \frac{1}{n} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_p \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{F}^0 - \sigma_0^2 \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_p \boldsymbol{F}^0 ||_2^2] = O(PT^2);$$

(x)
$$\frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) = \sigma_0^2 + O_P\left(\frac{1}{\min\{n,T\}}\right).$$

Lemma F.2 Under Assumptions 1–4, if $\check{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ satisfies $||\check{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2 = O_P(a_{nT})$ then $\hat{\sigma}^2(\check{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) := \inf_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times R}} \hat{\sigma}^2(\check{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \sigma_0^2 + O_P(\sqrt{Q}a_{nT}).$

Lemma F.3 Under Assumptions 1–6,

(i)
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{H}^*||_2$$
 and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}||\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}' - \boldsymbol{H}^{*-1} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'}||_2$ are $O_P(\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2) + O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right)$, where $\boldsymbol{H}^* \coloneqq \frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^0 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{-1}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{F}} \coloneqq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_t' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$, with $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ being a diagonal $R \times R$ matrix

containing the largest R eigenvalues of $\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{e}_t \hat{e}_t'$ along its diagonal and $\hat{e}_t := S(\hat{\rho})y_t - X_t \hat{\beta}$;

(ii) The matrix $\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ converges in probability to an invertible matrix;

(iii)
$$\frac{1}{nT}||\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_2 = O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2^2}{\sqrt{T}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\min\{\sqrt{nT},T\}}\right);$$

(iv)
$$-\frac{1}{n^2T^2}\sum_{t=1}^T\sum_{\tau=1}^T \mathbf{Z}_t' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{f}_t^0 = \boldsymbol{O}_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{QP}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\min\{n,T\}}\right) + \boldsymbol{O}_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n^{1.5},T^{1.5}\}}\right);$$

(v)
$$||P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^0}||_2$$
 and $||P_{F^0} - P_{\hat{F}}||_2$ are $O_P(\sqrt{Q}||\theta^0 - \hat{\theta}||_2) + O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right)$;

(vi)
$$||\hat{F}||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{T});$$

(vii)
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathcal{Z}^{*\prime}(M_{F^0} \otimes M_{\hat{\Lambda}}) \text{vec}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathcal{Z}^{*\prime}(M_{F^0} \otimes M_{\Lambda^0}) \text{vec}(\varepsilon) + o_P(1);$$

(viii)
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathbf{Z}'(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0}) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \mathbf{O}_P(\sqrt{P});$$

(ix)
$$\frac{1}{nT}\mathbb{E}\left[||\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Z}'(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0})\mathbf{Z}||_2\right] = o(1).$$

Lemma F.4 Under Assumptions 1–6,

- (i) $\boldsymbol{B}_1 = \boldsymbol{B}_1^* + \boldsymbol{O}_P(Q^{1.5}||\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2)$, where \boldsymbol{B}_1 and \boldsymbol{B}_1^* are $Q \times Q$ matrices with (q, q')-th element equal to $\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_q(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q'}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}))$ and $\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_q \boldsymbol{G}_{q'})$, respectively;
- (ii) $\boldsymbol{B}_2 = \boldsymbol{B}_2^* + \boldsymbol{o}_P(1)$, where \boldsymbol{B}_2 and \boldsymbol{B}_2^* are $Q \times Q$ matrices with (q,q')-th element equal to $\frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_q \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{G}_{q'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ and $\frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_q' \boldsymbol{G}_{q'})$, respectively;

(iii)
$$\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}' (\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{B}_{2}^{*} & \boldsymbol{0}_{Q \times K} \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times Q} & \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times K} \end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\sqrt{Q} P || \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} ||_{2} \right) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right),$$

where $\mathfrak{H} \coloneqq \frac{1}{nT} \mathcal{Z}^{*'}(P_{F^0} \otimes M_{\hat{\Lambda}}) \mathcal{Z}^*$ and B_2^* is defined in part (ii);

$$\begin{split} \text{(iv)} \quad & \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}) = \frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{*}' (\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}) + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1} \\ & + \left(\boldsymbol{O}_{P} (\sqrt{Q} P || \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} ||_{2}) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right) \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}), \end{split}$$

where Δ_1 is a term of order $O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n^{1.5},T^{1.5}\}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\min\{n,T\}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2^2}{\min\{\sqrt{nT},T\}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2^2}{\min\{\sqrt{N},T\}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2^2}{\min\{\sqrt{Q},T\}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2^2}{\min\{\sqrt{N},T\}}\right) + O$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\sigma}^2 &= (\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}} + \boldsymbol{O}_P(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2) + \boldsymbol{O}_P \left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}} \right) \right) (\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \\ &+ 2(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{b}_3^* \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{O}_P \left(\sqrt{\frac{Q}{nT}} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{nT} \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) + \Delta_2, \end{split}$$

where b_3^* is $Q \times 1$ with q-th element $\frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}(G_q)$, $\mathcal{K} := \frac{1}{nT} \mathcal{Z}'(M_{F^0} \otimes M_{\Lambda^0}) \mathcal{Z} + B_2^*$, B_2^* is defined in part (ii), and Δ_2 has the same order as Δ_1 in part (iv);

(vi) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \boldsymbol{b}_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \boldsymbol{b}_4^* + \boldsymbol{O}_P(\sqrt{Q})$, where \boldsymbol{b}_4 and \boldsymbol{b}_4^* are $Q \times 1$ vectors with q-th element equal to $\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_q \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0})$ and $T\sigma_0^2 \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_q)$, respectively.

Lemma F.5 Under Assumptions 1–6,

(i)
$$\sum_{p=1}^{P} || \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{\beta}_{k}^{0} - \beta_{k}) (\boldsymbol{G}_{p}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) - \boldsymbol{G}_{p}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{k} ||_{F}^{2} = O_{P}(Q^{2}KnT||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{4});$$

(ii)
$$\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{\beta}_{k}^{0} - \beta_{k}) G_{p} \mathcal{X}_{k}||_{F}^{2} = O_{P}(QKnT||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{2});$$

(iii)
$$\sum_{p=1}^{P} || \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k^0 (G_p - G_p(\hat{\rho})) \mathcal{X}_k ||_F^2 = O_P(Q^2 nT || \theta^0 - \hat{\theta} ||_2^2);$$

(iv)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{P} ||\sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{\beta}_k - \beta_k^0) \mathbf{G}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_k||_F^2 = O_P(QKnT||\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2^2);$$

(v)
$$\sum_{p=1}^{P} || \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k^0 G(\hat{\rho}) \mathcal{X}_k ||_F^2 = O_P(QnT).$$

Proof of Lemma A.4. Consider the first order condition (A.13)

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} - \frac{\partial \varrho(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \zeta)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \mathbf{0}_{P \times 1}.$$
 (F.1)

Evaluating (A.14) at $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) \\ \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}(\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{y}_{t} - \boldsymbol{X}_{t} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) =: \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{1} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{2}, \quad (F.2)$$

where $\boldsymbol{Z}_t^* \coloneqq (\boldsymbol{W}_1 \boldsymbol{y}_t, ..., \boldsymbol{W}_Q \boldsymbol{y}_t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2 \coloneqq \hat{\sigma}^2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}})$. First, a mean value expansion of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_1$ around the true parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\theta}^0$ gives

$$\mathcal{P}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{G}_{1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{G}_{Q}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{G}_{1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{G}_{Q}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) \end{pmatrix} & \boldsymbol{0}_{Q \times K} \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times Q} & \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times K} \end{pmatrix} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}),$$
(F.3)

with $\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}} := w \boldsymbol{\rho}^0 + (1 - w) \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$ for some $w \in (0, 1)$. Second, substituting the true DGP into \mathcal{P}_2 and expanding gives,

$$\mathcal{P}_{2} = \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} (\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}) - \boldsymbol{X}_{t} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}), \tag{F.4}$$

using Lemma A.2(i). Combining (F.2), (F.3) and (F.4) gives the result

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) \\
\vdots \\
-\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\
\boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1}
\end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{G}_{1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{G}_{Q}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{G}_{1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{G}_{Q}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) \\
\boldsymbol{0}_{K \times Q} & \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times K}
\end{pmatrix} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}) \\
+ \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}) \\
= : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{1} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{2} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}) + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{3} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4}. \tag{F.5}$$

Applying Lemmas F.4(i) and F.4(iv) to \mathcal{B}_2 and \mathcal{B}_4 , respectively, and collecting terms together, the first order condition (F.1) becomes

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{B}_{1}^{*} & \boldsymbol{0}_{Q \times K} \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times Q} & \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times K} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{3} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}\left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right) \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{*\prime} (\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{1} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1} - \frac{\partial \varrho(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \zeta)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}. \tag{F.6}$$

where \mathcal{H} is defined in Lemma F.4(iii) and \boldsymbol{B}_{1}^{*} is defined in Lemma F.4(i). Note, by Lemmas F.4(v) and F.1(x), $\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} + O_{P}\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n,T\}}\right) + O_{P}\left(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}\right)$, and also that $||\frac{1}{nT}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}'(\boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}}\otimes\boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}})\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{nT}||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}||_{2}^{2}||\boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}}||_{2}||\boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}}||_{2} = O_{P}(1)$ using Assumption 4.3. Using these, and applying Lemma F.4(iii) to $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{3} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}$, (F.6) becomes

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{Z}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0}) \mathbf{Z} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}_1^* + \mathbf{B}_2^* & \mathbf{0}_{Q \times K} \\ \mathbf{0}_{K \times Q} & \mathbf{0}_{K \times K} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{O}_P(\sqrt{Q}P || \boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} ||_2) \right)
+ \mathbf{O}_P \left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0)
= \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{Z}^{*\prime} (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{\Delta}_1 - \frac{\partial \varrho(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \zeta)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}.$$
(F.7)

Now multiply (F.7) by \sqrt{nT} to give

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{Z}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0}) \mathbf{Z} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}_1^* + \mathbf{B}_2^* & \mathbf{0}_{Q \times K} \\ \mathbf{0}_{K \times Q} & \mathbf{0}_{K \times K} \end{pmatrix} + O_P(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2) \right)
+ O_P\left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right) \sqrt{nT} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0)
= \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{Z}^{*'} (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \sqrt{nT} \left(\mathbf{\mathcal{B}}_1 + \mathbf{\Delta}_1 - \frac{\partial \varrho(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\zeta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right)
= \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathbf{Z}^{*'} (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0}) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \sqrt{nT} \left(\mathbf{\mathcal{B}}_1 + \mathbf{\Delta}_1 - \frac{\partial \varrho(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\zeta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right) + o_P(1), \quad (\text{F.8})$$

where the last line follows by applying Lemma F.3(vii). Recalling the definition of \mathcal{Z}^* , $\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathcal{Z}^{*\prime}(M_{F^0} \otimes M_{\Lambda^0}) \text{vec}(\varepsilon)$ can be expanded to give

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathcal{Z}^{*'}(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathcal{Z}'(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}\left((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}\left((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\right) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K\times 1} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}\left((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}\left((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\right) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K\times 1} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{F.9}$$

Each element $\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}})$ is zero since $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\boldsymbol{F}^{0}=\boldsymbol{0}_{T\times R}$. In addition, note that $\sqrt{nT}\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1}=\boldsymbol{o}_{P}(1)$ using Assumption 6.4. Therefore, (F.8) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{Z}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0}) \mathbf{Z} + \begin{pmatrix}
\mathbf{B}_1^* + \mathbf{B}_2^* & \mathbf{0}_{Q \times K} \\
\mathbf{0}_{K \times Q} & \mathbf{0}_{K \times K}
\end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{O}_P(\sqrt{Q}P || \boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} ||_2) \\
+ \mathbf{O}_P \left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right) \sqrt{nT} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \\
= \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathbf{Z}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0}) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \text{tr} ((\mathbf{G}_1 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0}) \\ \vdots \\ \text{tr} ((\mathbf{G}_Q \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0}) \\ \mathbf{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K\times 1} \end{pmatrix} - \sqrt{nT} \frac{\partial \varrho(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \zeta)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} + \boldsymbol{o}_{P}(1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}'(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K\times 1} \end{pmatrix} - \hat{\sigma}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Ttr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{Ttr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K\times 1} \end{pmatrix} - \sqrt{nT} \frac{\partial \varrho(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \zeta)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} + \boldsymbol{o}_{P}(1).$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K\times 1} \end{pmatrix} - \hat{\sigma}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Ttr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{Ttr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K\times 1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{F.10}$$

where the second equality follows by adding and subtracting terms. Using Lemmas F.4(v), F.4(vi) and F.1(x), the last term in (F.10) is $o_P(1)$. In addition, using Lemmas F.4(v) and F.4(vi) again,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} ((\boldsymbol{G}_1 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} ((\boldsymbol{G}_Q \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} - \hat{\sigma}^2 \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Ttr}(\boldsymbol{G}_1) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{Ttr}(\boldsymbol{G}_Q) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} \\
= \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} ((\boldsymbol{G}_1 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} ((\boldsymbol{G}_Q \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} - \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_1) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_Q) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} \\
- \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{b}_3^* \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{O}_P \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{Q}{nT}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{T} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_1) \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{T} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_Q) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} \\
\boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \left(\mathcal{K} + O_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + O_{P} \left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right) \right) (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \begin{pmatrix} Ttr(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ Ttr(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \Delta_{2} \begin{pmatrix} Ttr(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ Ttr(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} tr((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathbf{A}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ tr((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathbf{A}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} - tr(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathbf{A}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}}) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n}tr(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n}tr(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-\frac{2}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} Ttr(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ Ttr(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{n}tr(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{n}tr(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \boldsymbol{o}_{P}(1)$$

$$+ \left(\boldsymbol{O}_{P}(\boldsymbol{Q}) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}\sqrt{nT}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2} + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}(QP\sqrt{nT}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) \right)$$

$$+ \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}P\sqrt{nT}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right))(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}). \tag{F.11}$$

Using (F.11) in (F.10), and ignoring dominated terms, gives the result,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{Z}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0}) \mathbf{Z} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}_1^* + \mathbf{B}_2^* & \mathbf{0}_{Q \times K} \\ \mathbf{0}_{K \times Q} & \mathbf{0}_{K \times K} \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2}{n^2} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_1) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_Q) \\ \mathbf{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_1) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_Q) \\ \mathbf{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix}'$$

$$+ O_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + O_{P}\left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right) \sqrt{nT}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}'(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \text{tr}\left((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \text{tr}\left((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\right) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K\times 1} \end{pmatrix} - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n}\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n}\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K\times 1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$- \sqrt{nT} \frac{\partial \varrho(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\zeta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} + \boldsymbol{o}_{P}(1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}'(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \text{tr}\left((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \text{tr}\left((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\right) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K\times 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \sqrt{nT} \frac{\partial \varrho(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\zeta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} + \boldsymbol{o}_{P}(1), \tag{F.12}$$

where the last equality follows by recalling the definition $G_q^* := G_q - \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(G_q) I_n$. For the second to last term,

$$\left\| \sqrt{nT} \frac{\partial \varrho(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \zeta)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right\|_{2} \le \sqrt{PnT} \max\{\gamma_{\rho}, \gamma_{\beta}\} O_{P}(1) = o_{P}(1), \tag{F.13}$$

where the inequality in (F.13) follows the same steps as those used to obtain (D.20), and the final line follows under Assumption 6.6. Moreover, recalling the definition given in (16), notice that

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{Z}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0}) \mathbf{Z} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}_1^* + \mathbf{B}_2^* & \mathbf{0}_{Q \times K} \\ \mathbf{0}_{K \times Q} & \mathbf{0}_{K \times K} \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2}{n^2} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_1) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_Q) \\ \mathbf{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_1) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_Q) \\ \mathbf{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{D}.$$
(F.14)

Hence, applying (F.13) and (F.14) to (F.12) gives the result

$$\left(\boldsymbol{D} + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}\left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right)\right)\sqrt{nT}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}'(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}\left((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}\left((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\right) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{o}_{P}(1). \tag{F.15}$$

By Lemma F.3(viii), $\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathbf{Z}'(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0}) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = O_P(\sqrt{P})$, and by Lemma F.4(vi)

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} ((\boldsymbol{G}_1^* \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} ((\boldsymbol{G}_Q^* \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} (\boldsymbol{G}_1^*) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} (\boldsymbol{G}_Q^*) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{0}_{P \times 1} + \boldsymbol{O}_P(\sqrt{Q}) \quad (F.16)$$

because $\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_q^*) = 0$ for q = 1, ..., Q. Thus,

$$\left(\boldsymbol{D} + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}\left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right)\right)\sqrt{nT}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0})$$

$$= \boldsymbol{O}_{P}(\sqrt{P}) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}) + \boldsymbol{o}_{P}(1).$$
(F.17)

Since $||\boldsymbol{D}||_2, ||\boldsymbol{D}^{-1}||_2 = O_P(1)$ and, by Proposition 1, $||\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2$ is at least of order a_{nT} then $||\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2 = O_P\left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{nT}}\right)$ follows using Assumption 6.1, and the final result is obtained,

$$D\sqrt{nT}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}'(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{o}_{P}(1). \tag{F.18}$$

Proof of Lemma A.5(i). From the definition of D given in equation (16) of the main text,

$$m{D} - \hat{m{D}} = rac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} rac{1}{nT} (m{\mathcal{Z}} - \hat{m{\mathcal{Z}}})' (m{M}_{m{F}^0} \otimes m{M}_{m{\Lambda}^0}) m{\mathcal{Z}} + rac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} rac{1}{nT} \hat{m{\mathcal{Z}}}' (m{M}_{m{F}^0} \otimes m{M}_{m{\Lambda}^0}) (m{\mathcal{Z}} - \hat{m{\mathcal{Z}}})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}' ((\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}' (\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}} \otimes (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}})) \hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \right) \frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}' (\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Omega} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} & \mathbf{0}_{Q \times K} \\ \mathbf{0}_{K \times Q} & \mathbf{0}_{Q \times Q} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=: \boldsymbol{L}_{1} + ... + \boldsymbol{L}_{6}.$$
 (F.19)

Note that, for p = 1, ..., Q,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{p} - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{p} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{\beta}_{k}^{0} - \beta_{k}) (\boldsymbol{G}_{p}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) - \boldsymbol{G}_{p}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{\beta}_{k}^{0} - \beta_{k}) \boldsymbol{G}_{p} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{k}^{0} (\boldsymbol{G}_{p} - \boldsymbol{G}_{p}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{k}$$

$$=: (\mathcal{Z}_{p} - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{p})^{(1)} + (\mathcal{Z}_{p} - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{p})^{(2)} + (\mathcal{Z}_{p} - \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{p})^{(3)} \tag{F.20}$$

and $\mathfrak{Z}_p - \hat{\mathfrak{Z}}_p = \mathbf{0}_{n \times T}$ otherwise, and also that, for p = 1, ..., Q,

$$\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_p = \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{\beta}_k \mathbf{G}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{X}_k = \sum_{k=1}^K (\hat{\beta}_k - \beta_k^0) \mathbf{G}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{X}_k + \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k^0 \mathbf{G}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{X}_k =: \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_p^{(1)} + \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_p^{(2)}$$
(F.21)

and $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_p = \mathbf{Z}_p$ otherwise. As such, using (F.20) and (F.21), terms $\mathbf{L}_1, ..., \mathbf{L}_6$ in (F.19) can be expanded. For term \mathbf{L}_1 ,

$$L_{1} = \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathfrak{Z}_{1} - \hat{\mathfrak{Z}}_{1})^{(1)} \boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}} \mathfrak{Z}'_{1} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathfrak{Z}_{1} - \hat{\mathfrak{Z}}_{1})^{(1)} \boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}} \mathfrak{Z}'_{P} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathfrak{Z}_{P} - \hat{\mathfrak{Z}}_{P})^{(1)} \boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}} \mathfrak{Z}'_{1} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathfrak{Z}_{P} - \hat{\mathfrak{Z}}_{P})^{(1)} \boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}} \mathfrak{Z}'_{P} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \end{pmatrix} \\ + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathfrak{Z}_{1} - \hat{\mathfrak{Z}}_{1})^{(2)} \boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}} \mathfrak{Z}'_{1} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathfrak{Z}_{1} - \hat{\mathfrak{Z}}_{1})^{(2)} \boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}} \mathfrak{Z}'_{P} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathfrak{Z}_{P} - \hat{\mathfrak{Z}}_{P})^{(2)} \boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}} \mathfrak{Z}'_{1} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathfrak{Z}_{1} - \hat{\mathfrak{Z}}_{1})^{(3)} \boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}} \mathfrak{Z}'_{P} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \end{pmatrix} \\ + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathfrak{Z}_{1} - \hat{\mathfrak{Z}}_{1})^{(3)} \boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}} \mathfrak{Z}'_{1} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathfrak{Z}_{1} - \hat{\mathfrak{Z}}_{1})^{(3)} \boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}} \mathfrak{Z}'_{P} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathfrak{Z}_{P} - \hat{\mathfrak{Z}}_{P})^{(3)} \boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}} \mathfrak{Z}'_{1} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathfrak{Z}_{P} - \hat{\mathfrak{Z}}_{P})^{(3)} \boldsymbol{M}_{F^{0}} \mathfrak{Z}'_{P} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \end{pmatrix} \\ = : \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} (\boldsymbol{L}_{1.1} + \boldsymbol{L}_{1.2} + \boldsymbol{L}_{1.3}). \tag{F.22}$$

Using Lemmas F.5(i),...,F.5(iii), and the inequalities $\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{B}) \leq ||\boldsymbol{A}||_F ||\boldsymbol{B}||_F$ and $||\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{B}||_F \leq ||\boldsymbol{A}||_2 ||\boldsymbol{B}||_F$,

$$||oldsymbol{L}_{1.1}||_F \leq \left(\sum_{p=1}^P ||(oldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}_p - \hat{oldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}}_p)^{(1)}||_F^2
ight)^{rac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^P ||oldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}_{p'}||_F^2
ight)^{rac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_P(Q\sqrt{KP}nT||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2^2), \tag{F.23}$$

$$||\mathbf{L}_{1.2}||_{F} \leq \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||(\mathbf{Z}_{p} - \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{p})^{(2)}||_{F}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{Z}_{p'}||_{F}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P}(\sqrt{QKP}nT||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}), \tag{F.24}$$

$$||\mathbf{L}_{1.3}||_{F} \leq \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||(\mathbf{Z}_{p} - \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{p})^{(3)}||_{F}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{Z}_{p'}||_{F}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P}(Q\sqrt{P}nT||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}). \tag{F.25}$$

Thus, $||\boldsymbol{L}_1||_F = O_P(Q\sqrt{KP}nT||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2)$. $||\boldsymbol{L}_2||_F$ is of the same order. For term \boldsymbol{L}_3 , this can be expanded as

$$L_{3} := \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(1)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(1)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(1)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(1)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(1)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(1)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(1)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(1)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \end{pmatrix} \\ + \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(1)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(2)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(1)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(1)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(1)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(1)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(1)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(1)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(2)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(2)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(2)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(2)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1}^{(2)'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \right) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr} \left(\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{P}^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{F}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}}) \hat{\mathbf{$$

Considering each of the four terms in (F.26),

$$||m{L}_{3.1}||_F \leq ||m{P}_{\hat{m{F}}} - m{P}_{m{F}^0}||_2 \left(\sum_{p=1}^P ||\hat{m{\mathcal{Z}}}_p^{(1)}||_F^2
ight)$$

$$= O_P(Q^{1.5}KnT||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2^3) + O_P\left(\frac{QKnT||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2^2}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right),$$
 (F.27)

$$||\boldsymbol{L}_{3.2}||_{F} \leq ||\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}^{(1)}||_{F}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p'}^{(2)}||_{F}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(Q^{1.5} \sqrt{K} n T ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{2} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{Q \sqrt{K} n T ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right), \tag{F.28}$$

 $||\boldsymbol{L}_{3,3}||_F$ has the same order as $||\boldsymbol{L}_{3,2}||_F$, and

$$||\mathbf{L}_{3.4}||_{F} \leq ||\mathbf{P}_{\hat{F}} - \mathbf{P}_{F^{0}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}^{(2)}||_{F}^{2} \right)$$

$$= O_{P}(Q^{1.5}nT||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + O_{P} \left(\frac{QnT}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right), \tag{F.29}$$

where the above uses Lemmas F.3(v), F.5(iv) and F.5(v). Thus $||\boldsymbol{L}_3||_F = O_P(Q^{1.5}KnT||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2) + O_P\left(\frac{QKnT}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right)$. It can be shown that $||\boldsymbol{L}_4||_F$ is of the same order. For term \boldsymbol{L}_5 , $||\boldsymbol{L}_5||_2 \leq |\sigma_0^{-2} - \hat{\sigma}^{-2}|||\frac{1}{nT}\boldsymbol{Z}'(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}\otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0})\boldsymbol{Z}||_2$. By combining Lemmas F.4(v) and F.1(x), $|\sigma_0^{-2} - \hat{\sigma}^{-2}| = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n,T\}}\right) + O_P\left(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2\right)$, and, by Assumption 4.3, $||\frac{1}{nT}\boldsymbol{Z}'(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}\otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0})\boldsymbol{Z}||_2 = O_P(1)$. Finally, let $\boldsymbol{\Omega} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} =: \hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{(1)} + ... + \hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{(6)}$ with elements $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(1)})_{qq'} = \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_q(\boldsymbol{G}_{q'} - \boldsymbol{G}_{q'}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})), (\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(2)})_{qq'} = \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_q - \boldsymbol{G}_q(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}))\boldsymbol{G}_{q'}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}))$, $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(3)})_{qq'} = \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_q(\boldsymbol{G}_{q'}' - \boldsymbol{G}_{q'}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})))$, $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(4)})_{qq'} = \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_q - \boldsymbol{G}_q(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}))\boldsymbol{G}_{q'}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}))$, $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{(5)})_{qq'} = \frac{2}{n^2}\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_q(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) - \boldsymbol{G}_{q'})$. For the first of these terms,

$$||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{(1)}||_{F} \leq ||\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{I}_{n}||_{2} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\boldsymbol{G}_{q}||_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{q'=1}^{Q} ||\boldsymbol{G}_{q'}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})||_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P}(Q^{1.5}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}), \tag{F.30}$$

using Lemma A.2(viii), and so $||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{(1)}||_F = O_P(Q^{1.5}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2)$. Similar steps establish that $||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{(2)}||_F^2$, ..., $||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^{(5)}||_F^2$ have the same order, and therefore $||\boldsymbol{L}_6||_F = O_P(Q^{1.5}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2)$. Combining the above results for $||\boldsymbol{L}_1||_F$, ..., $||\boldsymbol{L}_6||_F$ yields $||\boldsymbol{D}^{-1} - \hat{\boldsymbol{D}}^{-1}||_2 = O_P(Q^{1.5}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2) + O_P\left(\frac{QP}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right)$.

Proof of Lemma A.5(ii). Notice, firstly, that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \sigma_{0}^{2} T \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}) \right)^{2} \right] \\
= \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \sigma_{0}^{2} T \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}) \right)^{2} \right] \right]. \tag{F.31}$$

Next, since $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'(\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right] = \sigma_{0}^{2}T\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}),$ and, using the same steps as in Lemma 3 of Yu et al. (2008),

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= (\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{4} - 3\sigma_{0}^{4})T\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})_{ii}^{2} + \sigma_{0}^{4}(\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}))^{2}$$

$$+ \sigma_{0}^{4}\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})(\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})') + \sigma_{0}^{4}\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})(\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})), \quad (F.32)$$

then

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \sigma_{0}^{2}T\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{4} - 3\sigma_{0}^{4}\right)T\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})_{ii}^{2} + \sigma_{0}^{4}\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{I}_{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})(\boldsymbol{I}_{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})'\right)$$

$$+ \sigma_{0}^{4}\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{I}_{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})(\boldsymbol{I}_{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}))\right]. \tag{F.33}$$

Now note that $\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})(\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})')\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})')\right] = T\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})|_{F}^{2}\right] \leq T||\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}||_{2}^{4}\mathbb{E}\left[||\boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}||_{F}^{2}\right] \leq TR^{0}||\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}||_{2}^{4} = O(T) \text{ since } \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*} \text{ is UB over } q. \text{ The same also applies to } \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})(\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}))\right],$ and similarly for the first term $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})_{ii}^{2}\right]$ as $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})_{ii}^{2} \leq ||\boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}||_{F}^{2}$. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \sigma_{0}^{2}T\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})\right)^{2}\right] = O(QT). \tag{F.34}$$

Proof of Lemma A.5(iii). Notice, firstly, that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\left(\mathrm{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}})-\sigma_{0}^{2}R^{0}\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) - \sigma_{0}^{2} R^{0} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}) \right)^{2} \right] \right]. \tag{F.35}$$

Next, since $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}})\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right] = \sigma_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})$ and, using the same steps as in Lemma 3 of Yu et al. (2008),

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}})^{2}\right]$$

$$=(\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{4}-3\sigma_{0}^{4})\sum_{i=1}^{nT}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})_{ii}^{2}+\sigma_{0}^{4}(R^{0})^{2}(\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}))^{2}$$

$$+\sigma_{0}^{4}\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}))+\sigma_{0}^{4}\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})'),$$
(F.36)

then

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) - \sigma_{0}^{2} R^{0} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}) \right)^{2} \right] \right] \\
= \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{4} - 3\sigma_{0}^{4} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{nT} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})_{ii}^{2} + \sigma_{0}^{4} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})) \right. \\
+ \sigma_{0}^{4} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})') \right]. \tag{F.37}$$

Now note that $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0}\boldsymbol{G}_q^*)(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}\otimes\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0}\boldsymbol{G}_q^*)')] = \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}\otimes(\boldsymbol{G}_q^*)'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0}\boldsymbol{G}_q^*)] = R^0\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_q^*)'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0}\boldsymbol{G}_q^*)] = R\mathbb{E}[||(\boldsymbol{G}_q^*)'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0}\boldsymbol{G}_q^*||_F^2] \leq R^0||\boldsymbol{G}_q^*||_2^4\mathbb{E}||\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0}||_F^2] \leq (R^0)^2||\boldsymbol{G}_q^*||_2^4 = O(1)$ because \boldsymbol{G}_q^* is UB over q. Similarly for the remaining terms in (F.37). Hence

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) - \sigma_{0}^{2}R^{0}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})\right)^{2}\right] = O(Q). \tag{F.38}$$

Proof of Lemma A.5(iv). Notice, firstly, that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) - \sigma_{0}^{2}R^{0}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) - \sigma_{0}^{2}R^{0}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})\right)^{2}\right]\right].$$
(F.39)

Next, since $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}})\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\otimes(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})')\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right] = \sigma_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\otimes(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})') = \sigma_{0}^{2}\operatorname{R}^{0}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}), \text{ and, using the same steps as in Lemma 3 of Yu et al. (2008),}$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= (\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{4} - 3\sigma_{0}^{4})\sum_{i=1}^{nT}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\otimes(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})')_{ii}^{2} + \sigma_{0}^{4}T^{2}(\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}))^{2}$$

$$+ \sigma_{0}^{4}\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\otimes(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})')(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\otimes(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})')') + \sigma_{0}^{4}\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\otimes(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})')(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\otimes(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})')), \quad (F.40)$$

then

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \sigma_{0}^{2} R^{0} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}) \right)^{2} \right] \right] \\
= \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{4} - 3\sigma_{0}^{4} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{nT} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes (\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})')_{ii}^{2} + \sigma_{0}^{4} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes (\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})')(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes (\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})')' + \sigma_{0}^{4} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes (\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})')(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes (\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})')) \right]. \tag{F.41}$$

Now note that $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}\otimes(\boldsymbol{G}_q^*)')(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}\otimes(\boldsymbol{G}_q^*)')')] = \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}\otimes(\boldsymbol{G}_q^*)'\boldsymbol{G}_q^*)] = R^0\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_q^*)'\boldsymbol{G}_q^*) = R^0||\boldsymbol{G}_q^*||_F^2 \leq R^0n||\boldsymbol{G}_q^*||_2^2 = O(n)$ because \boldsymbol{G}_q^* is UB over q. Similarly for the remaining terms in (F.41). Hence

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) - \sigma_{0}^{2}R^{0}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{*})\right)^{2}\right] = O(Qn). \tag{F.42}$$

Proof of Lemma A.5(v). Expanding,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathbf{Z}_{1} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1})' (\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} + \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{P}_{F^{0}}) \right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathbf{Z}_{P} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P})' (\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} + \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{P}_{F^{0}}) \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathbf{Z}_{1} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1})' \mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathbf{Z}_{P} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P})' \mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \right) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathbf{Z}_{1} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1})' \mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{P}_{F^{0}} \right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathbf{Z}_{P} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P})' \mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{P}_{F^{0}} \right) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathbf{Z}_{1} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1})' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{P}_{F^{0}} \right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left((\mathbf{Z}_{P} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P})' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{P}_{F^{0}} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=: \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} (\mathbf{l}_{1} - \mathbf{l}_{2} + \mathbf{l}_{3}). \tag{F.43}$$

First,

$$||oldsymbol{l}_1||_2^2 = rac{1}{n^2} \sum_{p=1}^P \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(rac{1}{n} oldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} oldsymbol{\Lambda}^0
ight)^{-1} oldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} oldsymbol{arepsilon} (oldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}_p - ar{oldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}}_p)' oldsymbol{\Lambda}^0
ight)^2$$

$$\leq \frac{(R^0)^2}{n^2} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \right\|_2^2 \sum_{p=1}^P ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} (\mathbf{\mathfrak{Z}}_p - \bar{\mathbf{\mathfrak{Z}}}_p)' \mathbf{\Lambda}^0||_2^2$$
 (F.44)

Now notice,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{Z}_{p}-\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p})'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}^{2}\right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{p=1}^{P}\mathbb{E}\left[||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{Z}_{p}-\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p})'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{F}^{2}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{P}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_{ir}^{0}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}(\mathbf{Z}_{p}-\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p})_{jt}\lambda_{js}^{0}\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{P}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i'=1}^{n}\sum_{t'=1}^{T}\sum_{j'=1}^{n}\lambda_{ir}^{0}\lambda_{js}^{0}\lambda_{i'r}^{0}\lambda_{j's}^{0}\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{it}(\mathbf{Z}_{p}-\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p})_{jt}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i't'}(\mathbf{Z}_{p}-\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p})_{j't'}\right]\right].$$
(F.45)

Consider, for example, the case where p=1. In this case $\mathfrak{Z}_1 - \bar{\mathfrak{Z}}_1 = W_1 \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} A^{h-1} S^{-1} \varepsilon_h^*$. It is straightforward to see that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\varepsilon_{it}(\mathfrak{Z}_p - \bar{\mathfrak{Z}}_p)_{jt}\varepsilon_{i't'}(\mathfrak{Z}_p - \bar{\mathfrak{Z}}_p)_{j't'}\right] \neq 0$ only when t=t', i=i' and j=j', hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{Z}_{p}-\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p})'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}^{2}\right]$$

$$\leq \sigma_{0}^{4}\sum_{p=1}^{P}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_{ir}^{0^{2}}\lambda_{js}^{0^{2}}\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\boldsymbol{W}_{1}\boldsymbol{A}^{h}\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})_{jl}\right] = O(n^{2}T) \tag{F.46}$$

using Assumptions 1, 2.2, and 2.3. Similarly for other p, trivially so in the case where p corresponds to an exogenous covariate since $\mathfrak{Z}_p - \bar{\mathfrak{Z}}_p = \mathbf{0}_{n \times T}$. Using this and (F.44) gives the result that $||\boldsymbol{l}_1||_2^2 = O_P(PT)$ whereby $\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}}||\boldsymbol{l}_1||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{P/n}) = o_P(1)$. For term \boldsymbol{l}_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} ||\boldsymbol{l}_{2}||_{2}^{2} &= \sum_{p=1}^{P} \operatorname{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}_{p} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}}_{p})' \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \right)^{2} \\ &\leq (R^{0})^{2} \sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}_{p} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}||_{2}^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{(R^{0})^{2}}{n^{2} T^{2}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}_{p} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right) ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2}^{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$= O_P(P \max\{n, T\}), \tag{F.47}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii), F.1(ii) and F.1(v), as well as Assumptions 6.2 and 6.3. This implies $\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}}||\boldsymbol{l}_2||_2 = O_P\left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{\min\{n,T\}}}\right) = o_P(1).$ Finally for term \boldsymbol{l}_3 ,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \boldsymbol{l}_{3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{1})' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \right) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} [\operatorname{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{1})' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \right)] \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{P} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{P})' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \right) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} [\operatorname{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{P} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{P})' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \right)] \end{pmatrix} \\
+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} [\operatorname{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{1})' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \right)] \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} [\operatorname{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{P} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{P})' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \right)] \end{pmatrix} \\
\vdots \\ \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} [\operatorname{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{P} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{P})' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \right)] \end{pmatrix} . \tag{F.48}$$

Using the same steps as in the proof of Lemma 5 in Shi and Lee (2017), it can be shown that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}}||\boldsymbol{l}_{3.1}||_2^2 = o_P(1)$ and then, finally, by using the explicit expressions for $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_p - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_p$ given in the proof of Lemmas F.1(v),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\operatorname{tr}\left((\mathbf{Z}_{1} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\right)] \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\operatorname{tr}\left((\mathbf{Z}_{P} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\right)] \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{J}_{0}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\boldsymbol{J}'_{h}) \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{W}_{1}\boldsymbol{A}^{h}\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{J}_{0}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\boldsymbol{J}'_{h}) \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{W}_{Q}\boldsymbol{A}^{h}\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{h=1}^{T-1} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{J}_{0}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\boldsymbol{J}'_{h}) \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{W}_{1}\boldsymbol{A}^{h-1}\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{h=1}^{T-1} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{J}_{0}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\boldsymbol{J}'_{h}) \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{W}_{Q}\boldsymbol{A}^{h-1}\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{F.49}$$

which, combined with the previous parts, yields the result.

Proof of Lemma A.6. In this proof a central limit theorem is proven for $\mathbf{S}c$. The steps are similar to the proof of Lemma 13 in Yu et al. (2008), with modifications due to the increasing number of parameters. Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^L$ with elements v_l and $||\mathbf{v}||_2$ bounded for all

L. Also, recall the definition of **S** given in Assumption 7.1. Then v'Sc equals

$$v'\mathbf{S} \left(\mathbf{Z}' \text{vec}(\varepsilon) + \begin{pmatrix} \text{tr}(\varepsilon'G_{1}^{*}\varepsilon) \\ \vdots \\ \text{tr}(\varepsilon'G_{Q}^{*}\varepsilon) \\ \mathbf{0}_{K+1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= v'\mathbf{S} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \text{tr} \left((M_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}} \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1} M_{\mathbf{F}^{0}} + (\mathbf{Z}_{1} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1}))\varepsilon' \right) \\ \vdots \\ \text{tr} \left((M_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}} \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1} M_{\mathbf{F}^{0}} + (\mathbf{Z}_{P} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P}))\varepsilon' \right) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \text{tr}(\varepsilon'G_{1}^{*}\varepsilon) \\ \vdots \\ \text{tr}(\varepsilon'G_{Q}^{*}\varepsilon) \\ \mathbf{0}_{K+1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= v'\mathbf{S} \begin{pmatrix} \text{tr}(M_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}} \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1} M_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}\varepsilon') \\ \vdots \\ \text{tr}(M_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}} \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1} M_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}\varepsilon') \\ \vdots \\ \text{tr}(M_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}} \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1} M_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}\varepsilon') \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \text{tr}(W_{1} A^{h} S^{-1} \varepsilon_{h}^{*}\varepsilon') \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \text{tr}(W_{2} A^{h} S^{-1} \varepsilon_{h}^{*}\varepsilon') \\ \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \text{tr}(W_{1} A^{h-1} S^{-1} \varepsilon_{h}^{*}\varepsilon') \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \text{tr}(W_{1} A^{h-1} S^{-1} \varepsilon_{h}^{*}\varepsilon') \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \text{tr}(\varepsilon'G_{1}^{*}\varepsilon) - T\sigma_{0}^{2} \text{tr}(G_{1}^{*}) \\ \vdots \\ \text{tr}(\varepsilon'G_{Q}^{*}\varepsilon) - T\sigma_{0}^{2} \text{tr}(G_{Q}^{*}) \\ 0_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$0_{K \times 1}$$

$$(F.50)$$

where the last line follows from applying the expressions for $\mathbf{Z}_p - \mathbf{\bar{Z}}_p$ given in the proof of Lemma F.1(v), and also noticing $T\sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(\mathbf{G}_q^*) = T\sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(\mathbf{G}_q - \frac{1}{n}\text{tr}(\mathbf{G}_q)\mathbf{I}_n) = 0$. Now, define the matrices

$$\mathcal{D} := \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{p=1}^{P} v_l(\mathbf{S})_{lp} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0} \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_p \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0}$$
 (F.51)

$$\mathbf{U} := \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} v_l(\mathbf{S})_{lp} \mathbf{A}_p \mathbf{A}^{h-1} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{h+1}^*$$
 (F.52)

$$\mathcal{B} := \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{p=1}^{P} v_l(\mathbf{S})_{lp} \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_p + \mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_p' \right)$$
 (F.53)

with elements d_{it} , u_{it} and b_{ij} respectively, where

$$\mathcal{A}_{p} := \begin{cases}
\mathbf{W}_{q} \mathbf{A} & \text{for } p = 1, ..., Q \text{ with } q = p \\
\mathbf{0}_{n \times n} & \text{for } p = Q + 1, ..., Q + K^{*} \\
\mathbf{I}_{n} & \text{for } p = Q + K^{*} + 1 \\
\mathbf{W}_{q} & \text{for } p = Q + K^{*} + 2, ..., P \text{ with } q = p - Q - K^{*} - 1,
\end{cases} (F.54)$$

and $\mathcal{G}_p := \mathcal{G}_p^*$ for p = 1, ..., Q, and $\mathbf{0}_{n \times n}$ for p = Q + 1, ..., P. Using the matrices defined in (F.51), (F.52) and (F.53), let

$$\mathcal{J} := \mathbf{v}' \mathbf{S} \mathbf{c} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left((u_{it-1} + d_{it}) \varepsilon_{it} + b_{ii} (\varepsilon_{it}^2 - \sigma_0^2) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \varepsilon_{it} \right) =: \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} j_{it},$$
(F.55)

and the variance of \mathcal{J} be denoted $\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^2$. In what follows the aim is to show that the standardised sum $\frac{\mathcal{J}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}} \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ for any \boldsymbol{v} . Following Yu et al. (2008), define the σ -algebra $\mathcal{F}_{it} := \sigma(\varepsilon_{11}, ..., \varepsilon_{n1}, \varepsilon_{12}, ..., \varepsilon_{n2}, \varepsilon_{1t}, ..., \varepsilon_{it})$. A central limit theorem for martingale difference arrays applies to $\frac{\mathcal{J}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}}$ if the following two conditions are met:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^{2+\delta}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[|j_{it}|^{2+\delta}] \to 0, \tag{F.56}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^2} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[j_{it}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1t}] \xrightarrow{P} 1. \tag{F.57}$$

Conditions (F.56) and (F.57) are now demonstrated in turn. Beginning with (F.56), note that

$$|j_{it}| \leq |u_{it-1} + d_{it}||\varepsilon_{it}| + |b_{ii}||\varepsilon_{it}^2 + \sigma_0^2| + 2\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |b_{ij}||\varepsilon_{jt}||\varepsilon_{it}|$$

$$= |u_{it-1} + d_{it}||\varepsilon_{it}| + |b_{ii}|^{\frac{1}{p}} |b_{ii}|^{\frac{1}{q}} |\varepsilon_{it}^2 + \sigma_0^2| + 2\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |b_{ij}|^{\frac{1}{p}} |b_{ij}|^{\frac{1}{q}} |\varepsilon_{jt}||\varepsilon_{it}|$$

for any p, q > 0 such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. By Hölder's inequality

$$|u_{it-1} + d_{it}||\varepsilon_{it}| + |b_{ii}|^{\frac{1}{p}}|b_{ii}|^{\frac{1}{q}}|\varepsilon_{it}^2 + \sigma_0^2| + 2\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}|b_{ij}|^{\frac{1}{p}}|b_{ij}|^{\frac{1}{q}}|\varepsilon_{jt}||\varepsilon_{it}|$$

$$= |u_{it-1} + d_{it}||\varepsilon_{it}| + |b_{ii}|^{\frac{1}{p}}|b_{ii}|^{\frac{1}{q}}|\varepsilon_{it}^{2} + \sigma_{0}^{2}| + 2|b_{i1}|^{\frac{1}{p}}|b_{i1}|^{\frac{1}{q}}|\varepsilon_{1t}||\varepsilon_{it}|$$

$$+ \dots + 2|b_{ii-1}|^{\frac{1}{p}}|b_{ii-1}|^{\frac{1}{q}}|\varepsilon_{i-1t}||\varepsilon_{it}|$$

$$\leq \left(|u_{it-1} + d_{it}|^{p} + \sum_{j=1}^{i}|b_{ij}|\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(|\varepsilon_{it}|^{q} + |b_{ii}||\varepsilon_{it}^{2} - \sigma_{0}^{2}|^{q} + 2^{q}|\varepsilon_{it}|^{q}\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}|b_{ij}||\varepsilon_{jt}|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|j_{it}\right|^{q}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left|u_{it-1} + d_{it}\right|^{p} + \sum_{j=1}^{i} \left|b_{ij}\right|\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right] \times \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\varepsilon_{it}\right|^{q}\right] + \left|b_{ii}\right|\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\varepsilon_{it}^{2} - \sigma_{0}^{2}\right|^{q}\right] + 2^{q}\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\varepsilon_{it}\right|^{q}\right] \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left|b_{ij}\right|\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\varepsilon_{jt}\right|^{q}\right]\right). \quad (F.58)$$

Let $q = 2 + \delta$ with δ small. By Assumption 1.1, ε_{it} has finite fourth moments. With q < 4, then $\mathbb{E}[|\varepsilon_{it} - \sigma_0^2|^q] \le c_1$, $\mathbb{E}[|\varepsilon_{it}|^q] \le c_2$. Now, for the matrix \mathbf{B} .

$$\begin{split} ||\mathbf{\mathcal{B}}||_{1} &\leq \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{p=1}^{P} |v_{l}| |(\mathbf{S})_{lp}| \left\| \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_{p} + \mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_{p}' \right) \right\|_{1} \\ &\leq \sum_{l=1}^{L} |v_{l}| \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} |(\mathbf{S})_{lp}|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_{p}||_{1}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{l=1}^{L} |v_{l}|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{p=1}^{P} |(\mathbf{S})_{lp}|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} \left\| \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_{p} + \mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_{p}' \right) \right\|_{1}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{P} ||v||_{2} ||\mathbf{S}||_{F} \max_{1 \leq p \leq P} \left\| \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_{p} + \mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_{p}' \right) \right\|_{1}^{2} = O(\sqrt{P}), \end{split}$$

since $||\boldsymbol{v}||_2 \leq c$, $||\boldsymbol{S}||_F \leq \sqrt{\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{S})}||\boldsymbol{S}||_2$ with $\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{S}) \leq L$, $||\boldsymbol{S}||_2 < c$ by Assumption 7.1, and using the fact that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}_p$ is UB by Assumptions 2.2 and 2.3. Similarly, it can be shown that $||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}||_{\infty} = O(\sqrt{P})$. Therefore returning to (F.58)

$$\mathbb{E}[|j_{it}|^q] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\left((|u_{it-1}| + |d_{it}|)^p + \sum_{j=1}^i |b_{ij}|\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right] O(\sqrt{P}).$$

Next, by the c_r inequality (see, for instance Davidson, 1994, result 9.28), and since $\frac{q}{p} = 1 + \delta$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left((|u_{it-1}| + |d_{it}|)^p + \sum_{j=1}^i |b_{ij}|\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right] \le 2^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \left(\mathbb{E}[(|u_{it-1}| + |d_{it}|)^q] + \left(\sum_{j=1}^i |b_{ij}|\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right)$$

$$\leq 2^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \left(\mathbb{E}[(|u_{it-1}| + |d_{it}|)^q] + O(P^{\frac{q}{2p}}) \right)
\leq 2^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \left(2^{q-1} (\mathbb{E}[|u_{it-1}|^q] + \mathbb{E}[|d_{it}|^q) + O(P^{\frac{q}{2p}}) \right).$$
(F.59)

Now,

$$|u_{it-1}| \leq \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{p=1}^{P} |v_l| |(\mathbf{S})_{lp}| \left| \left(\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_p \mathbf{A}^{h-1} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{h+1}^* \right)_{it-1} \right|$$

$$\leq ||\boldsymbol{v}||_2 ||\mathbf{S}||_F \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} \left| \left(\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_p \mathbf{A}^{h-1} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{h+1}^* \right)_{it-1} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq ||\boldsymbol{v}||_2 ||\mathbf{S}||_F \sqrt{P} \arg \max_{1 \leq p \leq P} \left| \left(\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_p \mathbf{A}^{h-1} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{h+1}^* \right)_{it} \right|. \tag{F.60}$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}[|u_{it-1}|^q] \leq ||\boldsymbol{v}||_2^q ||\mathbf{S}||_F^q P^{\frac{q}{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\underset{1\leq p\leq P}{\arg\max} \left| \left(\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_p \boldsymbol{A}^{h-1} \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{h+1}^*\right)_{it}\right|\right)^q\right]. \tag{F.61}$$

Recall that $q = 2 + \delta$. By the same steps as in Lemma 10 in Yu et al. (2008), it can be shown that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left|\left(\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{p} A^{h-1} S^{-1} \varepsilon_{h+1}^{*}\right)_{it}\right|\right)^{4}\right]$ is O(1), uniformly across i, t, and p. Therefore $\mathbb{E}[|u_{it-1}|^{q}] = O(P^{\frac{q}{2}}) = O(P^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}})$. By similar steps,

$$\begin{aligned} |d_{it}| &\leq \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{p=1}^{P} |v_l| |(\mathbf{S})_{lp}| |\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{p} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0}\right)_{it}| \\ &\leq ||\mathbf{v}||_2 ||\mathbf{S}||_F \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} \left(\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{p} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0}\right)_{it}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$
 (F.62)

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|d_{it}|^{q}\right] \leq ||\boldsymbol{v}||_{2}^{q}||\mathbf{S}||_{F}^{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{p=1}^{P}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\right)_{it}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}}\right].$$
(F.63)

It is straightforward to see that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{p=1}^{P}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\tilde{Z}}_{p}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\right)_{it}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}\right]$ is $O(P^{2})$ and hence $\mathbb{E}\left[|d_{it}|^{q}\right]=O(P^{2})$. Returning to (F.58),

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|j_{it}|^q\right] \le \left(O(P^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}}) + O(P^2) + O(P^{\frac{q}{2p}})\right)O(\sqrt{P})$$

$$=O(P^{\frac{3+\delta}{2}}),\tag{F.64}$$

therefore

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[|j_{it}^{2+\delta}|] = O(nTP^{\frac{5}{2}}), \tag{F.65}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^{2+\delta}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[|j_{it}^{2+\delta}|] = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^{2+\delta}}{(nT)^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}}}\right)} \frac{1}{(nT)^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}}} O(nTP^{\frac{5}{2}}) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^{2+\delta}}{(nT)^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}}}\right)} \frac{1}{(nT)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}} O(P^{\frac{5}{2}}). \tag{F.66}$$

Since $\left(\frac{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^{2+\delta}}{(nT)^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}}}\right)^{-1} = O(1),$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^{2+\delta}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[|j_{it}^{2+\delta}|] = O\left(\frac{P^{\frac{5}{2}}}{(nT)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}}\right) = o(1)$$
 (F.67)

for δ sufficiently large under Assumption 6.1. This verifies (F.56). Next Condition (F.57) is established. Note that

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^2} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[j_{it}^2 | \mathfrak{F}_{i-1t}] \xrightarrow{P} 1$$

is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[j_{it}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1t}] - \sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^2 \right) \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

and hence also to

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^{2}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[j_{it}^{2} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1t}] - \sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^{2} \right) = o_{P}(1).$$
 (F.68)

First,

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[j_{it}^{2} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1t}] = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[(u_{it-1} + d_{it})^{2} \varepsilon_{it}^{2} + (u_{it-1} + d_{it}) \varepsilon_{it} b_{ii} (\varepsilon_{it}^{2} - \sigma_{0}^{2}) + 2(u_{it-1} + d_{it}) \varepsilon_{it}^{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) + b_{ii} (\varepsilon_{it}^{2} - \sigma_{0}^{2}) (u_{it-1} + d_{it}) \varepsilon_{it} + b_{ii}^{2} (\varepsilon_{it}^{2} - \sigma_{0}^{2})^{2} + 2b_{ii} (\varepsilon_{it}^{2} - \sigma_{0}^{2}) \varepsilon_{it} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) + 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) \varepsilon_{it}^{2} (u_{it-1} + d_{it})$$

$$+ 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) \varepsilon_{it} b_{ii} (\varepsilon_{it}^2 - \sigma_0^2) + 4 \varepsilon_{it}^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) | \mathcal{F}_{i-1t} \right].$$

Recall $\mathcal{M}_1^{\varepsilon} = 0$ and that $\mathcal{M}_2^{\varepsilon} = \sigma_0^2$. Moreover, ε_{jt} is independent of u_{it-1} and d_{it} for all i, j = 1, ..., n. Thus,

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[j_{it}^{2} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1t}] = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{0}^{2} \mathbb{E}[(u_{it-1} + d_{it})^{2}] + 2\mathbb{E}[u_{it} + d_{it}] \mathcal{M}_{3}^{\varepsilon} b_{ii}
+ 4\mathbb{E}[u_{it-1} + d_{it}] \sigma_{0}^{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) + b_{ii}^{2} \mathcal{M}_{4}^{\varepsilon} - b_{ii}^{2} \sigma_{0}^{4}
+ 4b_{ii} \mathcal{M}_{3}^{\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) + 4\sigma_{0}^{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) . (F.69)$$

Next, since $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{J}] = 0$,

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^{2} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{0}^{2} \mathbb{E}[(u_{it-1} + d_{it})^{2}] + 2\mathbb{E}[u_{it} + d_{it}] \mathcal{M}_{3}^{\varepsilon} b_{ii} + b_{ii}^{2} \mathcal{M}_{4}^{\varepsilon} - b_{ii}^{2} \sigma_{0}^{4} + 4\sigma_{0}^{4} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij}^{2}\right).$$
(F.70)

Combining (F.69) and (F.70),

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^{2}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[j_{it}^{2} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1t}] - \sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^{2} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^{2}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 4 \left(\mathbb{E}[u_{it-1} + d_{it}] \sigma_{0}^{2} + b_{ii} \mathcal{M}_{3}^{\varepsilon} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) \\ &+ 4\sigma_{0}^{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) - 4\sigma_{0}^{4} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij}^{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^{2}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 4 \left(\mathbb{E}[u_{it-1} + d_{it}] \sigma_{0}^{2} + b_{ii} \mathcal{M}_{3}^{\varepsilon} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) \\ &+ 8\sigma_{0}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} b_{ij} b_{il} \varepsilon_{jt} \varepsilon_{lt} + 4\sigma_{0}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij}^{2} (\varepsilon_{jt}^{2} - \sigma_{0}^{2}) \\ &= \frac{4\sigma_{0}^{2}}{\frac{1}{nT}} \sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^{2} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\mathbb{E}[u_{it-1} + d_{it}] + b_{ii} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{3}^{\varepsilon}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \varepsilon_{jt} \right) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} b_{ij} b_{il} \varepsilon_{jt} \varepsilon_{lt} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij}^{2} (\varepsilon_{jt}^{2} - \sigma_{0}^{2}) \end{split}$$

$$=: \frac{4\sigma_0^2}{\frac{1}{nT}\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^2} (H_1 + 2H_2 + H_3). \tag{F.71}$$

With $\frac{1}{nT}\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^2 > 0$, it remains only to be shown that H_1, H_2 and H_3 are $o_P(1)$. It is straightforward to see that $\mathbb{E}[H_1] = \mathbb{E}[H_2] = \mathbb{E}[H_3] = 0$. Next,

$$\mathbb{E}[H_{1}^{2}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{E}[u_{it-1}+d_{it}]+b_{ii}\frac{\mathcal{M}_{3}^{\varepsilon}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right)b_{ij}\varepsilon_{jt}\right)\right)^{2}\right] \\
= \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{(nT)^{2}}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{E}[u_{it-1}+d_{it}]+b_{ii}\frac{\mathcal{M}_{3}^{\varepsilon}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right)b_{ij}\right)^{2} \\
\leq \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{(nT)^{2}}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\max_{1\leq i\leq n}\left\{\left|\mathbb{E}[u_{it-1}+d_{it}]+b_{ii}\frac{\mathcal{M}_{3}^{\varepsilon}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right|\right\}\sum_{i=j+1}^{n}|b_{ij}|\right)^{2} \\
= \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{(nT)^{2}}T\left(\max_{1\leq i\leq n}\left\{\left|\mathbb{E}[u_{it-1}+d_{it}]+b_{ii}\frac{\mathcal{M}_{3}^{\varepsilon}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right|\right\}\right)^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{n}|b_{ij}|\right)^{2}. \quad (F.72)$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[|u_{it-1}|]$, $\mathbb{E}[|d_{it}|]$, $b_{ii} = O(\sqrt{P})$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^n |b_{ij}|\right)^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |b_{ij}|\right)^2 = O(nP)$, then $\mathbb{E}[H_1^2] = O(\frac{P}{nT}) = o(1)$. For $\mathbb{E}[2H_2^2]$,

$$\mathbb{E}[2H_{2}^{2}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{2}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\sum_{l=1}^{j-1}b_{ij}b_{il}\varepsilon_{jt}\varepsilon_{lt}\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \frac{4}{(nT)^{2}}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\sum_{l=1}^{j-1}\sum_{t'=1}^{T}\sum_{i'=1}^{n}\sum_{j'=1}^{i'-1}\sum_{l'=1}^{j'-1}b_{ij}b_{il}b_{i'j'}b_{i'l'}\mathbb{E}\left[\varepsilon_{jt}\varepsilon_{lt}\varepsilon_{j't'}\varepsilon_{l't'}\right]$$

$$= \frac{4\sigma_{0}^{4}}{n^{2}T}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\sum_{l=1}^{j-1}\sum_{m=j+1}^{n}b_{ij}b_{il}b_{mj}b_{ml}$$

$$\leq \frac{4\sigma_{0}^{4}}{n^{2}T}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}|b_{ij}|\sum_{m=1}^{n}|b_{mj}|\sum_{l=1}^{n}|b_{il}b_{ml}|$$

$$\leq \frac{4\sigma_{0}^{4}}{n^{2}T}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}|b_{ij}|\sum_{m=1}^{n}|b_{mj}|\max_{1\leq l\leq n}\{|b_{il}|\}\sum_{l=1}^{n}|b_{ml}|$$

$$= O\left(\frac{P^{2}}{nT}\right) = o(1)$$
(F.73)

as $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_{ij}| = O(\sqrt{P})$ for i = 1, ..., n. Finally,

$$\mathbb{E}[H_3^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^T\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}b_{ij}^2(\varepsilon_{jt}^2 - \sigma_0^2)\right)^2\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(nT)^2}\sum_{t=1}^T\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\sum_{t'=1}^T\sum_{j'=1}^n\sum_{j'=1}^{i-1}b_{ij}^2b_{i'j'}^2\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{jt}^2\varepsilon_{j't'}^2] - b_{ij}^2b_{i'j'}^2\sigma_0^4. \tag{F.74}$$

For $j \neq j'$ or $t \neq t'$, $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{jt}^2 \varepsilon_{j't'}^2] = \sigma_0^2$ and for j = j' and t = t' $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{jt}^2 \varepsilon_{j't'}^2] = \mathcal{M}_4^{\varepsilon}$ and hence

$$\mathbb{E}[H_3^2] = \frac{1}{n^2 T} (\mathcal{M}_4^{\varepsilon} - \sigma_0^4) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{i-1} b_{ij} \right)^2$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n^2 T} (\mathcal{M}_4^{\varepsilon} - \sigma_0^4) \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |b_{ij}|^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{i-1} |b_{ij}| \right)^2$$

$$= O\left(\frac{P^2}{nT}\right) = o(1). \tag{F.75}$$

Given $\mathbb{E}[H_1^2]$, $\mathbb{E}[H_2^2]$ and $\mathbb{E}[H_3^2]$ are o(1), then, by Chebyshev's inequality, H_1 , H_2 and H_3 are $o_P(1)$. This verifies Condition (F.57) and it follows that $\frac{\mathcal{J}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}} \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$. In the remainder of this proof it is shown that this is equivalent to the expression given in the statement of the lemma. Recall (F.70),

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^{2} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{0}^{2} \mathbb{E}[(u_{it-1} + d_{it})^{2}] + 2\mathbb{E}[u_{it} + d_{it}] \mathcal{M}_{3}^{\varepsilon} b_{ii} + b_{ii}^{2} (\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\varepsilon} - \sigma_{0}^{4}) + 4\sigma_{0}^{4} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij}^{2}\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\sigma_{0}^{4} b_{ii}^{2} + 4\sigma_{0}^{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij}^{2}\right) + \sigma_{0}^{2} \mathbb{E}[(u_{it-1} + d_{it})^{2}]\right)$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{3} \mathbb{E}[u_{it-1} + d_{it}] b_{ii} + (\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{4} - 3\sigma_{0}^{4}) b_{ii}^{2}\right)$$

$$=: l_{1} + l_{2}. \tag{F.76}$$

Rearrange l_1 to give

$$l_{1} = 2\sigma_{0}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(b_{ii}^{2} + 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{ij}^{2} \right) \right) + \sigma_{0}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[(u_{it-1} + d_{it})^{2}]$$

$$= 2T\sigma_{0}^{4} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{BB}) + \sigma_{0}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[(u_{it-1} + d_{it})^{2}]. \tag{F.77}$$

For the first term of (F.77),

$$2T\sigma_0^4 \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}) = 2T\sigma_0^4 \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{p=1}^P \sum_{l'=1}^L \sum_{p'=1}^P v_l(\mathbf{S})_{lp} \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_p + \mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_p'\right) \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_{p'} + \mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_{p'}'\right) (\mathbf{S})_{l'p'} v_{l'}\right)\right)$$

$$= nT\sigma_0^4 \mathbf{v'S}\mathbf{\Omega}\mathbf{S'}\mathbf{v}, \tag{F.78}$$

using the definition of \mathcal{G}_p and G_q^* . For the second term of (F.77), recalling that $\mathbb{Z}_p := M_{\Lambda^0} \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p M_{F^0} + (\mathbb{Z}_p - \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$,

$$\sigma_{0}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[(u_{it-1} + d_{it})^{2}]$$

$$= \sigma_{0}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{p=1}^{P} v_{l}(\mathbf{S})_{lp}(\mathbf{Z})_{pit}\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \sigma_{0}^{2} v' \mathbf{S} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}'_{1}\mathbf{Z}_{1}) \cdots \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}'_{1}\mathbf{Z}_{P})\right)\right] \mathbf{S}' v$$

$$= \sigma_{0}^{4} n T v' \mathbf{S} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}'_{1}\mathbf{Z}_{1}) \cdots \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}'_{1}\mathbf{Z}_{P})\right)\right] \mathbf{S}' v$$

$$= \sigma_{0}^{4} n T v' \mathbf{S} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\operatorname{d}_{\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}} \frac{1}{n T} \left(\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}'_{1}\mathbf{Z}_{1}) \cdots \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}'_{1}\mathbf{Z}_{P})\right)\right) - \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{n T} \mathbf{Z}'(\mathbf{M}_{F^{0}} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}}) \mathbf{Z}\right] \mathbf{S}' v$$

$$+ \sigma_{0}^{4} n T v' \mathbf{S} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{n T} \mathbf{Z}'(\mathbf{M}_{F^{0}} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}}) \mathbf{Z}\right] \mathbf{S}' v$$

$$= \sigma_{0}^{4} n T v' \mathbf{S} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{n T} \mathbf{Z}'(\mathbf{M}_{F^{0}} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}}) \mathbf{Z}\right] \mathbf{S}' v + o(1)$$
(F.79)

using Lemma F.3(ix). Therefore

$$l_1 = \sigma_0^4 n T \mathbf{v'SDS'} \mathbf{v} + o(1). \tag{F.80}$$

Next consider l_2 :

$$l_{2} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{3} \mathbb{E}[u_{it-1} + d_{it}] b_{ii} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{4} - 3\sigma_{0}^{4}) b_{ii}^{2}$$

$$=: l_{2.1} + l_{2.2}$$
(F.81)

First, $l_{2.1}$ equals

$$2\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{3}\boldsymbol{v}'\mathbf{S}\underbrace{\left(\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}^{*})_{ii}\mathbb{E}\left[(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{1}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}})_{it}\right] & \cdots & \sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}^{*})_{ii}\mathbb{E}\left[(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{P}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}})_{it}\right] \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}^{*})_{ii}\mathbb{E}\left[(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{1}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}})_{it}\right] & \cdots & \sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}^{*})_{ii}\mathbb{E}\left[(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{P}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}})_{it}\right] \end{pmatrix}}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}\mathbf{S}'\boldsymbol{v}$$

$$= \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{3} \boldsymbol{v}' \mathbf{S} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{\Phi} + \mathbf{\Phi}' \right] \mathbf{S}' \boldsymbol{v}, \tag{F.82}$$

since $\mathbb{E}\left[u_{it-1}\right] = 0$. Second,

$$l_{2.2} = \left(\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^2 - 3\sigma_0^4\right) \mathbf{v'S} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Xi} & \mathbf{0}_{Q \times K} \\ \mathbf{0}_{K \times Q} & \mathbf{0}_{K \times K} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{S'} \mathbf{v}, \tag{F.83}$$

and thus combining (F.82) and (F.83),

$$l_2 = nT\sigma_0^4 \mathbf{v'SVS'} \mathbf{v}. \tag{F.84}$$

Bringing together these results,

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}^2 = nT\sigma_0^4 \mathbf{v}' \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{V}) \mathbf{S}' \mathbf{v}, \tag{F.85}$$

and therefore,

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{\boldsymbol{v'Sc}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{v'S(\mathbb{D} + \mathbb{V})S'v}}}.$$
 (F.86)

Since it has been established that $\frac{\mathcal{J}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{J}}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$, then, by the Cramer-Wold device,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \left(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D} + \mathbf{V}) \mathbf{S}' \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{c} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}_{L \times 1}, \boldsymbol{I}_L). \tag{F.87}$$

This completes the proof.

G Proofs of Lemmas F.1–F.4

As in Appendix F, since the following intermediary lemmas are only used in proving Theorem 1 and Proposition 3, for notational simplicity, it is again assumed that all of the covariates are relevant, i.e. $K = K^0$, $Q = Q^0$, $P = P^0$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{(1)} = \mathcal{Z}$.

Proof of Lemma F.1(i). Recall that
$$\frac{1}{n}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} = \boldsymbol{I}_R$$
. Then $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} = n\boldsymbol{I}_R$ and $||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_2^2 = n$. Thus $||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_2 = \sqrt{n}$. Next, $||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_F^2 = \operatorname{tr}(n\boldsymbol{I}_R) = Rn$, hence $||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_F = \sqrt{Rn}$.

Proof of Lemma F.1(ii).

$$\mathbb{E}\left[||F^{0'}\varepsilon'||_{F}^{2}\right] = \sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{t'=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[f_{tr}^{0} f_{t'r}^{0} \varepsilon_{it} \varepsilon_{it'}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{t'=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[f_{tr}^{0} f_{t'r}^{0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\varepsilon_{it} \varepsilon_{it'}\right]\right]$$

$$= \sigma_{0}^{2} \sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[(f_{tr}^{0})^{2}\right]$$

$$= O(nT),$$

using Assumptions 1 and 2.6, from which the first result follows. The remaining results are established similarly. \Box

Proof of Lemma F.1(iii). Using Lemma F.1(i) and the assumption that the matrices G_q are UB across q,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left| \left(\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}), \dots, \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}) \right) \right| \right|_{2} \leq \left| \left| \left(\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}), \dots, \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}) \right) \right| \right|_{F} = \sqrt{\sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}})||_{2}^{2}} \\ = & \sqrt{\sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\boldsymbol{G}_{q}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{F}^{2}} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\boldsymbol{G}_{q}||_{2}^{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{F}^{2}} \leq \sqrt{R} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} \sqrt{Q} \sqrt{\max_{1 \leq q \leq Q} ||\boldsymbol{G}_{q}||_{2}^{2}} = O(\sqrt{Qn}), \end{aligned}$$

under the normalisation $\frac{1}{n}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} = \boldsymbol{I}_R$.

Proof of Lemma F.1(iv). Follows by the same steps as in the proof of Lemma F.1(iii) and using Lemma A.2(vi). \Box

Proof of Lemma F.1(v). First, let $\varepsilon_h^* := (\varepsilon_{1-h}, ..., \varepsilon_{T-h})$, which is the $n \times T$ matrix of lagged error terms. By recursive substitution of the model, explicit expressions for $\mathfrak{Z}_p - \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}_p$ can be obtained as

$$\mathbf{\mathfrak{Z}}_{p} - \bar{\mathbf{\mathfrak{Z}}}_{p} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{W}_{q} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}^{h} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{h}^{*} & \text{for } p = 1, ..., Q \text{ with } q = p \\ \mathbf{0}_{n \times T} & \text{for } p = Q + 1, ..., Q + K^{*} \\ \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}^{h-1} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{h}^{*} & \text{for } p = Q + K^{*} + 1 \\ \mathbf{W}_{q} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}^{h-1} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{h}^{*} & \text{for } p = Q + K^{*} + 2, ..., P \text{ with } q = p - Q - K^{*} - 1 \end{cases}$$
(G.1)

Using these expressions, for p = 1, ..., Q,

$$||\mathbf{Z}_p - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_p||_2^2 = \left| \left| \mathbf{W}_q \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}^h \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_h^* \right| \right|_2^2 \le ||\mathbf{W}_q||_2^2 \left| \left| \sum_{h=1}^{T} \mathbf{A}^h \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_h^* + \boldsymbol{r} \right| \right|_2^2, \quad (G.2)$$

where $\mathbf{r} := \sum_{h=T+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}^h \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_h^*$. Let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{**} := (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1-T}, ..., \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1, ..., \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T)$, an $n \times 2T$ matrix. Latala (2005) shows that under Assumption 1.1 $\mathbb{E}\left[||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{**}||_2^2\right] = O(\max\{n, 2T\})$. Therefore, for the first term inside of the second norm on the right-hand side of (G.2),

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{h=1}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{h} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{h}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] \leq \|\mathbf{S}^{-1}\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{h=1}^{T} \|\mathbf{A}^{h}\|_{2}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{h}^{*}\|_{2}^{2}\right] \\
\leq \|\mathbf{S}^{-1}\|_{2}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{**}\|_{2}^{2}\right] \sum_{h=1}^{T} (\|\mathbf{A}\|_{2}^{2})^{h} = O(\max\{n, T\}), \tag{G.3}$$

which follows from Assumption 2.3 and noting that $||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_h^*||_2 \leq ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{**}||_2$ since $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_h^*$ is a sub matrix of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{**}$. Also, $\mathbb{E}[||\boldsymbol{r}||_2^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[||\boldsymbol{r}||_F^2] = O(n)$ follows from the proof of Lemma 4(2) in Shi and Lee (2017). Now,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\left|\mathbf{Z}_{p}-\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}\right|\right|_{2}^{2}\right] \leq \left|\left|\mathbf{W}_{q}\right|\right|_{2}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left|\left|\sum_{h=1}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{h} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{h}^{*}\right|\right|_{2} + \left|\left|\mathbf{r}\right|\right|_{2}\right)^{2}\right]. \tag{G.4}$$

Expanding the square in (G.4) it is straightforward to see that $\mathbb{E}\left[||\mathbf{Z}_p - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_p||_2^2\right] = O(\max\{n, T\})$. For $p = Q + 1, ..., Q + K^*$, $\mathbf{Z}_p - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_p = 0$. For $p = Q + K^* + 1, ..., P$, $\mathbb{E}[||\mathbf{Z}_p - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_p||_2^2] = O(\max\{n, T\})$, by similar arguments to those above.

Proof of Lemma F.1(vi).

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}||\varepsilon\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{p}'||_{F}^{2}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{i'=1}^{n}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{t'=1}^{T}\varepsilon_{it}\varepsilon_{it'}\bar{z}_{pi't}\bar{z}_{pi't'}\right] \\
= \sigma_{0}^{2}n\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{i'=1}^{n}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\bar{z}_{pi't}^{2}\right] = O(Pn^{2}T), \tag{G.5}$$

since the elements of $\bar{\mathbf{z}}_p$ are independent of the error term and have finite second moments.

Proof of Lemma F.1(vii).

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}||\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\varepsilon'\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}||_{F}^{2}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{T}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f_{\tau\tau}^{0}\varepsilon_{i\tau}\bar{z}_{pit}\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\tau'=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{\tau r}^{0} f_{\tau' r}^{0} \bar{z}_{pit} \bar{z}_{pjt} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \left[\varepsilon_{i\tau} \varepsilon_{j\tau'} \right] \right] \\
= \sigma_{0}^{2} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \left[(f_{\tau r}^{0})^{2} \bar{z}_{pit}^{2} \right] \\
\leq \sigma_{0}^{2} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[(f_{\tau r}^{0})^{4} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\bar{z}_{pit}^{4} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
= O(PnT^{2}). \tag{G.6}$$

This follows from Assumptions 1, 2.5 and 2.6 under which it can be shown that $\mathbb{E}[\bar{z}_{pjt}^4]$ is bounded uniformly in p, j and t. The second and third parts follow similarly.

Proof of Lemma F.1(viii).

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} - \sigma_{0}^{2}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\right\|_{F}^{2}\right] \\
= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{t}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} - \sigma_{0}^{2}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\right)^{2}\right] \\
= \frac{1}{T^{2}}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{\tau=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{t}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{\tau}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}\right] \\
- \frac{2}{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{t}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\right] + \sigma_{0}^{4}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\right] \\
= \frac{1}{T^{2}}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{\tau=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{t}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_{\tau}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}\right] - \sigma_{0}^{4}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\right]. \quad (G.7)$$

Using Lemma 3 in Yu et al. (2008),

$$\frac{1}{T^{2}}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{\tau=1}^{T}\varepsilon_{t}'\lambda_{s}^{0}\lambda_{r}^{0'}\varepsilon_{t}\varepsilon_{\tau}'\lambda_{s}^{0}\lambda_{r}^{0'}\varepsilon_{\tau}\right]$$

$$=\frac{1}{T^{2}}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\varepsilon_{t}'\lambda_{s}^{0}\lambda_{r}^{0'}\varepsilon_{t}\varepsilon_{t}'\lambda_{s}^{0}\lambda_{r}^{0'}\varepsilon_{t}\right] + \frac{1}{T^{2}}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{\tau\neq t}^{T}\varepsilon_{t}'\lambda_{s}^{0}\lambda_{r}^{0'}\varepsilon_{t}\varepsilon_{\tau}'\lambda_{s}^{0}\lambda_{r}^{0'}\varepsilon_{\tau}\right]$$

$$=\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}(\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\varepsilon}-3\sigma_{0}^{4})\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\lambda_{s}^{0}\lambda_{r}^{0'})_{ii}^{2} + \sigma_{0}^{4}(\operatorname{tr}(\lambda_{s}^{0}\lambda_{r}^{0'})^{2} + \operatorname{tr}(\lambda_{s}^{0}\lambda_{r}^{0'}\lambda_{s}^{0}\lambda_{r}^{0'}) + \operatorname{tr}(\lambda_{s}^{0}\lambda_{r}^{0'}\lambda_{s}^{0})\right]$$

$$+ \frac{\sigma_0^4(T-1)}{T} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^0} \sum_{s=1}^{R^0} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_s^0 \boldsymbol{\lambda}_r^{0'})^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^0} \sum_{s=1}^{R^0} (\mathcal{M}_4^{\varepsilon} - 3\sigma_0^4) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{\lambda}_s^0 \boldsymbol{\lambda}_r^{0'})_{ii}^2 + \sigma_0^4 (\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_s^0 \boldsymbol{\lambda}_r^{0'} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_s^0 \boldsymbol{\lambda}_r^{0'}) + \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_s^0 \boldsymbol{\lambda}_r^{0'} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_r^0 \boldsymbol{\lambda}_s^{0'}) \right]$$

$$+ \sigma_0^4 \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^0} \sum_{s=1}^{R^0} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_s^0 \boldsymbol{\lambda}_r^{0'})^2 \right]. \tag{G.8}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} - \sigma_{0}^{2}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\right\|_{F}^{2}\right] \\
= \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'})_{ii}^{2} + \sigma_{0}^{4}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{s}^{0}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0})\right] \\
= \frac{(\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\varepsilon} - 3\sigma_{0}^{4})}{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i,r}^{0^{2}}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i,s}^{0^{2}}\right] + \frac{\sigma_{0}^{4}}{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{ir}^{0}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{is}^{0}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{jr}^{0}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{js}^{0}\right] \\
+ \frac{\sigma_{0}^{4}}{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{s=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{is}^{0^{2}}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{jr}^{0^{2}}\right] = O\left(\frac{n^{2}}{T}\right), \tag{G.9}$$

using Assumptions 1 and 2.6. Hence, $||\frac{1}{T}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\mathbf{\Lambda}^0 - \sigma_0^2\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'}\mathbf{\Lambda}^0||_F = O_P\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{T}}\right)$. The second part of the lemma follows from analogous steps.

Proof of Lemma F.1(ix).

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\left|\left|\frac{1}{T}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} - \sigma_{0}^{2}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\right|\right|_{F}^{2}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{\tau=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}'\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{pt}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} - \sigma_{0}^{2}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{pt}'\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0}\right)^{2}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{T^{2}}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{\tau=1}^{T}\sum_{\tau'=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}'\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{pt}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau'}'\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{pt}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau'}\right] - \frac{2\sigma_{0}^{2}}{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{\tau=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}'\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{pt}'\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0}\right] \\ &+ \sigma_{0}^{4}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{r=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{pt}'\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{pt}'\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0}\right] \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{r=1}^{R^0} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau'=1}^{T} \varepsilon_{\tau}' \lambda_r^0 \bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{pt}' \varepsilon_{\tau} \varepsilon_{\tau'}' \lambda_r^0 \bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{pt}' \varepsilon_{\tau'} \right] - \sigma_0^4 \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{r=1}^{R^0} \bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{pt}' \lambda_r^0 \bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{pt}' \lambda_r^0 \right]. \tag{G.10}$$

Using Lemma 3 in Yu et al. (2008),

$$\frac{1}{T^{2}}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{\tau=1}^{T}\sum_{r'=1}^{T}\varepsilon_{\tau}'\lambda_{r}^{0}\bar{Z}_{pt}'\varepsilon_{\tau}\varepsilon_{\tau'}'\lambda_{r}^{0}\bar{Z}_{pt}'\varepsilon_{\tau'}\right]$$

$$=\frac{1}{T^{2}}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{\tau=1}^{T}\varepsilon_{\tau}'\lambda_{r}^{0}\bar{Z}_{pt}'\varepsilon_{\tau}\varepsilon_{\tau}'\lambda_{r}^{0}\bar{Z}_{pt}'\varepsilon_{\tau}\right] + \frac{1}{T^{2}}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{\tau'\neq\tau}^{T}\varepsilon_{\tau}'\lambda_{r}^{0}\bar{Z}_{pt}'\varepsilon_{\tau'}'\lambda_{r}^{0}\bar{Z}_{pt}'\varepsilon_{\tau'}\right]$$

$$=\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}(\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\varepsilon}-3\sigma_{0}^{4})\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\lambda_{r}^{0}\bar{Z}_{pt}')_{ii}^{2} + \sigma_{0}^{4}(\operatorname{tr}(\lambda_{r}^{0}\bar{Z}_{pt}')^{2} + \operatorname{tr}(\lambda_{r}^{0}\bar{Z}_{pt}'\lambda_{r}^{0}\bar{Z}_{pt}') + \operatorname{tr}(\lambda_{r}^{0}\bar{Z}_{pt}'\bar{Z}_{pt}\lambda_{r}^{0}))\right]$$

$$+\frac{\sigma_{0}^{4}(T-1)}{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\operatorname{tr}(\lambda_{r}^{0}\bar{Z}_{pt}')^{2}\right].$$
(G.11)

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\left\|\frac{1}{T}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} - \sigma_{0}^{2}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\right\|_{F}^{2}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}(\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\varepsilon} - 3\sigma_{0}^{4})\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{pt}')_{ii}^{2} + \sigma_{0}^{4}(\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{pt}'\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{pt}') + \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{pt}'\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{pt}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{r}^{0'}))\right]$$

$$= \frac{(\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\varepsilon} - 3\sigma_{0}^{4})}{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_{ir}^{0^{2}}\bar{z}_{pit}^{2}\right] + \frac{\sigma_{0}^{4}}{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_{jr}^{0}\bar{z}_{pit}\lambda_{jr}^{0}\bar{z}_{pjt}\right]$$

$$+ \frac{\sigma_{0}^{4}}{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{P}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{r=1}^{R^{0}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_{ir}^{0^{2}}\bar{z}_{pjt}^{2}\right] = O\left(Pn^{2}\right), \tag{G.12}$$

which establishes the first part. The second part is obtained similarly.

Proof of Lemma F.1(x).

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{nT} \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) &= \frac{1}{nT} \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \frac{1}{nT} \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &- \frac{1}{nT} \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) + \frac{1}{nT} \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) \\ &=: l_1 + \ldots + l_4. \end{split} \tag{G.13}$$

Using Lemma 9 in Yu et al. (2008), $l_1 = \sigma_0^2 + O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}}\right)$. For l_2 ,

$$\frac{1}{nT}|\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})| \le \frac{R^0}{nT}||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_2^2 = O_P\left(\frac{1}{\min\{n,T\}}\right). \tag{G.14}$$

Similarly for l_3 and l_4 , which gives the result.

Proof of Lemma F.2. Define

$$\check{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} := \underset{\boldsymbol{\Lambda} : \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \boldsymbol{I}_R}{\arg \min} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\check{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{y}_t - \boldsymbol{X}_t \boldsymbol{\check{\beta}})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} (\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\check{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{y}_t - \boldsymbol{X}_t \boldsymbol{\check{\beta}}). \tag{G.15}$$

Consider $\hat{\sigma}^2(\boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\breve{\Lambda}})$. Substituting in true DGP yields,

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\breve{\Lambda}}) = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}}) + \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t})' \times \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\breve{\Lambda}}}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}}) + \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}).$$
(G.16)

Expanding the above

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\breve{\Lambda}}) = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}}))' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\breve{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}}) + \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}}))' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\breve{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}}))' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\breve{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} + \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\breve{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\breve{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} + \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\breve{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$=: l_{1} + \ldots + l_{6}. \tag{G.17}$$

Now,

$$|l_1| \le \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}})||_2^2 = O_P(a_{nT}^2),$$
 (G.18)

$$|l_2| \leq \frac{2}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\check{\theta}})||_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\check{\rho}})||_2 ||\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}||_2 ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0||_F ||\boldsymbol{F}^0||_F = O_P(a_{nT}), \quad (G.19)$$

$$|l_3| \le \frac{2}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T || \mathbf{Z}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \breve{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) ||_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} || \mathbf{S}(\breve{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) ||_2 || \mathbf{S}^{-1} ||_2 || \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} ||_F = O_P(a_{nT}), \tag{G.20}$$

$$|l_4| \le \frac{2R^0}{nT} ||\mathbf{S}(\check{\boldsymbol{\rho}})||_2^2 ||\mathbf{S}^{-1}||_2^2 ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^0||_2 ||\mathbf{F}^0||_2 ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_2 = O_P(a_{nT}),$$
(G.21)

using Lemmas A.2(iii), A.2(vi) and A.3(i), and

$$l_{5} = \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{S}(\check{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \mathbf{M}_{\check{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{S}(\check{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}(((\mathbf{S}(\check{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1} - \mathbf{I}_{n})\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \mathbf{M}_{\check{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} (\mathbf{S}(\check{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1} - \mathbf{I}_{n})\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \frac{2}{nT} \operatorname{tr}(((\mathbf{S}(\check{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1} - \mathbf{I}_{n})\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \mathbf{M}_{\check{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \mathbf{P}_{\check{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$$

$$= O_{P}(Qa_{nT}^{2}) + O_{P}(\sqrt{Q}a_{nT}) + \sigma_{0}^{2} + O_{P}(a_{nT}^{2}), \tag{G.22}$$

using Lemmas A.2(vi) and A.2(viii), as well as, Lemma 9 in Yu et al. (2008). Similarly,

$$l_{6} = \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\check{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\check{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\check{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}(((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\check{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{I}_{n})\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\check{\Lambda}}}(\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\check{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{I}_{n})\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}) + \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\check{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})$$

$$+ \frac{2}{nT} \operatorname{tr}(((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\check{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{I}_{n})\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\check{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})$$

$$= O_{P}(Qa_{nT}^{2}) + \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\check{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}) + O_{P}(\sqrt{Q}a_{nT}), \tag{G.23}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii) and A.3(i). Combining (G.17) - (G.23) gives

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\breve{\Lambda}}) = \sigma_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\breve{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'}) + O_{P}(\sqrt{Q} a_{nT}). \tag{G.24}$$

Now, let $\Lambda^* := (\Lambda^0, A)$ for some $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (R-R^0)}$ such that $\Lambda^{*\top} \Lambda^* / n$ has rank R. Then, using similar steps to (G.18) - (G.23), it can be established that

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{*}) = \sigma_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{*}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'}) + O_{P}(\sqrt{Q} a_{nT})$$

$$= \sigma_{0}^{2} + O_{P}(\sqrt{Q} a_{nT}), \tag{G.25}$$

because $M_{\Lambda^*}\Lambda^0 = \mathbf{0}_{n \times R^0}$. By definition

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(\breve{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \breve{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}) \le \hat{\sigma}^2(\breve{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, {\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}^*), \tag{G.26}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\breve{\Lambda}}) - \hat{\sigma}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{*}) \leq 0. \tag{G.27}$$

Using (G.24) and (G.25) in (G.27) yields

$$\frac{1}{nT}\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\check{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}) + O_{P}(\sqrt{Q}a_{nT}) \leq 0, \tag{G.28}$$

whereby, because $(\mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{F}^{0'})' \mathbf{M}_{\check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{F}^{0'}$ is positive semidefinite, $\frac{1}{nT} \mathrm{tr}((\mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{F}^{0'})' \mathbf{M}_{\check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{F}^{0'}) \geq 0$ and thereby

$$\frac{1}{nT}\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\check{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}) = O_{P}(\sqrt{Q}a_{nT}). \tag{G.29}$$

Hence,

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(\boldsymbol{\breve{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\breve{\Lambda}}) = \sigma_0^2 + O_P(\sqrt{Q}a_{nT}). \tag{G.30}$$

Proof of Lemma F.3(i). Recall from the discussion of equation (A.1) that $\hat{\Lambda}$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{t}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{t}'\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}\boldsymbol{\Pi},\tag{G.31}$$

with the columns of $\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ being R eigenvectors of $\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_t \hat{\mathbf{e}}_t'$ associated with its R largest eigenvalues, and $\mathbf{\Pi}$ being a diagonal $R \times R$ matrix containing the largest R eigenvalues of $\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_t \hat{\mathbf{e}}_t'$ along its diagonal. With $\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} = \mathbf{I}_n + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_q^0 - \hat{\rho}_q) \mathbf{G}_q$ by Lemma A.2(i), expand (G.31) as

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} & \boldsymbol{\Pi} = \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))' \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})' \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}' \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} \right)' \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} \right)' \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))' \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})' \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & + \left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}^{\prime}\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q}(\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}\right)^{\prime}\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q}(\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}\right)^{\prime}\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))^{\prime}\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})^{\prime}\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q}(\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}\right)^{\prime}\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q}(\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}\right)^{\prime}\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{q=1}^{Q}(\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))^{\prime}\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{q=1}^{Q}(\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})^{\prime}\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{q=1}^{Q}(\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}^{\prime}\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{q=1}^{Q}(\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q}(\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}\right)^{\prime}\right)\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{q=1}^{Q}(\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q}(\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}\right)^{\prime$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))' \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})' \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}' \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}\right)' \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}\right)' \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$$

$$=: \boldsymbol{P}_{1} + \dots + \boldsymbol{P}_{25}. \tag{G.32}$$

Note that $\mathbf{P}_7 = \frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{F}^{0'} \mathbf{F}^0 \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$. Then,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}\boldsymbol{\Pi} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \left(\frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^0 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \right) = \boldsymbol{P}_1 + ... + \boldsymbol{P}_6 + \boldsymbol{P}_8 + ... + \boldsymbol{P}_{25}.$$

Since $\frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^0$ and $\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$ are both asymptotically invertible, by Assumption 6.3 and Lemma F.3(ii) respectively, let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* \coloneqq \operatorname{plim}_{n,T \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^0 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \right)^{-1}$ whereby,

$$\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{\Sigma}^* - \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 = (\mathbf{P}_1 + ... + \mathbf{P}_6 + \mathbf{P}_8 + ... + \mathbf{P}_{25})\mathbf{\Sigma}^*,$$

or

$$\hat{\Lambda} H^{*-1} - \Lambda^0 = (P_1 + \dots + P_6 + P_8 + \dots + P_{25}) \Sigma^*.$$
 (G.33)

Now,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}\boldsymbol{H}^{*-1} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(||\boldsymbol{P}_{1}||_{2} + \dots + ||\boldsymbol{P}_{6}||_{2} + ||\boldsymbol{P}_{8}||_{2} + \dots + ||\boldsymbol{P}_{25}||_{2}\right)||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2}. \quad (G.34)$$

The probability order of the 24 terms in (G.34) must be examined, though for brevity the calculations for similar terms are omitted. Also note that $||\mathbf{\Sigma}^*||_2 = O_P(1)$. Using Lemmas A.3(i) and F.1(i),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\boldsymbol{P}_1||_2 \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_2^2 ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_2 = O_P(||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2^2).$$
 (G.35)

Using Lemmas A.2(iii), A.3(i) and F.1(i),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\mathbf{P}_{2}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2}||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2}||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'}||_{F} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2}$$

$$= O_{P}(||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}). \tag{G.36}$$

Using Lemmas A.3(i) and F.1(i).

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||P_{3}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||Z_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2}||\varepsilon_{t}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||Z_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\varepsilon_{t}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||Z_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\varepsilon'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{F}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||Z_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\varepsilon||_{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{F}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right). \tag{G.37}$$

Using Lemmas A.2(i), A.2(iii), A.2(viii), A.3(i) and F.1(i),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} || \mathbf{P}_{4} ||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} || \mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) ||_{2} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \right\|_{2} || \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} ||_{2} || \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} ||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \right\|_{2} || \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} ||_{2} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} || \mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) ||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} || \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} ||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P}(\sqrt{Q} || \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} ||_{2}^{2}). \tag{G.38}$$

Using Lemmas A.2(i), A.2(vi), A.2(viii), A.3(i) and F.1(i),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\boldsymbol{P}_{5}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}||\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2}\left\|\sum_{q=1}^{Q}(\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\right\|_{2}||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}||_{2}||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_q^0 - \hat{\rho}_q) G_q \right\|_{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
= O_P(\sqrt{Q} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{2}). \tag{G.39}$$

Using Lemmas A.2(iii) and F.1(i).

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\mathbf{P}_{8}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}\mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}'||_{2}||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{nT}||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}\mathbf{F}^{0'}||_{F}||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2}$$

$$= O_{P}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{\min\{n,T\}}}\right). \tag{G.40}$$

Using Lemmas A.2(i), A.2(iii), A.2(viii) and F.1(i),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\mathbf{P}_{9}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \right\|_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{nT} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \right\|_{2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \right) ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{nT} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \right\|_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{F}^{0'}||_{F}^{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2}$$

$$= O_{P}(\sqrt{Q} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}). \tag{G.41}$$

Using Lemmas A.2(i), A.2(iii), A.2(viii) and F.1(i),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\boldsymbol{P}_{10}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'}||_{F} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \right\|_{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{Q} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right). \tag{G.42}$$

Using Lemma F.1(i),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\mathbf{P}_{13}||_{2} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{nT}||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2}||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} = O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\min\{n, T\}}\right). \tag{G.43}$$

Using Lemmas A.2(i), A.2(viii) and F.1(i),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\boldsymbol{P}_{15}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{nT}||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q} \right\|_{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} = O_{P}\left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\min\{n, T\}}\right). \quad (G.44)$$

Using Lemmas A.2(i), A.2(iii), A.2(viii) and F.1(i),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\boldsymbol{P}_{19}||_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{nT}\left\|\sum_{q=1}^{Q}(\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\right\|_{2}^{2}||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}||_{F}^{2}||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} = O_{P}(Q||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{2}). \quad (G.45)$$

Using Lemmas A.2(i), A.2(iii), A.2(viii) and F.1(i),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\boldsymbol{P}_{20}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{nT} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \right\|_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'}||_{F} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} = O_{P} \left(\frac{Q||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{2}}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right).$$
(G.46)

Finally, using Lemmas A.2(i), A.2(viii) and F.1(i),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\boldsymbol{P}_{25}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{nT} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})\boldsymbol{G}_{q} \right\|_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2}||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} = O_{P}\left(\frac{Q||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{2}}{\min\{n, T\}}\right). \tag{G.47}$$

The orders of the omitted terms follow similarly to those above. Collecting all the terms gives $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\hat{\Lambda} - \Lambda^0 H^*||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2) + O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right)$, which establishes the first part of the lemma. For the second part, the first order condition of the maximisation problem (7) yields the condition $\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}' = \frac{1}{n}\hat{\Lambda}'\left(\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{Y} - \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{\beta}_k \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_k\right)$. Substituting the true DGP into this expression yields

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}' = \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}' \left(\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{Y} - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \hat{\beta}_{k}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{k} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}' \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_{p}^{0} - \hat{\theta}_{p})\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p} \right) + \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}' \left(\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{I}_{n} \right) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}' \left(\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{I}_{n} \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'} + \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}. \tag{G.48}$$

Using $\mathbf{\Lambda}^0 = \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1} + \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1}$ and the normalisation $\frac{1}{n} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} = \mathbf{I}_R$, (G.48) can be rearranged to give

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}(\hat{\mathbf{F}}' - \mathbf{H}^{*-1}\mathbf{F}^{0'}) = \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_p^0 - \hat{\theta}_p) \mathbf{Z}_p \right) + \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \left(\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1} - \mathbf{I}_n \right) \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{F}^{0'}
+ \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \left(\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1} - \mathbf{I}_n \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \left(\mathbf{\Lambda}^0 - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}\mathbf{H}^{*-1} \right) \mathbf{F}^{0'} + \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
=: \mathbf{L}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{L}_5.$$
(G.49)

Each of these terms is examined. Starting with L_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} ||\boldsymbol{L}_{1}||_{2}^{2} &\leq \frac{1}{n^{2}T} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2}^{2} \left\| \sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_{p}^{0} - \hat{\theta}_{p}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p} \right\|_{F}^{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{2}T} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2}^{2} \operatorname{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}' \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2}^{2} \mu_{1} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{2}) ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{2} \\ &= O_{P}(||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{2}), \end{aligned}$$
(G.50)

using Lemma F.1(i) and Assumption 4.3. Therefore $||\boldsymbol{L}_1||_2 = O_P(||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2)$. Next,

$$||\boldsymbol{L}_2||_2 \le \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_2||\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{I}_n||_2||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2),$$
 (G.51)

$$||\boldsymbol{L}_3||_2 \le \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_2||\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{I}_n||_2||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_2 = O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right),$$
 (G.52)

$$||\mathbf{L}_{4}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2}||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}\mathbf{H}^{*-1}||_{2}||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{2}$$

$$= O_{P}(\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right), \tag{G.53}$$

and

$$||\boldsymbol{L}_5||_2 \le \frac{1}{n\sqrt{T}}||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_2||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_2 = O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right),\tag{G.54}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii), A.2(viii) and F.1(ii), and the first part of this lemma whereby $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}||\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}' - \boldsymbol{H}^{*-1}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2) + O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right).$

Proof of Lemma F.3(ii). Recall that $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\Lambda})$ is the maximiser of the penalised average likelihood function $\mathcal{Q}(\theta, \Lambda)$. By definition $\mathcal{Q}(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\Lambda}) \geq \mathcal{Q}(\theta^0, \hat{\Lambda})$, or equivalently,

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}) - \varrho(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \zeta) \leq \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}) - \varrho(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \zeta) \leq \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}). \tag{G.55}$$

By the same steps as those used to obtain (D.5) and (D.19) in the proof of Proposition 1, it can be shown that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log(\det(\mathbf{S})) - \frac{1}{2}\log(\sigma_0^2 + o_P(1)) + o_P(1) \le \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}) - \varrho(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \zeta). \tag{G.56}$$

Now $\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}})$ can be expanded to give

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}) = \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}))) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\right) + \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} + \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} + \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} \right) \\ =: \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}))) - \frac{1}{2} \log(l_{1} + \ldots + l_{6}). \tag{G.57}$$

Using similar steps to those for terms $k_1,...,k_5$ in the proof of Proposition 1, and the result of that Proposition, it can be shown terms l_1 and l_2 are $o_P(1)$, and also that $l_3 = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1})'\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1}) + o_P(1)$. Consider the remaining terms. Using Lemma A.2(iii),

$$|l_{4}| = \frac{2}{nT} \left| \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\mathbf{F}^{0'}) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{2R^{0}}{nT} ||\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\mathbf{F}^{0'}||_{F}$$

$$= \frac{2R^{0}}{nT} O_{P}(\sqrt{\max\{n,T\}}) O_{P}(\sqrt{nT}) = o_{P}(1). \tag{G.58}$$

Using Lemmas A.2(iii), A.3(i) and Proposition 1,

$$|l_{5}| \leq \frac{2}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2} ||\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{nT}} ||\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (||\mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{nT}} ||\mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{S}^{-1}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{F}$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{nT}} O_{P}(\sqrt{n}) O_{P}(||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) O_{P}(\sqrt{T}) = o_{P}(1). \tag{G.59}$$

For term l_6 ,

$$l_6 = rac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^T ((oldsymbol{S}(\hat{oldsymbol{
ho}})oldsymbol{S}^{-1} - oldsymbol{I}_n)oldsymbol{\Lambda}^0oldsymbol{f}_t^0)'oldsymbol{M}_{\hat{oldsymbol{\Lambda}}}(oldsymbol{S}(\hat{oldsymbol{
ho}})oldsymbol{S}^{-1} - oldsymbol{I}_n)oldsymbol{\Lambda}^0oldsymbol{f}_t^0$$

$$+\frac{2}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}((\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}-\boldsymbol{I}_{n})\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}+\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$=:l_{6.1}+l_{6.2}+\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}.$$
(G.60)

By way of Lemmas A.2(iii), A.2(viii) and Proposition 1,

$$|l_{6.1}| \leq \frac{1}{nT} || \mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} - \mathbf{I}_{n} ||_{2}^{2} || \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} ||_{2}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} || \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} ||_{2} || \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} ||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} || \mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} - \mathbf{I}_{n} ||_{2}^{2} || \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} ||_{2}^{2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} || \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} ||_{2}^{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} || \mathbf{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{S}^{-1} - \mathbf{I}_{n} ||_{2}^{2} || \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} ||_{F}^{2} || \mathbf{F}^{0} ||_{F}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} O_{P}(Q || \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} ||_{2}^{2}) O_{P}(n) O_{P}(T) = o_{P}(1). \tag{G.61}$$

Similar steps show that $l_{6.2} = o_P(1)$. Returning to (G.56), then

$$\frac{1}{n}\log(\det(\boldsymbol{S})) - \frac{1}{2}\log(\sigma_0^2 + o_P(1)) + o_P(1) \le \frac{1}{n}\log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})))$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0\boldsymbol{f}_t^0)'\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0\boldsymbol{f}_t^0 + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n}\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) + o_P(1)\right). \tag{G.62}$$

Recall $\frac{1}{n}\log(\det(\boldsymbol{S})) - \frac{1}{2}\log(\sigma_0^2) - \frac{1}{n}\log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}))) = -\frac{1}{2}\log(\sigma_0^2\det((\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{n}}).$ Using Lemma A.1, $\sigma_0^2\det((\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{n}} - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n}\mathrm{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) \leq 0.$ Therefore multiplying (G.62) by -2, as well as exponentiating, gives

$$\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{f}_t^0)' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{f}_t^0 + o_P(1) \le 0.$$
 (G.63)

The quadratic form $\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$ is nonnegative and so $\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} = o_{P}(1)$. Now, $\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} = \frac{1}{nT}\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\boldsymbol{F}^{0}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0})$. Since the matrix $\frac{1}{T}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\boldsymbol{F}^{0}$ is asymptotically positive definite by Assumption 6.3,

$$\frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} = \frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\left(\boldsymbol{I} - \frac{1}{n}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\right)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} = \frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} - \frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}\frac{1}{n}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} = o_{P}(1).$$

The matrix $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}$ is asymptotically invertible by Assumption 6.2 and therefore $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'}\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}\frac{1}{n}\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}$ is also. Since $\det\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'}\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}\frac{1}{n}\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}\right) = \det\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'}\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}\right)^{2}$, $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'}\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ converges in probability to an invertible matrix.

Proof of Lemma F.3(iii). Write

$$\frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} = \frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{H}^*) + \frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{H}^* =: \mathbf{L}_1 + \mathbf{L}_2.$$
 (G.64)

By Lemma F.1(ii) $||\boldsymbol{L}_2||_2 = O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}}\right)$. For \boldsymbol{L}_1 , by decomposition (G.33) in the proof of Lemma F.3(i),

$$L_{1} = \frac{1}{nT} F^{0'} \varepsilon' (\hat{\Lambda} H^{*-1} - \Lambda^{0}) H^{*}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} F^{0'} \varepsilon' (P_{1} + ... + P_{6} + P_{7} + ... + P_{25}) \Sigma^{*} H^{*}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} F^{0'} \varepsilon' (P_{2} + P_{6} + P_{8} + P_{9} + P_{11} + P_{12} + P_{17}) \Sigma^{*} H^{*}$$

$$+ O_{P} \left(\frac{1}{\min\{n\sqrt{T}, T^{1.5}\}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}||\theta^{0} - \hat{\theta}||_{2}}{\min\{\sqrt{nT}, T\}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{Q||\theta^{0} - \hat{\theta}||_{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \right)$$

$$=: L_{1.1} + ... + L_{1.7} + O_{P} \left(\frac{1}{\min\{n\sqrt{T}, T^{1.5}\}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}||\theta^{0} - \hat{\theta}||_{2}}{\min\{\sqrt{nT}, T\}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{Q||\theta^{0} - \hat{\theta}||_{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \right).$$
(G.65)

The probability order of the remaining 7 terms is examined more closely. Starting with $L_{1.1} := \frac{1}{nT} F^{0'} \varepsilon' P_2 \Sigma^* H^*$,

$$L_{1.1} = \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_p^0 - \hat{\theta}_p) \mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_p \mathbf{F}^0 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{H}^*$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_p^0 - \hat{\theta}_p) \mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_p \mathbf{F}^0 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{H}^*$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_p^0 - \hat{\theta}_p) \mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_p - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_p) \mathbf{F}^0 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{H}^*$$

$$=: \boldsymbol{L}_{1.1.1} + \boldsymbol{L}_{1.1.2}. \tag{G.66}$$

Next, using Lemmas A.2(iii), F.1(i) and F.1(vii),

$$||\boldsymbol{L}_{1.1.1}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{H}^{*}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_{p}^{0} - \hat{\theta}_{p})^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{H}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_P \left(\frac{\sqrt{P} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\sqrt{nT}} \right), \tag{G.67}$$

and

$$||\mathbf{L}_{1.1.2}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{F}^{0} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{H}^{*}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_{p}^{0} - \hat{\theta}_{p})^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{Z}_{p} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{H}^{*}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{Z}_{p} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\min \{\sqrt{nT}, T\}} \right), \tag{G.68}$$

by Lemmas A.2(iii), F.1(i), F.1(ii) and F.1(v). Hence $||\boldsymbol{L}_{1.1}||_2 = O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\min\{\sqrt{nT}, T\}}\right)$. For $\boldsymbol{L}_{1.2} \coloneqq \frac{1}{nT}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{P}_6\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*\boldsymbol{H}^*$,

$$L_{1.2} = \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{\tau}^{0} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{\tau} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{H}^{*}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_{p}^{0} - \hat{\theta}_{p}) \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}'_{p} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{H}^{*}.$$
(G.69)

Then,

$$||\mathbf{L}_{1.2}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0'}||_{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\mathbf{H}^{*}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_{p}^{0} - \hat{\theta}_{p})^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0'}||_{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\mathbf{H}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{nT}} \right), \tag{G.70}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii), A.2(iv), F.1(i) F.1(ii). Next,

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{1.3} := \frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{P}_8 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{H}^* = \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{H}^*, \tag{G.71}$$

and

$$||\boldsymbol{L}_{1.3}||_2 \leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0||_2 ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{F}^0||_2 ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_2 ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*||_2 ||\boldsymbol{H}^*||_2 = O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}T}\right),$$

by Lemmas F.1(i), F.1(ii) and F.1(ii). As for $L_{1.4} := \frac{1}{nT} F^{0'} \varepsilon' P_9 \Sigma^* H^*$,

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{1.4} = \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_q^0 - \hat{\rho}_q) \boldsymbol{G}_q \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \right)' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{H}^*,$$
(G.72)

and therefore

$$||\mathbf{L}_{1.4}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \right\|_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\mathbf{H}^{*}||_{2}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{Q} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{nT}} \right), \tag{G.73}$$

using Lemmas A.2(i), A.2(iii), A.2(viii), F.1(i) and F.1(ii). For $\boldsymbol{L}_{1.5} \coloneqq \frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{P}_{11} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{H}^*$,

$$L_{1.5} = \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_p^0 - \hat{\theta}_p) \mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' \varepsilon \mathbf{Z}_p' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^* \mathbf{H}^*$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_p^0 - \hat{\theta}_p) \mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' \varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_p' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^* \mathbf{H}^*$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_p^0 - \hat{\theta}_p) \mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' \varepsilon (\mathbf{Z}_p - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_p)' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^* \mathbf{H}^*$$

$$=: \mathbf{L}_{1.5.1} + \mathbf{L}_{1.5.2}. \tag{G.74}$$

By Lemmas F.1(i), F.1(ii) and F.1(vi),

$$||\mathbf{L}_{1.5.1}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\mathbf{H}^{*}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} (\boldsymbol{\theta}_{p}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{p})^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}'_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\mathbf{H}^{*}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}'_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{T} \right). \tag{G.75}$$

Similarly,

$$||\boldsymbol{L}_{1.5.2}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_{p}^{0} - \hat{\theta}_{p})^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\mathbf{H}^{*}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{Z}_{p} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\min\{n\sqrt{T}, T^{1.5}\}} \right), \tag{G.76}$$

using Lemmas F.1(i), F.1(ii) and F.1(v). Hence $||\boldsymbol{L}_{1.5}||_2 = O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{T}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\min\{n\sqrt{T}, T^{1.5}\}}\right)$. Next for $\boldsymbol{L}_{1.6} \coloneqq \frac{1}{nT}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\varepsilon'\boldsymbol{P}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*\boldsymbol{H}^* = \frac{1}{nT}\frac{1}{nT}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\varepsilon'\varepsilon\boldsymbol{F}^0\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*\boldsymbol{H}^*$,

$$||\boldsymbol{L}_{1.6}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}^{*}||_{2} = O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right),$$
 (G.77)

by Lemmas A.2(iii), F.1(i) and F.1(ii). Finally, for term $L_{1.7} := \frac{1}{nT} F^{0'} \varepsilon' P_{17} \Sigma^* H^*$

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{1.7} = \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_q^0 - \hat{\rho}_q) \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{G}_q \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^0 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{H}^*.$$
 (G.78)

Since G_q is UB over q, $\left(\sum_{q=1}^Q ||F^{0'}\varepsilon'G_q\mathbf{\Lambda}^0||_2^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = O_P(\sqrt{QnT})$ by the same steps as in Lemma F.1(ii). Thus,

$$||\mathbf{L}_{1.7}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q})^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \mathbf{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}^{*}||_{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{\rho}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \mathbf{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}^{*}||_{2}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{Q} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{nT}} \right)$$
(G.79)

with the additional use of Lemmas A.2(iii) and F.1(i). Combining all the above gives the result

$$\frac{1}{nT}||\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} = O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}}\right) + O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) + O_{P}\left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{2}}{\sqrt{T}}\right) + O_{P}\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\min\{\sqrt{nT}, T\}}\right).$$

Proof of Lemma F.3(iv). Decompose

$$-\frac{1}{n^2 T^2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_t' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{f}_t^0$$
 (G.80)

62

$$\frac{1}{nT}\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{P}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} - \frac{1}{nT}\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} =: \boldsymbol{l}_{1} + \boldsymbol{l}_{2}.$$
 (G.81)

Consider the first term

$$\mathbf{l}_{1} = \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \left(\mathbf{P}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}} \right) \varepsilon_{\tau} \varepsilon_{\tau}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}
+ \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}} \varepsilon_{\tau} \varepsilon_{\tau}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}
=: \mathbf{l}_{1.1} + \mathbf{l}_{1.2}.$$
(G.82)

For the first of these,

$$||\boldsymbol{l}_{1.1}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}||_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{F}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{QP} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\min\{n, T\}}\right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n^{1.5}, T^{1.5}\}}\right)$$
(G.83)

using Lemmas A.2(ii), A.2(iv), F.1(i) and F.3(v). For the second term,

$$l_{1.2} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' (\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H}^{*}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H}^{*} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$=: \boldsymbol{l}_{1.2.1} + \boldsymbol{l}_{1.2.2}. \tag{G.84}$$

Using Lemmas A.2(ii), A.2(iv), F.3(i) and F.1(ii) and that, by Assumption 6.2, $\left(\frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\right)^{-1}$ is converging to a fixed positive definite matrix,

$$\begin{aligned} ||\boldsymbol{l}_{1.2.1}||_{2} &\leq \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H}^{*}||_{2} \\ &\times ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_t||_2^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\mathbf{F}^0||_F$$

$$= O_P \left(\frac{\sqrt{QP}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\min\{n, \sqrt{nT}\}}\right) + O_P \left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n^{1.5}, \sqrt{nT}\}}\right). \tag{G.85}$$

Next,

$$\mathbf{l}_{1.2.2} = \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_t' \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 - \sigma_0^2 \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \right) \mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{\Sigma}^* \mathbf{f}_t^0
+ \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_t' \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \right)^{-1} \sigma_0^2 \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{\Sigma}^* \mathbf{f}_t^0
=: \mathbf{l}_{1.2.2.1} + \mathbf{l}_{1.2.2.2},$$
(G.86)

where

$$||\boldsymbol{l}_{1.2.2.1}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2} \left\| \frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} - \sigma_{0}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \right\|_{2} \\
\times ||\boldsymbol{H}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2} \\
\leq \frac{1}{n^{2}} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2} \left\| \frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} - \sigma_{0}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \right\|_{2} \\
\times ||\boldsymbol{H}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2} \\
= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{n\sqrt{T}} \right)$$
(G.87)

by Lemmas A.2(iii), A.2(iv) and F.1(viii). Collecting these results together,

$$l_{1} = l_{1.2.2.2} + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{QP} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\min\{n, T\}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n^{1.5}, T^{1.5}\}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{n\sqrt{T}} \right). \quad (G.88)$$

Turning to l_2 in (G.81),

$$\mathbf{l}_{2} = -\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H}^{*}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} - \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H}^{*} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}
=: \boldsymbol{l}_{2.1} + \boldsymbol{l}_{2.2},$$
(G.89)

where

$$||\boldsymbol{l}_{2.1}||_2 \leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_t||_2 ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_2^2 ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{H}^*||_2 ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*||_2 ||\boldsymbol{f}_t^0||_2$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{F}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{QP} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\min\{n, T\}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n^{1.5}, T^{1.5}\}} \right), \tag{G.90}$$

and hence

$$l_{2} = l_{2.2} + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{QP} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\min\{n, T\}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n^{1.5}, T^{1.5}\}} \right).$$
 (G.91)

Combining (G.88) and (G.91), ignoring dominated terms, and recalling the definition of P_{Λ^0} ,

$$\boldsymbol{l}_{1} + \boldsymbol{l}_{2} = -\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}' \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' - T \sigma_{0}^{2} \boldsymbol{I}_{n} \right) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H}^{*} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}
+ \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{QP} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\min\{n, T\}} \right) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n^{1.5}, T^{1.5}\}} \right) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{n\sqrt{T}} \right).$$
(G.92)

Now,

$$-\frac{1}{nT}\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}'\left(\varepsilon\varepsilon' - T\sigma_{0}^{2}\mathbf{I}_{n}\right)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}^{*}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{nT}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{t}'\left(\frac{1}{T}\varepsilon\varepsilon' - \sigma_{0}^{2}\mathbf{I}_{n}\right)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}^{*}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \frac{1}{nT}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=1}^{T}(\mathbf{Z}_{t} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{t})'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}^{*}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$-\frac{1}{nT}\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}(\mathbf{Z}_{t} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{t})'\varepsilon\varepsilon'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}^{*}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$=: \boldsymbol{j}_{1} + \boldsymbol{j}_{2} + \boldsymbol{j}_{3}. \tag{G.93}$$

Consider each of these three terms. Using Lemmas A.2(ii) and F.1(ix),

$$||\boldsymbol{j}_{1}||_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{n^{4}T^{2}} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}'\left(\frac{1}{T}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' - \sigma_{0}^{2}\boldsymbol{I}_{n}\right)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}^{*}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n^{4}T^{2}}R^{2}||\boldsymbol{H}^{*}||_{2}^{2}||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2}^{2}||\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}||_{2}^{2} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \left\|\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}'\left(\frac{1}{T}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' - \sigma_{0}^{2}\boldsymbol{I}_{n}\right)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= O_{P}\left(\frac{P}{n^{2}T}\right), \tag{G.94}$$

whereby $||\boldsymbol{j}_1||_2 = O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{n\sqrt{T}}\right)$. By similar steps, and using Lemmas A.2(ii) and F.1(v), it can be shown that $||\boldsymbol{j}_2||_2$ and $||\boldsymbol{j}_3||_2$ are $O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n^{1.5},\sqrt{nT}\}}\right)$. Combining all these results and ignoring dominated terms gives

$$-\frac{1}{n^2 T^2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_t' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{f}_t^0 = \mathbf{O}_P \left(\frac{\sqrt{QP} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\min\{n, T\}} \right) + \mathbf{O}_P \left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n^{1.5}, T^{1.5}\}} \right).$$
(G.95)

Proof of Lemma F.3(v). Following Lemma A.7(i) in Bai (2009), first note that

$$\left| \left| \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} (\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{H}^*) \right| \right|_2 \le \frac{1}{n} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^0||_2 ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{H}^*||_2$$

$$= O_P(\sqrt{Q} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2) + O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right), \tag{G.96}$$

and

$$\left| \left| \frac{1}{n} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}' (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{H}^*) \right| \right|_2 \le \frac{1}{n} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_2 ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{H}^*||_2$$

$$= O_P(\sqrt{Q} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2) + O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right). \tag{G.97}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}^{*}) = \frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}^{*}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right), \tag{G.98}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}^{*}) = \frac{1}{n}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \frac{1}{n}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}^{*}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{I}_{R} - \frac{1}{n}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}^{*}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right). \tag{G.99}$$

Left multiply (G.98) by $\mathbf{H}^{*'}$ and use the transpose of (G.99) to obtain

$$I_R - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{H}^{*'} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{H}^* = \mathbf{O}_P(\sqrt{Q} || \boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} ||_2) + \mathbf{O}_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right).$$
 (G.100)

Next, noting that $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0\boldsymbol{H}} = \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0}$ for any invertible $\boldsymbol{H},$

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} &= \boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}} \\ &= (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}})^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})' + (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}})^{-1}\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \\ &+ \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}})^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})' + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}((\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}})^{-1} - (\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})^{-1})\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \\ &= \frac{1}{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})\left(\frac{1}{n}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}\right)^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})' + \frac{1}{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})\left(\frac{1}{n}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}\right)^{-1}\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \\ &+ \frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}\left(\frac{1}{n}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}\right)^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0})' \\ &+ \frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}\right)^{-1} - \left(\frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}\right)^{-1}\right)\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}. \end{split} \tag{G.101}$$

Recalling $\frac{1}{n}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} = \boldsymbol{I}_R$, (G.101) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} &= \frac{1}{n} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{H}) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{H})' + \frac{1}{n} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{H}) \boldsymbol{H}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{H} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{H})' + \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{H} \left(\boldsymbol{I}_R - \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{H}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{H} \right)^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{H}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \\ &=: \boldsymbol{L}_1 + \boldsymbol{L}_2 + \boldsymbol{L}_3 + \boldsymbol{L}_4. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing H to be the H^* given in Lemma F.3(i), Lemmas A.2(iii), F.3(i) and equation (G.100) can be exploited to give

$$||\mathbf{L}_{1}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{n}||\mathbf{\Lambda} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}\mathbf{H}^{*}||_{2}^{2} = O_{P}(Q||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{2}) + O_{P}\left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\min\{n, T\}}\right) + O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\min\{n, T\}}\right)$$

$$||\mathbf{L}_{2}||_{2} = ||\mathbf{L}_{3}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\mathbf{\Lambda} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}\mathbf{H}^{*}||_{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}||\mathbf{H}^{*}||_{2} = O_{P}(\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right)$$

$$||\mathbf{L}_{4}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{n}||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}^{2}||\mathbf{H}^{*}||_{2}^{2}\left||\mathbf{I}_{R} - \left(\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{H}^{*'}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}\mathbf{H}^{*}\right)^{-1}\right||_{2} = O_{P}(\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right).$$

The three results above gives

$$||\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0}||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2) + O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right), \tag{G.102}$$

which concludes the first part of the lemma. The second part can be shown similarly, using Lemmas F.3(i) and F.3(vi).

Proof of Lemma F.3(vi). From equation (G.49) in the proof of Lemma F.3(i),

$$egin{aligned} rac{1}{\sqrt{T}}m{\hat{F}}' &= rac{1}{\sqrt{T}n}m{\hat{\Lambda}}'\left(\sum_{p=1}^P(heta_p^0 - \hat{ heta}_p)m{\mathfrak{Z}}_p
ight) + rac{1}{\sqrt{T}n}m{\hat{\Lambda}}'\left(m{S}(m{\hat{
ho}})m{S}^{-1} - m{I}_n
ight)m{\Lambda}^0m{F}^{0'} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}n} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \left(\boldsymbol{S}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{I}_n \right) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}n} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}n} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

$$=: \boldsymbol{L}_1 + \boldsymbol{L}_2 + \boldsymbol{L}_3 + \boldsymbol{L}_4 + \boldsymbol{L}_5. \tag{G.103}$$

It was shown in the proof of Lemma F.3(i) that $||\boldsymbol{L}_1||_2, ||\boldsymbol{L}_2||_2, ||\boldsymbol{L}_3||_2, ||\boldsymbol{L}_5||_2 = O_P(1)$. For $\boldsymbol{L}_4, ||\boldsymbol{L}_4^*||_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{T_n}}||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_2||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}||_2 = O_P(1)$, using Lemmas A.2(iii) and F.1(i), which gives the result.

Proof of Lemma F.3(vii).

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathcal{Z}^{*'}(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}'_{1}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}'_{P}(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})'(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})'(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathcal{Z}^{*'}(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$$

$$=: \boldsymbol{T}_{1} + \boldsymbol{T}_{2} + \boldsymbol{T}_{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathcal{Z}^{*'}(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}). \tag{G.104}$$

Terms T_1, T_2 and T_3 are now examined. Starting with T_1 ,

$$T_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}'_{1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}'_{P}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}'_{1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}'_{P}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}'_{1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}'_{P}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \left(\operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{Z}_{1}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \left(\boldsymbol{I}_{R} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{H}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \right)^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{H}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \right) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \left(\operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{Z}_{P}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \left(\boldsymbol{I}_{R} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{H}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \right)^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{H}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \right) \right) \\ =: \boldsymbol{T}_{1.1} + \boldsymbol{T}_{1.2} + \boldsymbol{T}_{1.3} + \boldsymbol{T}_{1.4}. \tag{G.105}$$

For term $T_{1.1}$,

$$||T_{1.1}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{R}{n} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{Z}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}||_{2}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\sqrt{P} Q \sqrt{\max\{n, T\}} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{2} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{Q} \sqrt{P} \sqrt{\max\{n, T\}} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right)$$

$$+ O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P} \sqrt{\max\{n, T\}}}{\min\{n, T\}} \right), \tag{G.106}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iv) and F.3(i). Next,

$$T_{1.2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{Z}_{1} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1})'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} \\ - \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{Z}_{1} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1})'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{Z}_{P} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P})'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{H})\boldsymbol{H}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} \\ =: T_{1.2.1} + T_{1.2.2} + T_{1.2.3} + T_{1.2.4}. \tag{G.107}$$

Considering the four terms above,

$$||\boldsymbol{T}_{1.2.1}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{R}{n} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{p}'||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\sqrt{QP} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2} \right) + O_{P} \left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{\min\{n, T\}}} \right), \tag{G.108}$$

$$||\boldsymbol{T}_{1.2.2}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{R}{n} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{QP} \sqrt{\max\{n, T\}} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P} \sqrt{\max\{n, T\}}}{\min\{n, \sqrt{nT}\}} \right), \quad (G.109)$$

$$||\boldsymbol{T}_{1.2.3}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{R}{nT} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\sqrt{QP} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2} \right) + O_{P} \left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{\min\{n, T\}}} \right), \tag{G.110}$$

and

$$||\mathbf{T}_{1.2.4}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{R}{nT} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H}||_{2} ||\mathbf{H}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{F}^{0}||_{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{T} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \mathbf{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{Z}_{p} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{QP} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n, T\}} \right), \tag{G.111}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii), A.2(iv), F.1(ii), F.1(v), F.1(vii) and F.3(i). For term $T_{1.3}$,

$$T_{1.3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H}(\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H})'\varepsilon) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H}(\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H})'\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{Z}_{1} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1})' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H}(\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H})'\varepsilon) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H}(\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H})'\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H}(\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H})'\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H}(\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H})'\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{Z}_{1} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1})' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H}(\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H})'\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{Z}_{P} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P})' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H}(\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H})'\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=: T_{1.3.1} + T_{1.3.2} + T_{1.3.3} + T_{1.3.4}. \tag{G.112}$$

Consider terms $T_{1.3.2}$ and $T_{1.3.4}$. One has

$$||\boldsymbol{T}_{1.3.2}||_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{R}{n} ||\boldsymbol{H}||_2 ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{H}||_2 ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_2 \left(\sum_{p=1}^P ||\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}_p - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}}_p||_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0||_2$$

$$= O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{QP}\sqrt{\max\{n,T\}}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}\sqrt{\max\{n,T\}}}{\min\{n,T\}}\right), \quad (G.113)$$

and

$$||\boldsymbol{T}_{1.3.4}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{R}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}||_{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2} \left(\sum_{P=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{p} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{QP} \sqrt{\max\{n, T\}} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P} \sqrt{\max\{n, T\}}}{\min\{\sqrt{nT}, T\}} \right), \quad (G.114)$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii), F.1(ii), F.1(v) and F.3(i). The analysis of term $T_{1.3.1}$ is more involved. Using the same expansion as in the proof of Lemma F.3(iii) one arrives at

$$T_{1.3.1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{1}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{H}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{H}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0})' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{P}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{H}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{H}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0})' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{1}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{H}'(\boldsymbol{P}_{2} + \boldsymbol{P}_{6} + \boldsymbol{P}_{8} + \boldsymbol{P}_{9} + \boldsymbol{P}_{11} + \boldsymbol{P}_{12} + \boldsymbol{P}_{17})' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{P}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{H}'(\boldsymbol{P}_{2} + \boldsymbol{P}_{6} + \boldsymbol{P}_{8} + \boldsymbol{P}_{9} + \boldsymbol{P}_{11} + \boldsymbol{P}_{12} + \boldsymbol{P}_{17})' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{QP} \sqrt{\max\{n, T\}} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P} \sqrt{\max\{n, T\}}}{\min\{n, T\}} \right)$$

$$=: \boldsymbol{T}_{1.3.1.1} + \dots + \boldsymbol{T}_{1.3.1.7} + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(Q\sqrt{P} \sqrt{\max\{n, T\}} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}} \right) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P} \sqrt{\max\{n, T\}}}{\min\{n, T\}} \right). \quad (G.115)$$

Each of the remaining 7 subterms in (G.115) must also be considered. Using Lemmas A.2(iii), F.1(i) and F.1(vii),

$$||\boldsymbol{T}_{1.3.1.1}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{R}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\hat{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{p'=1}^{P} ||\bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}'_{p'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}'_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(P ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2} \right). \tag{G.116}$$

Using Lemmas A.2(iii), A.3(i), F.1(i) and F.1(vii),

$$||\boldsymbol{T}_{1.3.1.2}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{n} \frac{R}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\hat{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{F} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\tilde{Z}}_{p}'||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{t} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\hat{\theta}})||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_P(\sqrt{P}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2). \tag{G.117}$$

Using Lemmas A.2(iii), F.1(i), F.1(ii) F.1(vii),

$$||\mathbf{T}_{1.3.1.3}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{R}{nT} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{H}||_{2}^{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{F}^{0}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{T}} \right). \tag{G.118}$$

Also, using Lemmas A.2(i), A.2(iii), A.2(viii), F.1(i) and F.1(vii),

$$||\mathbf{T}_{1.3.1.4}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{R}{nT} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}^{2} ||\mathbf{H}||_{2}^{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \right\|_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \varepsilon \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}'||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P}(\sqrt{QP} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}). \tag{G.119}$$

For term $T_{1.3.1.5}$,

$$T_{1.3.1.5} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} (\theta_{p'}^{0} - \hat{\theta}_{p'}) \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p'} \varepsilon' \varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{Z}}'_{1} \right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} (\theta_{p'}^{0} - \hat{\theta}_{p'}) \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p'} \varepsilon' \varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{Z}}'_{P} \right) \end{pmatrix} \\ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} (\theta_{p'}^{0} - \hat{\theta}_{p'}) \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' (\mathbf{Z}_{p'} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p'}) \varepsilon' \varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{Z}}'_{1} \right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} (\theta_{p'}^{0} - \hat{\theta}_{p'}) \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' (\mathbf{Z}_{p'} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p'}) \varepsilon' \varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{Z}}'_{P} \right) \end{pmatrix} \\ =: T_{1,3,1,5,1} + T_{1,3,1,5,2}, \tag{G.120}$$

where

$$||\mathbf{T}_{1.3.1.5.1}||^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{H}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\hat{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} ||\mathbf{\tilde{Z}}_{p'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{\tilde{Z}}'_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{P\sqrt{n} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \right), \tag{G.121}$$

and

$$||\boldsymbol{T}_{1.3.1.5.2}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{R}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}||_{2}^{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p'} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p'}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}'||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p'}'||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p'}'||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p'}'||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p'}'||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p'}'||_{2}^{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p'}'||_{2}^{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

$$= O_P \left(\frac{P\sqrt{n}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\min\{n, T\}} \right), \tag{G.122}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii), F.1(i), F.1(v) and F.1(vi). For term $T_{1.3.1.6}$

$$T_{1.3.1.6} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' \varepsilon) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' \varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{G.123}$$

Lastly, using Lemmas A.2(iii), F.1(i) and F.1(vii),

$$||\boldsymbol{T}_{1.3.1.7}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{R}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}||_{2}^{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\rho}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{G}_{q}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{p}'||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{QP} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \right). \tag{G.124}$$

Combining all the above results gives

$$T_{1.3.1} = T_{1.3.1.6} + O_P\left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{T}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}\sqrt{\max\{n,T\}}}{\min\{n,T\}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{P\sqrt{\max\{n,T\}}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\min\{n,T\}}\right) + O_P\left(P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2\right) + O_P\left(Q\sqrt{P}\sqrt{\max\{n,T\}}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}}\frac{1}{n}\frac{1}{nT}T_{1.3.1.6} + o_P(1),$$
(G.125)

since $T/n \to c$ by Assumption 6.4, and $||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2$ is at least of order a_{nT} by Proposition 1. Analogous steps for term $\boldsymbol{T}_{1.3.3}$ yield

$$T_{1.3.3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' \varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' \varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{o}_{P}(1).$$
 (G.126)

Together (G.125) and (G.126) give the result

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{T}_{1.3} &= \boldsymbol{T}_{1.3.1.6} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{1}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{F}^{0^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{P}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{F}^{0^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{o}_{P}(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{F}^{0^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{1}^{\prime} - \sigma_{0}^{2} \boldsymbol{F}^{0^{\prime}} \bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{1}^{\prime} \right) \right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{F}^{0^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{1}^{\prime} - \sigma_{0}^{2} \boldsymbol{F}^{0^{\prime}} \bar{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{P}^{\prime} \right) \right) \end{split}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}'_{1} \right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}'_{2} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}'_{1} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' \varepsilon \mathbf{F}^{0} - \sigma_{0}^{2} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \mathbf{F}^{0} \right) \left(\frac{1}{T} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \mathbf{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}'_{P} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' \varepsilon \mathbf{F}^{0} - \sigma_{0}^{2} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \mathbf{F}^{0} \right) \left(\frac{1}{T} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \mathbf{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}'_{1} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}} \right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}'_{P} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}} \right) \end{pmatrix} + o_{P}(1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' \varepsilon \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}'_{1} - \sigma_{0}^{2} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}'_{1} \right) \right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}'_{1} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' \varepsilon \mathbf{F}^{0} - \sigma_{0}^{2} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \mathbf{F}^{0} \right) \left(\frac{1}{T} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \mathbf{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \right) \right)$$

$$+ o_{P}(1)$$

$$=: \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + o_{P}(1). \tag{G.127}$$

For terms a and b,

$$||\boldsymbol{a}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{nT} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}||_{2}^{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} \left| \left| \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}' - \sigma_{0}^{2} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}' \right| \right|_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{T}} \right), \tag{G.128}$$

and

$$||\boldsymbol{b}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}||_{2}^{2} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{F}^{0} - \sigma_{0}^{2} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^{0} \right\|_{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2}$$

$$\times ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = O_{P} \left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{T}} \right), \tag{G.129}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii), A.2(iv), F.1(i), F.1(viii) and F.1(ix). Therefore $||T_{1.3}||_2 = o_P(1)$. Next,

$$T_{1.4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \left(\operatorname{tr} \left(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \left(\boldsymbol{I}_{R} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0^{\prime}} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \right)^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0^{\prime}} \varepsilon \right) \right)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\operatorname{tr} \left(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \left(\boldsymbol{I}_{R} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0^{\prime}} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \right)^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0^{\prime}} \varepsilon \right) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \left(\operatorname{tr} \left((\mathbf{Z}_{1} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1})^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \left(\boldsymbol{I}_{R} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0^{\prime}} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \right)^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0^{\prime}} \varepsilon \right) \right)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\operatorname{tr} \left((\mathbf{Z}_{P} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P})^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \left(\boldsymbol{I}_{R} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0^{\prime}} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \right)^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0^{\prime}} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}} \right) \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{n} \left(\operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{Z}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \left(\boldsymbol{I}_{R} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0^{\prime}} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \right)^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0^{\prime}} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}} \right) \right)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{Z}_{P}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \left(\boldsymbol{I}_{R} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0^{\prime}} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \right)^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0^{\prime}} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{P}_{F^{0}} \right) \right)$$

$$=: T_{1.4.1} + T_{1.4.2} + T_{1.4.3}. \tag{G.130}$$

First,

$$||\boldsymbol{T}_{1.4.1}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{R}{n} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}||_{2}^{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{I}_{R} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{H}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tilde{\boldsymbol{Z}}'_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\sqrt{QP} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2} \right) + O_{P} \left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{\min\{n, T\}}} \right). \tag{G.131}$$

Second,

$$||\boldsymbol{T}_{1.4.2}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{R}{n} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{p} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}||_{2}^{2} \left| \left| \boldsymbol{I}_{R} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{H}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \right)^{-1} \right| \right|_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{QP} \sqrt{\max\{n, T\}} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P} \sqrt{\max\{n, T\}}}{\min\{n, \sqrt{nT}\}} \right). \tag{G.132}$$

Third,

$$||\boldsymbol{T}_{1.4.3}||_{2} \leq \frac{R}{\sqrt{nT}} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{H}||_{2}^{2} \left| \left| \boldsymbol{I}_{R} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{H}' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{H} \right)^{-1} \right| \right|_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2}$$

$$\times \left\| \left(\frac{1}{T} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \mathbf{F}^{0} \right) \right\|_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{2}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\sqrt{QP} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2} \right) + O_{P} \left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{\min\{n, T\}}} \right). \tag{G.133}$$

This gives the result $T_1 = o_P(1)$ under Assumption 6.4 and Proposition 1. Next, note that, because $M_{F^0}F^0 = \mathbf{0}_{T\times R^0}$, $T_2 = \mathbf{0}_{P\times 1}$. Thus, it remains only to examine T_3 where

$$||\mathbf{T}_{3}||_{2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\mathbf{G}_{q}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2} ||\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}} - \mathbf{P}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}||_{2}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}\sqrt{\max\{n,T\}}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\max\{n,T\}}}{\min\{n,T\}} \right). \tag{G.134}$$

Collecting all the terms above, and, with $T/n \to c$ by Assumption 6.4,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathbf{Z}^{*'}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathbf{Z}^{*'}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \boldsymbol{o}_P(1).$$
 (G.135)

Proof of Lemma F.3(viii). First, expanding,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathbf{Z}'(\mathbf{M}_{F^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^0}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}_1 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}') \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}_P \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}') \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_1 \boldsymbol{P}_{F^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}') \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_P \boldsymbol{P}_{F^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}') \end{pmatrix} \\
- \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{Z}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_1) \boldsymbol{P}_{F^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}') \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{Z}_P - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_Q) \boldsymbol{P}_{F^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}') \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_1 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^0}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_P \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^0}) \end{pmatrix} \\
- \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{Z}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_1) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^0}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{Z}_P - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_Q) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^0}) \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}_1 \boldsymbol{P}_{F^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^0}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}_P \boldsymbol{P}_{F^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^0}) \end{pmatrix} \\
= : \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} (\boldsymbol{l}_1 + \dots + \boldsymbol{l}_6). \tag{G.136}$$

Consider the 6 terms on the right-hand side of (G.136). First,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[||\boldsymbol{l}_1||_2^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{p=1}^P \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_p \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}')^2\right] = O(PnT)$$
 (G.137)

using Lemma A.2(v), with $S(\rho)S^{-1}$ replaced by an identity matrix. The implies $||l_1||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{PnT})$. For the next term,

$$||\boldsymbol{l}_{2}||_{2}^{2} = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}')^{2} = \frac{1}{T^{2}} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{F}^{0} \left(\frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^{0}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{T^{2}} R^{2} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^{0}\right)^{-1} \right\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= O_{P}(PnT)$$
(G.138)

using Lemma F.1(vii). Therefore $||\mathbf{l}_2||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{PnT})$. Analogous steps can be used to show $||\mathbf{l}_4||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{PnT})$. For \mathbf{l}_3 ,

$$||\boldsymbol{l}_3||_2^2 = \sum_{p=1}^P \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_p - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_p)\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}')^2 \leq (R^0)^2 ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_2^2 ||\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}||_2^2 \sum_{p=1}^P ||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_p - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}_p||_2^2 = O_P(P \max\{n^2, T^2\})$$

using Lemma F.1(v). Therefore $||\boldsymbol{l}_3||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{P} \max\{n, T\})$. Similar steps can be used to establish that $||\boldsymbol{l}_5||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{P} \max\{n, T\})$. Finally,

$$||\boldsymbol{l}_{6}||_{2}^{2} = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}})^{2} \leq (R^{0})^{2} ||\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}||_{2}^{2} \sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} = O_{P}(PnT) \quad (G.139)^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{6}||_{2}^{2} = O_{P}(PnT) \quad (G.139)^{2} = O_{P}(PnT) \quad (G.139)^{2}$$

as

$$||\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}||_{2} = \left|\left|\frac{1}{nT}\boldsymbol{F}^{0}\left(\frac{1}{T}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\boldsymbol{F}^{0}\right)^{-1}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\right)^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\right|\right|_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT}||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2}||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}\left|\left|\left(\frac{1}{T}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\boldsymbol{F}^{0}\right)^{-1}\right|\right|_{2}||\boldsymbol{F}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}\left|\left|\left(\frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\right)^{-1}\right|\right|_{2}$$

$$= O_{P}(1) \tag{G.140}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii) and F.1(ii). Combining all the above,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \mathbf{Z}'(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \mathbf{O}_P(\sqrt{P}), \tag{G.141}$$

using Assumption 6.4. \Box

Proof of Lemma F.3(ix). Consider the (p, p')-th element of the matrix $\mathbb{Z}'\mathbb{Z} - \mathbb{Z}'(M_{F^0} \otimes M_{\Lambda^0})\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0}\boldsymbol{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0} + (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p} - \boldsymbol{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p}))'(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0}\boldsymbol{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p'}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0} + (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p'} - \boldsymbol{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}}_{p'})) - \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p'}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p'}')$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{Z}_{p} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p})' \mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}(\mathbf{Z}_{p'} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p'})) + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}(\mathbf{Z}_{p} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p})'(\mathbf{Z}_{p'} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p'})) + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}(\mathbf{Z}_{p} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p})' \mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}(\mathbf{Z}_{p'} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p'})).$$
(G.142)

Thus,

$$\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Z}'(\mathbf{M}_{F^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^0})\mathbf{Z}$$

$$= \left(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{Z}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_1)), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{Z}_P - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_P))\right)' \left(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{Z}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_1)), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{Z}_P - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_P))\right) \\
+ \left(\operatorname{vec}((\mathbf{Z}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_1)\mathbf{P}_{F^0}), ..., \operatorname{vec}((\mathbf{Z}_P - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_P)\mathbf{P}_{F^0})\right)' \left(\operatorname{vec}((\mathbf{Z}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_1)\mathbf{P}_{F^0}), ..., \operatorname{vec}((\mathbf{Z}_P - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_P)\mathbf{P}_{F^0})\right)' \\
- \left(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{Z}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_1)\mathbf{P}_{F^0}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{Z}_P - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_P)\mathbf{P}_{F^0})\right)' \\
\times \left(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{Z}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_1)\mathbf{P}_{F^0}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^0}(\mathbf{Z}_P - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_P)\mathbf{P}_{F^0})\right)$$

$$=: \mathbf{L}_1 + \mathbf{L}_2 + \mathbf{L}_3, \tag{G.143}$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{nT} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \left| \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{Z}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^0} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^0}) \mathbf{Z} \right| \right|_2 \right] \\
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \left| \mathbf{L}_1 \right| \right|_2 \right] + \frac{1}{nT} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \left| \mathbf{L}_2 \right| \right|_2 \right] + \frac{1}{nT} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \left| \mathbf{L}_3 \right| \right|_2 \right]. \tag{G.144}$$

Consider the first term in (G.144)

$$\frac{1}{nT} \mathbb{E} \left[|| \mathbf{L}_{1} ||_{2} \right] = \frac{1}{nT} \mathbb{E} \left[|| \left(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}(\mathbf{Z}_{1} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1})), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}(\mathbf{Z}_{P} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P})) \right) ||_{2}^{2} \right] \\
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \mathbb{E} \left[|| \left(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}(\mathbf{Z}_{1} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{1})), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}(\mathbf{Z}_{P} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{P})) \right) ||_{F}^{2} \right] \\
= \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \mathbb{E} \left[|| \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}(\mathbf{Z}_{p} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p})) ||_{2}^{2} \right] \\
= \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \mathbb{E} \left[|| (\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}(\mathbf{Z}_{p} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p})) ||_{F}^{2} \right] \\
\leq \frac{\sqrt{R}}{nT} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \mathbb{E} \left[|| (\mathbf{Z}_{p} - \bar{\mathbf{Z}}_{p}) ||_{2}^{2} \right] \\
= O\left(\frac{P}{\min\{n, T\}}\right) = o(1), \tag{G.145}$$

using Lemma F.1(v). Similarly for $||L_2||_2$ and $||L_3||_2$ which gives the result.

Proof of Lemma F.4(i).

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{G}_{1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) - \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1} \boldsymbol{G}_{1}) & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{G}_{Q}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) - \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1} \boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{G}_{1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) - \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q} \boldsymbol{G}_{1}) & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{G}_{Q}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) - \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q} \boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{B}_{1}^{*}$$

$$=: B^{**} + B_1^*.$$

First note that, by adding and subtracting,

$$\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q'}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})) - \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{G}_{q'}) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{W}_{q} \boldsymbol{S}^{-1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{W}_{q'} - \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{W}_{q} \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{W}_{q'})
= \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) (\boldsymbol{I}_{n} - \boldsymbol{S}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) \boldsymbol{W}_{q} \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{W}_{q'})
- \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{W}_{q} \boldsymbol{S}^{-1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) (\boldsymbol{I}_{n} - \boldsymbol{S}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) \boldsymbol{W}_{q'})
=: \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{B}_{1}^{**} + \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{B}_{2}^{**}.$$
(G.146)

With $||\boldsymbol{B}^{**}||_2 \le \frac{1}{n}||\boldsymbol{B}_1^{**}||_F + \frac{1}{n}||\boldsymbol{B}_2^{**}||_F$

$$||\boldsymbol{B}_{1}^{**}||_{F}^{2} = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{q'=1}^{Q} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})(\boldsymbol{I}_{n} - \boldsymbol{S}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})\boldsymbol{W}_{q}\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{W}_{q'})^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{q'=1}^{Q} n^{2}||\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})||_{2}^{2}||\boldsymbol{I}_{n} - \boldsymbol{S}\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})||_{2}^{2}||\boldsymbol{W}_{q}||_{2}^{2}||\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}||_{2}^{2}||\boldsymbol{W}_{q'}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= O_{P}(Q^{3}n^{2}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{2}), \tag{G.147}$$

using the inequality $|\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{B})| \leq \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{B})||\boldsymbol{B}||_2$, the fact that an $n \times n$ matrix \boldsymbol{B} can have rank no greater then n, and, by the same steps as those in the proof of Lemma A.2(viii), $||\boldsymbol{I}_n - \boldsymbol{S}\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}})|| = O_P(\sqrt{Q}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2)$ due to the fact that $\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^0 = w\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} + (1-w)\boldsymbol{\rho}^0 - \boldsymbol{\rho}^0 = w(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^0)$ whereby $||\bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^0||_2 \leq |w|||\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^0||_2 \leq ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0||_2$, with 0 < w < 1. Thus $\frac{1}{n}||\boldsymbol{B}_1^{**}||_F = O_P(Q^{1.5}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2)$. Similar steps show $\frac{1}{n}||\boldsymbol{B}_2^{**}||_F = O_P(Q^{1.5}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2)$. This gives the final result $\boldsymbol{B}_1 = \boldsymbol{B}_1^* + O_P(Q^{1.5}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2)$.

Proof of Lemma F.4(ii). By adding and subtracting, $B_2 = B_2^* + (B_2 - B_2^*)$. Let $B_2^{**} := nT(B_2 - B_2^*)$. One has

$$\mathbb{E}\left[||\boldsymbol{B}_{2}^{**}||_{F}^{2}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{q'=1}^{Q}\left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{G}_{q'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - T\sigma_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}'\boldsymbol{G}_{q'})\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{q'=1}^{Q}\left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{G}_{q'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right)^{2}\right] - 2\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{q'=1}^{Q}T\sigma_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}'\boldsymbol{G}_{q'})\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{G}_{q'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right] + \sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{q'=1}^{Q}\left(T\sigma_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}'\boldsymbol{G}_{q'})\right)^{2}.$$
(G.148)

First, $\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{G}_{q'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right] = T\sigma_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}'\boldsymbol{G}_{q'})$. Second,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{G}_{q'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right)^{2}\right] = (\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{4} - 3\sigma_{0}^{4})\sum_{i=1}^{nT}(\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{G}_{q}'\boldsymbol{G}_{q'})_{ii}^{2} + \sigma_{0}^{4}\left(\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{G}_{q}'\boldsymbol{G}_{q'})\right)^{2} + 2\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{G}_{q}'\boldsymbol{G}_{q'})(\boldsymbol{I}_{T}\otimes\boldsymbol{G}_{q}'\boldsymbol{G}_{q'})').$$

Therefore (G.148) becomes

$$\mathbb{E}\left[||\boldsymbol{B}_{2}^{**}||_{F}^{2}\right] = (\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{2} - 3\sigma_{0}^{4})\sum_{i=1}^{nT}(\boldsymbol{I}_{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{G}_{q^{\prime}})_{ii}^{2} + 2\sigma_{0}^{4}\mathrm{tr}((\boldsymbol{I}_{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{G}_{q^{\prime}})(\boldsymbol{I}_{T} \otimes \boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{G}_{q^{\prime}})^{\prime}).$$

Observe that $\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{I}_T \otimes \boldsymbol{G}_q' \boldsymbol{G}_{q'})(\boldsymbol{I}_T \otimes \boldsymbol{G}_q' \boldsymbol{G}_{q'})') = \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{I}_T \otimes (\boldsymbol{G}_q' \boldsymbol{G}_{q'} \boldsymbol{G}_{q'}' \boldsymbol{G}_q)) = T\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_q' \boldsymbol{G}_{q'}' \boldsymbol{G}_q) = T||\boldsymbol{G}_q' \boldsymbol{G}_{q'}||_F^2 \leq nT||\boldsymbol{G}_q' \boldsymbol{G}_{q'}||_2^2$. Similarly $\sum_{i=1}^{nT} (\boldsymbol{I}_T \otimes \boldsymbol{G}_q' \boldsymbol{G}_{q'})_{ii}^2 \leq nT||\boldsymbol{G}_q' \boldsymbol{G}_{q'}||_2^2$. Combining all the above results yields $\mathbb{E}\left[||\boldsymbol{B}_2^{**}||_F^2\right] = O(Q^2 nT)$, from which the result follows. \square

Proof of Lemma F.4(iii). For brevity, recall the definition $\mathcal{B}_3 := \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^T (Z_t^*)' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} Z_t^*$ from the proof of Lemma A.4. It is straightforward to show that $\mathcal{B}_3 - \mathcal{H}$ is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{B}_{3} - \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{W}_{1}\boldsymbol{Y})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{W}_{1}\boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{W}_{1}\boldsymbol{Y})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{K}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{K})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{W}_{1}\boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}'_{K}\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{K}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (G.149)$$

By substituting in the true DGP, using the fact that $M_{\mathbf{F}^0}\mathbf{F}^0 = \mathbf{0}_{T\times R^0}$, and adding and subtracting terms,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}_{3} - \mathcal{H} &= \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}_{1}'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})\mathbf{Z}_{1}M_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}_{1}'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})\mathbf{Z}_{P}M_{F^{0}}) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}_{P}'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})\mathbf{Z}_{1}M_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Z}_{P}'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})\mathbf{Z}_{P}M_{F^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((G_{1}\varepsilon)'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})\mathbf{Z}_{1}M_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_{Q}\varepsilon)'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})\mathbf{Z}_{1}M_{F^{0}}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((G_{1}\varepsilon)'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})\mathbf{Z}_{P}M_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_{Q}\varepsilon)'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})\mathbf{Z}_{P}M_{F^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{P \times K} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((G_{1}\varepsilon)'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})\mathbf{Z}_{1}M_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_{Q}\varepsilon)'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})\mathbf{Z}_{1}M_{F^{0}}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((G_{1}\varepsilon)'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})\mathbf{Z}_{P}M_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_{Q}\varepsilon)'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})\mathbf{Z}_{P}M_{F^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{P \times K} \end{pmatrix}' \\ &+ \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((G_{1}\varepsilon)'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})\mathbf{Z}_{1}M_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_{Q}\varepsilon)'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})\mathbf{Z}_{2}M_{F^{0}}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((G_{Q}\varepsilon)'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})G_{1}\varepsilon M_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}(G_{Q}\varepsilon(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})G_{Q}\varepsilon M_{F^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{Q \times K} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((G_{Q}\varepsilon)'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})G_{1}\varepsilon M_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_{Q}\varepsilon(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})G_{Q}\varepsilon M_{F^{0}}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((G_{Q}\varepsilon)'(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})G_{1}\varepsilon M_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}(G_{Q}\varepsilon(P_{\hat{\Lambda}} - P_{\Lambda^{0}})G_{Q}\varepsilon M_{F^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{Q \times K} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{W}_{1}\boldsymbol{Y})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{W}_{1}\boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{W}_{1}\boldsymbol{Y})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{K}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{K})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{W}_{1}\boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{K}'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{K}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}). \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=: \boldsymbol{L}_{1} + \boldsymbol{L}_{2} + \boldsymbol{L}_{3} + \boldsymbol{L}_{4} + \boldsymbol{L}_{5}. \tag{G.150}$$

For the first term,

$$||\boldsymbol{L}_{1}||_{F}^{2} = \frac{1}{n^{2}T^{2}} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{p'=1}^{P} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p}'(\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}})^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n^{2}T^{2}} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{p'=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p}'(\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}})||_{F}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p'} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}||_{F}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n^{2}T^{2}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p}||_{F}^{2} \right) \left(\sum_{p'=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p'}||_{F}^{2} \right) ||\boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}||_{2}^{2}.$$
 (G.151)

Hence $||\boldsymbol{L}_1||_F = O_P(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2) + O_P\left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right)$. The same steps can be followed to establish that $||\boldsymbol{L}_2||_F = ||\boldsymbol{L}_3||_F = ||\boldsymbol{L}_4||_F = O_P(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2) + O_P\left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right)$. For the last term, \boldsymbol{L}_5 , this can be expanded to yield

$$L_{5} = \frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{Z}' (\mathbf{M}_{F^{0}} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}}) \mathbf{Z}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((G_{1}\varepsilon)' \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \mathbf{Z}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_{Q}\varepsilon)' \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \mathbf{Z}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{F^{0}}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((G_{1}\varepsilon)' \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \mathbf{Z}_{P} \mathbf{M}_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_{Q}\varepsilon)' \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \mathbf{Z}_{P} \mathbf{M}_{F^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{P \times K} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((G_{1}\varepsilon)' \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \mathbf{Z}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_{Q}\varepsilon)' \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \mathbf{Z}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{F^{0}}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((G_{1}\varepsilon)' \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \mathbf{Z}_{P} \mathbf{M}_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_{Q}\varepsilon)' \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \mathbf{Z}_{P} \mathbf{M}_{F^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{P \times K} \end{pmatrix}'$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((G_{1}\varepsilon)' \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \mathbf{Z}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_{1}\varepsilon)' \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \mathbf{Z}_{2} \mathbf{M}_{F^{0}}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((G_{Q}\varepsilon)' \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \mathbf{G}_{1}\varepsilon \mathbf{M}_{F^{0}}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}(G_{Q}\varepsilon \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}} \mathbf{G}_{Q}\varepsilon \mathbf{M}_{F^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{Q \times K} \\ = \vdots & \frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{Z}' (\mathbf{M}_{F^{0}} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\Lambda^{0}}) \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{L}_{5.1} + \mathbf{L}_{5.2} + \mathbf{L}_{5.3}.$$

$$(G.152)$$

Using the independence of the errors from the factors, the loadings and the covariates, it is straightforward to establish that $||\boldsymbol{L}_{5.1}||_F = ||\boldsymbol{L}_{5.2}||_F = O_P(\sqrt{QP}/\sqrt{nT})$. For $\boldsymbol{L}_{5.3}$,

traightforward to establish that
$$||L_{5.1}||_F = ||L_{5.2}||_F = O_P(\sqrt{QP}/\sqrt{nT})$$
. For $L_{5.3}$,
$$L_{5.3} = \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((G_1\varepsilon)'G_1\varepsilon) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_1\varepsilon)'G_Q\varepsilon) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((G_Q\varepsilon)'G_1\varepsilon) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_Q\varepsilon)'G_Q\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} & 0_{Q\times K} \\ & 0_{K\times Q} & 0_{K\times K} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-\frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((G_1\varepsilon)'P_{\Lambda^0}G_1\varepsilon) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_1\varepsilon)'P_{\Lambda^0}G_Q\varepsilon) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((G_Q\varepsilon)'P_{\Lambda^0}G_1\varepsilon) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_Q\varepsilon)'P_{\Lambda^0}G_Q\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} & 0_{Q\times K} \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((G_Q\varepsilon)'P_{\Lambda^0}G_1\varepsilon) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_1\varepsilon)'G_Q\varepsilon P_{F^0}) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((G_Q\varepsilon)'G_1\varepsilon P_{F^0}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_Q\varepsilon)'G_Q\varepsilon P_{F^0}) \end{pmatrix} & 0_{Q\times K} \\ & -\frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((G_1\varepsilon)'P_{\Lambda^0}G_1\varepsilon P_{F^0}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_1\varepsilon)'P_{\Lambda^0}G_Q\varepsilon P_{F^0}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((G_Q\varepsilon)'P_{\Lambda^0}G_1\varepsilon P_{F^0}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_1\varepsilon)'P_{\Lambda^0}G_Q\varepsilon P_{F^0}) \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((G_Q\varepsilon)'P_{\Lambda^0}G_1\varepsilon P_{F^0}) & \cdots & \operatorname{tr}((G_Q\varepsilon)'P_{\Lambda^0}G_Q\varepsilon P_{F^0}) \end{pmatrix} & 0_{Q\times K} \\ & 0_{K\times Q} & 0_{K\times K} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=: \frac{1}{nT}(L_{5.3.1} + L_{5.3.2} + L_{5.3.3} + L_{5.3.4}). \tag{G.153}$$

For $L_{5,3,2}$,

$$||\mathbf{L}_{5.3.2}||_{F}^{2} = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{q'=1}^{Q} \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}} \mathbf{G}_{q'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{q'=1}^{Q} (R^{0})^{2} ||(\mathbf{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}} \mathbf{G}_{q'}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{q'=1}^{Q} R^{2} ||\mathbf{G}_{q}||_{2}^{2} ||\mathbf{G}_{q'}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{4} ||\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda^{0}}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= (R^{0})^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{4} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\mathbf{G}_{q}||_{2}^{2} \sum_{q'=1}^{Q} ||\mathbf{G}_{q'}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= O_{P}(Q^{2}(\max\{n, T\})^{2}), \qquad (G.154)$$

which implies $\frac{1}{nT}||\boldsymbol{L}_{5.3.2}||_F = O_P\left(\frac{Q}{\min\{n,T\}}\right)$. The same steps can be followed to establish that $\frac{1}{nT}||\boldsymbol{L}_{5.3.3}||_F$ and $\frac{1}{nT}||\boldsymbol{L}_{5.3.4}||_F$ have the same probability order. Combining these results and using Lemma F.4(ii) gives the final result

$$\mathcal{B}_{3} - \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{nT} \mathcal{Z}'(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \mathcal{Z} + \sigma_{0}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}'_{1}\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}'_{1}\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}'_{Q}\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}'_{Q}\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{0}_{Q \times K} \\ \mathbf{0}_{K \times Q} \qquad \mathbf{0}_{K \times K} \end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}\right) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right).$$
(G.155)

Proof of Lemma F.4(iv). Recalling $Z_t^* := (W_1 y_t, ..., W_Q y_t, X_t)$, the $P \times 1$ vector $\mathcal{B}_4 := \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^T (Z_t^*)' M_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^0 f_t^0 + \varepsilon_t)$ can be expanded as

$$\mathcal{B}_{4} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} + \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathbf{G}_{1}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}))^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathbf{G}_{Q}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}))^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}) \\ \mathbf{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=: \mathcal{B}_{4.1} + \mathcal{B}_{4.2} + \mathcal{B}_{4.3}. \tag{G.156}$$

Note that $M_{\hat{\Lambda}}\Lambda^0 = M_{\hat{\Lambda}}(\Lambda^0 - \hat{\Lambda}H^{*-1})$, where $H^* := \frac{1}{nT}F^{0'}F^0\Lambda^{0'}\hat{\Lambda}\Pi^{-1}$ is a $R^0 \times R$ matrix $(R^0 = R)$ by Assumption 6.5) and Π is a diagonal $R \times R$ matrix containing the largest R eigenvalues of $\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}e_te_t'$ along its diagonal. Therefore, $\mathcal{B}_{4.1} = \frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}Z_t'M_{\hat{\Lambda}}(\Lambda^0 - \hat{\Lambda}H^{*-1})f_t^0$, which, by decomposition (G.33) in the proof of Lemma F.3(i), can be expanded as

$$\mathcal{B}_{4.1} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \left(-(\mathbf{P}_{1} + \dots + \mathbf{P}_{6} + \mathbf{P}_{8} + \dots + \mathbf{P}_{25}) \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \right) \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$=: \mathbf{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.1} + \dots + \mathbf{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.6} + \mathbf{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.8} + \dots + \mathbf{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.25}, \tag{G.157}$$

where $\Sigma^* := \left(\frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^0 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}\right)^{-1}$ is well defined by Assumption 6.3 and Lemma F.3(ii), with $||\Sigma^*||_2 = O_P(1)$. The probability order of the 24 terms in (G.157) is now examined, though for brevity derivations for similar terms are omitted. Starting with the first term,

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.1} = \left(\frac{1}{nT}\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{\tau=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}'\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{Z}_{\tau}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})'\boldsymbol{Z}_{\tau}\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}),$$

since $(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \boldsymbol{Z}_{\tau}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{f}_t^0$ is a scalar. Now

$$\left\| \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{Z}_{\tau} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) (\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})^{\prime} \mathbf{Z}_{\tau} \right\|_{2}
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2} ||\mathbf{Z}_{\tau} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{Z}_{\tau}||_{2}
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{\tau} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\times \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{\tau}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = O_{P}(P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2})$$
(G.158)

using Lemmas A.2(iii), A.2(iv), A.3(i) and F.1(i). Thus, $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.1} = O_P(P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$. For term $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.2}$,

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.2} &= \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \left(-\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{\tau} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \boldsymbol{f}_{\tau}^{0\prime} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \right) \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{\tau} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \boldsymbol{f}_{\tau}^{0\prime} \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{F}^{0\prime} \boldsymbol{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{\tau} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \boldsymbol{f}_{\tau}^{0\prime} \left(\frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{F}^{0\prime} \boldsymbol{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} \right). \end{split}$$

Letting $\varpi_{\tau t}^0 \coloneqq \boldsymbol{f}_{\tau}^{0'} \left(\frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^0\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^0$

$$\mathbf{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.2} = -\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{Z}_{\tau} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{Z}_{\tau} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}).$$

Next

$$\mathcal{B}_{4.1.3} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \left(-\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{\tau} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \right) \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{Z}_{\tau} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0})$$
(G.159)

since $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{f}_t^0$ is a scalar. Now,

$$\left|\left|\frac{1}{nT}\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{\tau=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}'\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{Z}_{\tau}\right|\right|_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2} ||\mathbf{Z}_{\tau}||_{2} \\
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{\tau}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\varepsilon}||_{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{F} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{F} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{\tau}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
= O_{P} \left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right) \tag{G.160}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii), A.2(iv), A.2(vi) and F.1(i). Thus, $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.3} = O_P\left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$. For $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.4}$,

$$\mathcal{B}_{4.1.4} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \left(-\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{\tau} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{\tau}^{0} \right)' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \right) \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{Z}_{\tau} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{\tau}^{0} \right)' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}) \quad (G.161)$$

since $\left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_q^0 - \hat{\rho}_q) G_q \Lambda^0 f_{\tau}^0\right)' \hat{\Lambda} \Sigma^* f_t^0$ is a scalar. Now,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{Z}_{\tau} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0} \right)' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} \right\|_{2} \\
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \right\|_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2} ||\mathbf{Z}_{\tau}||_{2} ||\mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2} \\
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \right\|_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{\tau}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{F}^{2} \\
= O_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) \tag{G.162}$$

using Lemmas A.2(i), A.2(iii), A.2(iv), A.2(viii) and F.1(i). Thus, $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.4} = O_P(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$. For $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.5}$,

$$m{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.5} = rac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^T m{Z}_t' m{M}_{\hat{m{\Lambda}}} \left(-rac{1}{nT} \sum_{ au=1}^T m{Z}_ au (m{ heta}^0 - \hat{m{ heta}}) \left(\sum_{q=1}^Q (
ho_q^0 - \hat{
ho}_q) m{G}_q m{arepsilon}_ au^{'} \hat{m{\Lambda}} m{\Sigma}^*
ight)' \hat{m{\Lambda}} m{\Sigma}^*
ight) m{f}_t^0$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{nT}\frac{1}{nT}\sum_{\tau=1}^{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{Z}_{\tau} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}\right)' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}\right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}), \quad (G.163)$$

since $\left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q}(\rho_q^0-\hat{\rho}_q)\boldsymbol{G}_q\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}\right)'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*\boldsymbol{f}_t^0$ is a scalar. Now,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{Z}_{\tau} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \varepsilon_{\tau} \right)^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} \right\|_{2}
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} || \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} ||_{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2} ||\mathbf{Z}_{\tau}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}_{t}^{0}||_{2}
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} || \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} ||_{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{\tau}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{F} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{F}
= O_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2})$$
(G.164)

using Lemmas A.2(i), A.2(iii), A.2(iv), A.2(vi), A.2(viii) and F.1(i). Thus, $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.5} = O_P(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$. By similar steps it is straightforward to establish that $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.6} = O_P(\sqrt{P}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$. Next,

$$\mathcal{B}_{4.1.8} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \left(-\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \right) \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1}) \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}. \tag{G.165}$$

Now,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1}) \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0} \varepsilon_{\tau}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}} \right\|_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2} \left\| \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0} \varepsilon_{\tau}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \right\|_{2} ||\mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{F} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n\sqrt{T}, T^{1.5}\}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\min\{n\sqrt{T}, T^{1.5}\}} \right)$$

$$+ O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{2}}{\min\{\sqrt{nT}, T\}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{Q\sqrt{P}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{3}}{\sqrt{T}} \right)$$
(G.166)

using Lemmas A.2(iii), A.2(iv), F.3(i) and F.3(iii).

$$\mathcal{B}_{4.1.9} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \left(-\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0} \right)^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \right) \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{F}^{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{F}^{0} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\hat{\rho}_{q} - \rho_{q}^{0}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \right)^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1}) \mathbf{F}^{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{F}^{0} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}^{\prime} (\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}^{\prime} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n})^{\prime} \left(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{1}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}) \right) \right)$$

$$\times (\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^{0}). \tag{G.167}$$

Note that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1}) \mathbf{F}^{0\prime} \mathbf{F}^{0} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0\prime} (\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0\prime} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n})^{\prime} (\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{1}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}})) \right\|_{2} \\
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{2}^{2} || (\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{1}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}})) ||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2} \\
\times ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0\prime} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n}||_{2} \\
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{2}^{2} || (\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{1}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}})) ||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} \\
\times \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2} ||\mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2} \\
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{F}^{3} || (\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{1}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}})) ||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} \\
\times \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = O_{P}(Q\sqrt{P}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + O_{P}\left(\frac{\sqrt{QP}}{\min\{n, T\}}\right), \tag{G.168}$$

where the second inequality uses the fact that $||\mathbf{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{f}_t^{0'} \otimes \boldsymbol{I}_n||_2 = ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^* \boldsymbol{f}_t^{0'}||_2$ and the last line follows by Lemmas A.2(iii), A.2(iv), F.3(i) and F.1(iii). Hence the result $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.9} = \left(\boldsymbol{O}_P(Q\sqrt{P}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2) + \boldsymbol{O}_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{QP}}{\min\{n,T\}}\right)\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^0)$. For term $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.10}$,

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.10} &= \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \left(-\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{\tau}^{0} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \right)' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \right) \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} \\ &= \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\hat{\rho}_{q} - \rho_{q}^{0}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \right)' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1}) \mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' (\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0'} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n})' (\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{1}'\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}'\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}))$$

$$\times (\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^{0}). \tag{G.169}$$

Now.

$$\left\| \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1}) \mathbf{F}^{0'} \varepsilon' (\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0'} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n})' \left(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{1}'\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}'\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}) \right) \right\|_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1}||_{2} ||\varepsilon \mathbf{F}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} || \left(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{1}'\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}'\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}) \right) ||_{2}$$

$$\times \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2} ||\mathbf{f}_{t}^{0'}||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} - \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{H}^{*-1}||_{2} ||\varepsilon \mathbf{F}^{0}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{F} ||\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\varepsilon||_{2} || \left(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{1}'\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}'\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}) \right) ||_{2}$$

$$\times \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\frac{Q\sqrt{P} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{QP}}{\min{\{\sqrt{nT}, T\}}} \right) \tag{G.170}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii), A.2(iv), F.1(ii), F.1(iii) and F.3(i). This gives the result $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.10} = \left(O_P\left(\frac{Q\sqrt{P}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\sqrt{T}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{QP}}{\min\{\sqrt{nT},T\}}\right)\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^0)$. Next

$$\mathcal{B}_{4.1.11} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \left(-\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} (\mathbf{Z}_{\tau}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \right) \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}
= \left(\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} (\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})' \mathbf{Z}_{\tau} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}).$$
(G.171)

Now,

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0})' \boldsymbol{Z}_{\tau} \right\|_{2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{\tau}||_{2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{\tau}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} ||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{p}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{\tau}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{split}$$

$$=O_P\left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right) \tag{G.172}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii), A.2(iv) and F.1(i). Hence, $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.11} = O_P\left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}}\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$. For term $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.12}$,

$$\mathcal{B}_{4.1.12} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \left(-\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varepsilon_{\tau} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0})' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \right) \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varepsilon_{\tau} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0})' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \varepsilon_{\tau} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0'} \left(\frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{F}^{0'} \mathbf{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \varepsilon_{\tau} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0}$$
(G.173)

and using Lemma F.3(iv), $||\mathcal{B}_{4.1.13}||_2 = O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{QP}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\min\{n,T\}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n^{1.5},T^{1.5}\}}\right)$. For $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.14}$,

$$\mathcal{B}_{4.1.14} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \left(-\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varepsilon_{\tau} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0} \right)' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \right) \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \varepsilon \mathbf{F}^{0} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \right)' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \varepsilon \mathbf{F}^{0} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0'} (\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0'} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n})' (\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{1}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}})) \right) (\boldsymbol{\rho}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}).$$
(G.174)

Then,

$$\left| \left| \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{F}^{0} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0'} \otimes \boldsymbol{I}_{n})' \left(\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}) \right) \right| \right|_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0'} \otimes \boldsymbol{I}_{n})||_{2} ||\left(\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}})\right)||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}||_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{F} ||\left(\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}})\right)||_{2}$$

$$=O_P\left(\sqrt{\frac{QP}{T}}\right) \tag{G.175}$$

using Lemmas A.2(ii), A.2(iii), F.1(ii) and F.1(iii). Therefore, $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.14} = O_P\left(\sqrt{\frac{QP}{T}}\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^0)$. Similar steps can be used to show $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.15}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.16}$ are $O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{QP}}{\min\{n,T\}}\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^0)$ and $O_P\left(\sqrt{QP}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2\right)$ respectively. Next

$$\mathcal{B}_{4.1.17} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \left(-\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0})^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \right) \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\hat{\rho}_{q} - \rho_{q}^{0}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0})^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{w}_{\tau t}^{0} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{G}_{1} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0}, \dots, \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{w}_{\tau t}^{0} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{G}_{Q} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{f}_{\tau}^{0} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^{0}).$$
(G.176)

For term $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.18}$,

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.18} &= \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \left(-\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{\tau}^{0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \right) \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \left(\boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} \otimes \boldsymbol{I}_{n} \right)' \left(\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}) \right) \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^{0}). \end{split}$$

Now.

$$\left\| \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \left(\mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n} \right)' \left(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{Q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}) \right) \right\|_{2} \\
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2} || \left(\mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{t}^{0} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n} \right) ||_{2} || \left(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{Q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}) \right) ||_{2} \\
\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} ||\mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\mathbf{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' ||_{2} || \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} ||_{2} || \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} ||_{2} || \left(\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{G}_{Q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}) \right) ||_{2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{Z}_{t}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\mathbf{F}^{0}||_{F} \\
= O_{P} \left(\sqrt{\frac{QP}{T}} \right), \tag{G.177}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii), F.1(i) and F.1(ii), and where analogous steps to those in the prof of Lemma F.1(iii) can be used to show $||(\text{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}),...,\text{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}))||_{2} = O(\sqrt{Qn})$. Thus, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.18} = \boldsymbol{O}_{P}\left(\sqrt{\frac{QP}{T}}\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}-\boldsymbol{\rho}^{0})$. Similar steps can be used to establish that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.19}$, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.20}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.21}$ are $\boldsymbol{O}_{P}\left(Q\sqrt{P}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}-\boldsymbol{\rho}^{0})$, $\boldsymbol{O}_{P}\left(\frac{Q\sqrt{P}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\sqrt{T}}\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}-\boldsymbol{\rho}^{0})$ and $\boldsymbol{O}_{P}\left(\sqrt{QP}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}-\boldsymbol{\rho}^{0})$, respectively. Now consider term $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1.22}$.

$$\mathcal{B}_{4.1.22} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \left(-\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{\tau}^{0})' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \right) \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{\tau}^{0})' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varpi}_{\tau t}^{0} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{G}_{1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}, ..., \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varpi}_{\tau t}^{0} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{G}_{Q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^{0}). \tag{G.178}$$

For term $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.23}$,

$$\mathcal{B}_{4.1.23} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \left(-\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \right) \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (\rho_{q}^{0} - \hat{\rho}_{q}) \mathbf{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} \otimes \boldsymbol{I}_{n} \right)' \left(\text{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}), ..., \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right) \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^{0}).$$
(G.179)

Now,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} \otimes \boldsymbol{I}_{n} \right)^{\prime} \left(\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right) \right\|_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0}||_{2} || \left(\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right) ||_{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}||_{2}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2} ||\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}||_{2} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{F} || \left(\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}), ..., \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right) ||_{2}$$

$$= O_{P} \left(\sqrt{\frac{QP}{\min\{n, T\}}} \right), \tag{G.180}$$

using Lemmas A.2(ii), A.2(iii), F.1(i) and F.1(iv). Therefore, $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.23} = O_P\left(\sqrt{\frac{QP}{\min\{n,T\}}}\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^0)$. For the remaining terms, $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.24}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.25}$ can both be shown to be $O_P\left(\frac{Q\sqrt{P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\sqrt{T}}\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^0)$ by similar steps to those for $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.14}$. Collecting all these terms gives

$$\mathcal{B}_{4.1} = \left(\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} Z_{\tau}\right) (\hat{\theta} - \theta^{0}) - \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} Z_{t}' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \varepsilon_{\tau} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} \\
+ \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{1} \Lambda^{0} f_{\tau}^{0}, \cdots, \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{Q} \Lambda^{0} f_{\tau}^{0}\right) (\hat{\rho} - \rho^{0}) \\
+ \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{1} \varepsilon_{\tau}, ..., \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{Q} \varepsilon_{\tau}\right) (\hat{\rho} - \rho^{0}) \\
+ \mathcal{B}_{4.1.8} + \mathcal{B}_{4.1.13} + O_{P} \left(\sqrt{Q} P || \theta^{0} - \hat{\theta} ||_{2} + \frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right) (\hat{\theta} - \theta^{0}) \\
= \left(\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} Z_{\tau}\right) (\hat{\theta} - \theta^{0}) - \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} Z_{t}' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \varepsilon_{\tau} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} \\
+ \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{1} \Lambda^{0} f_{\tau}^{0}, \cdots, \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{Q} \Lambda^{0} f_{\tau}^{0}\right) (\hat{\rho} - \rho^{0}) \\
+ \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{1} \varepsilon_{\tau}, ..., \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{Q} \varepsilon_{\tau}\right) (\hat{\rho} - \rho^{0}) \\
+ \Delta_{a} + O_{P} \left(\sqrt{Q} P || \theta^{0} - \hat{\theta} ||_{2} + \frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right) (\hat{\theta} - \theta^{0}), \tag{G.181}$$

where $\Delta_a := \mathcal{B}_{4.1.8} + \mathcal{B}_{4.1.13}$ is $O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{P}}{\min\{n^{1.5}, T^{1.5}\}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2}{\min\{n, T\}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2^2}{\min\{\sqrt{nT}, T\}}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{Q\sqrt{P}||\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_2^3}{\sqrt{T}}\right)$. Next,

$$\mathcal{B}_{4.3} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (G_{1} \Lambda^{0} f_{t}^{0})' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \Lambda^{0} f_{t}^{0} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (G_{Q} \Lambda^{0} f_{t}^{0})' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \Lambda^{0} f_{t}^{0} \\
0_{K \times 1}
\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (G_{Q} \Lambda^{0} f_{t}^{0})' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \varepsilon_{t} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (G_{Q} \Lambda^{0} f_{t}^{0})' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \varepsilon_{t}
\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (G_{1} \varepsilon_{t})' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \Lambda^{0} f_{t}^{0} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (G_{Q} \varepsilon_{t})' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \Lambda^{0} f_{t}^{0} \\
\vdots \\
0_{K \times 1}
\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (G_{1} \varepsilon_{t})' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \varepsilon_{t} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (G_{Q} \varepsilon_{t})' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \varepsilon_{t} \\
\vdots \\
0_{K \times 1}
\end{pmatrix} = : \mathcal{B}_{4,3,1} + \mathcal{B}_{4,3,2} + \mathcal{B}_{4,3,3} + \mathcal{B}_{4,3,4}. \tag{G.182}$$

Terms $\mathcal{B}_{4.3.1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{4.3.3}$ can be written more compactly as $\mathcal{B}_{4.3.1} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathcal{M}_{t}^{1})' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \Lambda^{0} f_{t}^{0}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{4.3.3} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathcal{M}_{t}^{2})' M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \Lambda^{0} f_{t}^{0}$ where $\mathcal{M}_{t}^{1} := (G_{1} \Lambda^{0} f_{t}^{0}, ..., G_{Q} \Lambda^{0} f_{t}^{0}, \mathbf{0}_{n \times K})$ and

 $\mathbf{M}_t^2 \coloneqq (G_1 \varepsilon_t, ..., G_Q \varepsilon_t, \mathbf{0}_{n \times K})$. Now note that

$$\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{M}_{t}^{1}|_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{M}_{t}^{1}|_{F}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\mathbf{G}_{q} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{F}^{0'}||_{F}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq ||\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{F}^{0'}||_{F}^{2} \sqrt{Q} \sqrt{\max_{1 \leq q \leq Q} ||\mathbf{G}_{q}||_{2}} = O_{P}(\sqrt{QnT}), \tag{G.183}$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{M}_{t}^{2}||_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{M}_{t}^{2}||_{F}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\mathbf{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{F}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\leq ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{F}^{2} \sqrt{Q} \sqrt{\max_{1 \leq q \leq Q} ||\mathbf{G}_{q}||_{2}} = O_{P}(\sqrt{QnT}),$$
(G.184)

since $\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T}||\boldsymbol{B}_{t}||_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T}||\boldsymbol{B}_{t}||_{F}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for any $n \times m$ matrix \boldsymbol{B} and \boldsymbol{G}_{q} is UB across q. Using this, terms $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.3.1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.3.3}$ can be expanded in the same way as $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.1}$, via the decomposition (G.32) in the proof of Lemma F.3(i), i.e.,

$${m \mathcal{B}}_{4.3.1} = rac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ({m \mathcal{M}}_t^1)' {m M}_{\hat{m \Lambda}} \left(-({m P}_1 + ... + {m P}_6 + {m P}_8 + ... + {m P}_{25}) {m \Sigma}^*
ight) {m f}_t^0$$

and

$${\cal B}_{4.3.3} = rac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ({f M}_t^2)' {m M}_{\hat{m \Lambda}} \left(-({m P}_1 + ... + {m P}_6 + {m P}_8 + ... + {m P}_{25}) {m \Sigma}^*
ight) {m f}_t^0.$$

Following analogous steps as those for terms $\mathcal{B}_{4.1.1}, ..., \mathcal{B}_{4.1.6}, \mathcal{B}_{4.1.8}, ..., \mathcal{B}_{4.25}$ yields the expression (the counterpart to (G.181))

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.3.1} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{4.3.3} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{t}^{1} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{t}^{2})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{\tau}\right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}) - \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{t}^{1} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{t}^{2})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \\ &+ \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{t}^{1} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{t}^{2})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{G}_{1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{\tau}^{0}, ..., \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{t}^{1} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{t}^{2})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{G}_{Q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{\tau}^{0} \right) \\ &\times (\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^{0}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{t}^{1} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{t}^{2})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{G}_{1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau}, ..., \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{t}^{1} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{t}^{2})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{G}_{Q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \right) \end{split}$$

$$\times (\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}^0) + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_b + \boldsymbol{O}_P \left(\sqrt{Q} P || \boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} ||_2 + \frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0), \tag{G.185}$$

where Δ_b is of the same order as Δ_a . Therefore, combining (G.156), (G.181), (G.182) and (G.185) gives

$$\mathcal{B}_{4} = \left(\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}^{t} M_{\hat{\Lambda}} Z_{\tau}\right) (\hat{\theta} - \theta^{0}) - \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}^{t} M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \varepsilon_{\tau}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} (\mathcal{M}_{t}^{1} + \mathcal{M}_{t}^{2})^{\prime} M_{\hat{\Lambda}} Z_{\tau}\right) (\hat{\theta} - \theta^{0})$$

$$- \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} (\mathcal{M}_{t}^{1} + \mathcal{M}_{t}^{2})^{\prime} M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \varepsilon_{\tau}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}^{\prime} M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{1} \Lambda^{0} f_{\tau}^{0}, \dots, \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}^{\prime} M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{Q} \Lambda^{0} f_{\tau}^{0}\right) (\hat{\rho} - \rho^{0})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}^{\prime} M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{1} \varepsilon_{\tau}, \dots, \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} Z_{t}^{\prime} M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{Q} \varepsilon_{\tau}\right) (\hat{\rho} - \rho^{0})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} (\mathcal{M}_{t}^{1} + \mathcal{M}_{t}^{2})^{\prime} M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{1} \Lambda^{0} f_{\tau}^{0}, \dots, \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} (\mathcal{M}_{t}^{1} + \mathcal{M}_{t}^{2})^{\prime} M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{Q} \varepsilon_{\tau}\right)$$

$$\times (\hat{\rho} - \rho^{0})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{nT} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} (\mathcal{M}_{t}^{1} + \mathcal{M}_{t}^{2})^{\prime} M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{1} \varepsilon_{\tau}, \dots, \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \varpi_{\tau t}^{0} (\mathcal{M}_{t}^{1} + \mathcal{M}_{t}^{2})^{\prime} M_{\hat{\Lambda}} G_{Q} \varepsilon_{\tau}\right)$$

$$\times (\hat{\rho} - \rho^{0}) + \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t}^{\prime} M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \varepsilon_{t} + \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathcal{M}_{t}^{1} + \mathcal{M}_{t}^{2})^{\prime} M_{\hat{\Lambda}} \varepsilon_{t}$$

$$+ \Delta_{a} + \Delta_{b} + O_{P} \left(\sqrt{Q}P ||\theta^{0} - \hat{\theta}||_{2} + \frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right) (\hat{\theta} - \theta^{0}). \tag{G.186}$$

Recall from Appendix A $Z_t^* := (W_1 y_t, ..., W_Q y_t, X_t)$ and let $\Delta_1 := \Delta_a + \Delta_b$. Then, since $Z_t + \mathcal{M}_t^1 + \mathcal{M}_t^2 = Z_t^*$, (G.186) can be significantly simplified by gathering together many of the terms, resulting in

$$\mathcal{B}_{4} = \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} - \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\varpi}_{\tau t}^{0}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \frac{1}{T} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varpi}_{\tau t}^{0} (\boldsymbol{W}_{1} \boldsymbol{y}_{t})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{W}_{1} \boldsymbol{y}_{\tau} & \cdots & \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varpi}_{\tau t}^{0} (\boldsymbol{W}_{1} \boldsymbol{y}_{t})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{x}_{K \tau} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varpi}_{\tau t}^{0} \boldsymbol{x}_{K t}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{W}_{1} \boldsymbol{y}_{\tau} & \cdots & \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varpi}_{\tau t}^{0} \boldsymbol{x}_{K t}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{x}_{K \tau} \end{pmatrix} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0})$$

$$+ O_{P} \left(\sqrt{Q} P || \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} ||_{2} + \frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}) + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{*'} (\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{*'} (\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{*} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0})$$

$$+ O_{P} \left(\sqrt{Q} P || \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} ||_{2} + \frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}) + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1}.$$
(G.187)

This completes the proof.

Proof of Lemma F.4(v). Recall that $\hat{\sigma}^2 := \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^T (S(\hat{\rho}) y_t - X_t \hat{\beta})' M_{\hat{\Lambda}}(S(\hat{\rho}) y_t - X_t \hat{\beta})$. Using Lemma A.2(i) and the true DGP, one obtains $S(\hat{\rho}) y_t - X_t \hat{\beta} = Z_t^* (\theta^0 - \hat{\theta}) + \Lambda^0 f_t^0 + \varepsilon_t$. Thus the expression for $\hat{\sigma}^2$ can be expanded to give

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2} = (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) + \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}) \right)$$

$$+ (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}) \right) + \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t})$$

$$=: (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \boldsymbol{l}_{1} + (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \boldsymbol{l}_{2} + \boldsymbol{l}_{3},$$
(G.188)

where $\boldsymbol{Z}_t^* := (\boldsymbol{W}_1 \boldsymbol{y}_t, ..., \boldsymbol{W}_q \boldsymbol{y}_t, \boldsymbol{X}_t)$. Consider the term $(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \boldsymbol{l}_2$ in equation (G.188) first. Notice that \boldsymbol{l}_2 is equal to $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_4$ in equation (G.156). Hence, Lemma F.4(iv) can be applied to give

$$(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \boldsymbol{l}_{2} = (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \left(\frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{*\prime} (\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \right.$$

$$+ \left. \left(\boldsymbol{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}} \right) \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}) \right.$$

$$+ \left. \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}) + \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1} \right). \tag{G.189}$$

An analogous expansion of term l_3 in equation (G.188) yields

$$l_{3} = \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0} \mathbf{F}^{0'} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}) + \mathbf{\mathcal{H}}^{*}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0})$$

$$+ \Delta_{2} + \left(\mathbf{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + \mathbf{O}_{P}\left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right)\right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0})$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}}) + \mathbf{\mathcal{H}}^{*}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0})$$

$$+ \Delta_{2} + \left(\mathbf{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + \mathbf{O}_{P}\left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}}\right)\right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}), \quad (G.190)$$

since $\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{F}^{0'})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) = 0$, and where $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}^* \coloneqq \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' (\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}$ and Δ_2 is a term of the same order as $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_1$. Combining (G.188), (G.189) and (G.190),

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2} = (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \left(\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}} \right) (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

$$+ \frac{2}{nT} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{*'} (\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) + \Delta_{2}$$

$$+ \left(\boldsymbol{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right) \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}), \tag{G.191}$$

where the second equality follows by rearranging and noticing that $\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}^{*})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}) - (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}^{*})' = \frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{*}' (\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$. Using Lemma F.4(iii),

$$\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\mathbf{Z}_{t}^{*})' \mathbf{M}_{\hat{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \mathbf{Z}_{t}^{*} - \mathfrak{H}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \mathbf{Z}' (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}^{0}} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0}}) \mathbf{Z} + \sigma_{0}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_{1}' \mathbf{G}_{1}) & \dots & \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_{1}' \mathbf{G}_{Q}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}' \mathbf{G}_{1}) & \dots & \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}' \mathbf{G}_{Q}) \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{0}_{Q \times K} \\
+ \mathbf{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + \mathbf{O}_{P} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=: \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + \mathbf{O}_{P} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{G.192}$$

In addition, using Lemma F.3(vii),

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^{*\prime}(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \frac{1}{nT} (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}^*)'(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \boldsymbol{o}_P \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}'(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0}) \text{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \text{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{G}_1 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{F}^{0'})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0} \right) \\ &\vdots \\ \text{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{G}_Q \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{F}^{0'})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0} \right) \\ &\boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} ((G_{1}\varepsilon)' M_{\Lambda^{0}}\varepsilon M_{F^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} ((G_{Q}\varepsilon)' M_{\Lambda^{0}}\varepsilon M_{F^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} + o_{P} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}}\right)$$

$$=: \frac{1}{nT} \mathcal{Z}' (M_{F^{0}} \otimes M_{\Lambda^{0}}) \operatorname{vec}(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} \left((G_{1}\Lambda^{0}F^{0'})' M_{\Lambda^{0}}\varepsilon M_{F^{0}} \right) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} \left((G_{Q}\Lambda^{0}F^{0'})' M_{\Lambda^{0}}\varepsilon M_{F^{0}} \right) \\ o_{K\times 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} ((G_{1}\varepsilon)' M_{\Lambda^{0}}\varepsilon M_{F^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} ((G_{Q}\varepsilon)' M_{\Lambda^{0}}\varepsilon M_{F^{0}}) \\ o_{K\times 1} \end{pmatrix} + o_{P} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}}\right), \tag{G.193}$$

where all elements $\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}\boldsymbol{F}^{0'})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}})$ are zero since $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}\boldsymbol{F}^{0}=\boldsymbol{0}_{T\times R}$. Combining (G.191), (G.192) and (G.193) gives the result

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2} = (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}} + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n,T\}}} \right) \right) (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

$$+ 2(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \left(\frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}'(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}) \operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{o}_{P} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) + \Delta_{2}. \tag{G.194}$$

Lastly, using Lemma F.4(vi)

$$\frac{1}{nT} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K\times1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{n}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{n}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K\times1} \end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}\left(\sqrt{\frac{Q}{nT}}\right) \tag{G.195}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{nT}\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{M}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0})\\ =&\frac{1}{nT}\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) + \frac{1}{nT}\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}})\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}) \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) + O_{P} \left(\frac{\sqrt{Q} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}}{\min\{n, T\}} \right) + O_{P} \left(\frac{1}{\min\{n^{1.5}, T^{1.5}\}} \right), \quad (G.196)$$

since $|\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'(\boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^0} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\Lambda}})\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0})| \leq 2R||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_2^2||\boldsymbol{P}_{\Lambda^0} - \boldsymbol{P}_{\hat{\Lambda}}||_2||\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0}||_2$. Combining (G.194), (G.195) and (G.196), and ignoring dominated terms gives the final result

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2} = (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \left(\mathcal{K} + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}P||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}) + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}} \right) \right) (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

$$+ 2(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{K \times 1} \end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{O}_{P} \left(\sqrt{\frac{Q}{nT}} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) + \Delta_{2}.$$

Proof of Lemma F.4(vi). First,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}}\boldsymbol{b}_{4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \end{pmatrix}
- \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix}
=: \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} (\boldsymbol{l}_{1} + \dots + \boldsymbol{l}_{4}). \tag{G.197}$$

Now,

$$\mathbf{l}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} ((\mathbf{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - T\sigma_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} ((\mathbf{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - T\sigma_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} T\sigma_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ T\sigma_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=: \mathbf{l}_{1.1} + \begin{pmatrix} T\sigma_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ T\sigma_{0}^{2}\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{G}_{Q}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{G.198}$$

For the term $l_{1.1}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[||\boldsymbol{l}_{1.1}||_2^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{q=1}^Q \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t' \boldsymbol{G}_q' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t - \sigma_0^2 \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_q)\right)^2\right]$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{Q} T \left((\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\varepsilon} - 3\sigma_{0}^{4}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (G_{q})_{ii}^{2} + \sigma_{0}^{4} (\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}\boldsymbol{G}_{q}) + \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{G}_{q})) \right)$$

$$= O(QnT) \tag{G.199}$$

using Lemma A.3 in Yu et al. (2008). Therefore $||\mathbf{l}_{1.1}||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{QnT})$. Next,

$$||\boldsymbol{l}_{2}||_{2}^{2} = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \operatorname{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \right)^{2} \leq (R^{0})^{2} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||(\boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= O_{P}(QT^{2}), \tag{G.200}$$

where Lemma F.1(ii) has been applied, with Λ^0 replaced by $G'_q\Lambda^0$, to establish that $\sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||(G_q\varepsilon)'\Lambda^0||_2^2 = O_P(QnT)$. Thus $||\boldsymbol{l}_2||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{Q}T)$. Next for term \boldsymbol{l}_3 ,

$$||\boldsymbol{l}_{3}||_{2}^{2} = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \operatorname{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \right)^{2} = \frac{1}{T^{2}} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \operatorname{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{G}_{q}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{F}^{0} \left(\frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T^{2}} (R^{0}) \sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\boldsymbol{G}_{q}||_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2}^{4} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2}^{2} = O_{P}(Q n^{2})$$
 (G.201)

using Lemma F.1(ii). Thus $||\boldsymbol{l}_3||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{Q}n)$. Finally,

$$||\boldsymbol{l}_{4}||_{2}^{2} = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \operatorname{tr} \left((\boldsymbol{G}_{q} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^{2} T^{2}} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \operatorname{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{G}'_{q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{F}^{0} \left(\frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \right)^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n^{2} T^{2}} (R^{0}) \sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \boldsymbol{G}'_{q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0'} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2}^{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{T} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} \boldsymbol{F}^{0} \right)^{-1} \right\|_{2}^{2} ||\boldsymbol{F}^{0}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= O_{P}(QT), \tag{G.202}$$

using Lemmas A.2(iii), F.1(ii) and F.1(ii). Hence $||\boldsymbol{l}_4||_2 = O_P(\sqrt{QT})$. Combining all these results

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{tr} ((\boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{tr} ((\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^{0}}) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nT}} \begin{pmatrix} T\sigma_{0}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ T\sigma_{0}^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{G}_{Q}) \end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{O}_{P}(\sqrt{Q}), \quad (G.203)$$

since, by Assumption 6.4, $\frac{T}{n} \to c$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Lemma F.5(i).

$$\sum_{p=1}^{P} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{\beta}_{k}^{0} - \beta_{k}) (G_{p}(\hat{\rho}) - G_{p}) \mathcal{X}_{k} \right\|_{F}^{2} \\
= \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{\beta}_{k}^{0} - \beta_{k}) ((G_{q}(\hat{\rho}) - G_{q}) \mathcal{X}_{k})_{it} \right)^{2} \\
= \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\| (G_{q}(\hat{\rho}) - G_{q}) \mathcal{X}_{t} (\beta^{0} - \hat{\beta}) \right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\leq \left\| \beta^{0} - \hat{\beta} \right\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left\| G_{q}(\hat{\rho}) - G_{q} \right\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\| \mathcal{X}_{t} \right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\leq \left\| \beta^{0} - \hat{\beta} \right\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left\| G_{q}(\hat{\rho}) - G_{q} \right\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\| \mathcal{X}_{t} \right\|_{F}^{2} \\
= \left\| \beta^{0} - \hat{\beta} \right\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left\| G_{q}(\hat{\rho}) - G_{q} \right\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| \mathcal{X}_{k} \right\|_{F}^{2} \\
= \left\| \beta^{0} - \hat{\beta} \right\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left\| W_{q} S^{-1}(\hat{\rho}) (I_{n} - S(\hat{\rho}) S^{-1}) \right\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| \mathcal{X}_{k} \right\|_{F}^{2} \\
\leq \left\| \beta^{0} - \hat{\beta} \right\|_{2}^{2} \left\| S^{-1}(\hat{\rho}) \right\|_{2}^{2} \left\| I_{n} - S(\hat{\rho}) S^{-1} \right\|_{2}^{2} Q \max_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \left\{ \left\| W_{q} \right\|_{2}^{2} \right\} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| \mathcal{X}_{k} \right\|_{F}^{2} \\
= O_{P}(\left\| \theta^{0} - \hat{\theta} \right\|_{2}^{4}) O_{P}(Q^{2} K n T) \tag{G.204}$$

using Lemmas A.2(ii) and A.2(viii).

Proof of Lemma F.5(ii).

$$\sum_{p=1}^{P} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{\beta}_{k}^{0} - \beta_{k}) \mathbf{G}_{p} \mathbf{X}_{k} \right\|_{F}^{2} = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{\beta}_{k}^{0} - \beta_{k}) (\mathbf{G}_{q} \mathbf{X}_{k})_{it} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{G}_{q} \mathbf{X}_{t} (\boldsymbol{\beta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq ||\boldsymbol{\beta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}||_{2}^{2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\mathbf{G}_{q}||_{2}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{X}_{t}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq ||\boldsymbol{\beta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}||_{2}^{2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\mathbf{G}_{q}||_{2}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} ||\mathbf{X}_{k}||_{F}^{2}$$

$$\leq ||\boldsymbol{\beta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}||_{2}^{2} Q \max_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \{||\boldsymbol{G}_{q}||_{2}^{2}\} \sum_{k=1}^{K} ||\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{k}||_{F}^{2}$$

$$= O_{P}(||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}||_{2}^{2}) O_{P}(QKnT) \tag{G.205}$$

using Lemmas A.2(ii) and A.2(viii).

Proof of Lemma F.5(iii).

$$\sum_{p=1}^{P} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{k}^{0} (G_{p} - G_{p}(\hat{\rho})) \mathcal{X}_{k} \right\|_{F}^{2} = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{k}^{0} (G_{q} - G_{q}(\hat{\rho})) \mathcal{X}_{k} \right\|_{F}^{2} \\
\leq \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\| (G_{q} - G_{q}(\hat{\rho})) \mathcal{X}_{t} \beta^{0} \right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\leq \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left\| G_{q} - G_{q}(\hat{\rho}) \right\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\| \mathcal{X}_{t} \beta^{0} \right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\leq \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left\| W_{q} S^{-1} - W_{q} S^{-1}(\hat{\rho}) \right\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\| \mathcal{X}_{t} \beta^{0} \right\|_{2}^{2} \\
= Q \max_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \left\{ \left\| W_{q} \right\|_{2}^{2} \right\} \left\| S(\hat{\rho}) \right\|_{2}^{2} \left\| S(\hat{\rho}) S^{-1} - I_{n} \right\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\| \mathcal{X}_{t} \beta^{0} \right\|_{2}^{2} \\
= O_{P} (\left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \right\|_{2}^{2}) O_{P} (Q^{2} nT) \tag{G.206}$$

using Lemmas A.2(vii) and A.2(viii).

Proof of Lemma F.5(iv).

$$\begin{split} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{\beta}_{k} - \beta_{k}^{0}) \boldsymbol{G}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{k} \right\|_{F}^{2} &= \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{\beta}_{k} - \beta_{k}^{0}) \boldsymbol{G}_{q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{k} \right\|_{F}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{t=1}^{T} || \boldsymbol{G}_{q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{X}_{t}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \beta^{0}) ||_{2}^{2} \\ &\leq || \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^{0} ||_{2}^{2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} || \boldsymbol{G}_{q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) ||_{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} || \boldsymbol{X}_{t} ||_{2}^{2} \\ &\leq || \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^{0} ||_{2}^{2} Q \max_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \{ || \boldsymbol{G}_{q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) ||_{2} \} \sum_{t=1}^{T} || \boldsymbol{X}_{t} ||_{F}^{2} \\ &\leq || \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^{0} ||_{2}^{2} Q \max_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \{ || \boldsymbol{G}_{q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) ||_{2} \} \sum_{k=1}^{K} || \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{k} ||_{F}^{2} \end{split}$$

$$= O_P(||\hat{\theta} - \theta^0||_2^2) O_P(QKnT)$$
 (G.207)

using Lemmas A.2(v) and A.2(viii).

Proof of Lemma F.5(v).

$$\sum_{p=1}^{P} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{k}^{0} \mathbf{G}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{k} \right\|_{F}^{2} = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{k}^{0} \mathbf{G}_{q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{k} \right\|_{F}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{G}_{q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{0}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{q=1}^{Q} ||\mathbf{G}_{q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})||_{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{0}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq Q \max_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \{||\mathbf{G}_{q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}})||_{2}^{2}\} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||\mathbf{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{0}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= O_{P}(QnT), \tag{G.208}$$

using Lemmas A.2(ii) and A.2(viii).

H Proof of Proposition ID

What follows is analogous to the proofs provided for Theorem 2.1 in Moon and Weidner (2015) and Proposition 1 in Shi and Lee (2017). The expected unpenalised likelihood, evaluated at some $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ and \boldsymbol{F} , and with σ^2 concentrated out, is denoted $\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \boldsymbol{F})$. Dropping the constant this is given by

$$\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \boldsymbol{F}) = \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})))$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{y}_{t} - \boldsymbol{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{f}_{t})' (\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{y}_{t} - \boldsymbol{X}_{t} \boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}) \right] \right). \tag{H.1}$$

Substituting the true DGP $y_t = S^{-1}(X_t\beta^0 + \Lambda^0 f_t^0 + \varepsilon_t)$ into (H.1) and applying Lemma A.2(i) results in

$$\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \boldsymbol{F}) = \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})))$$
$$-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} + \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{f}_{t} \right)'$$

$$\times (\mathbf{Z}_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\mathbf{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0\boldsymbol{f}_t^0 + \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\mathbf{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{f}_t)\Big]\Big). \tag{H.2}$$

Now, to begin, it is shown that for any $(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{F}) \neq (\boldsymbol{\theta}^0, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0 \boldsymbol{F}^0)$, $\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \boldsymbol{F}) < \mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^0, \boldsymbol{F}^0)$. First of all,

$$\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}, \boldsymbol{F}^{0}) = \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S})) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_{t}' \varepsilon_{t}\right]\right) \\
= \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S})) - \frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma_{0}^{2}), \tag{H.3}$$

where the second line follows by Assumption 1.1. Next, using Assumption 1, and concentrating out \mathbf{F} and $\mathbf{\Lambda}^0$, gives the inequality

$$\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \boldsymbol{F}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}))) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{1}{nT} \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})'\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_p^0 - \theta_p) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_p\right)' \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{P} (\theta_p^0 - \theta_p) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_p\right)\right)\right]\right).$$
(H.4)

By Lemma 9 in Yu et al. (2008), $\frac{1}{nT}\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{tr}((S(\rho)S^{-1}\varepsilon)'(S(\rho)S^{-1}\varepsilon))] = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n}\operatorname{tr}((S(\rho)S^{-1})'S(\rho)S^{-1}).$ Applying this, and then rearranging (H.4), gives

$$\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \boldsymbol{F}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}))) \\
- \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1})' \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{nT} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}'(\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{F}^0} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} \right] \right). (H.5)$$

For simplicity denote $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{nT}\mathcal{Z}'(M_{F^0}\otimes M_{\Lambda})\mathcal{Z}\right]$ by \mathbf{M} . Now, $\boldsymbol{\theta}^0$ is a unique global maximiser of the unpenalised expected likelihood for any Λ, F , if for any $\boldsymbol{\theta} \neq \boldsymbol{\theta}^0$, $\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \Lambda, F) < \mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0, \Lambda, F)$. Using (H.3) and (H.5), this inequality holds when

$$\frac{1}{n}\log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}))) - \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\sigma_0^2}{n}\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) + (\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\theta})'\mathbf{M}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\theta})\right)
< \frac{1}{n}\log(\det(\boldsymbol{S})) - \frac{1}{2}\log(\sigma_0^2).$$
(H.6)

Note that $-\frac{1}{n}\log(\det(\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho}))) + \frac{1}{n}\log(\det(\boldsymbol{S})) - \frac{1}{2}\log(\sigma_0^2) = -\frac{1}{2}\log(\sigma_0^2\det((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{n}}).$ Therefore (H.6) becomes

$$-\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\sigma_0^2}{n}\operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) + (\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\theta})'\mathbf{M}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\theta})\right) \\ < -\frac{1}{2}\log(\sigma_0^2\det((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{n}}). \tag{H.7}$$

Multiplying by $-\frac{1}{2}$ and then raising both sides as a power of e yields the condition

$$\frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) + (\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\theta})' \mathbf{M}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\theta}) > \sigma_0^2 \det((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{n}}. \quad (\text{H.8})$$

The matrix **M** is positive definite by Assumption ID.2 and, moreover, by Lemma A.1, $\frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) \geq \sigma_0^2 \det((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{n}}. \text{ Hence,}$

$$\frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}) - \sigma_0^2 \det((\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})'\boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\boldsymbol{S}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{n}} + (\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\theta})'\mathbf{M}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0 - \boldsymbol{\theta}) > 0, \tag{H.9}$$

for any $\theta \neq \theta^0$ and the expected likelihood must be uniquely maximised at θ^0 for any Λ, F . Now, evaluated at θ^0 and with $\Lambda F' \neq \Lambda^0 F^{0'}$,

$$\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \boldsymbol{F}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S})) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{t} + \frac{1}{nT} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{f}_{t})' (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{f}_{t}^{0} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{f}_{t})\right]\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S})) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\sigma_{0}^{2} + \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{nT} \operatorname{tr}((\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{F}')' (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0} \boldsymbol{F}^{0'} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{F}'))\right]\right). \tag{H.10}$$

The trace term in (H.10) can be equivalently written as $\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{F}^{0'} - \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{F}')'(\mathbf{I}_T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{F}^{0'} - \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{F}')$. Since the matrix $(\mathbf{I}_T \otimes \mathbf{I}_n)$ is positive definite, this term is strictly positive as long as $\mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{F}^{0'} \neq \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{F}'$ and therefore

$$\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \boldsymbol{F}) < \mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{0}, \boldsymbol{F}^{0}) = \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{S})) - \frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma_{0}^{2})$$
(H.11)

and the expected likelihood is maximised where $\mathbf{\Lambda}^0 \mathbf{F}^{0'} = \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{F}'$ which is sufficient for the identification of these parameters. Identification of σ_0^2 is then straightforward to show since, omitting the constant, $\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0, \mathbf{\Lambda}^0, \mathbf{F}^0, \sigma_0^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma_0^2) + \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\mathbf{S})) - \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0, \mathbf{\Lambda}^0, \mathbf{F}^0, \sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(\sigma^2) + \frac{1}{n} \log(\det(\mathbf{S})) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2}$. Thus $\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0, \mathbf{\Lambda}^0, \mathbf{F}^0, \sigma^2) < \mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0, \mathbf{\Lambda}^0, \mathbf{F}^0, \sigma_0^2)$ holds if

$$-\frac{1}{2}\log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2} < -\frac{1}{2}\log(\sigma_0^2) - \frac{1}{2}$$
(H.12)

or

$$\log\left(\frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2}\right) < \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2} - 1. \tag{H.13}$$

Using $\log(x) < x - 1$ for x > 1 and $x \neq 1$, it is clear that σ_0^2 is also identified.

I Verifying Assumptions 1–8

In this appendix, where possible, the assumptions in the main text are verified for the Monte Carlo experiment detailed in Section 5.1.

- 2.2 The q-th weights matrix is constructed as if all the cross-sectional units were arrayed on a line and connected only to their immediate neighbours to the q-th degree. These weights matrices are then row normalised. Each row sum is 1 and for $Q \ge n/4$, which is satisfied in the Monte Carlo design, the maximum column sum of each matrix is 1.5. Hence each weights matrix is UB uniformly over q.
- 2.3 Invertibility of $S(\rho)$: For simplicity, let $W^* := \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \rho_q W_q$. A sufficient condition for the invertibility of $S(\rho) = I_n W^*$ is that $||W^*|| < 1$ for some norm $||\cdot||$.³ Since

$$||\mathbf{W}^*|| \le \sum_{q=1}^{Q} |\rho_q| \max_{1 \le q \le Q} ||\mathbf{W}_q||,$$
 (I.1)

 $S(\rho)$ will be invertible if $\sum_{q=1}^{Q} |\rho_q| < (\max_{1 \leq q \leq Q} ||\mathbf{W}_q||)^{-1}$. In particular, since all the \mathbf{W}_q are row normalised (so that $||\mathbf{W}_q||_{\infty} = 1$), this condition reduces to $\sum_{q=1}^{Q} |\rho_q| < 1$, which is satisfied in the MC design.

 $S(\rho)$ is UB: Since $||S(\rho)|| = ||I_n - W^*|| \le ||I_n|| + ||W^*||$, $S(\rho)$ is UB if W^* is UB. If $\sum_{q=1}^{Q} |\rho_q| < 1$, this follows from equation (I.1) and Assumption 2.2, which has been verified above.

 $S^{-1}(\rho)$ is UB: If $||W^*|| < 1$ for some norm $||\cdot||$, then $S^{-1}(\rho) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} (W^*)^h$ and therefore

$$||S^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\rho})|| \le \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} ||(\boldsymbol{W}^*)^h|| \le \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} ||(\boldsymbol{W}^*)||^h.$$
 (I.2)

Under the condition $\sum_{q=1}^{Q} |\rho_q| < 1$, $||\mathbf{W}^*||_{\infty} < 1$ (see above) and therefore $||\mathbf{S}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\rho})||_{\infty}$ is bounded, by equation (I.2). For the columns sums, since the absolute column sums of each weights matrix are bounded by 1.5, by (I.1), $||\mathbf{W}^*||_1$ will be less than 1 where $\sum_{q=1}^{Q} |\rho_q| < 1/1.5$. Using (I.2), it is then straightforward to demonstrate that a sufficient condition for $\mathbf{S}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\rho})$ to be uniformly bounded in absolute column sums is $\sum_{q=1}^{Q} |\rho_q| < 1/1.5$, which is satisfied in the Monte Carlo design.

3.2, 5, 6.6 In simulations, γ_{ρ} and γ_{β} are chosen using the information criterion described in Section 4.1. The parameter ζ is set equal to 4.

³Easily verifiable by considering the Neumann series of $(I_n - W)^{-1}$.

- 3.2 As an initial estimate, the unpenalised MLE is used. In Proposition 1 this is shown to be at least a_{nT} -consistent with $R \ge R^0$.
- 4.3 In the design, the number of parameters adheres to a sequence, indexed say j=1,2,..., where n=T, such that P=8+4j and $n=T=25\times 2^{j-1}$. For example, $j=1,P=12, n=T=25, \ j=2,P=16, n=T=50, \ j=3,P=20, n=T=100,$ etc. Thus, $\lim_{j\to\infty}\frac{P(j)}{\min\{n(j),T(j)\}}=\lim_{j\to\infty}\frac{8+4j}{25\times 2^{j-1}}=0.$
- 6.1, 8.1 Considering the same sequences as in 4.3, note that $\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{P^4(j)}{\min\{n(j),T(j)\}} = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{(8+4j)^4}{25\times 2^{j-1}} = 0$, whereby Assumptions 6.1 and 8.1 are satisfied.
 - 8.2 Let $\varrho_{\rho} = \varrho_{\beta} = 1/\min\{n^{1/4}, T^{1/4}\}$. Then with $a_{nT} = \sqrt{P}/\sqrt{\min\{n, T\}}$, and the number of parameters adhering to the sequence described previously in the previous point, the sequences $\min\{n^{1/4}, T^{1/4}\}/\sqrt{PQ} \to \infty$ and $P/\min\{n^{1/4}, T^{1/4}\} \to 0$.

J Additional Tables

J.1 Standard Normal Errors

Table 6: Bias of penalised estimator of nonzero coefficients (R=0)

\overline{n}	T	ρ_1	ρ_2	$ ho_4$	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	0.0245	-0.0038	-	-0.1183	0.1471	-	-0.1634	-0.0596	-	-0.0014	0.0012
25	50	0.0029	-0.0028	-	-0.1133	0.1432	-	-0.1648	-0.0593	-	-0.0019	0.0019
	100	0.0049	-0.0055	-	-0.1389	0.0153	0.0205	-0.0817	-0.0500	-	-0.0010	0.0010
	25	0.0205	-0.0009	-0.0007	0.1158	0.1466	-	-0.1561	-0.0768	-	-0.0014	0.0014
50	50	0.0223	-0.0022	-0.0014	0.1144	0.1448	-	-0.1637	-0.0778	-	-0.0017	0.0017
	100	0.0056	-0.0030	-0.0038	0.1428	0.0101	0.0261	-0.0790	0.0514	-	-0.0008	0.0008
	25	-0.0208	-0.0038	-0.0012	0.1219	0.1425	-	-0.1483	-0.0693	-0.0775	-0.0020	0.0020
100	50	-0.0207	-0.0039	-0.0012	0.1216	0.1409	-	-0.1505	-0.0702	-0.0778	-0.0020	0.0020
	100	-0.0041	-0.0031	-0.0021	0.1538	0.0103	0.0261	-0.0581	-0.0366	-0.0448	-0.0008	0.0008

Table 7: Bias of bias corrected estimates of nonzero parameters $\left(R=1\right)$

\overline{n}	T	ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_4	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	0.0131	0.0009	-	0.0583	0.0729	-	-0.0899	-0.0286	-	-0.0013	0.0012
25	50	0.0141	0.0003	-	0.0612	0.0760	-	-0.0940	-0.0328	-	-0.0011	0.0011
	100	0.0017	-0.0029	-	0.0849	0.0220	0.0268	-0.0380	-0.0233	-	-0.0005	0.0006
	25	0.0122	-0.0011	-0.0010	0.0635	0.0790	-	-0.0905	-0.0411	-	-0.0007	0.0008
50	50	0.0131	-0.0021	-0.0008	0.0660	0.0817	-	-0.0945	-0.0438	-	-0.0010	0.0010
	100	0.0020	-0.0015	-0.0019	0.0958	0.0326	0.0411	-0.0344	-0.0228	-	-0.0005	0.0008
	25	0.0115	-0.0022	0.0007	0.0671	0.0774	-	-0.0830	-0.0384	-0.0432	-0.0012	0.0012
100	50	0.0119	-0.0023	0.0007	0.0704	0.0813	-	-0.0849	-0.0404	-0.0445	-0.0012	0.0011
	100	0.0014	-0.0013	-0.0012	0.1056	0.0403	0.0479	-0.0236	-0.0162	-0.0185	-0.0006	0.0006

Table 8: Coverage of nonzero parameter estimates $\left(R=1\right)$

\overline{n}	T	ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_4	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	0.631	0.885	-	0.465	0.337	-	0.410	0.817	-	0.914	0.908
25	50	0.393	0.882	-	0.200	0.095	-	0.141	0.715	-	0.893	0.899
	100	0.969	0.664	-	0.006	0.776	0.718	0.403	0.608	-	0.886	0.880
	25	0.457	0.907	0.894	0.159	0.073	-	0.172	0.588	-	0.902	0.904
50	50	0.179	0.847	0.865	0.020	0.003	-	0.031	0.374	-	0.897	0.899
	100	0.615	0.679	0.639	0.000	0.709	0.486	0.283	0.471	-	0.870	0.854
	25	0.325	0.865	0.921	0.021	0.009	-	0.109	0.493	0.408	0.880	0.880
100	50	0.084	0.820	0.868	0.000	0.000	-	0.012	0.251	0.164	0.867	0.863
	100	0.636	0.655	0.628	0.000	0.602	0.248	0.318	0.470	0.416	0.786	0.796

Table 9: Bias of bias corrected estimates of nonzero parameters (R=6)

n	T	$ ho_1$	$ ho_2$	$ ho_4$	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	0	-0.0003	-	0.0006	-0.0010	-	-0.0021	0.0036	-	-0.0003	-0.0001
25	50	0	-0.0003	-	0.0001	-0.0006	-	-0.0023	0.0030	-	-0.0002	0.0002
	100	-0.0001	-0.0001	-	0.0003	-0.0010	0.0008	-0.0002	0.0009	-	-0.0002	0.0002
	25	0.0001	-0.0002	0	0.0010	-0.0004	-	-0.0010	0.0006	-	-0.0001	0.0002
50	50	0.0001	-0.0004	0.0001	0.0002	-0.0012	-	-0.0005	0.0017	-	-0.0002	0.0002
	100	0.0001	-0.0002	0	0.0004	-0.0005	0.0005	-0.0003	0.0005	-	-0.0001	0.0001
	25	0.0001	-0.0001	0	-0.0003	-0.0010	-	-0.0003	0.0015	-0.0001	-0.0003	0.0003
100	50	0	-0.0001	0	0.0006	-0.0006	-	-0.0002	0.0016	-0.0007	-0.0002	0.0002
	100	0.0001	-0.0001	0	0.0001	-0.0004	0.0003	0.0001	0.0005	-0.0003	-0.0001	0.0001

Table 10: Coverage of nonzero parameter estimates (R = 6)

\overline{n}	T	ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_4	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	0.735	0.750	-	0.742	0.751	-	0.736	0.764	-	0.745	0.751
25	50	0.796	0.788	-	0.830	0.821	-	0.819	0.805	-	0.826	0.830
	100	0.832	0.858	-	0.863	0.844	0.847	0.848	0.854	-	0.854	0.852
	25	0.798	0.811	0.820	0.829	0.816	-	0.821	0.821	-	0.809	0.808
50	50	0.858	0.855	0.861	0.888	0.873	-	0.850	0.876	-	0.863	0.860
	100	0.903	0.887	0.907	0.891	0.885	0.889	0.885	0.901	-	0.897	0.905
	25	0.877	0.844	0.864	0.856	0.858	-	0.855	0.846	0.852	0.867	0.851
100	50	0.913	0.899	0.904	0.870	0.903	-	0.896	0.893	0.878	0.895	0.900
	100	0.912	0.917	0.908	0.939	0.916	0.910	0.915	0.929	0.911	0.909	0.905

Table 11: Bias of bias corrected estimates of nonzero parameters (R=10)

\overline{n}	T	ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_4	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	-0.0001	-0.0020	=	-0.0009	0.0008	-	0.0020	0.0066	-	-0.0001	0.0006
25	50	-0.0004	-0.0014	-	0.0002	0.0006	-	0.0005	0.0052	-	-0.0004	0.0004
	100	-0.0001	-0.0002	-	0.0006	-0.0012	0.0014	-0.0010	0.0017	-	-0.0001	0.0001
	25	0.0003	-0.0005	-0.0001	0.0014	-0.0012	-	-0.0003	0.0015	-	-0.0004	0.0005
50	50	0	-0.0002	0	0.0003	-0.0010	-	-0.0008	0.0016	-	-0.0003	0.0002
	100	0	-0.0002	0	0.0003	-0.0006	0.0007	-0.0002	0.0006	-	-0.0002	0.0002
	25	-0.0001	-0.0004	0.0001	0.0005	-0.0010	-	0.0002	0.0030	-0.0011	-0.0003	0.0003
100	50	0.0002	-0.0004	0.0001	0.0005	-0.0009	-	-0.0008	0.0017	-0.0004	-0.0002	0.0002
	100	0.0001	-0.0002	0	0.0001	-0.0006	0.0005	-0.0003	0.0011	0	-0.0002	0.0002

Table 12: Coverage of nonzero parameter estimates (R=10)

\overline{n}	T	ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_4	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	0.462	0.450	-	0.484	0.471	-	0.469	0.464	-	0.505	0.484
25	50	0.602	0.619	-	0.638	0.641	-	0.635	0.631	-	0.667	0.660
	100	0.739	0.728	-	0.721	0.720	0.738	0.714	0.724	-	0.711	0.703
	25	0.621	0.629	0.655	0.621	0.661	-	0.659	0.651	-	0.659	0.658
50	50	0.760	0.772	0.771	0.772	0.741	-	0.765	0.777	-	0.764	0.777
	100	0.823	0.829	0.822	0.839	0.818	0.828	0.833	0.810	-	0.840	0.843
	25	0.728	0.740	0.719	0.732	0.708	-	0.711	0.726	0.729	0.698	0.707
100	50	0.831	0.818	0.821	0.845	0.827	-	0.839	0.824	0.828	0.840	0.833
	100	0.853	0.863	0.887	0.869	0.871	0.884	0.868	0.877	0.870	0.851	0.851

Table 13: Percentage of true zeros (R = 10)

n	T	ρ_3	$ ho_5$	δ_2	δ_4	δ_{12}	δ_{14}	ϕ_2	ϕ_4	ϕ_5
	25	99.9	-	90	-	90.4	-	100	-	-
25	50	99.7	-	100	100	99.4	-	100	-	-
	100	99.7	-	100	100	100	-	99.7	-	-
	25	100	-	100	-	99.8	99.8	100	100	-
50	50	100	-	100	100	100	100	100	100	-
	100	99.6	-	100	100	100	100	99.6	99.6	-
	25	100	100	100	-	100	100	100	100	100
100	50	99.6	99.6	100	100	100	100	99.6	99.6	99.6
	100	99.6	99.6	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.7	99.6	99.6

Table 14: Percentage of false zeros (R = 10)

n	T	ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_4	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	0.1	0.2	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0
25	50	0.1	0.3	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0
	100	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
	25	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0
50	50	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0
	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
	25	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0
100	50	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0
	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 15: True number of factors is selected % (R = 10)

\overline{T}		25			50			100	
\overline{n}	IC1	IC2	IC3	IC1	IC2	IC3	IC1	IC2	IC3
25	0	96.6	86	23.5	99.8	99.8	100	100	100
50	37.2	100	98.4	1.9	100	100	100	100	100
100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99.2	100	100

J.2 Gamma(0.5,1)

Table 16: Bias of bias corrected estimates of nonzero parameters $(R=R^0)$

\overline{n}	T	$ ho_1$	ρ_2	$ ho_4$	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	0.0006	-0.0007	-	0.0009	-0.0006	-	-0.0027	0.0023	=	-0.0003	0.0004
25	50	0.0002	-0.0002	-	0.0006	-0.0002	-	-0.0026	0.0023	-	-0.0003	0.0004
	100	0.0001	-0.0003	-	0.0002	-0.0008	0.0008	0.0001	0.0009	-	-0.0001	0.0001
	25	0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0001	-0.0003	-0.0005	-	-0.0006	0.0015	-	-0.0003	0.0004
50	50	0.0002	-0.0004	0	0.0004	-0.0013	-	-0.0004	0.0012	-	-0.0002	0.0002
	100	0.0001	-0.0002	0.0001	-0.0001	-0.0002	0.0002	0	0.0003	-	-0.0002	0.0002
	25	0.0002	-0.0004	0.0002	0.0003	-0.0001	-	-0.0017	0.0028	-0.0014	-0.0003	0.0003
100	50	0.0001	-0.0002	0.0001	0.0004	-0.0003	-	-0.0007	0.0012	-0.0004	-0.0002	0.0002
	100	0.0001	-0.0001	0	0.0003	-0.0001	0.0001	-0.0005	0.0007	-0.0003	-0.0002	0.0002

Table 17: Coverage of nonzero parameter estimates $({\cal R}={\cal R}^0)$

\overline{n}	T	$ ho_1$	$ ho_2$	$ ho_4$	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	0.891	0.896	-	0.889	0.896	-	0.901	0.906	-	0.893	0.895
25	50	0.911	0.909	-	0.919	0.895	-	0.907	0.919	-	0.926	0.935
	100	0.912	0.922	-	0.922	0.916	0.914	0.920	0.920	-	0.929	0.926
	25	0.936	0.931	0.925	0.922	0.930	-	0.918	0.919	-	0.928	0.930
50	50	0.930	0.929	0.944	0.948	0.930	-	0.922	0.938	-	0.933	0.934
	100	0.930	0.925	0.940	0.940	0.929	0.926	0.945	0.943	-	0.952	0.952
	25	0.925	0.944	0.919	0.907	0.927	-	0.914	0.909	0.929	0.932	0.932
100	50	0.931	0.936	0.938	0.944	0.939	-	0.933	0.946	0.940	0.924	0.928
	100	0.944	0.936	0.942	0.947	0.951	0.946	0.935	0.948	0.929	0.935	0.936

J.3 Gamma(1,1)

Table 18: Bias of bias corrected estimates of nonzero parameters $(R=R^0)$

\overline{n}	T	$ ho_1$	ρ_2	ρ_4	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	-0.0001	-0.0004	-	0.0013	-0.0021	-	-0.0012	0.0022	-	-0.0001	0.0001
25	50	0.0001	-0.0003	-	0.0007	-0.0008	-	-0.0015	0.0015	-	-0.0003	0.0004
	100	0	0	-	-0.0001	-0.0001	0	0.0006	0.0010	-	-0.0001	0.0001
	25	0.0003	-0.0003	0.0001	0	-0.0001	-	-0.0018	0.0017	-	-0.0002	0.0002
50	50	0.0001	-0.0002	0.0001	0.0005	-0.0004	-	-0.0006	0.0008	-	-0.0003	0.0003
	100	0	-0.0002	0.0001	0.0001	-0.0005	0.0004	-0.0005	0.0011	-	-0.0001	0.0001
	25	0	0	0	0.0002	0.0003	-	-0.0005	0.0010	-0.0007	-0.0002	0.0002
100	50	0.0001	-0.0002	0.0001	0.0002	0	-	-0.0007	0.0011	-0.0006	-0.0002	0.0002
	100	0	0	0	0.0002	-0.0002	0.0001	-0.0003	0.0003	-0.0001	-0.0002	0.0002

Table 19: Coverage of nonzero parameter estimates $({\cal R}={\cal R}^0)$

\overline{n}	T	$ ho_1$	$ ho_2$	$ ho_4$	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	0.906	0.910	-	0.914	0.899	-	0.893	0.912	-	0.931	0.928
25	50	0.920	0.913	-	0.930	0.910	-	0.926	0.917	-	0.914	0.910
	100	0.912	0.918	-	0.925	0.934	0.936	0.914	0.925	-	0.932	0.936
	25	0.898	0.912	0.918	0.924	0.925	-	0.913	0.940	-	0.924	0.921
50	50	0.941	0.928	0.937	0.936	0.942	-	0.936	0.930	-	0.926	0.931
	100	0.934	0.947	0.938	0.942	0.935	0.949	0.942	0.943	-	0.943	0.944
	25	0.929	0.928	0.923	0.926	0.924	-	0.935	0.940	0.926	0.916	0.916
100	50	0.939	0.933	0.950	0.940	0.940	-	0.936	0.918	0.945	0.942	0.941
	100	0.936	0.934	0.932	0.944	0.943	0.953	0.945	0.938	0.938	0.939	0.939

J.4 Laplace(0,1)

Table 20: Bias of bias corrected estimates of nonzero parameters $(R=R^0)$

\overline{n}	T	$ ho_1$	ρ_2	$ ho_4$	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	0.0007	-0.0007	-	-0.0002	0.0001	-	-0.0039	0.0037	-	0	0
25	50	0.0003	-0.0005		0.0007	-0.0007	-	-0.0018	0.0024	-	-0.0003	0.0003
	100	0	-0.0001	-	0	-0.0007	0.0007	-0.0003	0.0009	-	-0.0002	0.0002
	25	0.0001	-0.0003	0	0.0002	-0.0007	-	-0.0004	0.0016	-	-0.0003	0.0003
50	50	0.0001	-0.0001	-0.0001	0.0004	-0.0003	-	-0.0012	0.0014	-	-0.0003	0.0003
	100	0	-0.0001	0	0.0003	-0.0006	0.0006	0.0004	0.0007	-	-0.0002	0.0002
	25	0	-0.0002	0	0.0005	-0.0012	-	0	0.0016	-0.0005	-0.0002	0.0002
100	50	0.0001	-0.0003	0.0001	0.0005	-0.0005	-	0.0010	0.0017	-0.0004	-0.0003	0.0003
	100	0	-0.0001	0	0.0002	-0.0001	0.0002	-0.0001	0.0006	-0.0005	-0.0002	0.0002

Table 21: Coverage of nonzero parameter estimates $({\cal R}={\cal R}^0)$

\overline{n}	T	$ ho_1$	$ ho_2$	$ ho_4$	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	0.900	0.914	-	0.905	0.890	-	0.913	0.903	-	0.906	0.896
25	50	0.913	0.905	-	0.928	0.921	-	0.925	0.926	-	0.919	0.917
	100	0.935	0.926	-	0.935	0.912	0.930	0.935	0.933	-	0.922	0.915
	25	0.917	0.932	0.926	0.921	0.929	-	0.908	0.923	-	0.909	0.907
50	50	0.934	0.926	0.939	0.934	0.940	-	0.928	0.918	-	0.942	0.938
	100	0.942	0.936	0.941	0.934	0.943	0.920	0.937	0.937	-	0.949	0.937
	25	0.939	0.927	0.911	0.931	0.934	-	0.934	0.925	0.929	0.927	0.926
100	50	0.935	0.926	0.935	0.931	0.925	-	0.933	0.938	0.942	0.924	0.927
	100	0.935	0.926	0.948	0.939	0.927	0.934	0.938	0.948	0.947	0.940	0.936

J.5 ChiSq(3)

Table 22: Bias of bias corrected estimates of nonzero parameters $(R = R^0)$

\overline{n}	T	ρ_1	ρ_2	$ ho_4$	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	-0.0002	-0.0002	-	-0.0002	-0.0012	-	-0.0004	0.0025	-	-0.0003	0.0003
25	50	0.0001	-0.0003	-	0.0005	-0.0004	-	-0.0021	0.0023	-	-0.0003	0.0003
	100	0	-0.0001	-	0.0005	-0.0004	0.0005	-0.0009	0.0010	-	-0.0002	0.0002
	25	-0.0002	0	0	0.0006	-0.0008	-	0	0.0010	-	-0.0001	0.0001
50	50	-0.0001	0	0	0.0005	-0.0011	-	-0.0003	0.0010	-	-0.0003	0.0003
	100	0	-0.0001	0	0.0002	-0.0004	0.0004	-0.0006	0.0009	-	-0.0002	0.0002
	25	0	-0.0002	0.0001	0.0006	-0.0004	-	-0.0010	0.0015	-0.0006	-0.0002	0.0002
100	50	0	-0.0001	0.0001	0	-0.0005	-	-0.0010	0.0016	-0.0010	-0.0003	0.0003
	100	0	0	0	0.0002	-0.0002	0.0003	-0.0001	0.0002	-0.0003	-0.0003	0.0002

Table 23: Coverage of nonzero parameter estimates $(R = R^0)$

\overline{n}	T	$ ho_1$	$ ho_2$	$ ho_4$	δ_1	δ_3	δ_5	δ_{11}	δ_{13}	δ_{15}	ϕ_1	ϕ_3
	25	0.900	0.906	-	0.901	0.898	-	0.893	0.896	-	0.903	0.899
25	50	0.908	0.923	-	0.901	0.927	-	0.927	0.924	-	0.917	0.912
	100	0.938	0.923	-	0.918	0.930	0.924	0.947	0.923	-	0.919	0.920
	25	0.927	0.913	0.910	0.914	0.911	-	0.917	0.923	-	0.917	0.922
50	50	0.922	0.936	0.932	0.925	0.921	-	0.920	0.934	-	0.931	0.929
	100	0.940	0.937	0.928	0.932	0.939	0.935	0.927	0.932	-	0.938	0.941
	25	0.914	0.935	0.931	0.940	0.923	-	0.930	0.940	0.926	0.938	0.933
100	50	0.942	0.935	0.931	0.935	0.931	-	0.946	0.922	0.952	0.932	0.932
	100	0.935	0.944	0.933	0.940	0.935	0.936	0.937	0.933	0.940	0.924	0.919

K Additional Simulations

As has been touched on several times throughout the paper, since both common factors and interaction generate dependence in the cross-section, it can sometime be difficult to disentangle these two effects. Proposition ID provides an argument to demonstrate that, asymptotically at least, it is possible to separate out these two features, under certain conditions. However, for the interested reader, this section provides results for two additional Monte Carlo experiments which are designed to assess how well the method might perform in settings where separating the effects of interaction and of common factors may be especially difficult.

K.1 Pure Star

In this design there are no exogenous covariates and only a single (row normalised) weights matrix with associated coefficient $\rho^0 = 0.2$. The network consists of a star, where all the cross-sectional units are connected to the first unit and to no others. This produces a corresponding weights matrix which will always have a rank of 2. As in the main text, the factors, loadings and errors are generated as standard normal, however the true factor term has a rank of 2, that is $R^0 = 2$. With the factor term and the weights matrix both having a low rank, and with exogenous covariates absent, this provides an especially challenging design. The following tables provide results with the postulated number of factors R being correctly specified, and overspecified to various degrees.

Table 24: Bias of bias corrected estimates

		R									
n = T	2	3	4	6							
25	-0.0072	-0.0331	-0.0616	-0.1516							
50	-0.0001	-0.0100	-0.0200	-0.0516							
100	-0.0001	-0.0006	-0.0022	-0.0080							

Table 25: Coverage

		R									
n = T	2	3	4	6							
25	0.844	0.676	0.529	0.222							
50	0.889	0.796	0.722	0.578							
100	0.891	0.899	0.834	0.737							

Table 26: Percentage of false zeros

		R								
n = T	2	3	4	6						
25	5.2	13.8	19.7	21.3						
50	0.1	4.6	10.2	20.7						
100	0	0.2	1	3.7						

In this experiment, the number of factors being overspecified has a substantial influence

on the performance of the procedure. Most telling are perhaps the results presented in Table 26 which give the percentage of times, across the Monte Carlo draws, that the coefficient ρ is incorrectly set to zero. With a small sample, there is an especially large increase in the number of false zeros once R exceeds 4. This might be explained by 4 being the combined rank of both the true factor term and the weights matrix. In all cases, however, the percentage of false zeros dramatically deceases as sample size increases.

K.2 Multiple Stars

This experiment is designed to more closely resemble the Monte Carlo design in the main text, with the number of weights matrices increasing with sample size. It is summarised in Table 27.

Table 27: True parameter values

$\frac{n=T}{25}$	ρ_1^0	$ ho_2^0$	ρ_3^0	ρ_4^0	$ ho_5^0$	δ_1^0	δ_2^0	δ_3^0	ϕ_1^0	ϕ_2^0	ϕ_3^0	ϕ_4^0	ϕ_5^0
25	0.2	0.2	0	-	-	3	0	-3	0.15	0	-0.15	_	_
50	0.2	0.2	0	0.2	-	3	0	-3	0.15	0	-0.15	0	_
100	0.2	0.2	0	0.2	0	3	0	-3	0.15	0	-0.15	0	0

Exogenous covariates are included in the model with these being generated according to $x_{\kappa it}^* = \nu + \sum_{r=1}^{R^0} \lambda_{ir}^0 f_{rt}^0 + e_{it}$ with ν being uniformly drawn from the integers $\{-10, ..., 10\}$ and $e_{it} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2)$, as in the main text. The factors, loadings and errors are all standard normal. The weights matrices take the form of stars, as in the previous experiment, however, these stars are of sizes 5, 7, 13, 25 and 50. Tables 28–31 below summarise the results with the true number of factors (R^0) equal to 3 and the number of factors used in estimation (R) is correctly specified, or overspecified to varying degrees as 5, 10 and 15.

Table 28: Bias of bias corrected estimates of nonzero parameters

R	n = T	$ ho_1^0$	$ ho_2^0$	$ ho_4^0$	δ_1^0	δ_3^0	ϕ_1^0	ϕ_3^0
	25	-0.0006	-0.0008		0.0002	-0.0001	-0.0001	0.0005
3	50	-0.0002	-0.0001	0	0.0001	-0.0002	0	0.0001
	100	0	-0.0001	0	-0.0001	0.0001	0	0.0002
	25	-0.0008	-0.0006	-	-0.0005	-0.0008	-0.0002	0.0005
5	50	-0.0001	0	-0.0001	0.0004	-0.0001	0	0.0001
	100	-0.0001	-0.0001	0	0.0001	0	0	0.0002
	25	-0.0107	-0.0110	-	-0.0024	0.0012	-0.0004	0.0050
10	50	-0.0002	-0.0005	-0.0004	0.0005	-0.0002	0	0.0009
	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0002
	25	-0.0287	-0.0297	-	-0.0033	0.0016	-0.0010	0.0144
15	50	-0.0017	-0.0025	-0.0008	0.0003	-0.0003	0	0.0029
	100	-0.0001	-0.0006	-0.0001	0	0	0	0.0007

Table 29: Coverage of nonzero parameter estimates

R	n = T	$ ho_1^0$	$ ho_2^0$	$ ho_4^0$	δ_1^0	δ_3^0	ϕ_1^0	ϕ_3^0
	25	0.805	0.795	-	0.804	0.806	0.796	0.798
3	50	0.916	0.897	0.896	0.892	0.892	0.895	0.888
	100	0.942	0.928	0.930	0.926	0.928	0.917	0.910
	25	0.789	0.808	-	0.796	0.744	0.807	0.788
5	50	0.890	0.889	0.888	0.894	0.891	0.881	0.889
	100	0.918	0.929	0.935	0.917	0.909	0.920	0.914
	25	0.513	0.454	-	0.436	0.481	0.457	0.504
10	50	0.794	0.770	0.790	0.783	0.786	0.759	0.777
	100	0.874	0.893	0.889	0.891	0.883	0.873	0.870
	25	0.225	0.237	-	0.211	0.217	0.231	0.207
15	50	0.610	0.581	0.612	0.620	0.602	0.623	0.602
	100	0.786	0.824	0.813	0.836	0.822	0.825	0.829

Table 30: Percentage of false zeros

\overline{R}	n = T	$ ho_3^0$	$ ho_5^0$	δ_3^0	ϕ_2^0	ϕ_4^0	ϕ_5^0
	25	100	_	100	100	_	_
3	50	100	_	100	100	100	_
	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
5	25	100	_	100	100	_	_
	50	100	_	100	100	100	_
	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
10	25	99.2	_	98.2	97.7	_	_
	50	100	_	100	100	100	_
	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
15	25	86.2	_	77.3	84.5	_	_
	50	100	_	100	100	100	_
	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Table 31: Percentage of true zeros

R	n = T	$ ho_1^0$	$ ho_2^0$	$ ho_4^0$	δ_1^0	δ_3^0	ϕ_1^0	ϕ_3^0
3	25	0.2	0.2	-	0	0	0	0.2
	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	25	0.1	0.1	-	0	0	0	0.2
	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	25	4.7	4.6	-	0	0	0	5.5
	50	0.1	0.1	0	0	0	0	0.5
	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	25	12.3	10.5	-	0	0	0	11.2
	50	0.7	0.7	0.3	0	0	0	1.9
	100	0	0.2	0	0	0	0	0.3

A similar pattern emerges in this experiment to that in the previous section; overspecification of the number of factors can have a substantial effect on the significance of coefficients in small samples. In this case the impact is less poignant, however the same result is bourne

out: as sample size increases, the ability of the procedure to separate the network structure and the factor term rapidly improves.

References

- Bai, J., 2009. Panel data models with interactive fixed effects. Econometrica 77 (4), 1229–1279.
- Bramoullé, Y., Djebbari, H., Fortin, B., 2009. Identification of peer effects through social networks. Journal of Econometrics 150 (1), 41–55.
- Davidson, J., 1994. Stochastic Limit Theory. Oxford University Press, Oxford, England.
- Horn, R. A., Johnson, C. R., 2012. Matrix Analysis, 2nd Edition. Cambridge University Press, New York, USA.
- Kwok, H. H., 2019. Identification and estimation of linear social interaction models. Journal of Econometrics 210 (2), 434–458.
- Latala, R., 2005. Some estimates of norms of random matrices. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 133 (5), 1273–1282.
- Moon, H. R., Weidner, M., 2015. Linear regression for panel with unknown number of factors as interactive fixed effects. Econometrica 83 (4), 1543–1579.
- Moon, H. R., Weidner, M., 2017. Dynamic linear panel regression models with interactive fixed effects. Econometric Theory 33 (1), 158–195.
- Newey, W. K., McFadden, D., 1994. Large sample estimation and hypothesis testing. In: Engle, R. F., McFadden, D. L. (Eds.), Handbook of Econometrics. Vol. 4. Elsevier, Ch. 36, pp. 2111–2245.
- Shi, W., Lee, L.-F., 2017. Spatial dynamic panel data models with interactive fixed effects. Journal of Econometrics 197 (2), 323–347.
- Yu, J., de Jong, R., Lee, L.-F., 2008. Quasi-maximum likelihood estimators for spatial dynamic panel data with fixed effects when both n and T are large. Journal of Econometrics 146 (1), 118–134.