

Machine Learning Worksheet 3

Tomas Ladek, Michael Kratzer
3602673, 3612903
tom.ladek@tum.de, mkratzer@mytum.de

Problem 1

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \theta^t (1 - \theta)^h &= t \theta^{t-1} (1 - \theta)^h - \theta^t h (1 - \theta)^{h-1} \\ &= \theta^{t-1} (1 - \theta)^{h-1} (t(1 - \theta) - h\theta)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \theta^t (1 - \theta)^h &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \theta^{t-1} (1 - \theta)^{h-1} (t(1 - \theta) - h\theta) \\ &= -2t h \theta^{t-1} (1 - \theta)^{h-1} + t(t-1) \theta^{t-2} (1 - \theta)^h + h(h-1) \theta^t (1 - \theta)^{h-2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \theta^t (1 - \theta)^h &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (t \log \theta + h \log(1 - \theta)) \\ &= \frac{t}{\theta} - \frac{h}{1 - \theta}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log \theta^t (1 - \theta)^h &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{t}{\theta} - \frac{h}{1 - \theta} \right) \\ &= \frac{h}{(1 - \theta)^2} - \frac{t}{\theta^2}\end{aligned}$$

Problem 2

The local extremum of $\log f(\theta)$ can be determined by setting the first derivative to zero, i.e.

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \log(f(\theta)) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

Evaluating this with the logarithm derivative rule leads to

$$\frac{\frac{d}{d\theta} f(\theta)}{f(\theta)} = 0 \iff \frac{d}{d\theta} f(\theta) = 0$$

Which is also the formula for the extremum of a differentiable positive function $f(\theta)$. That means that taking the logarithm of a function preserves its extremum points (in particular its local maximum points). Considering the results from the first exercise, it can be easier to differentiate the logarithm of a function instead of the function itself, when one is interested only in the location of the maximum and not its value.

Problem 3

θ_{MLE} is a special case of θ_{MAP} with the following prior:

$$\text{Beta}(\theta|a,b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \theta^{a-1} (1-\theta)^{b-1} \quad \text{with } a = b = 1$$

That way the posterior

$$p(\theta = x|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{D}|\theta = x) \cdot p(\theta = x)}{p(\mathcal{D})}$$

simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta = x|\mathcal{D}) &= \frac{1}{p(\mathcal{D})} \cdot x^{|T|} (1-x)^{|H|} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(1+1)}{\Gamma(1)\Gamma(1)} x^0 (1-x)^0 \\ &= \frac{1}{p(\mathcal{D})} \cdot x^{|T|} (1-x)^{|H|} \cdot \frac{1}{1 \cdot 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{p(\mathcal{D})} \cdot x^{|T|} (1-x)^{|H|} \\ &\propto x^{|T|} (1-x)^{|H|} \end{aligned}$$

which equals to the maximum likelihood estimate θ_{MLE} . Another way of seeing this is by taking the formula for θ_{MAP} directly (from the slides):

$$\theta_{MAP} = \frac{|T| + a - 1}{|H| + |T| + a + b - 2} \stackrel{a=b=1}{=} \frac{|T| + 1 - 1}{|H| + |T| + 1 + 1 - 2} = \frac{|T|}{|H| + |T|} = \theta_{MLE}$$

Problem 4

Given that the prior is Beta-distributed and the likelihood is Bin-distributed, the posterior distribution has to be Bin as well. The expected value of the posterior therefore has to be in the form $N\theta$.

According to the hint, the posterior mean can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}[\theta|\mathcal{D}] = \lambda \mathbb{E}[\theta = x] + (1 - \lambda) \theta_{MLE}$$

The mean of the Beta-distributed prior $\mathbb{E}[\theta = x]$ is given by $\frac{a}{a+b}$ (lecture notes), the maximum likelihood estimate of a Bin-distribution is the maximum of the function $\binom{N}{m} \theta^m (1-\theta)^{N-m}$, which is $\lfloor (N+1)\theta \rfloor$ (since θ can take non-integer values between 0 and 1). Together:

$$\mathbb{E}[\theta|\mathcal{D}] = \lambda \frac{a}{a+b} + (1 - \lambda) \lfloor (N+1)\theta \rfloor$$

TODO One can easily see, that with $\lambda = 0$ and some magic,

$$\lambda \frac{a}{a+b} + (1 - \lambda) \lfloor (N+1)\theta \rfloor = N\theta$$

Problem 5

The Poisson PDF is given by $\frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}$. The maximum likelihood estimate for λ can be found in this way:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} &\stackrel{!}{=} 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{e^{-\lambda}(x - \lambda)\lambda^{x-1}}{x!} &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow (x - \lambda) &= 0 \text{ (i.e. } \lambda = x \text{ and } x > 0) \text{ or } \lambda = 0 \end{aligned}$$

TODO One can easily see that this estimate is unbiased.

The posterior distribution given the Poisson likelihood and a Gamma prior looks as follows:

$$p(\theta = x | \mathcal{D}) = \frac{1}{p(\mathcal{D})} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} \cdot \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} e^{-bx} = \frac{b^a}{p(\mathcal{D})\Gamma(a)} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda-bx} \lambda^x x^{a-1}}{x!}$$

The MAP for λ can be computed this way (**TODO** correct?):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left(\frac{b^a}{p(\mathcal{D})\Gamma(a)} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda-bx} \lambda^x x^{a-1}}{x!} \right) &\stackrel{!}{=} 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{b^a}{p(\mathcal{D})\Gamma(a)} \cdot \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda-bx} \lambda^{x-1} x^a}{x!} - \frac{e^{-\lambda-bx} \lambda^x x^{a-1}}{x!} \right) &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \lambda = x \text{ and } x > 0 \text{ or } \lambda = 0 \end{aligned}$$