

Assignment 7

Due: November 30, 2012

1. In this question, you will be considering the linear GMM estimator.

- (a) Show that when the function $\overline{m}_n(b)$ is the linear function

$$\overline{m}_n(b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i(y_i - x_i'b)$$

then

$$\hat{\beta}_{GMM} = (X'ZWZ'X)^{-1}X'ZWZ'y.$$

- (b) Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ and $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times \ell}$. Show that when $\ell = p$, $q_n(\hat{\beta}, W) = 0$ regardless of the chosen W , where $q_n(\hat{\beta}, W)$ is the GMM criterion function.

2. *The Wald estimator*: suppose you have the simple regression model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \varepsilon, \quad \beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$$

and x suffers from endogeneity problems. Suppose you have an instrument z that is a binary variable (that is, it takes only values of 0 or 1).

- (a) Show that the IV estimate of β_1 is

$$\hat{\beta}_{IV} = \frac{\overline{y}_1 - \overline{y}_0}{\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_0}$$

where \overline{y}_k means the mean of the subset of the data for which $z = k$, for $k = 0, 1$.

- (b) What is the interpretation of $\hat{\beta}_1$ if x is also binary?

3. {Working (1927), Hayashi}: Consider the simple supply and demand model for the market for widgets:

$$q^d = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 p + u \quad (\text{demand equation})$$

$$q^s = \beta_0 + \beta_1 p + v \quad (\text{supply equation})$$

$$q^d = q^s \quad (\text{market equilibrium})$$

where q^d is the quantity demanded for widgets, q^s is the quantity supplied, and p is the market price. The error terms u and v represent unobserved demand and supply shifting factors. Assume $E(u) = 0$, $E(v) = 0$, and $Cov(u, v) = 0$. Assume also that p and q are distributed bivariate normal.

- (a) Solve the system of equations for equilibrium price and quantity.
 - (b) Find the CEF $E(q|p)$. Does OLS provide a consistent estimate of α_1 ? β_1 ? Explain.
 - (c) Suppose you observe two more variables. The first is the price of aluminum, p_a , the primary material component in widgets. The second is the price of doohickies, p_d , a complimentary good to widgets. Assume that $Cov(p_i, j) = 0$ for $i = a, d$ and $j = u, v$. Suggest an estimation strategy.
4. {Card (1995)}: in this problem you will reproduce many of the results in Card (1995), “Using Geographic Variation in College Proximity to Estimate the Return to Schooling”. The data *card.csv* can be found on the course website as well as a document *CardReplication.pdf* describing the variables and replicating results found in Column (1) of Table 2 and Column (5) of Table 3 of Card’s paper.
- (a) Estimate an OLS regression of log wage (*lwage*) on *educ*, *exper*, *expersq*, *black*, *south*, *smsa*, *reg661* through *reg668* and *smsa66*. Compare the results with Column (1) of the replication document.
 - (b) Estimate a reduced form first stage regression of education on all the explanatory variables plus the dummy *nearc4*. Do *educ* and *nearc4* have (practical, statistical) significant partial correlation?
 - (c) Estimate an IV regression of *lwage* on the explanatory variables, using *nearc4* as an instrument for *educ*. Compare confidence intervals for $\hat{\beta}_{educ}$ from this regression and the OLS regression from part (a). Also compare this to column (2) in the replication document.
 - (d) Now add *nearc2* to *nearc4* as an instrument for education. Are the proximity variables well related to *educ*? Is there statistical evidence to suggest that *educ* is indeed endogenous and *nearc2* and *nearc4* are exogenous instruments? How does the 2SLS estimate of β_{educ} compare to the OLS and simple IV estimates?
 - (e) IQ scores are available for some of the men in the sample. Are *iq* and *nearc4* correlated?
 - (f) Regress *iq* on *nearc4*, *smsa66*, *reg661*, *reg662* and *reg669*. Are *iq* and *nearc4* partially correlated? What does this imply about the addition of location and region variables in the IV equation when *nearc4* is an instrument?