

# **Experimental Validation and Adjustment of a Theoretical Model for Mid-Air Collision of Projectiles**

Aaradhay Anand, Tolly Zhang

October 24, 2024

# 1 Abstract

This experiment explored the collision dynamics of two projectiles launched simultaneously from distinct starting points to apply principles of projectile motion in predicting precise collision coordinates. Positioned two meters apart, the launch apparatuses propelled projectiles in parallel, necessitating accurate calculation of initial velocities and launch angles. Measured velocities obtained from speed traps and calculated launch angles were used to establish initial conditions for predicting and observing the collision of both projectiles. A comparison of theoretical predictions with observed results demonstrated the reliability of projectile motion equations and highlighted their relevance to practical applications, reinforcing our understanding of kinematic principles in physics.

## 2 Introduction

### 2.1 Overview

Projectile motion describes the path of an object that, once launched, is influenced solely by gravity. Thus, a projectile is subject only to the initial velocity and the gravitational force acting upon it, yielding a uniform vertical acceleration of  $g = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$  on the Earth's surface.

In analyzing projectile motion, we decompose the motion into two perpendicular components: horizontal and vertical. Under ideal conditions, neglecting air resistance, the horizontal velocity component remains constant throughout the projectile's trajectory, as there are no horizontal forces acting on the object. Conversely, the vertical velocity component varies due to the continuous downward acceleration imparted by gravity. This decomposition allows us to express the position and velocity of the projectile at any given time by treating the horizontal and vertical motions independently.

The general equations governing projectile motion, with air resistance neglected, are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}x(t) &= x_0 + v_{0x} \cdot t \\y(t) &= y_0 + v_{0y} \cdot t - \frac{1}{2}gt^2\end{aligned}$$

where  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  denote the initial horizontal and vertical positions, respectively,  $v_{0x}$  and  $v_{0y}$  are the initial horizontal and vertical components of the velocity, and  $t$  is the time elapsed since launch.

In this experiment, air resistance is considered negligible. As a result, we can apply these idealized equations to predict the motion of projectiles launched from two distinct initial positions. This assumption simplifies the analysis, enabling precise calculations of projectile paths based solely on initial velocities, launch angles, and the gravitational constant  $g$ .

## 2.2 Theory

The setup consisted of two projectile launchers,  $A$  and  $B$ , positioned at the same height and angled so that their projectile paths would be co-planar. The following variables are defined:

- Coordinate plane: A co-planar reference for both projectile paths, with unit vectors  $\hat{i}$  and  $\hat{j}$  equal to 1 mm.
- Time  $t$  (in seconds):  $t = 0$  at the moment of launch.
- $x^A(t)$ ,  $y^A(t)$ ,  $x^B(t)$ , and  $y^B(t)$ : The  $x$ - and  $y$ -positions for projectiles from launchers  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively.
- Displacement vectors  $\vec{s}^A(t)$  and  $\vec{s}^B(t)$  as functions of  $t$ :

$$\vec{s}^A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} s_x^A(t) \\ s_y^A(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x^A(t) - x^A(0) \\ y^A(t) - y^A(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{s}^B(t) = \begin{bmatrix} s_x^B(t) \\ s_y^B(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x^B(t) - x^B(0) \\ y^B(t) - y^B(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

- Velocities  $v_x^A(t)$ ,  $v_y^A(t)$ ,  $v_x^B(t)$ , and  $v_y^B(t)$ : The horizontal and vertical velocities for each projectile as functions of  $t$ .
  - These velocity functions are the derivatives of their respective positions, e.g.,

$$v_x^A(t) = \frac{dx^A(t)}{dt}.$$

The combined velocities can be expressed as vectors:

$$\vec{v}^A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} v_x^A(t) \\ v_y^A(t) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{v}^B(t) = \begin{bmatrix} v_x^B(t) \\ v_y^B(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

- Displacement vector  $\vec{D} = \begin{bmatrix} D_x \\ D_y \end{bmatrix}$ : The vector from launcher  $A$  to launcher  $B$ , with initial positions:

$$\vec{s}^A(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{s}^B(0) = \vec{s}^A(0) + \vec{D}$$

- $g = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$ : Acceleration due to gravity.
- $t_c$ : Collision time, defined as the smallest  $t_c \geq 0$  for which:

$$\vec{s}^B(t_c) = \vec{s}^A(t_c).$$

## 2.3 Initial Speed Measurements

To measure initial projectile velocities, launchers were positioned horizontally on a table, loaded to various levels, and fired. Projectile speeds were recorded with a speed trap and an iPad application, and average velocities were used in further calculations. The tables below lists measured exit velocities for both launchers.

Speed Mode	Trial 1(m/s)	Trial 2(m/s)	Trial 3(m/s)	Average(m/s)
Slow	3.22	3.17	3.18	<b>3.19</b>
Medium	4.74	4.84	4.80	<b>4.79</b>
High	6.64	6.27	6.44	<b>6.45</b>

Table 1: Launcher B Exit Speeds by Speed Mode

Speed Mode	Trial 1(m/s)	Trial 2(m/s)	Trial 3(m/s)	Average(m/s)
Slow	2.49	2.55	2.55	<b>2.53</b>
Medium	3.55	3.55	3.55	<b>3.55</b>
High	4.69	4.73	4.75	<b>4.72</b>

Table 2: Launcher A Exit Speeds by Speed Mode

## 2.4 Calculations

Let the launch angles of launchers  $A$  and  $B$  be denoted as  $\theta_A$  and  $\theta_B$ , respectively. The initial velocities of the projectiles can be written as:

$$\vec{v}^A(0) = |\vec{v}^A(0)| \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta_A) \\ \sin(\theta_A) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{v}^B(0) = |\vec{v}^B(0)| \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta_B) \\ \sin(\theta_B) \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $\vec{v}_x$  is constant, while  $\vec{v}_y$  varies due to gravity, the displacement functions based on initial positions are:

$$\vec{s}^A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} v_x^A(0) \cdot t \\ v_y^A(0) \cdot t - \frac{1}{2}gt^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{s}^B(t) = \begin{bmatrix} D_x + v_x^B(0) \cdot t \\ D_y + v_y^B(0) \cdot t - \frac{1}{2}gt^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Setting  $\vec{s}^A(t_c) = \vec{s}^B(t_c)$  for collision at time  $t_c$  leads to:

$$\begin{cases} |\vec{v}^A(0)| \cos(\theta_A) \cdot t_c = D_x + |\vec{v}^B(0)| \cos(\theta_B) \cdot t_c \\ |\vec{v}^A(0)| \sin(\theta_A) \cdot t_c - \frac{1}{2}gt_c^2 = D_y + |\vec{v}^B(0)| \sin(\theta_B) \cdot t_c - \frac{1}{2}gt_c^2 \end{cases}$$

Dividing each by  $t_c$  (if  $t_c \neq 0$ ), we derive:

$$v^{\vec{A}}(0) - v^{\vec{B}}(0) = \frac{\vec{D}}{t_c}$$

This equation asserts that for collision, the difference between initial velocities must match the displacement scaled with  $t_c$ .

The **collision point** can be expressed as  $s^{\vec{A}}(t_c)$  or  $s^{\vec{B}}(t_c)$

## 3 Materials and Methods

### 3.1 Materials

- **Launcher A (Big Launcher):**
  - Plastic ball (25.3 mm in diameter, 9.73 g mass)
  - Positioned at  $x = 0$  m
  - Velocity is measured using a speed trap
- **Launcher B (Small Launcher):**
  - Metal ball (15.7 mm diameter, 16.36 g mass)
  - Positioned at  $x = D = 2$  m
  - Velocity is measured using a speed trap
- Sparkview Speed Trap
- Measuring Tape to measure the distance between launchers

### 3.2 Methods

1. Position Launcher A (big launcher) at  $x = 0$  m and Launcher B (small launcher) at  $x = 2$  m, both set to the same vertical height.
2. Record the exit velocities of both projectiles by conducting several trials.
3. Calculate the average velocity for both projectiles.
4. Use kinematic equations to predict the required launch angles for both projectiles to collide in mid-air, considering that Launcher A is two meters behind Launcher B.
5. Launch both projectiles simultaneously and record the actual launch angles and collision points.
6. Compare the calculated values with the actual results and analyze any differences.

## 4 Results

### 4.1 Launcher A (Big Launcher)

	Slowest mode	Medium mode	High Speed
<b>Trial 1</b>	3.22 m/s	4.74 m/s	6.64 m/s
<b>Trial 2</b>	3.17 m/s	4.84 m/s	6.27 m/s
<b>Trial 3</b>	3.18 m/s	4.80 m/s	6.44 m/s
<b>Average</b>	3.19 m/s	4.79 m/s	6.45 m/s

Table 3: Velocity data for Launcher A (Big Launcher)

### 4.2 Launcher B (Small Launcher)

	Slowest mode	Medium mode	High Speed
<b>Trial 1</b>	2.49 m/s	3.55 m/s	4.69 m/s
<b>Trial 2</b>	2.55 m/s	3.55 m/s	4.73 m/s
<b>Trial 3</b>	2.55 m/s	3.55 m/s	4.75 m/s
<b>Average</b>	2.53 m/s	3.55 m/s	4.72 m/s

Table 4: Velocity data for Launcher B (Small Launcher)

### 4.3 Additional Information

- Distance between launchers:  $D = 2.0$  m
- Gravity:  $g = 9.81$  m/s<sup>2</sup>

## 5 Discussion

### 5.1 Summary of Experimental Results

In this experiment, we aimed to determine the required launch angles and timing for two projectiles, launched in the same direction from different starting points, to collide mid-air. After conducting the experiment and analyzing the slow-motion footage, we obtained the following values:

- **Launcher A (Large Projectile):** Experimental launch angle of  $40^\circ$ .

- **Launcher B (Small Projectile):** Experimental launch angle of  $74^\circ$ .
- **Collision Time:** 0.62 seconds, calculated by multiplying the time measured in slow motion by the frames per second (FPS) of the recording.

These values closely align with the pre-lab calculations, which predicted angles close to  $44.9^\circ$  for Launcher A and exactly  $74^\circ$  for Launcher B, as well as a collision time of approximately 0.6136 seconds.

## 5.2 Comparison with Pre-Lab Calculations

The experimentally obtained angle for Launcher A is  $40^\circ$ , while the pre-lab calculation predicted an angle of  $44.9^\circ$ . This corresponds to a difference of approximately  $4.9^\circ$ , or a 12.25% discrepancy from the predicted angle. For Launcher B, the experimental and calculated angles match exactly at  $74^\circ$ . The collision time calculated from the experiment (0.62 seconds) is also remarkably close to the predicted time of 0.6136 seconds, differing by less than 1%.

These results suggest that the pre-lab calculations provided the predicted values necessary for a collision. The slight discrepancy in Launcher A's angle could be due to minor errors in angle measurement, subtle inconsistencies in initial velocity, or the effects of air resistance, which were neglected in the theoretical model.

## 5.3 Evaluation of Measurement Techniques

The collision time was determined using a slow-motion recording. To obtain the time, I multiplied the recorded slow-motion time by the video's FPS. This technique allowed for accurate time measurement, minimizing human error in timing but introducing potential error due to slight frame rate variations or imprecisions in playback speed.

## 5.4 Potential Sources of Error

1. **Angle Measurement:** Small errors in measuring the launch angles could contribute to the observed discrepancies. Even a minor deviation in the launcher setup could slightly alter the launch angle.
2. **Initial Velocity Variations:** The experimental setup may not perfectly reproduce the exact initial velocities used in calculations. Variations in launcher tension or minor friction effects could impact the speed. The reason for this is spring overuse; as we keep loading and releasing a spring, its base length tends to grow shorter because of

how often it is compressed, which can lead to the spring being more compressed and releasing less force on the ball.

3. **Air Resistance:** Although the theoretical calculations assumed no air resistance, real-world conditions introduce a slight drag on each projectile, which could affect both angle and collision timing.
4. **Data Collection:** While collecting our initial velocities, we had the launchers shoot the projectile horizontally and vertically to find the velocity of the ball now because we couldn't predict at what angle our ball would be launching just to get our velocity values, we shot the ball horizontally along the table. Although this method did work, there are chances that the ball might have rubbed against the barrel of the turret, which could've slowed down its initial release trajectory.
5. Laser Discrepancies:

## 6 Conclusion

The experiment successfully validated the pre-lab calculations, demonstrating that the theoretical model for projectile motion can accurately predict collision conditions within an acceptable margin of error. The small discrepancies observed emphasize the impact of real-world factors like measurement precision and air resistance, which should be accounted for in further studies. This experiment underscores the reliability of kinematic equations for predicting projectile motion, even in complex scenarios with multiple variables.