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## Abstract

The abstract should outline the purpose of the paper and the main results, conclusions and recommendations, using clear, factual, numbered statements

* context and need for the work
* approach and methods used
* main results (2-3 points)

### Synthesis and applications

* wider implications and relevance to management or policy

### Keywords

Naughty weevils, Invasive species, Molecular evolution, ???

## Introduction

## Materials and methods

### Population sampling

**Weevil collection details from Goldson & friends.**

### Reduced-representation genome sequencing and processing

**AgResearch details on DNA extraction, GBS.** We used a strict processing pipeline to prepare the raw GBS reads for locus assembly. Samples were demultiplexed with zero allowed barcode mismatches to 91–93 b reads depending on barcode length. Reads were trimmed by searching for adaptors with a minimum match of 11 b. Reads shorter than 80 b after trimming were discarded. All remaining reads were truncated to 80 b to account for unmatched adaptor sequence < 11 b that may have been present at the end of reads. To remove overamplified samples, we calculated the GC content for each library and discarded samples with median read GC > 45%. We followed the recommended steps for optimising parameters [1,2] before assembling loci *de novo* using Stacks [3]. The code we used to process the raw reads, optimise parameters and assemble loci is hosted at [github.com/TomHarrop/stacks-asw](https://github.com/TomHarrop/stacks-asw) and [github.com/MarissaLL/asw-para-matched](https://github.com/MarissaLL/asw-para-matched).

### Genome assembly

To produce the short read dataset, an Illumina TruSeq PCR-free 350bp insert library was generated from DNA extracted from a single, male Argentine stem weevil collected from endophyte-free hybrid ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* × *Lolium multiflorum*) at Lincoln, New Zealand. Library preparation and sequencing were performed by Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Republic of Korea). A total of 158 GB of 100 b and 150 b paired-end reads were generated from the TruSeq PCR-free library. After removing common sequencing contaminants and trimming adaptor sequences using BBTools [4], the short-read-only genome was assembled with meraculous [5–7]. Reproducible code for assembling the short-read dataset and assessing the assemblies is hosted at [github.com/tomharrop/asw-nopcr](https://github.com/tomharrop/asw-nopcr).

To produce long reads from a single individual, we produced high molecular weight DNA from a single, male ASW collected from Ruakura, New Zealand, using a modified QIAGEN Genomic-tip 20/G extraction protocol [8]. We amplified the DNA using Φ29 multiple displacement amplification (QIAGEN REPLI-g Midi Kit) and debranched the amplified DNA using T7 Endonuclease I (New England Biolabs) according to the Oxford Nanopore Technologies Premium whole genome amplification protocol version WGA\_kit9\_v1. Amplified DNA was sequenced on 6 R9.4.1 flowcells using a MinION Mk1B sequencer (Oxford Nanopore Technologies). We also extracted high molecular weight DNA from three pools, each of 20 unsexed individuals collected from Ruakura, New Zealand. We sequenced this pooled DNA on 5 R9.4.1 flowcells, following the Genomic DNA by Ligation protocol (SQK-LSK109; Oxford Nanopore Technologies). We removed adaptor sequences from the long reads with Porechop 0.2.4 [github.com/rrwick/Porechop](https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop) and assembled with Flye 2.6 [9]. Reproducible code for assembling and assessing the long-read ASW genomes is hosted at [github.com/TomHarrop/asw-flye-withpool](https://github.com/TomHarrop/asw-flye-withpool).

All genome assemblies were assessed using size and contiguity statistics and BUSCO analysis [10]. Redundant contigs were removed from the combined, long read assembly with Purge Haplotigs 0b9afdf [11] using a low, mid and high cutoff of 60, 120 and 190, respectively. We used the Dfam TE Tools Container v1.1 [github.com/Dfam-consortium/TETools](https://github.com/Dfam-consortium/TETools) with RepeatModeler 2.0.1 [12] and RepeatMasker 4.1.0 [13] to estimate the repeat content of the long read genomes.

### Genome-based analyses, *F*ST, etc. etc.

* Catalog mapping *e.g.* bwa mem

### Reproducibility and data availability

Raw sequence data for the ASW genome are hosted at the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive (NCBI SRA) under accession **TBA**. We used snakemake [14] to arrange analysis steps into workflows and monitor dependencies, and Singularity [15] to capture the computing environment. Using the code repositories listed in each methods section, the final results can be reproduced from the raw data with a single command using snakemake and Singularity. The source for this manuscript is hosted at [github.com/TomHarrop/asw-gbs-genome-paper](https://github.com/TomHarrop/asw-gbs-genome-paper).

## Results

### Variation in NZ populations of Argentine stem weevil

To measure the variation in New Zealand populations of ASW, we collected individuals from 7 sites in the North Island and 5 sites in the South Island of New Zealand (Figure 1A). We genotyped individuals using a modified genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) protocol [16].

![Figure 1. A Weevil sampling locations. We collected Argentine stem weevils from 4 locations in the North Island and 6 locations in the South Island of New Zealand. The number of weevils genotyped from each location is show on the map. The map was plotted with the ggmap package for ggplot2 [17].  B Pricipal components analysis (PCA) of 112 individuals genotyped at 22,397 loci. The first two principal components (PC1 and PC2) are shown. The populations overlap on PC1 and PC2, but weevils sampled from higher latitudes tend to have lower scores on PC1 and PC2. PC1 and PC2 together explain 12.7% of variance in the dataset, indicating a high level of unstructured genetic variation in weevil populations.](data:application/pdf;base64,)

**Figure 1.** **A** Weevil sampling locations. We collected Argentine stem weevils from 4 locations in the North Island and 6 locations in the South Island of New Zealand. The number of weevils genotyped from each location is show on the map. The map was plotted with the ggmap package for ggplot2 [17]. **B** Pricipal components analysis (PCA) of 112 individuals genotyped at 22,397 loci. The first two principal components (PC1 and PC2) are shown. The populations overlap on PC1 and PC2, but weevils sampled from higher latitudes tend to have lower scores on PC1 and PC2. PC1 and PC2 together explain 12.7% of variance in the dataset, indicating a high level of unstructured genetic variation in weevil populations.

### The Argentine stem weevil genome

To find genomic loci associated with between-population variation, we constructed a draft assembly of the ASW genome. We initially attempted assembly from a single individual using PCR-free, short read sequencing. This resulted in a fragmented assembly with low BUSCO scores (Table 1). *k*-mer analysis on the raw short reads suggested 2.1% heterozygosity and a genomic repeat content of at least 28% (**Supporting Information**). We then attempted to produce a long-read genome assembly using whole-genome amplification (WGA) of high molecular weight (HMW) DNA from a single individual, followed by sequencing on the Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) MinION sequencer. We produced 29.8 GB of quality-filtered reads with an *N*50 length of 9.0 KB. The low read *N*50 length was caused by debranching of the amplified DNA by T7 Endonuclease I, which is necessary following multiple displacement amplification (see methods). Assembling the single individual, long read genome resulted in improved contiguity and BUSCO scores (Table 1). Consistent with the raw short read data, we detected an **extreme level (how much?)** of repeats in the single individual, long read genome (Table 1). To improve assembly across long repeats, we produced a second ONT dataset with longer reads from HMW DNA from two pools of 20 individuals each. Sequencing these samples on the MinION sequencer produced a total of 12.0 GB of quality-filtered reads with an *N*50 length of 19.5 KB. Assembling the long reads from the pooled sample alone resulted in a more contiguous genome, but with lower BUSCO scores (Table 1). We constructed a combined, long-read genome using the pooled, long-read dataset for contig construction, and the single-individual, long-read dataset for assembly polishing. This improved the BUSCO scores, but produced a large number of redundant contigs (Table 1), presumably because of the high rate of heterozygosity in the pooled, long-read dataset. Finally, we used the PCR-free, short read sequencing data from a single individual with the Purge Haplotigs pipeline to remove redundant contigs from the combined long read assembly [11]. This resulted in a final draft assembly of 1.1 GB with an *N*50 length of 122.3 kb and a BUSCO completeness of 83.9%. **Something about the repetitiveness**. We used this final draft genome for all subsequent analyses.

**Table 1**. Assembly statistics for the final draft genome and intermediate assemblies. n.d.: not determined.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Short read | Single individual, long read | Pooled, long read | Combined, long read | Final draft |
| Assembly length (Gb) | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.1 |
| *N*50 | 53046 | 4523 | 2958 | 5281 | 2681 |
| *N*50 length (kb) | 7.1 | 74.4 | 112.6 | 86.4 | 122.3 |
| Complete single-copy BUSCOs (%) | 32.7 | 72.2 | 71.0 | 69.2 | 78.8 |
| Complete multiple-copy BUSCOs (%) | 17.2 | 7.5 | 5.9 | 17.4 | 5.1 |
| Repeat fraction | n.d. | x | x | x | x |

### Variation associates with a North-South cline

etc. etc.
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