Assignment 1: Imitation Learning

Andrew ID: mukaiy

1 Behavioral Cloning (65 pt)

1.1 Part 2 (10 pt)

Metric/Env	Ant-v2	Humanoid-v2	Walker 2 d-v 2	Hopper-v2	HalfCheetah-v2
1110011	4713.6533203125	10344.517578125	5566.845703125	3772.67041015625	4205.7783203125
	12.196533203125	20.9814453125	9.237548828125	1.9483642578125	83.038818359375

1.2 Part 3 (35 pt)

Env	Ant-v2		Humanoid-v2		
Metric	Mean	Std.	Mean	Std.	
Expert	4713.6533203125	12.196533203125	10344.517578125	20.9814453125	
BC	4714.01708984375	93.93411254882812	248.40753173828125 (???)	55.91537094116211	

Table 1: Run with $-eval_batch_size~5000~-num_agent_train_steps_per_iter~10000$

1.3 Part 4 (20 pt)



Figure 1: BC agent's performance varies with the value of batch size, training step, MLP size parameters in Walker 2D environment.

Experiment with Humanoid failed because of the complexity of observation (state) space (376 vs Ant's 111), could not improve with noticeable margin. Walker 2D has far less DoF (17 vs Ant's 111), but the dynamics is non-trivial.

All the experiment are conducted with -eval batch size 5000, detailed setup and results are in Table 2.

Analysis

The dominant factor is the MLP depth/size and training step, increasing batch size does not help much. The huge MLP failed because of the overfitting issue, and the huge MLP size 512 failed because of the exploding/vanishing gradient issue.

Setup	Default	$enlarge_batch_size$	${\rm enlarge_MLP}$	${\rm huge_MLP}$
Batch size	1000	10000	1000	1000
Training step	1000	1000	1000	1000
MLP depth	2	2	5	10
MLP size	64	64	128	256 (512 failed)
Average Return	358.3	358.3	503.7	91.37
Std Return	361.8	283.4	283.4	$\boldsymbol{42.57}$
Setup	enlarge	e_MLP_batch_size	enlarge_MLP_1	10x_train_step
Batch size		10000	1000	
Training step		1000	10000	
MLP depth		5	5	
MLP size		128	128	
Average Return	ι	503.7	555.6	
Std Return		283.4	294	

Table 2: Experiment Setup.

2 DAgger (35 pt)

2.1 Part 2 (35 pt)

Result is shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.


Analysis:

Ant agent in orange curve is already doing a good job in non-DAgger Behavioral Cloning.


In comparison, Humanoid agent's performance gets improved a lot by DAgger as expected. Increasing the depth and size of MLP does not help much compared to the default setting, so the Humanoid agent is trained with the default setting for the 200-iteration run in magenta.

Training DAgger agent with iterations more than 100 does not improve much, possibly due to the network architecture.

The standard deviation of the return is high in the Humanoid environment, which is expected because of the complexity of the environment. The Humanoid expert agent with such a low std at 20.98 must have been trained with a more sophisticated architecture/method.



(a) Average Return.



(b) Std Return.

Figure 2: Learning curve of DAgger agent in Ant-v2 and Humanoid-v2 environment.




Figure 3: Mean Return vs Train Iteration with error bar and expert performance.