

Rediscovering Simplicity in Programming

The Nature of Complexity and Abstraction

- Growth in Programming Skills
 - Beginners write simple code; experience leads to complex solutions.
 - Complexity is seen as natural and inevitable.
- Focus Shift in Code Design
 - From understandability to changeability.
 - Code becomes more abstract and initially harder to understand.
- Object-Oriented Design (OOD)
 - Accepts complexity increase in some areas for benefits in others.
 - Trade-offs involve costs balanced by benefits.
 - Examples:
 - DRY principle reduces duplication but hides behavior details.
 - Splitting classes improves reuse but obscures relationships.
 - Dependency injection abstracts dependencies but hides their concrete classes.
- The Challenge of Abstractions
 - Picking the right abstractions is critical.
 - Wrong abstractions lead to convoluted, confusing code.
 - Early abstractions are often premature and incorrect.
 - Suggests resisting abstraction until absolutely necessary.

Simplifying Code

- Balancing Concrete and Abstract
 - Code must be concrete enough to understand and abstract enough to allow change.
 - Exists on a continuum from most concrete (long procedures) to most abstract (many small classes).
 - The best solutions lie in the middle, balancing comprehension and flexibility.
- Four Solutions to the "99 Bottles" Problem
 - Illustrate different points along the abstraction continuum.
 - Encourage readers to solve the problem before examining solutions.

Solution 1: Incomprehensibly Concise

- Characteristics
 - Very concise but hard to understand.
 - Embeds complex logic within strings.
- Problems
 - Inconsistent conditional styles.
 - Duplication of data and logic (e.g., pluralization).
 - Lack of meaningful names; hidden concepts not isolated.
- Impact
 - Difficult to read, understand, and maintain.
 - Low cost to write, but high cost to understand and change.
 - Code does not clearly expose domain concepts.
- Terminology Notes
 - Methods define behavior.
 - Sending messages invokes behavior.
 - Prefers "send a message" over "call a method" to emphasize abstraction.

Solution 2: Speculatively General

- Characteristics
 - Uses lambdas and Verse objects for each verse variant.
 - Attempts extensibility and abstraction.
- Domain Exposure
 - Four verse variants identified.
 - Clear which verses are alike or different.
 - Next verse rule buried in code.
- Problems
 - More code than necessary.
 - Complex indirection with unclear necessity.
 - Difficult to understand and change.
- Critique
 - Complexity not justified by benefits.
 - Overly clever code distracts and complicates.
 - Advises writing simpler code despite ability to create complex solutions.

Solution 3: Concretely Abstract

- Characteristics
 - Many small methods, DRY code.
 - Attempts to name domain concepts.
- Issues with Domain Clarity
 - Difficult to determine verse variants and differences.
 - Parts understandable individually but hard to see whole picture.
- Problems with Naming and Abstraction Levels
 - Method names tied to current implementation (e.g., "beer") rather than domain meaning.
 - Changing drink from "beer" to "Kool-Aid" requires many renames and changes.
 - Naming should reflect domain concepts (e.g., "beverage") to isolate implementation changes.
- Summary
 - DRY achieved but at cost of inappropriate abstraction and naming.
 - High cost to change despite DRY.
 - Some abstractions are wrong despite good intention.

Summary and Recommendations

- Programmers' Growth and Complexity
 - Experience leads to comfort with complexity, but simplicity is possible.
- Shameless Green as Best Starting Point
 - Aim for blindingly simple code rather than infinitely complex.
 - Prioritizes understandability over premature abstraction.
 - Uses tests to build understanding before abstracting.
 - Accepts temporary duplication to avoid wrong abstractions.
- Practical Advice
 - Write simple, understandable code first.
 - Avoid premature abstraction.
 - Use metrics to guide complexity and maintainability.
 - Refactor when necessary based on real change demands.

Comparing the Four Solutions with Metrics

- Metrics Summary Table
 - Incomprehensibly Concise: 19 SLOC, 15 conditionals, ABC 15.
 - Speculatively General: 63 SLOC, 6 assignments, 10 branches, 4 conditionals, ABC 12.
 - Concretely Abstract: 87 SLOC, 4 assignments, 26 branches, 9 conditionals, ABC 28.
 - Shameless Green: 34 SLOC, 4 conditionals, ABC 4.
- Insights
 - Shameless Green has lowest ABC score, indicating simplest cognitive load.
 - Incomprehensibly Concise has very high conditionals packed in few lines.
 - Concretely Abstract spreads complexity across many calls and lines.
 - Larger SLOC loosely correlates with higher ABC but not always.

Metrics for Evaluating Code

- Source Lines of Code (SLOC)
 - Measures size but imperfect predictor of quality or productivity.
 - Larger code not necessarily worse or better.
- Cyclomatic Complexity
 - Counts unique execution paths (conditionals).
 - Helps identify hard-to-test/maintain code.
 - Lower complexity preferred.
 - Limited focus on conditionals only.
- Assignments, Branches, and Conditions (ABC) Metric
 - Counts assignments, message sends (branches), and conditionals.
 - Reflects cognitive load and complexity.
 - Popular Ruby tool: Flog.
 - Helps identify complex code difficult to understand and maintain.

Judging Code

- Subjectivity of Code Quality
 - Everyone has opinions but lacks universal, actionable definitions.
 - Definitions often qualitative, e.g., elegant, crisp, caring author.
- Need for Concrete Measures
 - Good code defined as highest value for lowest cost.
 - Metrics provide measurable facts beyond opinion.

Solution 4: Shameless Green

- Characteristics
 - Simple, straightforward code.
 - Uses case statements with duplicated strings.
 - Easy to understand and write.
- Domain Exposure
 - Clearly shows four verse variants.
 - Identifies which verses are alike and different.
 - Next verse rule still implicit.
- Value/Cost Evaluation
 - Easy to write and understand.
 - Cheap to change despite duplication.
 - Lacks abstraction and DRYness but is cost-effective.
- Conclusion
 - Best balance of understandability and changeability.
 - Embarrassingly duplicative but practical.
 - Good starting point before introducing abstractions.