

# DP Making Change

## Tom Bohbot

### April 2021

- 1) Explain the dynamic programming approach taken to solve the “make change” problem:  
My program iterates (let this variable be  $i$ ) from the beginning of the list of denominations until the end, and has an inner loop (let this variable be  $j$ ) which iterates from 1 until the integer  $N+1$  inputted in the method. As each denomination is being iterated over I check if  $den_i$  equals  $j$ . If it does then I have found an exact match and store 1 in  $c[i][j]$  (there cannot exist a more optimal answer as 1 is the lowest number possible). The dynamic aspect of the algorithm takes place in the following step. My algorithm will now check if a more optimal solution exists through using a previous solution. If I have a solution that exists for  $(N+1)-j$  then I will check if its solution plus one extra coin is more efficient then what I previously had. To be more efficient means that the solution is no longer zero, and if it is not zero then it is less then what it was previously. If  $N$  still has no solution found then it is set to pseudo\_infinity. When  $i$  completes its final iteration  $c[\text{amount\_of\_coins\_inputted}][N+1]$  will either be set to its optimal solution or pseudo\_infinity if no solution exists.

The runtime of this code is  $O(N * \text{denominations.length})$  as that is what the nested loops represent and everything else in the code is made up of constant time operations. The space complexity of this code is  $O(N * \text{denominations.length})$  since I must create and return a double dimension array that is of size  $[\text{denominations.length}][N+1]$ .

- 2) Supply a fully-specified recurrence that solves the problem:

$$c[i][j] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } den_i = j \\ \min \begin{cases} c[i-1][j] \\ c[i][j-den_i]+1 \end{cases} & \text{if } den_i < j \text{ and } c[i][j] \neq 0 \text{ and } c[i][j-den_i]+1 \neq \text{neither } \infty \text{ or } 0 \\ c[i][j-den_i]+1 & \text{if } den_i < j \text{ and } c[i][j] = 0 \text{ and } c[i][j-den_i]+1 \neq \text{neither } \infty \text{ or } 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } den_i > j \text{ and } c[i-1][j] = \text{either } \infty \text{ or } 0 \end{cases}$$

- 3) A brief explanation of your “payout” algorithm and the “Big- O” space and computation requirements:

My payout method initially verifies that an optimal solution exists and if the array `c` inputted has pseudo infinity set to `N` then I throw an exception. The way I find how many coins of each denomination must be returned is through a while loop. Before my while loop begins I use two variables being `N` and `i`. I also use an array of size `denominations.length` to track how many of each denomination is used. Initially I set `i` to the last denomination’s index in the list. My loop checks when the last time is that `c[i][N]` changes as this represents an element that must be used. Since I am looping backwards, I am really looking for the first time my loop sees a change. Once I see a change I decrement `N` by `denominations[i]`. I increment my return array’s `i`th element by 1 as one coin is confirmed to be used, and I reset `i` to the last denomination in the list’s index. If I don’t find a change then I simply decrement `i` by 1. I repeat this process until either `i` or `N` is less than or equal to 0. Once my program breaks I would have checked every index that my program uses.

In essence I used dynamic programming to find an element that must have been used in `N`, and then once I find that one element I look for the element that must have been used, I look for the one element that must have been used for `N-element_just_found`. I repeat this process until I have either exhausted all denominations or `N` is  $\leq 0$  as that means that I found the answer. Once I have filled my array up of how many times each denomination is used, and the while loop breaks I am ready to simply return the array.

This method runs in  $O(\text{denominations.length} * N)$  time as `i` loops from `denominations.length` to 1. However, `i` can be reset to `denominations.length` only `N` times as only `N` changes can occur, since the last that `N` can be decremented by is 1. The space complexity of this algorithm is  $O(\text{denominations.length})$  since the only variable used that contains more than one element is my array that tracks how many of each denomination is used.