## Econometrics Homework 1

Tom Augspurger

September 7, 2012

1. Show that  $E_X[E[g(Y)|X]] = E[g(Y)]$ .

If  $g_2(y|x)$  is the conditional expectation of y given x and  $f_1(x)$  is the marginal distribution of x, then

$$E_{X}[E[g(Y)|X]] = \sum_{i} E[g(Y)]f_{1}(x_{i})$$

$$= \sum_{i} f_{1}(x_{i}) \sum_{j} g_{2}(y_{j}|x_{i})g(y_{j})$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j} g_{2}(y_{j}|x_{i})g(y_{j})f_{1}(x_{i})$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j} f(x_{i}, y_{j})g(y_{j}) = E[g(Y)]$$

**2.** Let X = Male Earnings; Y = Female Earnings. Z = X + Y We're given that E(X) = \$40,000; E(Y) = \$45,000 and  $\sigma_X = \$12,000$ ;  $\sigma_Y = \$18,000$ ;

**a:** The mean of Z is E(Z) = E(X) + E(Y) = \$85,000

**b:** The covariance of male and female earnings is C(X,Y). We know that  $\rho(X,Y) = \frac{\sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} = 0.8$  so  $\sigma_{XY} = 0.8 \sigma_X \sigma_Y = \$172, 800, 000$ .

**c:** The standard deviation of Z is

$$\begin{split} \sigma_Z &= \sigma_{X+Y} \\ &= \sqrt{V(X) + V(Y) + 2C(X,Y)} \\ &= \sqrt{\$345,600,030} \\ &= \$25,314.03 \end{split}$$

$$C(X,Y) = \in 139,968,000$$

$$\sigma_Z = \in 2,050.44$$

3.  $Y_1$  is the value of sales and  $Y_2$  is the value of the costs. Assume that

$$f_1(y_1) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}y_1^3 e^{-y_1} & \text{if } y_1 > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } y_1 \le 0 \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(y_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{y_2}{2}} & \text{if } y_2 > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } y_2 \le 0 \end{cases}$$

and let  $\Pi = Y_1 - Y_2$ .

**a:** 
$$E[\Pi] = E[Y_1] - E[Y_2] = \frac{1}{6} \int_0^\infty y_1 y_1^3 e^{-y_1} dy - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty y_2 e^{-\frac{y_2}{2}} dy$$
  
=  $4 - 2 = 2$ 

**b:** 
$$V(\Pi) = E(\Pi^2) - E^2(\Pi) = 20 - 8 - 4 = 8$$

c: We would expect negative profits to be observed since  $E(\Pi) - V(\Pi) < 0$ . First note that since  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are independent  $f(y_1, y_2) = f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) = \frac{1}{12} y_1^3 exp[-y_1 - \frac{y_2}{2}]$ . So,

$$Pr(Y_1 < Y_2) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{y_2} f(y_1, y_2) \, dy_1 \, dy_2$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{y_2} \frac{1}{12} y_1^3 exp[-y_1 - \frac{y_2}{2}] \, dy_1 \, dy_2$$

$$= \frac{16}{81} \approx .197531$$

4. Employment Status and College Graduation

**a:** 
$$E(Y|X = x) = \sum_{i} y_{i} Pr(Y = y_{i}|X = x)$$
 so

$$E(Y|X=0) = \frac{0.37}{0.659}0 + \frac{0.622}{0.659}1 \approx 0.9439$$

$$E(Y|X=1) = \frac{0.009}{0.341}0 + \frac{0.332}{0.341}1 \approx 0.9736$$

**b:** Find 
$$E^*(Y|X) = \alpha + \beta X$$

$$\begin{split} \beta &= \frac{C(X,Y)}{V(X)} \\ &= \frac{E(XY) - E(X)E(Y)}{V(X)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_i \sum_j f(x_i y_j) x_i y_j - E(X)E(Y)}{E(X^2) - E^2(X)} \\ &= \frac{0.332 - (0.341)(0.954)}{.341 - .116281} \\ &= \frac{.00669}{.22472} \approx .02977 \end{split}$$

$$\alpha = E(Y) - \beta E(X)$$
  
= .9540 - (.02977)(.341) \approx .94385

Thus,  $E^*[Y|X] = 0.94385 + .02977X$ 

c: E[Y|X] and  $E^*[Y|X]$  are the same at the two points they are both defined, X=0 and X=1.

5. I've assumed that the question is asking for a simple regression between average hourly earnings and age, so I haven't controlled for any gender or education in my analysis below. The regression equation is

$$AHE = 2.6235 + .4285 * AGE$$

where AHE is the mean hourly earnings of a worker, in dollars, and AGE is the years a person has lived. On average, mean earnings of a worker increase by about \$0.4285 per year lived. The relationship is statistically significant at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels with a t-statistic of 16.850 and a p-value of about 0.000. So we conclude that the slope on the AGE variable, ( $\beta_1$ ), is significantly different than zero.

A 95% confidence interval around  $\beta_1$  is (0.379, 0.478) \$/year lived. Note that zero is not contained in this confidence interval.

The averaged-aged worker in the sample is about 29.64 years old. So his predicted earnings is 2.6235 + .4285(29.64) or about \$40.20.

Age alone does not seem to account for much of the variance in earnings across individuals with an  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of 1.8%.

If the variables gender and education are also used, the regression equation becomes

$$AHE = .0819 + 6.9360 * Education - 2.8045 * Gender + .4530 * Age$$

where Education and Gender are dummy variables (B.A. = 1, Female = 1). All variables are significant at the 1% level, except for the constant (which isn't economically meaningful anyway). A 95% confidence interval for the slope on the Age variable, once education and gender have been controlled for, is (0.407, 0.499) dollars per year.