# Contents

1	$\mathbf{Intr}$	oducti	on	1
	1.1	Cance	r Research in the Post-Genomic Era	1
		1.1.1	Cancer as a Global Health Concern	1
			1.1.1.1 The Genetics and Molecular Biology of Cancers	3
		1.1.2	The Human Genome Revolution	6
			1.1.2.1 The First Human Genome Sequence	6
			1.1.2.2 Impact of Genomics	7
		1.1.3	Technologies to Enable Genetics Research	7
			1.1.3.1 DNA Sequencing and Genotyping Technologies	7
			1.1.3.2 Microarrays and Quantitative Technologies	8
			1.1.3.3 Massively Parallel "Next Generation" Sequencing	9
			1.1.3.3.1 Molecular Profiling with Genomics Technology .	10
			1.1.3.3.2 Sequencing Technologies	11
			1.1.3.4 Bioinformatics as Interdisciplinary Genomic Analysis .	12
		1.1.4	Follow-up Large-Scale Genomics Projects	12
		1.1.5	Cancer Genomes	13
			1.1.5.1 The Cancer Genome Atlas Project	14
			1.1.5.1.1 Findings from Cancer Genomes	15
			1.1.5.1.2 Genomic Comparisons Across Cancer Tissues .	16
			1.1.5.1.3 Cancer Genomic Data Resources	17
		1.1.6	Genomic Cancer Medicine	18
			1.1.6.1 Cancer Genes and Driver Mutations	18
			1.1.6.2 Personalised or Precision Cancer Medicine	19
			1.1.6.2.1 Molecular Diagnostics and Pan-Cancer Medicine	20
			1.1.6.3 Targeted Therapeutics and Pharmacogenomics	20
			1.1.6.3.1 Targeting Oncogenic Driver Mutations	21
			1.1.6.4 Systems and Network Biology	21
			1.1.6.4.1 Network Medicine, and Polypharmacology	24
	1.2	A Syn	thetic Lethal Approach to Cancer Medicine	25
		1.2.1	Synthetic Lethal Genetic Interactions	25
		1.2.2	Synthetic Lethal Concepts in Genetics	26
		1.2.3	Studies of Synthetic Lethality	27
			1.2.3.1 Synthetic Lethal Pathways and Networks	27
			1.2.3.1.1 Evolution of Synthetic Lethality	28
		1.2.4	Synthetic Lethal Concepts in Cancer	29

		1.2.5	Clinical Impact of Synthetic Lethality in Cancer
		1.2.6	High-throughput Screening for Synthetic Lethality
			1.2.6.1 Synthetic Lethal Screens
		1.2.7	Computational Prediction of Synthetic Lethality
			1.2.7.1 Bioinformatics Approaches to Genetic Interactions 37
			1.2.7.2 Comparative Genomics
			1.2.7.3 Analysis and Modelling of Protein Data 41
			1.2.7.4 Differential Gene Expression
			1.2.7.5 Data Mining and Machine Learning
			1.2.7.6 Bimodality
			1.2.7.7 Rationale for Further Development
	1.3	E-cad	herin as a Synthetic Lethal Target
		1.3.1	The CDH1 gene and it's Biological Functions 48
			1.3.1.1 Cytoskeleton
			1.3.1.2 Extracellular and Tumour Micro-Environment 48
			1.3.1.3 Cell-Cell Adhesion and Signalling
		1.3.2	CDH1 as a Tumour (and Invasion) Suppressor
			1.3.2.1 Breast Cancers and Invasion
		1.3.3	Hereditary Diffuse Gastric Cancer and Lobular Breast Cancer . 50
		1.3.4	Models of <i>CDH1</i> loss in cell lines
	1.4	Summ	ary and Research Direction of Thesis
		1.4.1	Thesis Aims
<b>2</b>	Mot	thode :	and Resources 55
_	2.1		ormatics Resources for Genomics Research
	2.1	2.1.1	
		2.1.1	2.1.1.1 Cancer Genome Atlas Data
			2.1.1.2 Reactome and Annotation Data
	2.2	Data l	Handling
	2.2	2.2.1	Normalisation
		2.2.1 $2.2.2$	Sample Triage
		2.2.3	1 0
		2.2.0	2.2.3.1 Candidate Triage and Integration with Screen Data 60
	2.3	Techn	iques
		2.3.1	Statistical Procedures and Tests
		2.3.2	Gene Set Over-representation Analysis
		2.3.3	Clustering
		2.3.4	Heatmap
		2.3.5	Modeling and Simulations
			2.3.5.1 Receiver Operating Characteristic (Performance) 64
		2.3.6	Resampling Analysis
	2.4		ray Structure Methods
		2.4.1	Network and Graph Analysis
		2.4.2	Sourcing Graph Structure Data
		2.4.3	Constructing Pathway Subgraphs
		0.4.4	0 v 0 1
		2.4.4	Network Analysis Metrics

	2.5	Impler	mentation	68
		2.5.1	Computational Resources and Linux Utilities	68
		2.5.2	R Language and Packages	69
		2.5.3	High Performance and Parallel Computing	72
3	Met	thods l	Developed During Thesis	74
_	3.1		thetic Lethal Detection Methodology	74
	3.2		etic Lethal Simulation and Modelling	77
		3.2.1	A Model of Synthetic Lethality in Expression Data	77
		3.2.2	Simulation Procedure	81
	3.3	Detect	ting Simulated Synthetic Lethal Partners	84
		3.3.1	Binomial Simulation of Synthetic lethality	84
		3.3.2	Multivariate Normal Simulation of Synthetic lethality	86
			3.3.2.1 Multivariate Normal Simulation with Correlated Genes	89
			3.3.2.2 Specificity with Query-Correlated Pathways	96
			3.3.2.3 Importance of Directional Testing	96
	3.4	Graph	Structure Methods	98
		3.4.1	Upstream and Downstream Gene Detection	98
			3.4.1.1 Permutation Analysis for Statistical Significance	99
			3.4.1.2 Hierarchy Based on Biological Context	100
		3.4.2	Simulating Gene Expression from Graph Structures	101
	3.5	Custo	mised Functions and Packages Developed	105
		3.5.1	Synthetic Lethal Interaction Prediction Tool	105
		3.5.2	Data Visualisation	106
		3.5.3	Extensions to the iGraph Package	109
			3.5.3.1 Sampling Simulated Data from Graph Structures	109
			3.5.3.2 Plotting Directed Graph Structures	109
			3.5.3.3 Computing Information Centrality	110
			3.5.3.4 Testing Pathway Structure with Permutation Testing .	110
			3.5.3.5 Metapackage to Install iGraph Functions	111
4	Syn	thetic	Lethal Analysis of Gene Expression Data	112
	4.1	Synthe	etic Lethal Genes in Breast Cancer	113
		4.1.1	Synthetic Lethal Pathways in Breast Cancer	115
		4.1.2	Expression Profiles of Synthetic Lethal Partners	116
			4.1.2.1 Subgroup Pathway Analysis	119
	4.2	_	aring Synthetic Lethal Gene Candidates	122
		4.2.1	Primary siRNA Screen Candidates	122
		4.2.2	Comparison with Correlation	123
		4.2.3	Comparison with Primary Screen Viability	125
		4.2.4	Comparison with Secondary siRNA Screen Validation	126
		4.2.5	Comparison to Primary Screen at Pathway Level	128
		4.0.0	4.2.5.1 Resampling Genes for Pathway Enrichment	130
		4.2.6	Integrating Synthetic Lethal Pathways and Screens	133
	4.3		gene Analysis	135
		4.3.1	Pathway Expression	136

		4.3.2	Somatic Mutation	138
		4.3.3	Synthetic Lethal Pathway Metagenes	142
		4.3.4	Synthetic Lethality in Breast Cancer	143
	4.4	Replic	ation in Stomach Cancer	144
	4.5	Discus	sion	145
		4.5.1	Strengths of the SLIPT Methodology	145
		4.5.2	Synthetic Lethal Pathways for E-cadherin	146
		4.5.3	Replication and Validation	148
			4.5.3.1 Integration with siRNA Screening	148
			4.5.3.2 Replication across Tissues	149
	4.6	Summ	ary	149
5	Sun	thatia	Lethal Pathway Structure	151
J	5.1		etic Lethal Genes in Reactome Pathways	151
	5.1	5.1.1	The PI3K/AKT Pathway	$151 \\ 152$
		5.1.1	The Extracellular Matrix	152 $154$
		5.1.3		154 $157$
			G Protein Coupled Receptors	157 $157$
	<b>5</b> 0	5.1.4 Notare	Gene Regulation and Translation	157 158
	5.2		ork Analysis of Synthetic Lethal Genes	
		5.2.1	Gene Connectivity and Vertex Degree	159
		5.2.2	Gene Importance and Centrality	160
			5.2.2.1 Information Centrality	160
	۲ ۵	D 1 (	5.2.2.2 PageRank Centrality	162
	5.3		onships between Synthetic Lethal Genes	164
		5.3.1	Hierarchical Pathway Structure	164
			5.3.1.1 Contextual Hierarchy of PI3K	164
		<b>.</b>	5.3.1.2 Testing Contextual Hierarchy of Synthetic Lethal Genes	
		5.3.2	Upstream or Downstream Synthetic Lethality	168
			5.3.2.1 Measuring Structure of Candidates within PI3K	168
		ъ.	5.3.2.2 Resampling for Synthetic Lethal Pathway Structure	170
	5.4		sion	172
	5.5	Summ	ary	174
6			g v	<b>176</b>
	6.1	_	aring Synthetic Lethal Detection Methods	177
		6.1.1	Performance of SLIPT and $\chi^2$ across Quantiles	178
			6.1.1.1 Correlated Query Genes affects Specificity	181
		6.1.2	Alternative Synthetic Lethal Detection Strategies	183
			6.1.2.1 Correlation for Synthetic Lethal Detection	184
			6.1.2.2 Testing for Bimodality with BiSEp	185
	6.2	Simula	ations with Graph Structures	186
		6.2.1	Performance over a Graph Structure	187
			6.2.1.1 Simple Graph Structures	187
			6.2.1.2 Constructed Graph Structures	188
		6.2.2	Performance with Inhibitions	192
		6.2.3	Synthetic Lethality across Graph Structures	198

		6.2.4 Performance within a Simulated Human Genome	201
	6.3	Simulations in More Complex Graph Structures	206
		6.3.1 Simulations over Pathway-based Graphs	207
		6.3.2 Pathway Structures in a Simulated Human Genome	210
	6.4	Discussion	213
		6.4.1 Simulation Procedure	213
		6.4.2 Comparing Methods with Simulated Data	214
		6.4.3 Design and Performance of SLIPT	215
		6.4.4 Simulations from Graph Structures	217
	6.5	Summary	218
7	Disc	cussion	220
	7.1	Synthetic Lethality and CDH1 Biology	220
		7.1.1 Established Functions of <i>CDH1</i>	221
		7.1.2 The Molecular Role of <i>CDH1</i> in Cancer	221
	7.2	Significance	222
		7.2.1 Synthetic Lethality in the Genomic Era	222
		7.2.2 Clinical Interventions based on Synthetic Lethality	224
	7.3	Future Directions	225
		7.3.1 Refinements Synthetic Lethality Prediction Methods	225
		7.3.1.1 Wider Use of Synthetic Lethality Prediction	225
		7.3.2 Validation of Synthetic Lethal Genes and Pathways	225
		7.3.2.1 Pre-clinical and Clinical Testing	225
		7.3.3 Application to Further Genes and Pathways	225
	7.4	Conclusion	226
	Refe	erences	227
$\mathbf{A}$	Sam	aple Quality	258
	A.1	Sample Correlation	258
	A.2	Replicate Samples in TCGA Breast	261
В	Soft	ware Used for Thesis	265
$\mathbf{C}$	Mut	tation Analysis in Breast Cancer	274
	C.1	Synthetic Lethal Genes and Pathways	274
	C.2	Synthetic Lethal Expression Profiles	277
	C.3	Comparison to Primary Screen	280
		C.3.1 Resampling Analysis	282
	C.4	Compare SLIPT genes	284
	C.5	Metagene Analysis	286
	C.6	Expression of Somatic Mutations	287
	C.7	Metagene Expression Profiles	290
D	Intr	insic Subtyping	293

${f E}$	Sto	mach Expression Analysis	295
	E.1	Synthetic Lethal Genes and Pathways	295
	E.2	Comparison to Primary Screen	299
		E.2.1 Resampling Analysis	301
	E.3	Metagene Analysis	303
$\mathbf{F}$	Syn	thetic Lethal Genes in Pathways	304
$\mathbf{G}$	Pat	hway Connectivity for Mutation SLIPT	312
Н	Info	rmation Centrality for Gene Essentiality	316
Ι	Pat	hway Structure for Mutation SLIPT	319
J	Peri	formance of SLIPT and $\chi^2$	322
	J.1	Correlated Query Genes affects Specificity	328
$\mathbf{K}$	Gra	ph Structures	334
	K.1	Simulations from Simple Graph Structures	334
		K.1.1 Simulations from Inhibiting Graph Structures	336
	K.2	Simulation across Graph Structures	339
	K.3	Simulations from Complex Graph Structures	343
		K.3.1 Simulations from Complex Inhibiting Graphs	
	K.4	Simulations from Pathway Graph Structures	353

# List of Figures

1.1	Synthetic genetic interactions	26
1.2	Synthetic lethality in cancer	30
2.1	Read count density	59
2.2	Read count sample mean	59
3.1	Framework for synthetic lethal prediction	75
3.2	Synthetic lethal prediction adapted for mutation	76
3.3	A model of synthetic lethal gene expression	78
3.4	Modeling synthetic lethal gene expression	79
3.5	Synthetic lethality with multiple genes	80
3.6	Simulating gene function	82
3.7	Simulating synthetic lethal gene function	82
3.8	Simulating synthetic lethal gene expression	83
3.9	Performance of binomial simulations	85
3.10		85
3.11	Performance of multivariate normal simulations	87
3.12	Simulating expression with correlated gene blocks	90
3.13	Simulating expression with correlated gene blocks	91
	Synthetic lethal prediction across simulations	92
3.15	Performance with correlations	93
3.16	Comparison of statistical performance with correlation structure	94
3.17	Performance with query correlations	95
	Statistical evaluation of directional criteria	96
	Performance of directional criteria	97
3.20	Simulated graph structures	101
3.21	Simulating expression from a graph structure	103
3.22	Simulating expression from graph structure with inhibitions	104
3.23	Demonstration of violin plots with custom features	107
3.24	Demonstration of annotated heatmap	107
3.25	Simulating graph structures	110
4.1	Synthetic lethal expression profiles of analysed samples	118
4.2	Comparison of SLIPT to siRNA	122
4.3	Compare SLIPT and siRNA genes with correlation	123
4.4	Compare SLIPT and siRNA genes with correlation	124
4.5	Compare SLIPT and siRNA genes with viability	125

4.6	Compare SLIPT genes with siRNA viability	126
4.7	Resampled intersection of SLIPT and siRNA candidates	130
4.8	Pathway metagene expression profiles	137
4.9	Expression profiles for constituent genes of PI3K	139
4.10	Expression profiles for estrogen receptor related genes	140
4.11	Somatic mutation against the PI3K metagene	141
5.1	Synthetic Lethality in the PI3K Cascade	153
5.2	Synthetic Lethality in the Elastic Fibre Formation Pathway	155
5.3	Synthetic Lethality in the Fibrin Clot Formation	156
5.4	Synthetic Lethality and Vertex Degree	159
5.5	Synthetic Lethality and Centrality	162
5.6	Synthetic Lethality and PageRank	163
5.7	Hierarchical Structure of PI3K	165
5.8	Hierarchy Score in PI3K against Synthetic Lethality in PI3K	166
5.9	Structure of Synthetic Lethality in PI3K	168
5.10	Structure of Synthetic Lethality Resampling in PI3K	169
6.1	Performance of $\chi^2$ and SLIPT across quantiles	179
6.2	Performance of $\chi^2$ and SLIPT across quantiles with more genes	180
6.3	Performance of $\chi^2$ and SLIPT across quantiles with query correlation .	181
6.4	Performance of $\chi^2$ and SLIPT across quantiles with query correlation	
	and more genes	183
6.5	Performance of negative correlation and SLIPT	185
6.6	Simple graph structures	188
6.7	Performance of simulations on a simple graph	189
6.8	Performance of simulations is similar in simple graphs	190
6.9	Performance of simulations on a pathway	191
6.10	Performance of simulations on a simple graph with inhibition	193
6.11	Performance is higher on a simple inhibiting graph	195
6.12	Performance of simulations on a constructed graph with inhibition	196
6.13	Performance is affected by inhibition in graphs	197
	Detection of Synthetic Lethality within a Graph Structure with Inhibitions	\$199
6.15	Performance of simulations including a simple graph	203
6.16	Performance on a simple graph improves with more genes	204
6.17	Performance on an inhibiting graph improves with more genes	205
6.18	Performance of simulations on the PI3K cascade	209
6.19	Performance of simulations including the PI3K cascade	211
6.20	Performance on pathways improves with more genes	212
A.1	Correlation profiles of removed samples	259
A.2	Correlation analysis and sample removal	260
A.3	Replicate excluded samples	261
A.4	Replicate samples with all remaining	262
A.5	Replicate samples with some excluded	263
C 1	Synthetic lethal expression profiles of analysed samples	278

C.2	Comparison of mtSLIPT to siRNA	280
C.3	Compare mtSLIPT and siRNA genes with correlation	284
C.4	Compare mtSLIPT and siRNA genes with correlation	284
C.5	Compare mtSLIPT and siRNA genes with siRNA viability	285
C.6	Somatic mutation against PIK3CA metagene	287
C.7	Somatic mutation against PI3K protein	288
C.8	Somatic mutation against AKT protein	289
C.9	Pathway metagene expression profiles	290
C.10	Expression profiles for p53 related genes	291
	Expression profiles for BRCA related genes	292
E.1	Synthetic lethal expression profiles of stomach samples	297
E.2	Comparison of SLIPT in stomach to siRNA	299
F.1	Synthetic Lethality in the PI3K/AKT Pathway	304
F.2	Synthetic Lethality in the PI3K/AKT Pathway in Cancer	305
F.3	Synthetic Lethality in the Extracellular Matrix	306
F.4	Synthetic Lethality in the GPCRs	307
F.5	Synthetic Lethality in the GPCR Downstream	308
F.6	Synthetic Lethality in the Translation Elongation	309
F.7	Synthetic Lethality in the Nonsense-mediated Decay	310
F.8	Synthetic Lethality in the 3' UTR	311
G.1	Synthetic Lethality and Vertex Degree	312
G.2	Synthetic Lethality and Centrality	313
G.3	Synthetic Lethality and PageRank	314
H.1	Information centrality distribution	318
I.1	Synthetic Lethality and Heirarchy Score in PI3K	319
I.2	Heirarchy Score in PI3K against Synthetic Lethality in PI3K	320
I.3	Structure of Synthetic Lethality in PI3K	320
I.4	Structure of Synthetic Lethality Resampling	
J.1	Performance of $\chi^2$ and SLIPT across quantiles	322
J.2	Performance of $\chi^2$ and SLIPT across quantiles	324
J.3	Performance of $\chi^2$ and SLIPT across quantiles with more genes	326
J.4	Performance of $\chi^2$ and SLIPT across quantiles with query correlation .	328
J.5	Performance of $\chi^2$ and SLIPT across quantiles with query correlation .	330
J.6	Performance of $\chi^2$ and SLIPT across quantiles with query correlation and more genes	332
	and more genes	
K.1	Performance of simulations on a simple graph	335
K.2	Performance of simulations on an inhibiting graph	336
K.3	Performance of simulations on a constructed graph with inhibition	337
K.4	Performance of simulations on a constructed graph with inhibition	338
K.5	Detection of Synthetic Lethality within a Graph Structure	339
K.6	Detection of Synthetic Lethality within an Inhibiting Graph Structure.	341

K.7	Detection of Synthetic Lethality within an Inhibiting Graph Structure.	342
K.8	Performance of simulations on a large graph	343
K.9	Performance of simulations on a branching graph	344
K.10	Performance of simulations on a complex graph	345
K.11	Performance of simulations on a large constructed graph with inhibition	347
K.12	Performance of simulations on a large constructed graph with inhibition	348
K.13	Performance of simulations on a branching graph with inhibition	349
K.14	Performance of simulations on a branching graph with inhibition	350
K.15	Performance of simulations on a complex graph with inhibition	351
K.16	Performance of simulations on a complex graph with inhibition	352
K.17	Performance of simulations on the $G_{\alpha i}$ signalling pathway	353
K.18	Performance of simulations including the $G_{\alpha i}$ signalling pathway	354

## List of Tables

1.1	Methods for Predicting Genetic Interactions	37
1.2	Methods for Predicting Synthetic Lethality in Cancer	38
1.3	Methods used by Wu et al. (2014)	40
2.1	Excluded Samples by Batch and Clinical Characteristics	58
2.2	Computers used during Thesis	69
2.3	Linux Utilities and Applications used during Thesis	69
2.4	R Installations used during Thesis	70
2.5	R Packages used during Thesis	70
2.6	R Packages Developed during Thesis	72
4.1	Candidate synthetic lethal gene partners of $\mathit{CDH1}$ from SLIPT	114
4.2	Pathways for <i>CDH1</i> partners from SLIPT	116
4.3	Pathway composition for clusters of $\mathit{CDH1}$ partners from SLIPT	120
4.4	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Synthetic Lethality and Correlation	
	with <i>CDH1</i>	124
4.5	Comparing SLIPT genes against secondary siRNA screen in breast cancer	:127
4.6	Pathway composition for <i>CDH1</i> partners from SLIPT and siRNA screen-	
	ing	129
4.7	Pathways for <i>CDH1</i> partners from SLIPT	132
4.8	Pathways for $CDH1$ partners from SLIPT and siRNA primary screen .	134
4.9	Candidate synthetic lethal metagenes against $CDH1$ from SLIPT	143
5.1	ANOVA for Synthetic Lethality and Vertex Degree	160
5.2	ANOVA for Synthetic Lethality and Information Centrality	162
5.3	ANOVA for Synthetic Lethality and PageRank Centrality	164
5.4	ANOVA for Synthetic Lethality and PI3K Hierarchy	167
5.5	Resampling for pathway structure of synthetic lethal detection methods	171
B.1	R Packages used during Thesis	265
C.1	Candidate synthetic lethal gene partners of $\mathit{CDH1}$ from mtSLIPT	275
C.2	Pathways for <i>CDH1</i> partners from mtSLIPT	276
C.3	Pathway composition for clusters of $\mathit{CDH1}$ partners from mtSLIPT	279
C.4	Pathway composition for $\mathit{CDH1}$ partners from mtSLIPT and siRNA	281
C.5	Pathways for <i>CDH1</i> partners from mtSLIPT	282
C.6	Pathways for $CDH1$ partners from mtSLIPT and siRNA primary screen	283
C.7	Candidate synthetic lethal metagenes against CDH1 from mtSLIPT	286

D.1	Comparison of Intrinsic Subtypes	293
E.1	Synthetic lethal gene partners of <i>CDH1</i> from SLIPT in stomach cancer	295
E.2	Pathways for <i>CDH1</i> partners from SLIPT in stomach cancer	296
E.3	Pathway composition for clusters of <i>CDH1</i> partners in stomach SLIPT	298
E.4	Pathway composition for <i>CDH1</i> partners from SLIPT and siRNA screen-	
	ing	300
E.5	Pathways for <i>CDH1</i> partners from SLIPT in stomach cancer	301
E.6	Pathways for CDH1 partners from SLIPT in stomach and siRNA screen	302
E.7	Candidate synthetic lethal metagenes against CDH1 from SLIPT in	
	stomach cancer	303
G.1	ANOVA for Synthetic Lethality and Vertex Degree	315
G.2	ANOVA for Synthetic Lethality and Information Centrality	315
G.3	ANOVA for Synthetic Lethality and PageRank Centrality	315
H.1	Information centrality for genes and molecules in the Reactome network	317
I.1	ANOVA for Synthetic Lethality and PI3K Hierarchy	319
I.2	Resampling for pathway structure of synthetic lethal detection methods	

## Chapter 7

## Discussion

This thesis combines analysis of gene expression data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) with experimental screening results (Telford et al., 2015) to demonstrate synthetic lethal discovery for CDH1 in expression data generated by genomics technologies with comparisons to existing experimental candidates. Together these findings further elucidate the functions for CDH1 in the cell, functional redundancy in breast cancer, and potential targets against cancers with loss of CDH1 function. These candidate synthetic lethal genes were further investigated for relationships within synthetic lethal pathways, developing a network-based approach to comparing genes identified in genomics experiments and analyses in the process.

The synthetic lethal detection methodology, Synthetic Lethal Interaction Prediction Tool (SLIPT), that was applied to gene expression data throughout this thesis was evaluated with simulated data. A simulation procedure was developed to stringently generate gene expression data from known synthetic lethal partners in simulated data, including simple and complex correlation structures and modelling synthetic lethal genes within pathways. Together, these results demonstrate SLIPT as a robust widely applicable gene expression analysis procedure (for which an R package has been released) for discovery of synthetic lethal partner genes. Performance of SLIPT on simulated data also highlights the strengths of the procedure and future directions to improve upon it.

## 7.1 Synthetic Lethality and *CDH1* Biology

The *CDH1* gene was selected to identify synthetic lethal partners to demonstrate the novel SLIPT methodology as an important tumour suppressor gene in cancers. These include sporadic breast and stomach cancers and the familial syndromes such as hered-

itary diffuse gastric cancer (HDGC). The analysis of synthetic lethal partners of *CDH1* in breast and stomach cancers was also enabled by the availability of molecular data (Bass *et al.*, 2014; TCGA, 2012) and a synthetic lethal screen conducted in MCF10A breast cells (Chen *et al.*, 2014; Telford *et al.*, 2015).

Synthetic lethal interactions are generally regarded to arise due to functional redundancy (Boone et al., 2007; Fece de la Cruz et al., 2015; Kaelin, Jr, 2005) and as such the synthetic lethal partners of CDH1 indicates the wide-ranging biological functions that E-cadherin is involved in. The diverse synthetic lethal pathways identified supports the known pleiotropic nature of the CDH1 gene by detecting established functions of CDH1, replicating candidates from an experimental screen (Telford et al., 2015), and identifying novel interactions with candidate genes and pathways for further investigation. The highly pleiotropic functions of E-cadherin as also consistent with CDH1 being a tumour suppressor gene for which epithelial cells are significantly disrupted at the molecular level and prone to becoming cancerous.

#### 7.1.1 Established Functions of *CDH1*

The *CDH1* has established functions in cell-cell communication and maintaining the cytoskeletion, specifically with cell-cell adhesion by forming tight junctions and the adherens complex. More recently, additional functions of *CDH1* in the extracellular matrix and fibrin clotting have also been identified. Synthetic lethal interactions within biological pathways (i.e., partners in the same pathway as the query gene) are expected according to previous synthetic lethal experiments and (Boone *et al.*, 2007; Kelley and Ideker, 2005). Synthetic lethal interactions identified in these pathways are consistent with these being functions of *CDH1*, in addition to potentially actionable targets against cancers.

#### 7.1.2 The Molecular Role of *CDH1* in Cancer

The involvement of *CDH1* in the extracellular matrix is also important in cancers as it indicates a mechanism by which *CDH1* loss may affect the tumour microenvironment, contributing to it's role as a tumour and invasion suppressor. Furthermore, perturbations in the extracellular matrix and tumour microenvironment present an potential means by which to specifically inhibit (cancerous) *CDH1*-deficient cells in addition to those currently being considered. Few genes in extracellular pathways were detected in an experimental screen (Telford *et al.*, 2015) conducted in an isolated cell model (Chen *et al.*, 2014) but these are not expected to be detected in such as system. These

may be further supported in further investigations with 3D cell culture, "organoid", or mouse xenograft cancer models.

In contrast, many of the pathways involved in cell signalling, including G protein coupled receptors, were identified by SLIPT in addition to the experimental screen (Telford et al., 2015). These support the previous results in cell line models, that these pathways are essential to growth of CDH1-deficient cancers and present a potential vulnerability specific to these (cancerous) cells. Furthermore, the replication of synthetic lethality of CDH1 with cell signalling pathways in TCGA data across cancer types and genetic backgrounds robustly supports these pathways being clinically applicable beyond the genetic background of the model system of CDH1-/- MCF10A cells (Chen et al., 2014). While the specific synthetic lethal genes were not as consistently detected between the SLIPT analyses and short interfering ribonucleic acid (siRNA) screen (Telford et al., 2015), the was sufficient to identify synthetic lethal pathways for further experimental investigation which are more likely to be replicated between genetic backgrounds (Dixon et al., 2008). Together these results demonstrate how SLIPT can be integrated with an experimental screen to triage potential therapeutic targets for further pre-clinical investigation.

The analysis of expression data with SLIPT is also indicative of additional biological mechanisms of synthetic lethal in pathways beyond those identified in screening experiments (Telford et al., 2015). In particular, translation and regulatory pathways, involving 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) and nonsense-mediated decay (NMD), were identified as candidate synthetic lethal pathways with CDH1 by SLIPT. These present downstream target regulated by the putative synthetic lethal signalling pathways which cancer cells are dependent on for sustained protein expression (Gao and Roux, 2015) to proliferate and evade host defense processes such as apoptosis and immune responses.

## 7.2 Significance

### 7.2.1 Synthetic Lethality in the Genomic Era

Development of an effective synthetic lethal discovery tool for bioinformatics analysis has a wide range of applications in genetics research including functional genomics, medical and agricultural applications. The SLIPT approach demonstrated in this thesis is widely applicable to other genes and biological questions. In addition to further query of cancer genes, including other tissues, synthetic lethal gene functions are also of wider interest for their implications for genetic redundancy. Highly redundant genes and the

genetically robust systems they give rise to are of further relevance to evolutionary, developmental, and systems biology to understand how these change over time and play a role in fundamental development of cell types, in addition to cancers.

Developmental genes in particular, are highly evolutionary conserved and subject to high rates of redundancy. These are often difficult to study with conventional functional genetics since individual knockouts of redundant genes do not necessarily have a mutant phenotype. Identifying genes with a common function is therefore also important to the study of developmental genes with unknown functions. Synthetic lethal discovery methods such as SLIPT provide a genomic approach to further systematic characterisation of gene function including such highly redundant developmental genes.

Similarly, variants of unknown significance and modifier loci are a major concerns in human genetics, including "monogenic" and "rare" diseases. Many of these could potentially be difficult to characterise individually due to synthetic lethal interactions where additional loci contribute to the disease (or only compensate for some variants). As such systematic identification of synthetic lethal interactions also has applications in the study of such "oligogenic" diseases along with similar applications in the study of heritability for traits including agricultural genome-based selection.

Genetic redundancy is also a concern in pharmacology. Polypharmacology and network medicine are rationales to account for this by using drugs with multiple (known and specific) targets (Barabási et al., 2011; Hopkins, 2008). Further characterisation of synthetic lethal genes will be valuable to the design of effective multi-target drugs or combination therapies in a range of therapeutic applications including molecular targeted therapies against cancer for which combination therapies are a popular solution for acquired resistance against individual targeted therapies. Characterisation of genetic interactions and combination therapies also has the potential to expand pharmacogenomics investigations to understanding the impact of genotypes at multiple loci leading to adverse effects in a subset of the population or accounting for why the rest of the population does not experience this adverse effects since their synthetic lethal partner genes do not share the same variants.

Furthermore, redundant functions and synthetic lethal interactions also present a means to expand upon the concept of the "minimal" genome by accounting for essential gene functions that are performed by redundant genes (or in combination with pleiotropic) genes rather than simply those that are perturbed by individual genes as an essential gene approach is likely an underestimate that does not account for synthetic lethal interactions.

Therefore synthetic lethal interactions are a fundamentally important part of genetics and further understanding of them in a genomics context, facilitated by methods such as SLIPT, shows great potential to contribute a deeper understanding of gene functions and their role in traits or diseases in the post-genomic era. Genes do not function in isolation and so understanding them in the context of the complexity of a cell and across genetic backgrounds (such as the data provided by TCGA) is essential to further characterise their functions and ensure that further applications are reproducible beyond experimental systems.

#### 7.2.2 Clinical Interventions based on Synthetic Lethality

Synthetic lethal discovery with SLIPT is of particular interest in cancer research as a complementary approach to discovery of synthetic lethal drug targets. The cancer research community relies on cell line and mouse models for screening and validation experiments (Fece de la Cruz et al., 2015) which would benefit from integration with gene expression analysis as demonstrated for CDH1 and the screen conducted by Telford et al. (2015). The potential for synthetic lethal drug design against cancer mutations including gene loss or overexpression could lead to a revolution in cancer therapy and chemoprevention with personalised treatment of cancers and high risk individuals. Examples of the synthetic lethal strategy (Bryant et al., 2005; Farmer et al., 2005) for cancer treatment have been shown to be clinically effective with many large-scale RNAi screens recently conduced to aiming discover gene function and drug targets for similar application with other cancer genes, including cancers in other tissues.

While SLIPT analysis and RNA interference (RNAi) screens represent a significant step towards anti-cancer medicines, further validation is required to ensure that the synthetic lethal candidate genes and pathways identified for *CDH1* in breast and stomach cancer are applicable against *CDH1*-deficient cancers in the clinic. Validation with RNAi or pharmacological inhibitors is needed since both the SLIPT analysis and siRNA screen are susceptible to false positives. These candidates will need to be tested in pre-clinical models (cell lines and mouse xenografts) before proceeding to clinical trials. A therapeutic intervention will also require a targeted therapeutic against the synthetic lethal partner if one has not been developed against another disease (for which it van be re-purposed). Drug targets must be feasible to have effective anti-cancer interventions designed against them, which raises the need for targets with existing drugs in the clinic, trials, or feasible to development with structural analysis or screening. Druggable targets could be selected by gene functions known to be amend-

able to drugs, with a structure amenable with development, with conserved specific sites without homology to other genes, or with known approval or developing drugs which could be repurposed from other disease applications.

Targeted therapeutics designed based on synthetic lethal interactions have potential to vastly expand the applications of "precision medicine" against molecular targets, particularly in cancer where many have been cancer genes have been identified. Synthetic lethality expands the range of cancer genes which can be (indirectly) targeted to include tumour suppressor genes with loss of function (such as *CDH1*) and oncogenes with disrupted functions that are dysregulated or highly homologous to non-cancerous proto-oncogenes (such as *MYC*, *EGFR* or *KRAS*). Applications against tumour suppressor genes is a particularly important application as these cannot be approached by careful dosing. Synthetic lethal drug design also has the added benefit of being highly specific against a particular genotype (such as CDH1-/-) with the potential for target therapies with a wide therapeutic index and few adverse effects, in contrast to many current anti-cancer drug regimens (Hopkins, 2008; Kaelin, Jr, 2009). These properties are highly desirable for chemoprevention applications such as treatment against *CDH1*-deficient early cancers in HDGC patients before they are detectable during screening.

### 7.3 Future Directions

- 7.3.1 Refinements Synthetic Lethality Prediction Methods
- 7.3.1.1 Wider Use of Synthetic Lethality Prediction
- 7.3.2 Validation of Synthetic Lethal Genes and Pathways
- 7.3.2.1 Pre-clinical and Clinical Testing
- 7.3.3 Application to Further Genes and Pathways

#### 7.4 Conclusion

Synthetic lethal interactions are important for understanding gene function and development of targeted anti-cancer treatments. Synthetic lethal discovery with experimental screening is error prone and limited by the model systems in which it is performed. A bioinformatics tool to predict synthetic lethal interactions from genomics data would greatly benefit the cancer research community (and wider genetics research community). Several such tools exist, including one we have developed, but they have conflicting design and results are often inconsistent with experimental screen data. Therefore, modelling and simulation of synthetic lethality in gene expression data is needed to ensure the statistical validity of predictions. We have developed a model with correlation structure based on a Multivariate Normal distribution for which simulations detect synthetic lethality with high performance in simple cases and which has the potential to be developed to model complex correlation structure, biological pathways, or patterns observed in empirical gene expression data. The modelling, public data analysis, and experimental screen data approaches will be combined to further examine the example of CDH1 in breast cancer. Analysis of gene networks, tissue specificity, biological pathways, or drug targets remain options to explore tool development and implications for synthetic lethal cancer research in the future.

## References

- Aarts, M., Bajrami, I., Herrera-Abreu, M.T., Elliott, R., Brough, R., Ashworth, A., Lord, C.J., and Turner, N.C. (2015) Functional genetic screen identifies increased sensitivity to weel inhibition in cells with defects in fanconi anemia and hr pathways. *Mol Cancer Ther*, **14**(4): 865–76.
- Abeshouse, A., Ahn, J., Akbani, R., Ally, A., Amin, S., Andry, C.D., Annala, M., Aprikian, A., Armenia, J., Arora, A., et al. (2015) The Molecular Taxonomy of Primary Prostate Cancer. Cell, 163(4): 1011–1025.
- Adamski, M.G., Gumann, P., and Baird, A.E. (2014) A method for quantitative analysis of standard and high-throughput qPCR expression data based on input sample quantity. *PLoS ONE*, **9**(8): e103917.
- Adler, D. (2005) vioplot: Violin plot. R package version 0.2.
- Agarwal, S., Deane, C.M., Porter, M.A., and Jones, N.S. (2010) Revisiting date and party hubs: Novel approaches to role assignment in protein interaction networks. *PLoS Comput Biol*, **6**(6): e1000817.
- Agrawal, N., Akbani, R., Aksoy, B.A., Ally, A., Arachchi, H., Asa, S.L., Auman, J.T., Balasundaram, M., Balu, S., Baylin, S.B., et al. (2014) Integrated genomic characterization of papillary thyroid carcinoma. Cell, 159(3): 676–690.
- Akbani, R., Akdemir, K.C., Aksoy, B.A., Albert, M., Ally, A., Amin, S.B., Arachchi, H., Arora, A., Auman, J.T., Ayala, B., et al. (2015) Genomic Classification of Cutaneous Melanoma. Cell, 161(7): 1681–1696.
- Akobeng, A.K. (2007) Understanding diagnostic tests 3: receiver operating characteristic curves. *Acta Pdiatrica*, **96**(5): 644–647.
- American Cancer Society (2017) Genetics and cancer. https://www.cancer.org/cancer/cancer-causes/genetics.html. Accessed: 22/03/2017.

- American Society for Clinical Oncology (ASCO) (2017) The genetics of cancer. http://www.cancer.net/navigating-cancer-care/cancer-basics/genetics-cancer. Accessed: 22/03/2017.
- Anjomshoaa, A., Lin, Y.H., Black, M.A., McCall, J.L., Humar, B., Song, S., Fukuzawa, R., Yoon, H.S., Holzmann, B., Friederichs, J., et al. (2008) Reduced expression of a gene proliferation signature is associated with enhanced malignancy in colon cancer. Br J Cancer, 99(6): 966–973.
- Araki, H., Knapp, C., Tsai, P., and Print, C. (2012) GeneSetDB: A comprehensive meta-database, statistical and visualisation framework for gene set analysis. *FEBS Open Bio*, **2**: 76–82.
- Ashburner, M., Ball, C.A., Blake, J.A., Botstein, D., Butler, H., Cherry, J.M., Davis, A.P., Dolinski, K., Dwight, S.S., Eppig, J.T., et al. (2000) Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. Nat Genet, 25(1): 25–29.
- Ashworth, A. (2008) A synthetic lethal therapeutic approach: poly(adp) ribose polymerase inhibitors for the treatment of cancers deficient in dna double-strand break repair. *J Clin Oncol*, **26**(22): 3785–90.
- Audeh, M.W., Carmichael, J., Penson, R.T., Friedlander, M., Powell, B., Bell-McGuinn, K.M., Scott, C., Weitzel, J.N., Oaknin, A., Loman, N., et al. (2010) Oral poly(adp-ribose) polymerase inhibitor olaparib in patients with *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutations and recurrent ovarian cancer: a proof-of-concept trial. *Lancet*, **376**(9737): 245–51.
- Babyak, M.A. (2004) What you see may not be what you get: a brief, nontechnical introduction to overfitting in regression-type models. *Psychosom Med*, **66**(3): 411–21.
- Bamford, S., Dawson, E., Forbes, S., Clements, J., Pettett, R., Dogan, A., Flanagan, A., Teague, J., Futreal, P.A., Stratton, M.R., et al. (2004) The COSMIC (Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer) database and website. Br J Cancer, 91(2): 355–358.
- Barabási, A.L. and Albert, R. (1999) Emergence of scaling in random networks. *Science*, **286**(5439): 509–12.

- Barabási, A.L., Gulbahce, N., and Loscalzo, J. (2011) Network medicine: a network-based approach to human disease. *Nat Rev Genet*, **12**(1): 56–68.
- Barabási, A.L. and Oltvai, Z.N. (2004) Network biology: understanding the cell's functional organization. *Nat Rev Genet*, **5**(2): 101–13.
- Barrat, A. and Weigt, M. (2000) On the properties of small-world network models. The European Physical Journal B - Condensed Matter and Complex Systems, 13(3): 547–560.
- Barretina, J., Caponigro, G., Stransky, N., Venkatesan, K., Margolin, A.A., Kim, S., Wilson, C.J., Lehar, J., Kryukov, G.V., Sonkin, D., et al. (2012) The Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia enables predictive modelling of anticancer drug sensitivity. Nature, 483(7391): 603–607.
- Barry, W.T. (2016) safe: Significance Analysis of Function and Expression. R package version 3.14.0.
- Baryshnikova, A., Costanzo, M., Dixon, S., Vizeacoumar, F.J., Myers, C.L., Andrews, B., and Boone, C. (2010a) Synthetic genetic array (sga) analysis in saccharomyces cerevisiae and schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Methods Enzymol*, **470**: 145–79.
- Baryshnikova, A., Costanzo, M., Kim, Y., Ding, H., Koh, J., Toufighi, K., Youn, J.Y., Ou, J., San Luis, B.J., Bandyopadhyay, S., et al. (2010b) Quantitative analysis of fitness and genetic interactions in yeast on a genome scale. Nat Meth, 7(12): 1017–1024.
- Bass, A.J., Thorsson, V., Shmulevich, I., Reynolds, S.M., Miller, M., Bernard, B., Hinoue, T., Laird, P.W., Curtis, C., Shen, H., et al. (2014) Comprehensive molecular characterization of gastric adenocarcinoma. *Nature*, **513**(7517): 202–209.
- Bates, D. and Maechler, M. (2016) Matrix: Sparse and Dense Matrix Classes and Methods. R package version 1.2-7.1.
- Bateson, W. and Mendel, G. (1909) Mendel's principles of heredity, by W. Bateson. University Press, Cambridge [Eng.].
- Beck, T.F., Mullikin, J.C., and Biesecker, L.G. (2016) Systematic Evaluation of Sanger Validation of Next-Generation Sequencing Variants. *Clin Chem*, **62**(4): 647–654.

- Becker, K.F., Atkinson, M.J., Reich, U., Becker, I., Nekarda, H., Siewert, J.R., and Hfler, H. (1994) E-cadherin gene mutations provide clues to diffuse type gastric carcinomas. *Cancer Research*, **54**(14): 3845–3852.
- Bell, D., Berchuck, A., Birrer, M., Chien, J., Cramer, D., Dao, F., Dhir, R., DiSaia, P., Gabra, H., Glenn, P., et al. (2011) Integrated genomic analyses of ovarian carcinoma. Nature, 474(7353): 609–615.
- Benjamini, Y. and Hochberg, Y. (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological)*, **57**(1): 289–300.
- Berx, G., Cleton-Jansen, A.M., Nollet, F., de Leeuw, W.J., van de Vijver, M., Cornelisse, C., and van Roy, F. (1995) E-cadherin is a tumour/invasion suppressor gene mutated in human lobular breast cancers. *EMBO J*, **14**(24): 6107–15.
- Berx, G., Cleton-Jansen, A.M., Strumane, K., de Leeuw, W.J., Nollet, F., van Roy, F., and Cornelisse, C. (1996) E-cadherin is inactivated in a majority of invasive human lobular breast cancers by truncation mutations throughout its extracellular domain. *Oncogene*, **13**(9): 1919–25.
- Berx, G. and van Roy, F. (2009) Involvement of members of the cadherin superfamily in cancer. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*, **1**: a003129.
- Bitler, B.G., Aird, K.M., Garipov, A., Li, H., Amatangelo, M., Kossenkov, A.V., Schultz, D.C., Liu, Q., Shih Ie, M., Conejo-Garcia, J.R., et al. (2015) Synthetic lethality by targeting ezh2 methyltransferase activity in arid1a-mutated cancers. Nat Med, 21(3): 231–8.
- Blake, J.A., Christie, K.R., Dolan, M.E., Drabkin, H.J., Hill, D.P., Ni, L., Sitnikov, D., Burgess, S., Buza, T., Gresham, C., et al. (2015) Gene Ontology Consortium: going forward. Nucleic Acids Res, 43(Database issue): D1049–1056.
- Boettcher, M., Lawson, A., Ladenburger, V., Fredebohm, J., Wolf, J., Hoheisel, J.D., Frezza, C., and Shlomi, T. (2014) High throughput synthetic lethality screen reveals a tumorigenic role of adenylate cyclase in fumarate hydratase-deficient cancer cells. *BMC Genomics*, **15**: 158.
- Boone, C., Bussey, H., and Andrews, B.J. (2007) Exploring genetic interactions and networks with yeast. *Nat Rev Genet*, **8**(6): 437–49.

- Borgatti, S.P. (2005) Centrality and network flow. Social Networks, 27(1): 55 71.
- Boucher, B. and Jenna, S. (2013) Genetic interaction networks: better understand to better predict. *Front Genet*, 4: 290.
- Breiman, L. (2001) Random forests. *Machine Learning*, **45**(1): 5–32.
- Brin, S. and Page, L. (1998) The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual web search engine. Computer Networks and ISDN Systems, **30**(1): 107 117.
- Bryant, H.E., Schultz, N., Thomas, H.D., Parker, K.M., Flower, D., Lopez, E., Kyle, S., Meuth, M., Curtin, N.J., and Helleday, T. (2005) Specific killing of *BRCA2*-deficient tumours with inhibitors of polyadpribose polymerase. *Nature*, **434**(7035): 913–7.
- Burk, R.D., Chen, Z., Saller, C., Tarvin, K., Carvalho, A.L., Scapulatempo-Neto, C., Silveira, H.C., Fregnani, J.H., Creighton, C.J., Anderson, M.L., et al. (2017) Integrated genomic and molecular characterization of cervical cancer. Nature, 543(7645): 378–384.
- Bussey, H., Andrews, B., and Boone, C. (2006) From worm genetic networks to complex human diseases. *Nat Genet*, **38**(8): 862–3.
- Butland, G., Babu, M., Diaz-Mejia, J.J., Bohdana, F., Phanse, S., Gold, B., Yang, W., Li, J., Gagarinova, A.G., Pogoutse, O., et al. (2008) esga: E. coli synthetic genetic array analysis. Nat Methods, 5(9): 789–95.
- Cancer Research UK (2017) Family history and cancer genes. http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/causes-of-cancer/inherited-cancer-genes-and-increased-cancer-risk/family-history-and-inherited-cancer-genes. Accessed: 22/03/2017.
- cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (cBioPortal) (2017) cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics. http://www.cbioportal.org/. Accessed: 26/03/2017.
- Cerami, E.G., Gross, B.E., Demir, E., Rodchenkov, I., Babur, O., Anwar, N., Schultz, N., Bader, G.D., and Sander, C. (2011) Pathway Commons, a web resource for biological pathway data. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 39(Database issue): D685–690.
- Chen, A., Beetham, H., Black, M.A., Priya, R., Telford, B.J., Guest, J., Wiggins, G.A.R., Godwin, T.D., Yap, A.S., and Guilford, P.J. (2014) E-cadherin loss alters

- cytoskeletal organization and adhesion in non-malignant breast cells but is insufficient to induce an epithelial-mesenchymal transition.  $BMC\ Cancer$ , 14(1): 552.
- Chen, S. and Parmigiani, G. (2007) Meta-analysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2 penetrance. *J Clin Oncol*, **25**(11): 1329–1333.
- Chen, X. and Tompa, M. (2010) Comparative assessment of methods for aligning multiple genome sequences. *Nat Biotechnol*, **28**(6): 567–572.
- Cherniack, A.D., Shen, H., Walter, V., Stewart, C., Murray, B.A., Bowlby, R., Hu, X., Ling, S., Soslow, R.A., Broaddus, R.R., et al. (2017) Integrated Molecular Characterization of Uterine Carcinosarcoma. Cancer Cell, 31(3): 411–423.
- Chipman, K. and Singh, A. (2009) Predicting genetic interactions with random walks on biological networks. BMC Bioinformatics,  $\mathbf{10}(1)$ : 17.
- Christofori, G. and Semb, H. (1999) The role of the cell-adhesion molecule E-cadherin as a tumour-suppressor gene. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, **24**(2): 73 76.
- Ciriello, G., Gatza, M.L., Beck, A.H., Wilkerson, M.D., Rhie, S.K., Pastore, A., Zhang, H., McLellan, M., Yau, C., Kandoth, C., et al. (2015) Comprehensive Molecular Portraits of Invasive Lobular Breast Cancer. Cell, 163(2): 506–519.
- Clark, M.J. (2004) Endogenous Regulator of G Protein Signaling Proteins Suppress G o-Dependent -Opioid Agonist-Mediated Adenylyl Cyclase Supersensitization.

  Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, 310(1): 215–222.
- Clough, E. and Barrett, T. (2016) The Gene Expression Omnibus Database. *Methods Mol Biol*, **1418**: 93–110.
- Collingridge, D.S. (2013) A primer on quantitized data analysis and permutation testing. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, **7**(1): 81–97.
- Collins, F.S. and Barker, A.D. (2007) Mapping the cancer genome. Pinpointing the genes involved in cancer will help chart a new course across the complex landscape of human malignancies. *Sci Am*, **296**(3): 50–57.
- Collins, F.S., Morgan, M., and Patrinos, A. (2003) The Human Genome Project: lessons from large-scale biology. *Science*, **300**(5617): 286–290.

- Collisson, E., Campbell, J., Brooks, A., Berger, A., Lee, W., Chmielecki, J., Beer, D., Cope, L., Creighton, C., Danilova, L., et al. (2014) Comprehensive molecular profiling of lung adenocarcinoma. Nature, 511(7511): 543–550.
- Corcoran, R.B., Ebi, H., Turke, A.B., Coffee, E.M., Nishino, M., Cogdill, A.P., Brown, R.D., Della Pelle, P., Dias-Santagata, D., Hung, K.E., et al. (2012) Egfr-mediated reactivation of mapk signaling contributes to insensitivity of BRAF-mutant colorectal cancers to raf inhibition with vemurafenib. Cancer Discovery, 2(3): 227–235.
- Costanzo, M., Baryshnikova, A., Bellay, J., Kim, Y., Spear, E.D., Sevier, C.S., Ding, H., Koh, J.L., Toufighi, K., Mostafavi, S., et al. (2010) The genetic landscape of a cell. Science, 327(5964): 425–31.
- Costanzo, M., Baryshnikova, A., Myers, C.L., Andrews, B., and Boone, C. (2011) Charting the genetic interaction map of a cell. *Curr Opin Biotechnol*, **22**(1): 66–74.
- Courtney, K.D., Corcoran, R.B., and Engelman, J.A. (2010) The PI3K pathway as drug target in human cancer. *J Clin Oncol*, **28**(6): 1075–1083.
- Creighton, C.J., Morgan, M., Gunaratne, P.H., Wheeler, D.A., Gibbs, R.A., Robertson, A., Chu, A., Beroukhim, R., Cibulskis, K., Signoretti, S., et al. (2013) Comprehensive molecular characterization of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Nature, 499(7456): 43–49.
- Croft, D., Mundo, A.F., Haw, R., Milacic, M., Weiser, J., Wu, G., Caudy, M., Garapati, P., Gillespie, M., Kamdar, M.R., et al. (2014) The Reactome pathway knowledge-base. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **42**(database issue): D472D477.
- Crunkhorn, S. (2014) Cancer: Predicting synthetic lethal interactions. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*, **13**(11): 812.
- Csardi, G. and Nepusz, T. (2006) The igraph software package for complex network research. *InterJournal*, Complex Systems: 1695.
- Curtis, C., Shah, S.P., Chin, S.F., Turashvili, G., Rueda, O.M., Dunning, M.J., Speed, D., Lynch, A.G., Samarajiwa, S., Yuan, Y., et al. (2012) The genomic and transcriptomic architecture of 2,000 breast tumours reveals novel subgroups. Nature, 486(7403): 346–352.

- Dai, X., Li, T., Bai, Z., Yang, Y., Liu, X., Zhan, J., and Shi, B. (2015) Breast cancer intrinsic subtype classification, clinical use and future trends. *Am J Cancer Res*, **5**(10): 2929–2943.
- Davierwala, A.P., Haynes, J., Li, Z., Brost, R.L., Robinson, M.D., Yu, L., Mnaimneh, S., Ding, H., Zhu, H., Chen, Y., et al. (2005) The synthetic genetic interaction spectrum of essential genes. Nat Genet, 37(10): 1147–1152.
- De Leeuw, W.J., Berx, G., Vos, C.B., Peterse, J.L., Van de Vijver, M.J., Litvinov, S., Van Roy, F., Cornelisse, C.J., and Cleton-Jansen, A.M. (1997) Simultaneous loss of E-cadherin and catenins in invasive lobular breast cancer and lobular carcinoma in situ. *J Pathol*, **183**(4): 404–11.
- Demir, E., Babur, O., Rodchenkov, I., Aksoy, B.A., Fukuda, K.I., Gross, B., Sumer, O.S., Bader, G.D., and Sander, C. (2013) Using biological pathway data with Paxtools. *PLoS Comput Biol*, **9**(9): e1003194.
- Deshpande, R., Asiedu, M.K., Klebig, M., Sutor, S., Kuzmin, E., Nelson, J., Piotrowski, J., Shin, S.H., Yoshida, M., Costanzo, M., et al. (2013) A comparative genomic approach for identifying synthetic lethal interactions in human cancer. Cancer Res, 73(20): 6128–36.
- Dickson, D. (1999) Wellcome funds cancer database. *Nature*, **401**(6755): 729.
- Dienstmann, R. and Tabernero, J. (2011) BRAF as a target for cancer therapy. Anticancer Agents Med Chem,  $\mathbf{11}(3)$ : 285–95.
- Dijkstra, E.W. (1959) A note on two problems in connexion with graphs. *Numerische Mathematik*, **1**(1): 269–271.
- Dixon, S.J., Andrews, B.J., and Boone, C. (2009) Exploring the conservation of synthetic lethal genetic interaction networks. *Commun Integr Biol*, **2**(2): 78–81.
- Dixon, S.J., Fedyshyn, Y., Koh, J.L., Prasad, T.S., Chahwan, C., Chua, G., Toufighi, K., Baryshnikova, A., Hayles, J., Hoe, K.L., et al. (2008) Significant conservation of synthetic lethal genetic interaction networks between distantly related eukaryotes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 105(43): 16653–8.
- Dorogovtsev, S.N. and Mendes, J.F. (2003) Evolution of networks: From biological nets to the Internet and WWW. Oxford University Press, USA.

- Dorsam, R.T. and Gutkind, J.S. (2007) G-protein-coupled receptors and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*, **7**(2): 79–94.
- Erdős, P. and Rényi, A. (1959) On random graphs I. Publ Math Debrecen, 6: 290–297.
- Erdős, P. and Rényi, A. (1960) On the evolution of random graphs. In *Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci.*, volume 5, 17–61.
- Eroles, P., Bosch, A., Perez-Fidalgo, J.A., and Lluch, A. (2012) Molecular biology in breast cancer: intrinsic subtypes and signaling pathways. *Cancer Treat Rev*, **38**(6): 698–707.
- Ezkurdia, I., Juan, D., Rodriguez, J.M., Frankish, A., Diekhans, M., Harrow, J., Vazquez, J., Valencia, A., and Tress, M.L. (2014) Multiple evidence strands suggest that there may be as few as 19 000 human protein-coding genes. *Human Molecular Genetics*, **23**(22): 5866.
- Farmer, H., McCabe, N., Lord, C.J., Tutt, A.N., Johnson, D.A., Richardson, T.B., Santarosa, M., Dillon, K.J., Hickson, I., Knights, C., et al. (2005) Targeting the dna repair defect in BRCA mutant cells as a therapeutic strategy. Nature, 434(7035): 917–21.
- Fawcett, T. (2006) An introduction to ROC analysis. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, **27**(8): 861 874. {ROC} Analysis in Pattern Recognition.
- Fece de la Cruz, F., Gapp, B.V., and Nijman, S.M. (2015) Synthetic lethal vulnerabilities of cancer. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*, **55**: 513–531.
- Ferlay, J., Soerjomataram, I., Dikshit, R., Eser, S., Mathers, C., Rebelo, M., Parkin, D.M., Forman, D., and Bray, F. (2015) Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *Int J Cancer*, **136**(5): E359–386.
- Fisher, R.A. (1919) Xv.the correlation between relatives on the supposition of mendelian inheritance. Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, **52**(02): 399–433.
- Fong, P.C., Boss, D.S., Yap, T.A., Tutt, A., Wu, P., Mergui-Roelvink, M., Mortimer, P., Swaisland, H., Lau, A., O'Connor, M.J., et al. (2009) Inhibition of poly(adpribose) polymerase in tumors from BRCA mutation carriers. N Engl J Med, 361(2): 123–34.

- Fong, P.C., Yap, T.A., Boss, D.S., Carden, C.P., Mergui-Roelvink, M., Gourley, C., De Greve, J., Lubinski, J., Shanley, S., Messiou, C., et al. (2010) Poly(adp)-ribose polymerase inhibition: frequent durable responses in BRCA carrier ovarian cancer correlating with platinum-free interval. J Clin Oncol, 28(15): 2512–9.
- Forbes, S.A., Beare, D., Gunasekaran, P., Leung, K., Bindal, N., Boutselakis, H., Ding, M., Bamford, S., Cole, C., Ward, S., et al. (2015) COSMIC: exploring the world's knowledge of somatic mutations in human cancer. Nucleic Acids Res, 43(Database issue): D805–811.
- Fraser, A. (2004) Towards full employment: using RNAi to find roles for the redundant. *Oncogene*, **23**(51): 8346–52.
- Futreal, P.A., Coin, L., Marshall, M., Down, T., Hubbard, T., Wooster, R., Rahman, N., and Stratton, M.R. (2004) A census of human cancer genes. Nat Rev Cancer, 4(3): 177–183.
- Futreal, P.A., Kasprzyk, A., Birney, E., Mullikin, J.C., Wooster, R., and Stratton, M.R. (2001) Cancer and genomics. *Nature*, **409**(6822): 850–852.
- Gao, B. and Roux, P.P. (2015) Translational control by oncogenic signaling pathways. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 1849(7): 753–65.
- Gatza, M.L., Kung, H.N., Blackwell, K.L., Dewhirst, M.W., Marks, J.R., and Chi, J.T. (2011) Analysis of tumor environmental response and oncogenic pathway activation identifies distinct basal and luminal features in HER2-related breast tumor subtypes. Breast Cancer Res, 13(3): R62.
- Gatza, M.L., Lucas, J.E., Barry, W.T., Kim, J.W., Wang, Q., Crawford, M.D., Datto, M.B., Kelley, M., Mathey-Prevot, B., Potti, A., et al. (2010) A pathway-based classification of human breast cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 107(15): 6994–6999.
- Gatza, M.L., Silva, G.O., Parker, J.S., Fan, C., and Perou, C.M. (2014) An integrated genomics approach identifies drivers of proliferation in luminal-subtype human breast cancer. *Nat Genet*, 46(10): 1051–1059.
- Gentleman, R.C., Carey, V.J., Bates, D.M., Bolstad, B., Dettling, M., Dudoit, S., Ellis, B., Gautier, L., Ge, Y., Gentry, J., et al. (2004) Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. Genome Biol, 5(10): R80.

- Genz, A. and Bretz, F. (2009) Computation of multivariate normal and t probabilities. In *Lecture Notes in Statistics*, volume 195. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg.
- Genz, A., Bretz, F., Miwa, T., Mi, X., Leisch, F., Scheipl, F., and Hothorn, T. (2016) mvtnorm: Multivariate Normal and t Distributions. R package version 1.0-5. URL.
- Gilbert, W. and Maxam, A. (1973) The nucleotide sequence of the lac operator. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **70**(12): 3581–3584.
- Git, A., Dvinge, H., Salmon-Divon, M., Osborne, M., Kutter, C., Hadfield, J., Bertone, P., and Caldas, C. (2010) Systematic comparison of microarray profiling, real-time PCR, and next-generation sequencing technologies for measuring differential microRNA expression. RNA, 16(5): 991–1006.
- Globus (Globus) (2017) Research data management simplified. https://www.globus.org/. Accessed: 25/03/2017.
- Graziano, F., Humar, B., and Guilford, P. (2003) The role of the E-cadherin gene (*CDH1*) in diffuse gastric cancer susceptibility: from the laboratory to clinical practice. *Annals of Oncology*, **14**(12): 1705–1713.
- Güell, O., Sagus, F., and Serrano, M. (2014) Essential plasticity and redundancy of metabolism unveiled by synthetic lethality analysis. *PLoS Comput Biol*, **10**(5): e1003637.
- Guilford, P. (1999) E-cadherin downregulation in cancer: fuel on the fire? Molecular  $Medicine\ Today,\ 5(4):\ 172-177.$
- Guilford, P., Hopkins, J., Harraway, J., McLeod, M., McLeod, N., Harawira, P., Taite, H., Scoular, R., Miller, A., and Reeve, A.E. (1998) E-cadherin germline mutations in familial gastric cancer. *Nature*, 392(6674): 402–5.
- Guilford, P., Humar, B., and Blair, V. (2010) Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer: translation of *CDH1* germline mutations into clinical practice. *Gastric Cancer*, **13**(1): 1–10.
- Guilford, P.J., Hopkins, J.B., Grady, W.M., Markowitz, S.D., Willis, J., Lynch, H., Rajput, A., Wiesner, G.L., Lindor, N.M., Burgart, L.J., et al. (1999) E-cadherin germline mutations define an inherited cancer syndrome dominated by diffuse gastric cancer. Hum Mutat, 14(3): 249–55.

- Guo, J., Liu, H., and Zheng, J. (2016) SynLethDB: synthetic lethality database toward discovery of selective and sensitive anticancer drug targets. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 44(D1): D1011–1017.
- Hajian-Tilaki, K. (2013) Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve Analysis for Medical Diagnostic Test Evaluation. Caspian J Intern Med, 4(2): 627–635.
- Hall, M., Frank, E., Holmes, G., Pfahringer, B., Reutemann, P., and Witten, I.H. (2009) The weka data mining software: an update. *SIGKDD Explor Newsl*, **11**(1): 10–18.
- Hammerman, P.S., Lawrence, M.S., Voet, D., Jing, R., Cibulskis, K., Sivachenko, A., Stojanov, P., McKenna, A., Lander, E.S., Gabriel, S., et al. (2012) Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. *Nature*, **489**(7417): 519–525.
- Han, J.D.J., Bertin, N., Hao, T., Goldberg, D.S., Berriz, G.F., Zhang, L.V., Dupuy, D., Walhout, A.J.M., Cusick, M.E., Roth, F.P., et al. (2004) Evidence for dynamically organized modularity in the yeast protein-protein interaction network. Nature, 430(6995): 88–93.
- Hanahan, D. and Weinberg, R.A. (2000) The hallmarks of cancer. Cell, 100(1): 57–70.
- Hanahan, D. and Weinberg, R.A. (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell*, **144**(5): 646–674.
- Hanna, S. (2003) Cancer incidence in new zealand (2003-2007). In D. Forman, D. Bray
  F Brewster, C. Gombe Mbalawa, B. Kohler, M. Piñeros, E. Steliarova-Foucher,
  R. Swaminathan, and J. Ferlay (editors), Cancer Incidence in Five Continents,
  volume X, 902-907. International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France.
  Electronic version http://ci5.iarc.fr Accessed 22/03/2017.
- Heiskanen, M., Bian, X., Swan, D., and Basu, A. (2014) caArray microarray database in the cancer biomedical informatics grid<sup>TM</sup> (caBIG<sup>TM</sup>). Cancer Research, **67**(9 Supplement): 3712–3712.
- Heiskanen, M.A. and Aittokallio, T. (2012) Mining high-throughput screens for cancer drug targets-lessons from yeast chemical-genomic profiling and synthetic lethality. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 2(3): 263–272.

- Hell, P. (1976) Graphs with given neighbourhoods i. problémes combinatorics at theorie des graphes. *Proc Coil Int CNRS*, *Orsay*, **260**: 219–223.
- Herschkowitz, J.I., Simin, K., Weigman, V.J., Mikaelian, I., Usary, J., Hu, Z., Rasmussen, K.E., Jones, L.P., Assefnia, S., Chandrasekharan, S., et al. (2007) Identification of conserved gene expression features between murine mammary carcinoma models and human breast tumors. Genome Biol, 8(5): R76.
- Hillenmeyer, M.E. (2008) The chemical genomic portrait of yeast: uncovering a phenotype for all genes. *Science*, **320**: 362–365.
- Hoadley, K.A., Yau, C., Wolf, D.M., Cherniack, A.D., Tamborero, D., Ng, S., Leiserson, M.D., Niu, B., McLellan, M.D., Uzunangelov, V., et al. (2014) Multiplatform analysis of 12 cancer types reveals molecular classification within and across tissues of origin. Cell, 158(4): 929–944.
- Hoehndorf, R., Hardy, N.W., Osumi-Sutherland, D., Tweedie, S., Schofield, P.N., and Gkoutos, G.V. (2013) Systematic analysis of experimental phenotype data reveals gene functions. *PLoS ONE*, **8**(4): e60847.
- Holm, S. (1979) A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, **6**(2): 65–70.
- Holme, P. and Kim, B.J. (2002) Growing scale-free networks with tunable clustering. *Physical Review E*, **65**(2): 026107.
- Hopkins, A.L. (2008) Network pharmacology: the next paradigm in drug discovery. *Nat Chem Biol*, **4**(11): 682–690.
- Hu, Z., Fan, C., Oh, D.S., Marron, J.S., He, X., Qaqish, B.F., Livasy, C., Carey, L.A., Reynolds, E., Dressler, L., et al. (2006) The molecular portraits of breast tumors are conserved across microarray platforms. BMC Genomics, 7: 96.
- Huang, E., Cheng, S., Dressman, H., Pittman, J., Tsou, M., Horng, C., Bild, A., Iversen, E., Liao, M., Chen, C., et al. (2003) Gene expression predictors of breast cancer outcomes. *Lancet*, **361**: 1590–1596.
- Illumina, Inc (Illumina) (2017) Sequencing and array-based solutions for genetic research. https://www.illumina.com/. Accessed: 26/03/2017.

- International HapMap 3 Consortium (HapMap) (2003) The International HapMap Project. *Nature*, **426**(6968): 789–796.
- Internation Human Genome Sequencing Consortium (IHGSC) (2004) Finishing the euchromatic sequence of the human genome. *Nature*, **431**(7011): 931–945.
- Jerby-Arnon, L., Pfetzer, N., Waldman, Y., McGarry, L., James, D., Shanks, E., Seashore-Ludlow, B., Weinstock, A., Geiger, T., Clemons, P., et al. (2014) Predicting cancer-specific vulnerability via data-driven detection of synthetic lethality. Cell, 158(5): 1199–1209.
- Joachims, T. (1999) Making large-scale support vector machine learning practical. In S. Bernhard, lkopf, J.C.B. Christopher, and J.S. Alexander (editors), Advances in kernel methods, 169–184. MIT Press.
- Ju, Z., Liu, W., Roebuck, P.L., Siwak, D.R., Zhang, N., Lu, Y., Davies, M.A., Akbani, R., Weinstein, J.N., Mills, G.B., et al. (2015) Development of a robust classifier for quality control of reverse-phase protein arrays. Bioinformatics, 31(6): 912.
- Kaelin, Jr, W. (2005) The concept of synthetic lethality in the context of anticancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer*, **5**(9): 689–98.
- Kaelin, Jr, W. (2009) Synthetic lethality: a framework for the development of wiser cancer therapeutics. *Genome Med*, **1**: 99.
- Kamada, T. and Kawai, S. (1989) An algorithm for drawing general undirected graphs. *Information Processing Letters*, **31**(1): 7–15.
- Kandoth, C., Schultz, N., Cherniack, A.D., Akbani, R., Liu, Y., Shen, H., Robertson, A.G., Pashtan, I., Shen, R., Benz, C.C., et al. (2013) Integrated genomic characterization of endometrial carcinoma. Nature, 497(7447): 67–73.
- Kawai, J., Shinagawa, A., Shibata, K., Yoshino, M., Itoh, M., Ishii, Y., Arakawa, T., Hara, A., Fukunishi, Y., Konno, H., et al. (2001) Functional annotation of a full-length mouse cDNA collection. Nature, 409(6821): 685–690.
- Kelley, R. and Ideker, T. (2005) Systematic interpretation of genetic interactions using protein networks. *Nat Biotech*, **23**(5): 561–566.
- Kelly, S.T. (2013) Statistical Predictions of Synthetic Lethal Interactions in Cancer. Dissertation, University of Otago.

- Kelly, S.T., Single, A.B., Telford, B.J., Beetham, H.G., Godwin, T.D., Chen, A., Black, M.A., and Guilford, P.J. (2017) Towards HDGC chemoprevention: vulnerabilities in E-cadherin-negative cells identified by genome-wide interrogation of isogenic cell lines and whole tumors. Submitted to Cancer Prev Res.
- Kozlov, K.N., Gursky, V.V., Kulakovskiy, I.V., and Samsonova, M.G. (2015) Sequence-based model of gap gene regulation network. *BMC Genomics*, **15**(Suppl 12): S6.
- Kranthi, S., Rao, S., and Manimaran, P. (2013) Identification of synthetic lethal pairs in biological systems through network information centrality. *Mol BioSyst*, **9**(8): 2163–2167.
- Lander, E.S. (2011) Initial impact of the sequencing of the human genome. *Nature*, **470**(7333): 187–197.
- Lander, E.S., Linton, L.M., Birren, B., Nusbaum, C., Zody, M.C., Baldwin, J., Devon, K., Dewar, K., Doyle, M., FitzHugh, W., et al. (2001) Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature, 409(6822): 860–921.
- Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., and Salzberg, S.L. (2009) Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol*, **10**(3): R25.
- Latora, V. and Marchiori, M. (2001) Efficient behavior of small-world networks. *Phys Rev Lett*, **87**: 198701.
- Laufer, C., Fischer, B., Billmann, M., Huber, W., and Boutros, M. (2013) Mapping genetic interactions in human cancer cells with RNAi and multiparametric phenotyping. *Nat Methods*, **10**(5): 427–31.
- Law, C.W., Chen, Y., Shi, W., and Smyth, G.K. (2014) voom: precision weights unlock linear model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome Biol*, **15**(2): R29.
- Lawrence, M.S., Sougnez, C., Lichtenstein, L., Cibulskis, K., Lander, E., Gabriel, S.B., Getz, G., Ally, A., Balasundaram, M., Birol, I., et al. (2015) Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature, 517(7536): 576–582.
- Le Meur, N. and Gentleman, R. (2008) Modeling synthetic lethality. *Genome Biol*, **9**(9): R135.

- Le Meur, N., Jiang, Z., Liu, T., Mar, J., and Gentleman, R.C. (2014) Slgi: Synthetic lethal genetic interaction. r package version 1.26.0.
- Lee, A.Y., Perreault, R., Harel, S., Boulier, E.L., Suderman, M., Hallett, M., and Jenna, S. (2010a) Searching for signaling balance through the identification of genetic interactors of the rab guanine-nucleotide dissociation inhibitor gdi-1. *PLoS ONE*, **5**(5): e10624.
- Lee, I., Lehner, B., Vavouri, T., Shin, J., Fraser, A.G., and Marcotte, E.M. (2010b) Predicting genetic modifier loci using functional gene networks. *Genome Research*, **20**(8): 1143–1153.
- Lee, I. and Marcotte, E.M. (2009) Effects of functional bias on supervised learning of a gene network model. *Methods Mol Biol*, **541**: 463–75.
- Lee, M.J., Ye, A.S., Gardino, A.K., Heijink, A.M., Sorger, P.K., MacBeath, G., and Yaffe, M.B. (2012) Sequential application of anticancer drugs enhances cell death by rewiring apoptotic signaling networks. *Cell*, **149**(4): 780–94.
- Lehner, B., Crombie, C., Tischler, J., Fortunato, A., and Fraser, A.G. (2006) Systematic mapping of genetic interactions in caenorhabditis elegans identifies common modifiers of diverse signaling pathways. *Nat Genet*, **38**(8): 896–903.
- Li, X.J., Mishra, S.K., Wu, M., Zhang, F., and Zheng, J. (2014) Syn-lethality: An integrative knowledge base of synthetic lethality towards discovery of selective anticancer therapies. *Biomed Res Int*, **2014**: 196034.
- Linehan, W.M., Spellman, P.T., Ricketts, C.J., Creighton, C.J., Fei, S.S., Davis, C., Wheeler, D.A., Murray, B.A., Schmidt, L., Vocke, C.D., et al. (2016) Comprehensive Molecular Characterization of Papillary Renal-Cell Carcinoma. N Engl J Med, 374(2): 135–145.
- Lokody, I. (2014) Computational modelling: A computational crystal ball. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, **14**(10): 649–649.
- Lord, C.J., Tutt, A.N., and Ashworth, A. (2015) Synthetic lethality and cancer therapy: lessons learned from the development of PARP inhibitors. *Annu Rev Med*, **66**: 455–470.

- Lu, X., Kensche, P.R., Huynen, M.A., and Notebaart, R.A. (2013) Genome evolution predicts genetic interactions in protein complexes and reveals cancer drug targets. *Nat Commun*, 4: 2124.
- Lu, X., Megchelenbrink, W., Notebaart, R.A., and Huynen, M.A. (2015) Predicting human genetic interactions from cancer genome evolution. *PLoS One*, **10**(5): e0125795.
- Lum, P.Y., Armour, C.D., Stepaniants, S.B., Cavet, G., Wolf, M.K., Butler, J.S., Hinshaw, J.C., Garnier, P., Prestwich, G.D., Leonardson, A., et al. (2004) Discovering modes of action for therapeutic compounds using a genome-wide screen of yeast heterozygotes. Cell, 116(1): 121–137.
- Luo, J., Solimini, N.L., and Elledge, S.J. (2009) Principles of Cancer Therapy: Oncogene and Non-oncogene Addiction. *Cell*, **136**(5): 823–837.
- Machado, J., Olivera, C., Carvalh, R., Soares, P., Berx, G., Caldas, C., Sercuca, R., Carneiro, F., and Sorbrinho-Simoes, M. (2001) E-cadherin gene (*CDH1*) promoter methylation as the second hit in sporadic diffuse gastric carcinoma. *Oncogene*, **20**: 1525–1528.
- Masciari, S., Larsson, N., Senz, J., Boyd, N., Kaurah, P., Kandel, M.J., Harris, L.N., Pinheiro, H.C., Troussard, A., Miron, P., et al. (2007) Germline E-cadherin mutations in familial lobular breast cancer. J Med Genet, 44(11): 726–31.
- Mattison, J., van der Weyden, L., Hubbard, T., and Adams, D.J. (2009) Cancer gene discovery in mouse and man. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, **1796**(2): 140–161.
- Maxam, A.M. and Gilbert, W. (1977) A new method for sequencing DNA. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Science, **74**(2): 560–564.
- McCourt, C.M., McArt, D.G., Mills, K., Catherwood, M.A., Maxwell, P., Waugh, D.J., Hamilton, P., O'Sullivan, J.M., and Salto-Tellez, M. (2013) Validation of next generation sequencing technologies in comparison to current diagnostic gold standards for BRAF, EGFR and KRAS mutational analysis. *PLoS ONE*, 8(7): e69604.
- McLachlan, J., George, A., and Banerjee, S. (2016) The current status of parp inhibitors in ovarian cancer. *Tumori*, **102**(5): 433–440.

- McLendon, R., Friedman, A., Bigner, D., Van Meir, E.G., Brat, D.J., Mastrogianakis, G.M., Olson, J.J., Mikkelsen, T., Lehman, N., Aldape, K., et al. (2008) Comprehensive genomic characterization defines human glioblastoma genes and core pathways. Nature, 455(7216): 1061–1068.
- Miles, D.W. (2001) Update on HER-2 as a target for cancer therapy: herceptin in the clinical setting. *Breast Cancer Res*, **3**(6): 380–384.
- Mortazavi, A., Williams, B.A., McCue, K., Schaeffer, L., and Wold, B. (2008) Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. *Nat Methods*, **5**(7): 621–628.
- Muzny, D.M., Bainbridge, M.N., Chang, K., Dinh, H.H., Drummond, J.A., Fowler, G., Kovar, C.L., Lewis, L.R., Morgan, M.B., Newsham, I.F., et al. (2012) Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. Nature, 487(7407): 330–337.
- Nagalla, S., Chou, J.W., Willingham, M.C., Ruiz, J., Vaughn, J.P., Dubey, P., Lash, T.L., Hamilton-Dutoit, S.J., Bergh, J., Sotiriou, C., et al. (2013) Interactions between immunity, proliferation and molecular subtype in breast cancer prognosis. Genome Biol, 14(4): R34.
- Neeley, E.S., Kornblau, S.M., Coombes, K.R., and Baggerly, K.A. (2009) Variable slope normalization of reverse phase protein arrays. *Bioinformatics*, **25**(11): 1384.
- Novomestky, F. (2012) matrixcalc: Collection of functions for matrix calculations. R package version 1.0-3.
- Oliveira, C., Senz, J., Kaurah, P., Pinheiro, H., Sanges, R., Haegert, A., Corso, G., Schouten, J., Fitzgerald, R., Vogelsang, H., et al. (2009) Germline CDH1 deletions in hereditary diffuse gastric cancer families. Human Molecular Genetics, 18(9): 1545–1555.
- Oliveira, C., Seruca, R., Hoogerbrugge, N., Ligtenberg, M., and Carneiro, F. (2013) Clinical utility gene card for: Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer (HDGC). Eur J Hum Genet, 21(8).
- Pandey, G., Zhang, B., Chang, A.N., Myers, C.L., Zhu, J., Kumar, V., and Schadt, E.E. (2010) An integrative multi-network and multi-classifier approach to predict genetic interactions. *PLoS Comput Biol*, **6**(9).

- Parker, J., Mullins, M., Cheung, M., Leung, S., Voduc, D., Vickery, T., Davies, S., Fauron, C., He, X., Hu, Z., et al. (2009) Supervised risk predictor of breast cancer based on intrinsic subtypes. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 27(8): 1160–1167.
- Peltonen, L. and McKusick, V.A. (2001) Genomics and medicine. Dissecting human disease in the postgenomic era. *Science*, **291**(5507): 1224–1229.
- Pereira, B., Chin, S.F., Rueda, O.M., Vollan, H.K., Provenzano, E., Bardwell, H.A., Pugh, M., Jones, L., Russell, R., Sammut, S.J., et al. (2016) Erratum: The somatic mutation profiles of 2,433 breast cancers refine their genomic and transcriptomic landscapes. Nat Commun, 7: 11908.
- Perou, C.M., Sørlie, T., Eisen, M.B., van de Rijn, M., Jeffrey, S.S., Rees, C.A., Pollack, J.R., Ross, D.T., Johnsen, H., Akslen, L.A., et al. (2000) Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature, 406(6797): 747–752.
- Polyak, K. and Weinberg, R.A. (2009) Transitions between epithelial and mesenchymal states: acquisition of malignant and stem cell traits. *Nat Rev Cancer*, **9**(4): 265–73.
- Prahallad, A., Sun, C., Huang, S., Di Nicolantonio, F., Salazar, R., Zecchin, D., Beijersbergen, R.L., Bardelli, A., and Bernards, R. (2012) Unresponsiveness of colon cancer to *BRAF* (v600e) inhibition through feedback activation of egfr. *Nature*, **483**(7387): 100–3.
- R Core Team (2016) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. R version 3.3.2.
- Ravnan, M.C. and Matalka, M.S. (2012) Vemurafenib in patients with *BRAF* v600e mutation-positive advanced melanoma. *Clin Ther*, **34**(7): 1474–86.
- Ritchie, M.E., Phipson, B., Wu, D., Hu, Y., Law, C.W., Shi, W., and Smyth, G.K. (2015) limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **43**(7): e47.
- Robin, J.D., Ludlow, A.T., LaRanger, R., Wright, W.E., and Shay, J.W. (2016) Comparison of DNA Quantification Methods for Next Generation Sequencing. *Sci Rep*, 6: 24067.
- Robinson, M.D. and Oshlack, A. (2010) A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biol*, **11**(3): R25.

- Roguev, A., Bandyopadhyay, S., Zofall, M., Zhang, K., Fischer, T., Collins, S.R., Qu, H., Shales, M., Park, H.O., Hayles, J., et al. (2008) Conservation and rewiring of functional modules revealed by an epistasis map in fission yeast. Science, **322**(5900): 405–10.
- Rung, J. and Brazma, A. (2013) Reuse of public genome-wide gene expression data. Nat Rev Genet, 14(2): 89–99.
- Rustici, G., Kolesnikov, N., Brandizi, M., Burdett, T., Dylag, M., Emam, I., Farne, A., Hastings, E., Ison, J., Keays, M., et al. (2013) ArrayExpress update—trends in database growth and links to data analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res, 41(Database issue): D987–990.
- Ryan, C., Lord, C., and Ashworth, A. (2014) Daisy: Picking synthetic lethals from cancer genomes. *Cancer Cell*, **26**(3): 306–308.
- Sander, J.D. and Joung, J.K. (2014) Crispr-cas systems for editing, regulating and targeting genomes. *Nat Biotechnol*, **32**(4): 347–55.
- Sanger, F. and Coulson, A. (1975) A rapid method for determining sequences in dna by primed synthesis with dna polymerase. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **94**(3): 441 448.
- Scheuer, L., Kauff, N., Robson, M., Kelly, B., Barakat, R., Satagopan, J., Ellis, N., Hensley, M., Boyd, J., Borgen, P., et al. (2002) Outcome of preventive surgery and screening for breast and ovarian cancer in BRCA mutation carriers. *J Clin Oncol*, **20**(5): 1260–1268.
- Semb, H. and Christofori, G. (1998) The tumor-suppressor function of E-cadherin. *Am J Hum Genet*, **63**(6): 1588–93.
- Sing, T., Sander, O., Beerenwinkel, N., and Lengauer, T. (2005) Rocr: visualizing classifier performance in r. *Bioinformatics*, **21**(20): 7881.
- Slurm development team (Slurm) (2017) Slurm workload manager. https://slurm.schedmd.com/. Accessed: 25/03/2017.
- Sørlie, T., Perou, C.M., Tibshirani, R., Aas, T., Geisler, S., Johnsen, H., Hastie, T., Eisen, M.B., van de Rijn, M., Jeffrey, S.S., et al. (2001) Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 98(19): 10869–10874.

- Stajich, J.E. and Lapp, H. (2006) Open source tools and toolkits for bioinformatics: significance, and where are we? *Brief Bioinformatics*, **7**(3): 287–296.
- Stratton, M.R., Campbell, P.J., and Futreal, P.A. (2009) The cancer genome. *Nature*, **458**(7239): 719–724.
- Ström, C. and Helleday, T. (2012) Strategies for the use of poly(adenosine diphosphate ribose) polymerase (parp) inhibitors in cancer therapy. *Biomolecules*, **2**(4): 635–649.
- Sun, C., Wang, L., Huang, S., Heynen, G.J.J.E., Prahallad, A., Robert, C., Haanen, J., Blank, C., Wesseling, J., Willems, S.M., et al. (2014) Reversible and adaptive resistance to BRAF(v600e) inhibition in melanoma. Nature, **508**(7494): 118–122.
- Taylor, I.W., Linding, R., Warde-Farley, D., Liu, Y., Pesquita, C., Faria, D., Bull, S., Pawson, T., Morris, Q., and Wrana, J.L. (2009) Dynamic modularity in protein interaction networks predicts breast cancer outcome. *Nat Biotechnol*, 27(2): 199–204.
- Telford, B.J., Chen, A., Beetham, H., Frick, J., Brew, T.P., Gould, C.M., Single, A., Godwin, T., Simpson, K.J., and Guilford, P. (2015) Synthetic lethal screens identify vulnerabilities in gpcr signalling and cytoskeletal organization in E-cadherin-deficient cells. *Mol Cancer Ther*, **14**(5): 1213–1223.
- The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium (1000 Genomes) (2010) A map of human genome variation from population-scale sequencing. *Nature*, **467**(7319): 1061–1073.
- The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (TCGA) (2012) Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature*, **490**(7418): 61–70.
- The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (TCGA) (2017) The Cancer Genome Atlas Project. https://cancergenome.nih.gov/. Accessed: 26/03/2017.
- The Cancer Society of New Zealand (Cancer Society of NZ) (2017) What is cancer? https://otago-southland.cancernz.org.nz/en/cancer-information/other-links/what-is-cancer-3/. Accessed: 22/03/2017.
- The Catalogue Of Somatic Mutations In Cancer (COSMIC) (2016) Cosmic: The catalogue of somatic mutations in cancer. http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic. Release 79 (23/08/2016), Accessed: 05/02/2017.

- The Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN) (2017) Cran. https://cran.r-project.org/. Accessed: 24/03/2017.
- The ENCODE Project Consortium (ENCODE) (2004) The ENCODE (ENCyclopedia Of DNA Elements) Project. *Science*, **306**(5696): 636–640.
- The National Cancer Institute (NCI) (2015) The genetics of cancer. https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/genetics. Published: 22/04/2015, Accessed: 22/03/2017.
- The New Zealand eScience Infrastructure (NeSI) (2017) NeSI. https://www.nesi.org.nz/. Accessed: 25/03/2017.
- The Pharmaceutical Management Agency (PHARMAC) (2016) Approval of multiproduct funding proposal with roche.
- Tierney, L., Rossini, A.J., Li, N., and Sevcikova, H. (2015) snow: Simple Network of Workstations. R package version 0.4-2.
- Tiong, K.L., Chang, K.C., Yeh, K.T., Liu, T.Y., Wu, J.H., Hsieh, P.H., Lin, S.H., Lai, W.Y., Hsu, Y.C., Chen, J.Y., et al. (2014) Csnk1e/ctnnb1 are synthetic lethal to tp53 in colorectal cancer and are markers for prognosis. Neoplasia, 16(5): 441–50.
- Tischler, J., Lehner, B., and Fraser, A.G. (2008) Evolutionary plasticity of genetic interaction networks. *Nat Genet*, **40**(4): 390–391.
- Tomasetti, C. and Vogelstein, B. (2015) Cancer etiology. Variation in cancer risk among tissues can be explained by the number of stem cell divisions. *Science*, **347**(6217): 78–81.
- Tong, A.H., Evangelista, M., Parsons, A.B., Xu, H., Bader, G.D., Page, N., Robinson, M., Raghibizadeh, S., Hogue, C.W., Bussey, H., et al. (2001) Systematic genetic analysis with ordered arrays of yeast deletion mutants. Science, 294(5550): 2364–8.
- Tong, A.H., Lesage, G., Bader, G.D., Ding, H., Xu, H., Xin, X., Young, J., Berriz, G.F., Brost, R.L., Chang, M., et al. (2004) Global mapping of the yeast genetic interaction network. Science, 303(5659): 808–13.
- Travers, J. and Milgram, S. (1969) An experimental study of the small world problem. Sociometry, **32**(4): 425–443.

- Tsai, H.C., Li, H., Van Neste, L., Cai, Y., Robert, C., Rassool, F.V., Shin, J.J., Harbom, K.M., Beaty, R., Pappou, E., et al. (2012) Transient low doses of dnademethylating agents exert durable antitumor effects on hematological and epithelial tumor cells. Cancer Cell, 21(3): 430–46.
- Tutt, A., Robson, M., Garber, J.E., Domchek, S.M., Audeh, M.W., Weitzel, J.N., Friedlander, M., Arun, B., Loman, N., Schmutzler, R.K., et al. (2010) Oral poly(adpribose) polymerase inhibitor olaparib in patients with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations and advanced breast cancer: a proof-of-concept trial. Lancet, 376(9737): 235–44.
- van der Meer, R., Song, H.Y., Park, S.H., Abdulkadir, S.A., and Roh, M. (2014) RNAi screen identifies a synthetic lethal interaction between PIM1 overexpression and PLK1 inhibition. *Clinical Cancer Research*, **20**(12): 3211–3221.
- van Steen, K. (2012) Travelling the world of genegene interactions. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, **13**(1): 1–19.
- van Steen, M. (2010) Graph Theory and Complex Networks: An Introduction. Maarten van Steen, VU Amsterdam.
- Vapnik, V.N. (1995) The nature of statistical learning theory. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc.
- Vargas, J.J., Gusella, G., Najfeld, V., Klotman, M., and Cara, A. (2004) Novel integrase-defective lentiviral episomal vectors for gene transfer. *Hum Gene Ther*, 15: 361–372.
- Vizeacoumar, F.J., Arnold, R., Vizeacoumar, F.S., Chandrashekhar, M., Buzina, A., Young, J.T., Kwan, J.H., Sayad, A., Mero, P., Lawo, S., et al. (2013) A negative genetic interaction map in isogenic cancer cell lines reveals cancer cell vulnerabilities. Mol Syst Biol, 9: 696.
- Vogelstein, B., Papadopoulos, N., Velculescu, V.E., Zhou, S., Diaz, L.A., and Kinzler, K.W. (2013) Cancer genome landscapes. Science, 339(6127): 1546–1558.
- Vos, C.B., Cleton-Jansen, A.M., Berx, G., de Leeuw, W.J., ter Haar, N.T., van Roy, F., Cornelisse, C.J., Peterse, J.L., and van de Vijver, M.J. (1997) E-cadherin inactivation in lobular carcinoma in situ of the breast: an early event in tumorigenesis. Br J Cancer, 76(9): 1131–3.

- Wang, K., Singh, D., Zeng, Z., Coleman, S.J., Huang, Y., Savich, G.L., He, X., Mieczkowski, P., Grimm, S.A., Perou, C.M., et al. (2010) MapSplice: accurate mapping of RNA-seq reads for splice junction discovery. Nucleic Acids Res, 38(18): e178.
- Wang, X. and Simon, R. (2013) Identification of potential synthetic lethal genes to p53 using a computational biology approach. *BMC Medical Genomics*, **6**(1): 30.
- Wappett, M. (2014) Bisep: Toolkit to identify candidate synthetic lethality. r package version 2.0.
- Wappett, M., Dulak, A., Yang, Z.R., Al-Watban, A., Bradford, J.R., and Dry, J.R. (2016) Multi-omic measurement of mutually exclusive loss-of-function enriches for candidate synthetic lethal gene pairs. BMC Genomics, 17: 65.
- Warnes, G.R., Bolker, B., Bonebakker, L., Gentleman, R., Liaw, W.H.A., Lumley, T., Maechler, M., Magnusson, A., Moeller, S., Schwartz, M., et al. (2015) gplots: Various R Programming Tools for Plotting Data. R package version 2.17.0.
- Watts, D.J. and Strogatz, S.H. (1998) Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks. Nature, **393**(6684): 440–2.
- Weinstein, I.B. (2000) Disorders in cell circuitry during multistage carcinogenesis: the role of homeostasis. *Carcinogenesis*, **21**(5): 857–864.
- Weinstein, J.N., Akbani, R., Broom, B.M., Wang, W., Verhaak, R.G., McConkey, D., Lerner, S., Morgan, M., Creighton, C.J., Smith, C., et al. (2014) Comprehensive molecular characterization of urothelial bladder carcinoma. Nature, 507(7492): 315–322.
- Weinstein, J.N., Collisson, E.A., Mills, G.B., Shaw, K.R., Ozenberger, B.A., Ellrott, K., Shmulevich, I., Sander, C., Stuart, J.M., Chang, K., et al. (2013) The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat Genet, 45(10): 1113–1120.
- Wickham, H. and Chang, W. (2016) devtools: Tools to Make Developing R Packages Easier. R package version 1.12.0.
- Wickham, H., Danenberg, P., and Eugster, M. (2017) roxygen2: In-Line Documentation for R. R package version 6.0.1.

- Wong, S.L., Zhang, L.V., Tong, A.H.Y., Li, Z., Goldberg, D.S., King, O.D., Lesage, G., Vidal, M., Andrews, B., Bussey, H., et al. (2004) Combining biological networks to predict genetic interactions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 101(44): 15682–15687.
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2017) Fact sheet: Cancer. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs297/en/. Updated February 2017, Accessed: 22/03/2017.
- Wu, M., Li, X., Zhang, F., Li, X., Kwoh, C.K., and Zheng, J. (2014) In silico prediction of synthetic lethality by meta-analysis of genetic interactions, functions, and pathways in yeast and human cancer. *Cancer Inform*, **13**(Suppl 3): 71–80.
- Yu, H. (2002) Rmpi: Parallel statistical computing in r. R News, 2(2): 10–14.
- Zhang, F., Wu, M., Li, X.J., Li, X.L., Kwoh, C.K., and Zheng, J. (2015) Predicting essential genes and synthetic lethality via influence propagation in signaling pathways of cancer cell fates. *J Bioinform Comput Biol*, **13**(3): 1541002.
- Zhang, J., Baran, J., Cros, A., Guberman, J.M., Haider, S., Hsu, J., Liang, Y., Rivkin, E., Wang, J., Whitty, B., et al. (2011) International cancer genome consortium data portala one-stop shop for cancer genomics data. Database: The Journal of Biological Databases and Curation, 2011: bar026.
- Zhong, W. and Sternberg, P.W. (2006) Genome-wide prediction of c. elegans genetic interactions. *Science*, **311**(5766): 1481–1484.
- Zweig, M.H. and Campbell, G. (1993) Receiver-operating characteristic (roc) plots: a fundamental evaluation tool in clinical medicine. *Clinical Chemistry*, **39**(4): 561–577.